Lactic acid bacteria and cancer: mechanistic perspective
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Colorectal cancer is one of the most important causes of cancer morbidity and mortality in Western countries. While a myriad of healthful effects have been attributed to the probiotic lactic acid bacteria (LAB), perhaps the most controversial remains that of anticancer activity. It should be pointed out that there is no direct experimental evidence for cancer suppression in man as a result of consumption of lactic cultures in fermented or unfermented dairy products. However, there is a wealth of indirect evidence, based largely on laboratory studies, in the literature. The precise mechanisms by which LAB may inhibit colon cancer are presently unknown. However, such mechanisms might include: alteration of the metabolic activities of intestinal microflora; alteration of physico-chemical conditions in the colon; binding and degrading potential carcinogens; quantitative and/or qualitative alterations in the intestinal microflora incriminated in producing putative carcinogen(s) and promoters (e.g. bile acid-metabolising bacteria); production of antitumourigenic or antimutagenic compounds; enhancing the host’s immune response; and effects on physiology of the host. These potential mechanisms are addressed in the present paper.
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Introduction

An example of a functional food, which has been the focus of intense research activity in recent years, is probiotics — ‘live microbial feed supplements which beneficially affect the host animal by improving its intestinal microbial balance’ (Salminen et al. 1998). Probiotics usually refer to highly selected lactic acid bacteria (LAB), e.g. Lactobacillus spp., Bifidobacterium spp. and Streptococcus spp., with defined gut survival properties and associated biological activities and which can be ingested in fermented milk products or as a supplement. The list of healthful effects attributed to probiotic bacteria is extensive (Salminen et al. 1998) and includes: alleviation of lactose intolerance symptoms; serum cholesterol reduction; anticancer effects; alleviation of constipation and relief of vaginitis, to name but a few. The vast majority of studies on the anticancer effects attributed to probiotic bacteria is extensive (Salminen et al. 1998) and includes: alleviation of lactose intolerance symptoms; serum cholesterol reduction; anticancer effects; alleviation of constipation and relief of vaginitis, to name but a few. The vast majority of studies on the anticancer effects deal with colorectal cancer (CRC), although there are some studies on breast and bladder cancer. CRC is the second most common cause of mortality from malignant disease in Europe with 190 000 new cases per year. Prognosis for advanced CRC is poor (Sant et al. 1995), and hence prevention is required to control the incidence of the disease. Epidemiological studies show that diet plays a role in the aetiology of most large bowel cancers, implying that it is a potentially preventable disease. Many studies confirm the involvement of the endogenous microflora in the onset of colon cancer. This makes it reasonable to think that changing the intestinal microflora could influence tumour development. Thus, considerable attention has focused on dietary supplements that can influence the gut microflora as a strategy for CRC prevention. In particular, evidence has been accumulating from animal studies for a protective role for probiotics, prebiotics (‘non-digestible food ingredients that beneficially affect the host by selectively stimulating the growth and/or activity of one or a limited number of bacteria in the colon, that have the potential to improve host health’; Salminen et al. 1998) and symbiotics (combinations of pro- and prebiotics). It should be pointed out that there is no direct experimental evidence for cancer suppression in man as a result of consumption of lactic cultures in fermented or unfermented dairy products. However, there is a wealth of indirect evidence, based largely on laboratory studies, in the literature.

Epidemiological studies

As yet, there are few epidemiological studies addressing the association between fermented dairy products and colorectal cancer. Consumption of large quantities of dairy products such as yoghurt and fermented milk containing...
**Lactobacillus** or **Bifidobacterium** spp. may be related to a lower incidence of colon cancer (Shahani & Ayobo, 1980). An epidemiological study performed in Finland demonstrated that, despite a high fat intake, colon cancer incidence is lower than in other countries because of the high consumption of milk, yoghurt and other dairy products (Intestinal Microecology Group, 1977; Malhotra, 1977). In two population-based case–control studies of colon cancer, an inverse association was observed for yoghurt (Peters et al. 1992) and cultured milk (Young & Wolf, 1988), adjusted for potential confounding factors. An inverse relationship has been demonstrated between the frequency of consumption of yoghurt and other fermented milk products and breast cancer in women (Le et al. 1986; van’t Veer et al. 1989). On the other hand, two companion American prospective studies, the 1980–1988 follow-up of the Nurses’ Health Study and the 1986–1990 Health Professionals follow-up study, did not provide evidence that intake of dairy products is associated with a decreased risk of colon cancer (Kampman et al. 1994a). In a cohort study in the Netherlands, it was shown that the intake of fermented dairy products was not significantly associated with colorectal cancer risk in an elderly population with a relatively wide variation in dairy product consumption, although a weak non-significant inverse association with colon cancer was observed (Kampman et al. 1994b).

