Peculiar Versus Normal Phenomena in A-Type and Related Stars ASP Conference Series, Vol. 44, 1993 M.M. Dworetsky, F. Castelli, and R. Faraggiana (eds.)

A NEW MODEL FOR STELLAR MAGNETISM

P.F. Browne Department of Physics, UMIST, Manchester M60 1QD.

ABSTRACT Different drift velocities of electrons and ions in response to viscous forces exerted by neutral atoms generate current density j and magnetic field B, where B is proportional to vorticity ω of the fluid. Magnetic vortex tubes (MVTs) form arrays on a hierarchy of scales. MVTs are basic to the magnetism of all astrophysical systems, conferring a structure of aligned filaments. In the Sun a torsional oscillation generates oscillatory vorticity, and hence an oscillatory magnetic field. The same mechanism is proposed for the Ap stars, but with "pole-on" viewing. Resonance-line radiation pressure segregates elements within MVTs of Ap stars, where the anomalous concentrations are preserved. However, variation of the 30 MG magnetic fields of AM Her white dwarfs may be due to precession of an MVT. There is reason to attribute common magnetic flux to the Sun, Ap stars, white dwarfs and neutron stars

1. INTRODUCTION

In a differentially rotating partially ionized plasma the different drift velocities of electrons and ions in response to viscous forces exerted by non-gyrating neutral atoms leads to current density $(0, j_{\phi}, 0)$ with magnetic field $(0, 0, B_2)$, where components are cylindrical polar. It can be shown that

B $\propto \underline{\omega}$, where $\underline{\omega}$ (= $\nabla \mathbf{x} \mathbf{u}$) is vorticity. Specifically, (Browne, 1968; 1986)

$$\underline{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{b}\underline{\mathbf{\omega}}, \qquad \mathbf{b} = \sqrt{2\pi \mathbf{e}\theta}/3c\mathbf{Q}_{o}\mathbf{Q}_{o}\mathbf{n}_{o} \qquad (1)$$

where Q_c is the cross section for electron-ion collisions, Q_{init} that for collisions between neutral particles, n_{α} is the number density of neutral particles, and θ is a dimensionless quantity whose value approximates unity provided that electron-neutral and ion-neutral collisions have cross sections $\approx Q_n$. For temperature T,

$$Q_{c} = \Lambda \pi p_{0}^{2}$$
, $p_{c} = e^{2}/3kT$, $\Lambda = 8\ln(p_{m}/p_{c})$ (2)

where $p_m = kT/4\pi n_e e^2$, the Debye length.

If $T \approx 10^4$ K then $p_c = 5.6 \times 10^{-9}$ cm, and if $n_c = 10^8$ cm⁻³ then $p = 6.9 \times 10^{-2}$ cm. It follows that $\Lambda = 112$, and hence that $Q_0^m \approx 10^{-12}$ cm². Taking $Q_n \approx 3 \times 10^{-16}$ cm², $n_c = 10^9$ cm⁻³, and $\theta \approx 1$, (1) yields $b \approx 0.8$ G s. Adopting $\omega = 1400$ s⁻¹, which is vorticity measured on the sun (Brandt et al., 1988), the prediction from (1) is B = 1.1 kG. Such elemental flux tubes are basic not only to the sun, but to all astrophysical systems. All fields are filamentary, being concentrations of elemental "magnetic vortex tubes" (MVTs).

The proportionality $\underline{B} \ll \underline{\omega}$ remains valid as the fluid velocity field $u(r, \phi, z, t)$ distorts lines of ω and B, because

$$\delta \omega / \delta t = \nabla x (\underline{u} \times \underline{\omega}) - K_{\omega} \nabla^{2} \underline{\omega}$$
 (3a)

$$\delta \mathbf{B}/\delta \mathbf{t} = \nabla \mathbf{x} \left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} \right) - \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{B}} \nabla^{2} \mathbf{B}$$
(3b)

where K is diffusivity of field lines through the fluid. The time scale t for significant change of ω or <u>B</u> is obtained from the first and last terms of (3), writing $\delta/\delta t \simeq 1/t$ and $\nabla^2 \simeq$ $1/L^2$, so that t $\simeq L^2/K$. Normally $K_{\omega} \gg K_B$.

