

# THE BOUNDARY OF THE NUMERICAL RANGE

by G. DE BARRA

(Received 19 July, 1979)

**1. Introduction.** In [1] it was shown that for a compact normal operator on a Hilbert space the numerical range was the convex hull of the point spectrum. Here it is shown that the same holds for a semi-normal operator whose point spectrum satisfies a density condition (Theorem 1). In Theorem 2 a similar condition is shown to imply that the numerical range of a semi-normal operator is closed. Some examples are given to indicate that the condition in Theorem 1 cannot be relaxed too much.

**2.** The bounded operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is hyponormal if  $T^*T \geq TT^*$  and semi-normal if either  $T$  or  $T^*$  is hyponormal. A useful reference is [7]. Some properties are now given.

LEMMA 1. Let  $T$  be semi-normal on  $H$ . Then  $\overline{W(T)} = \text{co Sp } T$ , where  $W(T)$  denotes the numerical range of  $T$ ,  $\text{Sp } T$  denotes the spectrum of  $T$  and  $\text{co}$  denotes convex hull.

This is due to Putnam [6] and Stampfli [8] for hyponormal operators; the extension is trivial.

LEMMA 2. Let  $T$  be semi-normal on  $H$ . Then the extreme points of  $W(T)$  lie in the point spectrum of  $T$ .

*Proof.* For normal operators this is due to MacCluer [4] and for hyponormal operators to Stampfli [9]. So suppose  $T^*$  is hyponormal. Write  $\text{ex } A$  for the extreme points of  $A$  and  $\text{pSp } T$  for the point spectrum of  $T$ . Then  $z \in \text{ex } W(T)$  implies  $z^* \in \text{ex } W(T^*)$ ; but then  $z^* \in \text{pSp } T^*$  and so  $z \in \text{pSp } T$  ([8, Lemma 2]).

LEMMA 3. Let  $T$  be a hyponormal operator,  $L$  a support line for  $W(T)$  and

$$N = \bigcup_{z \in L} \{x : (Tx, x) = z \|x\|^2\}.$$

Then  $N$  is a reducing subspace for  $T$  and  $T|_N$  is normal.

*Proof.* It is shown in [2, Lemma 2] that  $N$  is a subspace and that

$$N = \{x : e^{-i\theta}(T - zI)x = e^{i\theta}(T^* - z^*I)x\}$$

for all  $z \in L$ , where  $\theta$  is the acute angle between  $L$  and the  $x$ -axis. So if  $z$  is any fixed point on  $L$  and  $S = T - zI$ ,

$$N = \{x : Sx = e^{2i\theta}S^*x\}.$$

But as  $S$  is hyponormal, Lemma 3 of [9] gives that  $N$  reduces  $S$  and  $S|_N$  is normal. So the same holds for  $T$ . That, for any operator, such a subspace  $N$  is reducing whenever it is invariant is observed in [3] (page 406).

Glasgow Math. J. 22 (1981) 69–72.

**3. The main results**

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be a semi-normal operator and assume  $\text{pSp } T \cap C$  dense in*

$$C = \text{Sp } T \cap \partial(\text{co Sp } T).$$

*Then  $W(T) = \text{co}(\text{pSp } T)$ .*

*Proof.* We suppose first that  $T$  is hyponormal. For  $z \in W(T)$  we wish to show  $z \in \text{co}(\text{pSp } T)$  and we may suppose  $z = 0$ .

(i) Suppose  $0 \in \partial W(T)$ , the boundary of the numerical range, and that  $\text{im } W(T) \leq 0$ . Let  $L$  be the horizontal support line at 0. Let  $N$  be as in Lemma 3 so that  $T_0 = T|_N$  is Hermitian. Write  $L_0 = L \cap W(T)$ . If  $L_0 = \{0\}$  or 0 is an end-point of  $L_0$ , then  $0 \in \text{ex } W(T)$  by Lemma 2. Suppose  $L_0$  is the interval  $(\alpha, \beta)$  where  $\alpha < 0 < \beta$ ; if either end-point is in  $L_0$  it is in  $\text{pSp } T$  and the argument is shortened. Then  $\text{Sp } T_0 \cap (\alpha, 0)$  and  $\text{Sp } T_0 \cap (0, \beta)$  meet  $\text{pSp } T_0$ . For  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are not isolated points of  $\text{pSp } T_0$ , so  $\text{Sp } T_0 \cap (\alpha, 0)$  and  $\text{Sp } T_0 \cap (0, \beta)$  are non-empty. Also we can find  $z, z', y \in \text{Sp } T_0$  with  $\alpha < z' < z < 0 < y < \beta$ . Let  $M$  be a circular neighbourhood of  $z$  not meeting  $z'$  or 0. If  $M$  meets  $\partial(\text{co Sp } T)$  in the lower half-plane, at  $z''$  say, choose a neighbourhood  $M_0$  of  $z$  within the triangle  $z', z'', y$ , with  $M_0 \subset M$  so that  $M_0 \cap C \subset L_0$ . Then by the density hypothesis  $\text{pSp } T$  meets  $M_0 \cap C$ . Similarly it meets  $(0, \beta)$ , so  $0 \in \text{co}(\text{pSp } T)$ .