**Mechanisms by which lactic acid bacteria may be inhibiting colon cancer**

There are several good animal models for colon cancer, which have proved useful for identifying dietary factors that may be protective. End points used are tumours themselves, or early lesions, such as aberrant crypt foci (ACF). ACF are putative preneoplastic lesions from which adenomas and carcinomas may develop. In recent years, there have been many studies using animal models, which have clearly demonstrated a protective effect of dietary supplements of LAB against colon tumour development (Hirayama & Rafter, 2000; Lee & Lee, 2000; Yamazaki et al. 2000).

The precise mechanisms by which LAB may inhibit colon cancer are presently unknown. However, mechanisms may include: alteration of the metabolic activities of intestinal microflora; alteration of physico-chemical conditions in the colon; binding and degrading potential carcinogens; quantitative and/or qualitative alterations in the intestinal microflora incriminated in producing putative carcinogen(s) and promoters (e.g. bile acid-metabolising bacteria); production of antitumourigenic or antimutagenic compounds; enhancing the host’s immune response and effects on physiology of the host.

**Alteration of the metabolic activities of intestinal microflora**

Many foreign compounds are detoxified by glucuronidase formation in the liver before entering the intestine via the bile. The bacterial enzyme β-glucuronidase has the ability to hydrolyse many glucuronides due to its wide substrate specificity, and thus may liberate carcinogenic aglycones in the intestinal lumen. Several other bacterial enzymes have also been suggested to be implicated in the carcinogenic process, releasing carcinogens in the intestinal tract. Interestingly, it was the observation that adding LAB supplements to the diets of rodents significantly decreased the activities of some of the faecal enzyme activities which focused attention on these bacteria as possible anticancer agents (Goldin & Gorbach, 1984a; Kulkarni & Reddy, 1994; Abdelali et al. 1995; Rowland et al. 1998). LAB have also reduced the specific activities of faecal enzymes in human volunteer studies (Ayobo et al. 1980; Goldin et al. 1980; Ling et al. 1994; Spanhaak et al. 1998). Goldin & Gorbach (1984b) studied the effect of feeding Lactobacillus acidophilus strains NCFM and N-2 on the activity of three bacterial enzymes — β-glucuronidase, nitroreductase and azoreductase — in twenty-one healthy volunteers. Both strains had similar effects and caused a significant decline in the specific activity of the three enzymes in all subjects after 10 days of feeding. A reversal of the effect was observed within 10–30 days of ceasing Lactobacillus feeding, suggesting that continuous consumption of these bacteria was necessary to maintain the effect. The authors suggested that the observed reduction of these enzymes may explain the reduced colon cancer incidence that they saw in an earlier study in rats fed viable L. acidophilus (Goldin & Gorbach, 1980). Thus in summary, animal and human studies indicate that feeding certain lactic cultures can result in a decrease of faecal enzymes that may be involved in formation of carcinogens. It is important to note that the studies to date do not always find reductions in the same enzymes, although findings with β-glucuronidase and nitroreductase are the most consistent. However, it is still unknown how or whether a reduction in these enzyme activities affects cancer rates in man. Indeed, the origin of the carcinogens causing colon cancer in man is still largely unknown.

**Alteration of physico-chemical conditions in the colon**

Modler et al. (1990) have suggested that large bowel cancer could be influenced directly by reducing intestinal pH, thereby preventing the growth of putrefactive bacteria. In rats given inulin-containing diets with or without Bifidobacterium longum, an increase in caecal weight and β-glucosidase and a decrease in caecal pH were observed (Rowland et al. 1998), though some other studies did not detect a significant change in intestinal pH (Bartram et al. 1994; Abdelali et al. 1995).

Dietary fat has been considered a risk factor for colon cancer, and it has been suggested that its effect may be mediated by increased levels of bile acids (mainly secondary bile acids, produced by the action of bacterial 7α-dehydroxylation on primary bile acids) in the colon (Weisburger & Wynder, 1987). One hypothesis regarding colon carcinogenesis involves a cytotoxic effect on the colonic epithelium exerted by soluble bile acids in the aqueous phase, followed by an increased cell proliferation (Bruce, 1987). It has been demonstrated that a 6-week administration of L. acidophilus fermented milk supplements to colon...
cancer patients resulted in lower, though not significantly lower, concentrations of soluble bile acids in faeces (Lidbeck et al. 1991). In another study, patients with colonic adenomas participated in a 3-month study, where *L. acidophilus* was administered together with *Bifidobacterium bifidum* (Biasco et al. 1991). During this period, faecal pH was reduced significantly and patients having a higher proliferative activity in the upper colonic crypts than that calculated for subjects at low risk for colon cancer, showed a significant decrease after therapy with the LAB. In view of the results in the study by Lidbeck et al. (1991) it is interesting to speculate that the effect observed by Biasco et al. (1991) was in part due to decreased levels of bile acids in the aqueous phase.

