Our investigation has revealed a peak of bipolar episodes during the equinociés, where depression predominates from February to April, and manias between August and October. In contrast, mixed and switching episodes, as we have applied them to a Portuguese report, cannot be applied to a French one. Since sunshine varies with latitude, more manias between August and October. In contrast, mixed and switching episodes, as well as some unipolar depressions, tend to occur around the solstices.

Although these results are consistent with an extensive review by Wehr & Rosenthal (1989), they may be idiosyncratic to Portugal. Since sunshine varies with latitude, more studies are needed worldwide in order to understand the problem better. However, it would be preferable if results were presented in terms of monthly frequencies, and not simply as the required figures for testing seasonality as conventionally defined.


J. L. Pio-Abreu Psychiatric Clinic, University Hospital of Coimbra, 3049 Coimbra, Portugal

Terminology of learning disability

Sir: Few would disagree with Reid (1997) that learning disability is not an ideal term. It may also be true that it was adopted by the Royal College of Psychiatrists simply for the sake of political correctness. This, however, even when coupled with the objection that the term contains no medical or psychiatric dimension, provides no adequate grounds for yet a further unwelcome change in terminology.

Mental handicap, the term generally discarded in the UK but nevertheless still favoured by Dr Reid and many others, remains less appropriate than learning disability for two important reasons. The first is, as Reid himself points out, because of the objections of those suffering from the condition and able to express an opinion. The second is the difficulty experienced by the general public in distinguishing between mental handicap and mental illness, largely because of the use of the word 'mental'. Not surprisingly, this confusion led to the assumption that mental handicap was primarily a medical problem. If now, instead, it is thought that the term learning disability implies that the condition is essentially educational, rather than register dismay we should instead throw our hats in the air. This description does after all contain a greater element of truth.

The problems of people with learning disability can be met only by a multi-disciplinary approach. It is unlikely that the emphasis on the word 'learning' can diminish the contribution of medicine, particularly psychiatry, to the care of this group.


Gwyn Howells Belvedere House, Fort George, St Peter Port, Guernsey GY1 2SJ

Sir: As Reid (1997) points out in his excellent editorial, no-one would wish to revert to the terms 'idiot' or 'imbecile'. None the less, it is interesting to note that these terms were themselves once euphemisms. In classical Greek the adjective idiots means one's own, private or personal, and the noun idiot (idiotes) was a private as opposed to a public person. Idiot then came to mean someone without professional knowledge, and finally, in some post-classical Greek writers, "an ignorant person" (Liddell et al., 1961). 'Imbecile' comes from the Latin imbecillus, which means weak or frail. Perhaps in our own times terms such as 'intellectually disabled' will soon be found too stigmatising and replaced by 'intellectually differently able'.

Underlying this absurd quest for ever newer euphemisms there would appear to be two implicit, but partly contradictory, assumptions: first, that language is thought; and second, that the language we use determines what we think. There are several good reasons for believing that language is not thought, such as our awareness of not being able to put some of our own thoughts into words, problem-solving involving the manipulation of mental images (i.e. non-verbal thinking), the invention of words or neologisms to express new ideas for which no words previously existed, the phenomenon of ambiguity in language, etc. (Pinker, 1995). Thus, if language is not thought, the notion that language predetermines how we think loses much of its plausibility. It is our thought which contaminates the euphemisms, not the euphemisms which disinfect our thought. For these reasons also Reid is right: in the case of mental handicap or learning disability it is our attitudes which must change, not our terminology.

P. Crichton Department of Psychological Medicine, Royal Marsden NHS Trust, Fulham Road, London SW3 6JJ

Valproate and neuroleptic medication

Sir: Barnes et al (1996) point out the paucity of data on adjunctive use of valproate in the treatment of psychotic disorders only partially responsive to neuroleptics.

We have conducted an open trial of 17 out-patients (six male; mean age 34; s.d. 10 years) to ascertain whether valproate can be used to 'spare' neuroleptics in patients with bipolar disorder with psychosis (n=13) and schizoaffective disorder (n=5). All patients had been stabilised on neuroleptics for at least six months. Mean pre-valproate neuroleptic dose was 260 mg chlorpromazine equivalents per day (s.d. 150 mg; range 25—500). In the six months post-valproate, only two patients required ongoing neuroleptics, with doses of 100 and 200 mg chlorpromazine equivalents daily (prior doses 200 and 500 mg, respectively).

This preliminary study, with the methodological limitations inherent in open, non-randomised, non-blind designs, nevertheless raises the possibility of wider use of valproate to spare neuroleptics in patients with bipolar and schizoaffective disorders, and potentially schizophrenia as well (three further treatment-resistant schizophrenia patients have been commenced on valproate...