(ii) Now suppose  $0 \in \text{int } W(T)$  and that a square centered at 0 with a corner at  $(4d, 4id)$  lies in  $W(T)$ . If  $A = (2d, 2id)$ ,  $A \in \overline{\text{co}}(\text{ex}(\text{co Sp } T))$ . So choose  $A'$  in the same quadrant with  $|A - A'| < d$  and  $A' \in \text{co}(\text{ex}(\text{co Sp } T))$ , say

$$A' = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i z_i$$

where  $z_i \in \text{Sp } T \cap \partial(\text{co Sp } T)$ ,  $\lambda_i$  are non-negative and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ . Let  $z'_i \in \text{pSp } T$  with  $|z_i - z'_i| < d/n$ . So  $A'' = \sum \lambda_i z'_i$  lies in  $\text{co}(\text{pSp } T)$  and  $A''$  is in the first quadrant. Similarly for the other quadrants. So  $0 \in \text{co}(\text{pSp } T)$ .

Now suppose that  $T^*$  is hyponormal. Suppose  $L^*$  is a support line for  $W(T^*)$  and  $N^*$  is the reducing subspace for  $T^*$  provided by Lemma 3. Then  $L$  supports  $W(T)$ . Also  $N^*$  reduces  $T$ ; indeed  $N^*$  is just the subspace  $N$  since  $(Tx, x) = z \|x\|^2$  whenever  $(T^*x, x)^* = z \|x\|^2$ . Since  $T|_N$  is normal, the proof in (i) proceeds as before. For (ii) we only need the convexoid property provided by Lemma 1 for  $T^*$  and hence for  $T$ .

**COROLLARY.** *If  $T$  is hyponormal and satisfies the conditions of the theorem then  $W(T^*) = \text{co}(\text{pSp } T^*)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(\text{pSp } T)^* \subseteq \text{pSp } T^*$ , we have  $W(T^*) \subset \text{co}(\text{pSp } T^*)$  and the result follows.

The following theorem is of the same kind. The spectral case when  $T$  is normal was proved by Meng [5] by a direct method.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T$  be semi-normal. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that  $W(T)$  be closed is that  $\text{ex}(\text{co Sp } T)$  lie in  $\text{pSp } T$ .*

*Proof.* The condition implies  $\text{co Sp } T \subseteq \text{co}(p\text{Sp } T)$  so  $\overline{W(T)} \subseteq W(T)$ , using Lemma 1, so the condition is sufficient. Also the condition is necessary for, if  $W(T)$  is closed,  $\text{ex } W(T) = \text{ex}(\text{co Sp } T)$ . But  $\text{ex } W(T) \subseteq p\text{Sp } T$  (Lemma 2).

Some examples are now given to illustrate the conditions.

(i) Let  $T$  be the compact diagonal operator with diagonal elements

$$1, i, \frac{1+i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{3}, \frac{1+i}{4}, \dots$$

Here the set  $C$  of Theorem 1 consists of  $1, i, 0$  so the condition of Theorem 1 is not satisfied but the conclusion holds. This case is covered by the theorem of [1] referred to in §1. Here  $W(T)$  is not closed. Note that for compact operators normality and semi-normality are equivalent.

(ii) Let  $T_1$  be the diagonal operator with diagonal elements

$$1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots,$$

let  $T_2 = T_1 + iI$  and let  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ . Here the set  $C$  is  $\text{Sp } T$ . Also  $W(T)$  is the square having corners  $0, 1, 1+i, i$ , without the side  $[0, i]$ . Theorem 1 applies, Theorem 2 does not.

(iii) The following example shows that  $p\text{Sp } T$  dense in  $\partial \text{Sp } T$  is not sufficient for Theorem 1. Let  $T_1$  be a diagonal operator with diagonal entries on the lines

$$L_n = \left[ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} + i \right], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and including the end-points. Let  $T_2$  be Hermitian with  $W(T_2) = (0, 1)$  and let  $T = T_1 \oplus iT_2$ . Then  $W(T)$  is the square having corners  $0, 1, 1+i, i$  but with the corners  $0, i$  removed. So  $W(T) \neq \text{co}(p\text{Sp } T)$  but  $p\text{Sp } T$  is dense in  $\partial \text{Sp } T = \text{Sp } T$ .

I would like to acknowledge the helpful suggestions of Mary Embry-Wardrop.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. de Barra, J. R. Giles and B. Sims, On the numerical range of operators, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **5** (1972), 704–706.
2. M. R. Embry, The numerical range of an operator, *Pacific J. Math.* **32** (1970), 647–650.
3. M. R. Embry, Orthogonality and the numerical range, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **27** (3) (1975), 405–411.
4. C. R. MacCluer, On extreme points of the numerical range of normal operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 1183–1184.
5. Ching-Hwa Meng, A condition that a normal operator have a closed numerical range, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 85–88.
6. C. R. Putnam, On the spectra of semi-normal operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119** (1965), 509–523.
7. J. G. Stampfli, Hyponormal operators, *Pacific J. Math.* **12** (1962), 1453–1458.
8. J. G. Stampfli, Hyponormal operators and spectral density, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117** (1965), 469–476.

9. J. G. Stampfli, Extreme points of the numerical range of a hyponormal operator, *Michigan Math. J.* **13** (1966). 87–89.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
ROYAL HOLLOWAY COLLEGE  
EGHAM  
SURREY, U.K.