**Binding and degrading potential carcinogens**

The bacterial cell wall in addition to the cell wall of certain plants may be an important factor in determining the ratio of bound to free (bioavailable) toxins in the intestine. Mutagenic compounds, commonly found in the Western meat-rich diet, can be bound to LAB *in vitro*. The extent of binding correlated well with the reduction in mutagenicity observed after exposure to the bacterial strains (Orphage et al. 1994). Morotomi & Mutai (1986) investigated the ability of twenty-two strains of intestinal bacteria to bind the mutagenic pyrolysates and compared their ability to that of some dietary fibres. 3-Amino-1,4-dimethyl-5H-pyrido[4,3-b]indole (Trp-P-2) and 3-amino-1-methyl-5H-pyrido[4,3-b]indole (Trp-P-2) were effectively bound to all Gram-positive and some Gram-negative bacterial cells, corn bran, apple pulp and soyabean fibre. When the mechanism of binding of Trp-P-2 to *L. casei* YIT 9018 and corn bran was investigated, it was shown to be pH dependent, instantaneous and was inhibited by the addition of metal salts, indicating a cation-exchange mechanism. The mutagenicity of Trp-P-2 for *Salmonella typhimurium* TA98 in the presence of S9 mix was inhibited by the addition of *L. casei* YIT 9018 to the reaction mixture, indicating that bound Trp-P-2 did not cause mutation under the assay conditions.

Although little is known about the fate of bound mutagens in the human gastrointestinal system, Zhang & Ohta (1993) showed that freeze-dried cells of LAB, intestinal bacteria and yeast significantly reduced the absorption of Trp-P-1 from the small intestine in rats and that this was accompanied by decreased levels of this food mutagen in blood. In addition, consumption of lactobacilli by human volunteers has shown to reduce the mutagenicity of urine and faeces associated with the ingestion of carcinogens in cooked meat (Lidbeck et al. 1992; Hayatsu & Hayatsu, 1993). Administration of *L. acidophilus* to healthy volunteers consuming a fried meat diet, known to increase faecal mutagenicity, resulted in a decrease in faecal mutagenic activity after three days than administration of ordinary fermented milk (Lidbeck et al. 1992). During *L. acidophilus* administration, the urinary mutagenic activity on days 2 and 3 was significantly lower compared to the ordinary fermented milk period. In most cases, an increase in the number of faecal lactobacilli corresponded to a lower mutagen excretion, particularly in urine. Hayatsu & Hayatsu (1993) also demonstrated a marked suppressing effect of orally administered *Lactobacillus casei* Shirotia (LeS) on the urinary mutagenicity arising from ingestion of fried ground beef in man. In view of the *in vitro* results referred to earlier, it is possible that the LAB supplements are influencing excretion of mutagens by simply binding them in the intestine. Lactobacilli have also been shown to degrade nitrosamines (Rowland & Grasso, 1975).

**Quantitative and/or qualitative alterations in the intestinal microflora**

Consumption of fermented milk containing *L. acidophilus* has been shown to significantly reduce the counts of faecal putrefactive bacteria such as coliforms and increase the levels of lactobacilli in the intestine (Ayebo et al. 1980, Shahani & Ayebo, 1980) suggesting that supplemental *L. acidophilus* has a beneficial effect on the intestinal microecology by suppressing the putrefactive organisms that are possibly involved in the production of tumour promoters and putative precarcinogens. However, the mechanisms underlying these effects are still to a large extent not understood.

**Production of antitumourigenic or antimutagenic compounds**

There is evidence for antitumour activities of LAB obtained from studies using pre-implanted tumour cells in animal models. It has been demonstrated that feeding of fermented milk or cultures containing LAB inhibited the growth of tumour cells injected into mice (Kato et al. 1981; Friend et al. 1982). Repeated intraslesional injection of live or dead *Bifidobacterium* cells inhibited the growth of Meth-A tumour cells transplanted subcutaneously into syngenic BALB/c mice (Kohwi et al. 1978). Sekine et al. (1994), using whole peptidoglycan isolated from *Bifidobacterium infantis* strain ATCC15697, reported that a single subcutaneous injection significantly suppressed tumour growth. In addition, five intraslesional injections resulted in 70% tumour regression in the mice.