A crucial phenomenon, not at present recognized in astrophysics, is the role of hierarchical vorticity. The nonlinear term (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) in the equations of motion transfers energy between vortices of different scale lengths L without dissipation (Kraichnan and Montgomery, 1980). The energy oscillates back and forth among all scales. Dissipation rate varies as L^{-2} . In the steady state a dissipation on some scale L_{d} balances input on a larger scale L_{d} . It seems that exchange of energy between vorticity and magnetic field can occur more rapidly than the magnetic variations.

Current density j and magnetic field <u>B</u> in an MVT both are helical. Magnetic force is $j \ge B = (j_0 B_2 - j_2 B_0)\hat{r}$, assuming $j_{\tau} = B_{\tau} = 0$, with \hat{r} a unit vector. Thus MVTs pinch or expand depending on whether $j_z B_{\phi}$ or $j_{\phi} B_z$ is dominant. This is also the condition for attraction or repulsion between parallel

NVTs of the same polarity. Thus attraction occurs when twisting of field lines is increasing, and repulsion when twisting is decreasing. An example is aggregation of magnetic knots during formation of sunspots, followed by dispersal during decay.

MVTs originate at an early epoch of stellar evolution specifically, when bipolar outflows and discs are associated with the star (Browne, 1992). A rotating gas cloud collapses into a disc with approximately Keplerian velocity field, $u_{\omega}(r) \propto r^{-i/2}$. Because ω^2 is transferred rapidly between scales of hierarchical vorticity, and bacause viscous damping time varies as L^2 , the velocity field quickly evolves to that for zero vorticity, namely $u_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{r}) \propto \mathbf{r}^{-j}$. Now angular momentum is equally shared among particles of the same mass (say 1 per unit mass). The transfer of angular momentum inwards strongly increases centrifugal force 12/r3. Centrifugal force becomes dominant for $r < r^*$, and gravitational force becomes dominant for r > r*. Gas at radii near r* is squeezed, leading to increased pressure gradient $\delta p/\delta z$ in the axial directions. When $\delta p/\delta z > g_2$, where g_z is the axial component of gravity, a bipolar outflow is driven hydro-dynamically. The phenomenon is analogous to a terrestial tornado.

The outflow is maintained by an inflow of gas in the disc, and conservation of angular momentum of indrawn gas maintains the vorticity. The core of the MVT channels a high velocity outflow, because here there is least mass to entrain due to centrifuging. Angular momentum as well as mass is lost during the outflow. If the direction of $\underline{1}$ changes as outlying gas begins to be expelled, then the MVT will precess about the new direction of $\underline{1}$ because vortex lines comove with the fluid.

2. SOLAR MAGNETIC CYCLE

It came as a surprise that all of the magnetic flux through the surface of the Sun is concentrated into isolated tubes of diameter \approx 300 km within which the field strength is \approx 1500 G, corresponding to flux \approx 10¹⁵ Mx. The number density of these flux tubes determines the mean field, perhaps 5 G in quiet regions. Flux tubes of diameter \approx 300 km intersect the solar surface at "magnetic knots". Magnetic knots tend to accummulate around the periphery of supergranules of diameter \approx 30,000 km, forming the "magnetic network". A large sunspot, including its penumbra, has diameter \approx 30,000 km and flux \approx 3 x 10²² Mx.