LAB or a soluble compound produced by the bacteria may interact directly with tumour cells in culture and inhibit their growth (Reddy et al. 1973, 1983). LAB significantly reduced the growth and viability of the human colon cancer cell line HT-29 in culture and dipeptidyl peptidase IV and brush border enzymes were significantly increased, suggesting that these cells may have entered a differentiation process (Baricault et al. 1995). Milk fermented by *B. infantis*, *B. bifidum*, *Bifidobacterium animalis*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* and *Lactobacillus paracasei* inhibited the growth of the MCF7 breast cancer cell line and the anti-proliferative effect was not related to the presence of bacteria (Biffi et al. 1997). These findings suggest the presence of an *ex novo* soluble compound produced by LAB during milk fermentation or the microbial transformation of some milk components in a biologically active form.
Enhancing the host’s immune response

One explanation for tumour suppression by LAB may be mediated through an immune response of the host. Sekine et al. (1985) suggested that B. infantis stimulates the host-mediated response, leading to tumour suppression or regression. In addition, there are studies to suggest that LAB play an important role and function in the host’s immunoprotective system by increasing specific and non-specific mechanisms to have an antitumour effect (Kato et al. 1983; De Simone et al. 1993; Schiffrin et al. 1995). LcS has been shown to have potent antitumour and antimetastatic effects on transplantable tumour cells and to suppress chemically induced carcinogenesis in rodents. Also, intrapleural administration of LcS into tumour-bearing mice has been shown to induce the production of several cytokines, such as interferon-γ, interleukin-1β and tumour-necrosis factor-α, in the thoracic cavity of mice, resulting in the inhibition of tumour growth and increased survival (Matsuzaki, 1998). These findings suggest that treatment with LcS has the potential to ameliorate or prevent tumourigenesis through modulation of the host’s immune system, specifically cellular immune responses. An additional study has indicated that oral administration of BLP, a preparation of viable L. casei YIT 9018, potentiated systemic immune responses that modified T-cell functions in tumour-bearing mice (Kato et al. 1994). It has also been demonstrated that B. longum and B. animalis promote the induction of inflammatory cytokines (interleukin-6, tumour-necrosis factor-α) in mouse peritoneal cells (Sekine et al. 1994).

Effects on the physiology of the host

Lactobacilli are one of the dominant species in the small intestine, and these micro-organisms presumably affect metabolic reactions occurring in this part of the gastrointestinal tract. The ileal mucosa (Venitt, 1988) as well as the colonic mucosa (Fang & Strobel, 1978) have the capacity to absorb mutagenic compounds from the intestinal lumen whereafter the compounds are passed into the bloodstream, either unchanged or as metabolites. In addition, LAB have been shown to increase colonic NADPH-cytochrome P-450 reductase activity (Pool-Zobel et al. 1996) and glutathione S-transferase levels (Challa et al. 1997) and to reduce hepatic uridine diphosphoglucuronyl transferase activity (Abdelali et al. 1997) and to reduce hepatic uridine diphosphoglucuronyl transferase activity (Abdelali et al. 1997), enzymes which are involved in the metabolism of carcinogens in rats. Arimochi et al. (1997) showed an inhibitory effect of L. acidophilus on ACF formation in the colon of rats, induced by azoxymethane, and enhanced removal of O6-methylguanine from the colon mucosal DNA and that these effects came from culture supernatants, not from bacterial cells. ACF are putative preneoplastic lesions from which adenomas and carcinomas may develop. In addition, it has been demonstrated that dietary administration of lyophilized cultures of B. longum strongly suppressed azoxymethane-induced colonic tumour development and that this effect was associated with a decrease in colonic mucosal cell proliferation and colonic mucosal and tumour ornithine decarboxylase and ras-p21 activities (Reddy, 1998).

Conclusion

There are several possible mechanisms that may explain how LAB may protect against tumour development in the colon. However, questions such as: what is the major contributing mechanism for a particular bacterial strain or how are the different mechanisms linked are questions to which we presently do not have the answers. It is possible that different strains target different mechanisms. All of the mechanisms have various degrees of support, mainly derived from in vitro and animal experiments. Some of them also have some support from human clinical studies. More work needs to be done to identify the specific strains and strain characteristics responsible for specific anti-tumour effects and the mechanisms by which these effects are mediated. However, even with the limited information available, the use of lactic cultures for human cancer suppression holds promise and certainly deserves more scrutiny. Carefully designed human clinical trials are needed to corroborate the wealth of experimental studies. Such a clinical trial is presently ongoing (SYNCAN, 2001), i.e. to examine the effect of a symbiotic preparation on colon cancer risk biomarkers in man (SYNCAN project, funded by EU, and involving eight research centres in Europe). It involves a 12-week randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of a food supplement containing Lactobacillus GG, Bifidobacterium Bb-12 and Raftilose Synergyl in adenoma patients. In this study, all of the ‘state-of-the-art’ colon cancer risk biomarkers, including colonic mucosal markers, faecal water markers and immunological markers, are being measured. In parallel, a long-term tumourigenesis study in rats, using the same symbiotic combination and assaying for the same biomarkers, is being carried out. It is hoped that the results of this study will provide much needed information on the cancer protective effects of synbiotics in man and supply us with additional valuable information on underlying mechanisms.
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