Brandt et al. (1988) report evidence for a classical vortex in the surface velocity field of the Sun. Vorticity of $\omega \approx 1400 \text{ s}^{-1}$ is constant out to R $\approx 1500 \text{ km}$, and for r > R circulation is constant at $\approx 4000 \text{ km}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$. Also peak rotational

286

velocity is $u_{\phi}(R) = 0.46 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Outlying elements spiralled to the center with velocities $0.27 - 0.60 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Since B $\propto \omega$, the 22 y oscillation of B implies a 22 y oscillation of ω . The variation of ω can be attributed to a "torsional oscillation" (Howard and Labonte, 1980). Cylindrical layers of fast and slow rotation alternate from the axis outward, intersecting the surface in different latitude zones. Such oscillatory nonuniform rotation with angular velocity $\Delta\Omega_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t})$ is superimposed on steady nonuniform rotation $\Delta\Omega_1(\mathbf{r})$, and on uniform rotation Ω_o . The sense of vorticity due to $\Delta\Omega_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t})$ reverses from one 11 y half-cycle to the next. The torsional oscillation may be coupled to weak pulsation due to angular momentum conservation.

The steady component of differential rotation $\Omega_{i}(r)$ was exploited by Babcock (1961) in an explanation of sunspot polarity laws. The different rotation speed of an equatorial outer layer stretches a kink in an axial MVT into an increasingly elongated loop (fig. 1). Because the outgoing and return arms of the loop are on opposite sides of the equator, the Sun has a sub-surface azimuthal magnetic field which reverses polarity from one hemisphere to the other. Secondary loops in the nearly azimuthal flux tube rise and break through the surface at bipolar magnetic regions (BMRs), with preceding (p) and following (f) members of opposite polarity (fig. 1).



Fig. 1. Common model for magnetism of Sun and Ap stars

3. KILOGAUSS FIELDS OF Ap STARS

The mechanism of the solar cycle is proposed also for the magnetic variations of Ap stars, but with the difference that viewing is "pole-on". Then variation of magnetic field is real, not an aspect effect. The period is that of a torsional oscillation. Observed periods span 2 - 2500 d.

The prediction of "pole-on" viewing is supported by the low rotational velocities for Ap stars inferred from their narrow lines. Slettebak (1955) finds a mean of 50 km s⁻¹ for Ap stars as opposed to a mean of 176 km s⁻¹ for normal A stars.

It can be shown that resonance-line radiation pressure in the core of a polar MVT is strong enough to force certain elements upward, until self-screening limits the process. In this way a cloud of anomalously abundant elements attains quasi-equilibrium at some height above the photosphere. Being trapped in the MVT there is no question of turbulent mixing. Centrifuging varies through the magnetic cycle, causing opacity to vary in the MVT core, which in turn causes resonance-line radiation pressure to vary. Consequently, elements segregated by radiation pressure move upward and downward. In fact two groups of such elements move up and down in antiphase, being confined to MVTs of opposite polarity, which explains why two spectra which vary in antiphase in respect of intensity, line profile, and radial velocity. Such a mechanism was suggested many years ago, but in a different model (Browne, 1968b).

4. MEGAGAUSS FIELDS OF WHITE DWARF STARS

White dwarfs have radii about 1/100 th those of Ap stars. If the radius of a polar MVT contracts by this factor, magnetic field of 3 kG is amplified magnetohydrodynamically (flux conservation) to 30 MG.

Magnetic fields in the range 10 - 100 MG have been detected on several white dwarfs by Zeeman effect or by cyclotron harmonics. AM Her stars, a subgroup with 16 members, show strong (5 - 20 %) circular polarization which varies cyclically with a period in the range 80 - 200 min, occasionally with reversal of sense. Magnetic fields are typically of order 30 MG (Schmidt et al., 1986).

The conventional interpretation of the reversal of sense of circular polarization is alternate viewing of opposite magnetic poles of a dipolar field whose axis is misaligned with the rotation axis. Instead of a rotating dipolar field, we now propose a precessing MVT field (fig. 2). In order that opposite polar caps should come into view alternately, the axis of precession must be roughly transverse to the line of sight.



Fig. 2. Precessing MVT model for AM Her stars

Convincing evidence for MVT precession in these stars comes from their strong emission lines of H and He, which have a narrow peak superimposed on a broad base. The source gas for the peak must differ from that for the base, because the peak and base show different Doppler curves. The Doppler curves severely constrain the model, rather as happens in SS433. A fit is obtained if source gas is trapped in a precessing MVT, that on one side of the star having only precessional motion and that on the other side having outflow motion superimposed on precessional motion.

AM Her stars usually are Xray sources. Assuming that X-rays come from a degenerate sub-layer, both X-ray source X and cyclotron source C in fig. 2 should be eclipsed at the same phases, as observed (Szkody et al., 1980).

Two eclipses occur each precession, one when the stellar limb obscures C or X and the other when trapped gas obscures C or X. The latter type of eclipse is recognized by frequencydependence; the absorption cross sections vary as $\omega^{-3\cdot5}$ for bound-free and as ω^{-2} for free-free transitions, so that the eclipse can disappear for hard X-rays. On occasion very soft X-rays, with a square wave light curve, are seen (Heise et. al., 1985). Emission phase coincides with eclipse phase for normal flux, suggesting reprocessing of the latter.

5. TERRAGAUSS MAGNETIC FIELDS

Cyclotron lines in the range 11 - 35 kev have been observed in absorption in 4 X-ray pulsars, and at 27 - 70 kev in some 19 Y-ray bursts (Mazets et al., 1981). From $\hbar\omega_c = 35$ kev and $\omega_c = eB/mc$, one infers B = 3 TG (1 TG = 10¹² G).

During evolution of a white dwarf to a neutron star the field lines of the MVT are compressed by a factor \approx 300, so that the field increases from 30 MG to 3 TG (1 TG \equiv 10^{1/2} G).

The magnetic flux may be the same for all magnetic stars, and equal to that of a large sunspot (fig. 1). Putting $\pi R^2 B = 3 \times 10^{22}$ Mx, values of B and R are typically (3 kG; 30,000 km)

for Ap stars, (30 MG; 300 km) for white dwarfs, and (3 TG; 1 km) for neutron stars.

A blackbody source at temperature 1 kev with radius 300 km radiates 3 x 10^{-3/5} erg s⁻¹, parameters typical of an X-ray burst; a blackbody at temperature 100 kev with radius 1 km radiates 3 x 10^{-4/1} erg s⁻¹, parameters typical of Y-ray bursts. In the burst model previously proposed (Browne, 1990) the X-ray and Y-ray fluxes come from the degenerate interiors of white dwarfs and neutron stars respectively, escaping through "windows" provided by polar MVTs after quasi-periodic "blow outs". The basic cause is imbalance between internal power generation and surface luminosity in a degenerate system.

REFERENCES

Babcock, H.W., 1961, Ap. J. 133, 572.

- Brandt, P.N. et al., 1988, Nature 335, 238.
- Browne, P.F., 1968a, Ap. Lett. 2, 217.
- Browne, P.F., 1968b, Nature 220, 1296.
- Browne, P.F., 1986, in Interstellar Magnetic Fields, eds. R. Beck and R. Grave, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, p. 220.
- Browne, P.F., 1990, in Galactic and Intergalactic Magnetic Fields, eds. R. Beck et al., IAU Colloquium No. 140, p. 136.
- Browne, P.F., 1992, in "Stellar Jets and Bipolar Outflows", eds. A. Vittone, D.L. Errico, Kluwer Publ., Dordrecht.
- Heise, J. et al., 1985, Astron. Ap. 148, L14.
- Howard, R. and LaBonte, B.J., 1980, Ap. J. 239, L33.
- Kraichnan, R., Montgomery, D., 1980, Rep. Prog. Phys. 43, 547.

Mazets, E.P. et al., 1981, Nature 290, 378.

- Schmidt, G.D., Stockman, H. and Grandi, S., 1986, Ap. J. 300, 804.
- Slettebak, A., 1955, Ap. J. 121, 653.
- Szkody, P. et al., 1980, Ap. J. 241, 1070.