Recent studies have demonstrated that central neuronal hyperexcitability has an important role in migraine pathogenesis. Hyperexcitability of occipital cortex has been proposed to be responsible for the elaboration of migraine attacks and visual aura. Motor symptoms in some migraine subtypes have also drawn attention to the hyperexcitability of motor cortex.

Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) is a non-invasive tool to investigate physiology and excitability of both motor- and occipital cortices. The changes in motor threshold, latency and amplitude of motor evoked potential, central motor conduction time and cortical silent period were measured by stimulation of the motor cortex by using 13.5 cm circular coil and recording from abductor digiti minimi muscle. Additionally, phosphene production and the threshold of phosphene production was determined by stimulation of the visual cortex with the same coil. No significant differences were observed between the groups with respect to the motor thresholds, Motor evoked potential max/compound muscle action potential max (MEPmax/Mmax) amplitudes, central motor conduction times and duration of cortical silent period. Although not statistically significant, the proportion of the migraineurs with phosphene generation (90%) was found to be higher than that of normal controls (71%). Phosphene threshold levels in migraine patients, however, were significantly lower than those of the controls with MwA patients having the lowest levels. Our findings indicate that the occipital cortex, but not the motor cortex, is hyperexcitable in migraine patients.

RÉSUMÉ: Excitabilité du cortex moteur et du cortex occipital chez les patients migraineux. Objectif: Nous avons évalué par stimulation magnétique transcrânienne l’excitabilité du cortex moteur et du cortex occipital chez des patients migraineux. Méthodes: Nous avons étudié entre les crises 15 patients ayant une migraine avec aura (MaA), 15 patients migraineux sans aura (MsA) et 31 témoins en bonne santé. Les seuils moteurs au repos, les amplitudes des potentiels évoqués moteurs (PÉM), le temps de conduction moteur central et la période de silence cortical ont été mesurés par stimulation du cortex moteur au moyen d’une spirale circulaire de 13,5 cm et enregistrement au niveau du muscle abducteur du petit doigt. De plus, la production de phénomènes et le seuil de production de phénomènes ont été déterminés par stimulation du cortex visuel avec la même spirale. Résultats: Nous n’avons observé aucune différence significative entre les groupes quant aux seuils moteurs, aux amplitudes PÉMmax/Mmax, aux temps de conductions motrices centrales et à la durée des périodes de silence cortical. Bien que ce ne soit pas significatif au point de vue statistique, la proportion de migraineurs qui ont eu des phénomènes (90%) était plus élevée que celle des témoins normaux (71%). Le niveau seuil de phénomènes chez les migraineurs était cependant significativement plus bas que celui des témoins et les MaA avaient le seuil le plus bas. Conclusion: Nos observations indiquent que le cortex occipital est hyperexcitable chez les migraineux, mais que le cortex moteur ne l’est pas.
after visual cortex stimulation gives opportunity to assess the excitability of the occipital cortex.\textsuperscript{5,6} Limitations in previous studies have included small numbers of patients, stimulation of only motor or occipital cortex and other technical issues. In this study, we aimed to investigate the excitability of both motor- and occipital cortices in migraine patients with (MwA)- and without aura (MwoA) by using TMS and to compare the results with those of healthy controls.

METHODS

Subjects
Fifteen patients with MwA (14 females, one male; mean age: 33.9±5.9 years; range: 26-46) and 15 patients with MwoA (12 females, three males; mean age: 33.0 ± 4.3; range: 27-45) were compared to 30 healthy controls (26 females, four males; mean age: 33.0±4.9; range: 26-45) gathered from members of the hospital staff. Migraine diagnosis was established according to the criteria of the International Headache Society.\textsuperscript{25} The duration of disease was 11.6±8.2 and 8.2±6.4 years, the attack frequency was 2.6±1.6 and 3.5±1.7 per month, the duration of the pain was 28.4±12.2 and 25.2±14.3 hours per attack, the verbal analog scale (from 0 to 4) was 3.5±0.5 and 3.4±0.6, and the visual analog scale (from 0 to 10) was 8.67±1.0 and 8.53±1.3 respectively in MwA and MwoA patients. All subjects had normal neurological examination before and at the time of the study. The study was performed in the interictal period with a headache-free period of at least one week prior to the study. All migraine patients had no attacks for the three days after the recording. None of the patients was taking prophylactic therapy, nor they were using any drug that could be responsible for changing central nervous system excitability, such as sedatives, hypnotics, anticonvulsants or beta blockers. The patients were allowed to take analgesics if necessary, for a period of six weeks except 48 hours before the study. The examiners were blinded to whether the patients had aura during the attacks or not. The study protocol was approved by the local ethical committee and a written consent form was obtained from all participants.

Experimental Procedures and Recordings
Subjects were seated in a semi-darkened, silent room. Magnetic stimulations were performed with a Magstim200 stimulator using a 135 mm circular coil. The coil was centered at the vertex. The direction of the current was clockwise to stimulate the right hemisphere, and anticlockwise to stimulate the left hemisphere. Motor evoked potential (MEP) responses were acquired with a Medelec Saphire 4ME device. Electromyogram (EMG) recordings were made from right- and left abductor digit minimi (ADM) muscle. The active electrode was placed on the muscle belly and the reference on the fifth metacarpophalangeal joint. Firstly, the ulnar nerve was stimulated at the wrist on both sides using an electrical stimulator and the amplitude of the resulting compound muscle action potential (CMAP) was measured. The motor threshold was defined as the stimulus intensity at which a peak-to-peak MEP amplitude of 50 \textmu V was obtained in at least three of six consecutive trials. For MEP studies, 120\% of the motor threshold was used as the stimulus intensity. The response with shortest latency and highest amplitude obtained during six consecutive stimuli at maximal stimulator output on both sides at rest was considered as MEPmax. In order to avoid possible amplitude differences between sides due to asymmetrical positioning of the electrodes, the MEPmax/CMAPmax ratio was calculated. For calculating central motor conduction time (CMCT), the latency of MEP elicited by stimulation over the spinous process of the 7th cervical vertebra was subtracted from the cortical latency.\textsuperscript{21-25}

The cortical silent period (CSP) was studied by stimulating the left motor cortex along with recordings from the right ADM muscle during slight contraction of approximately 30\% maximum voluntary contraction as determined by audio-visual feedback. Maximum stimulus intensity of the stimulator was used. A series of six stimuli was given and the shortest CSP value was selected for evaluation.

During the occipital cortex study, subjects were seated in the same room with eyes closed (wearing a black mask) and the center of the magnetic coil was held against the occipital scalp in the midline, 7 cm superior to the inion. To avoid bias, subjects were not informed about expectations but asked to report all sensations they experienced. Stimulus intensity was increased by steps of 10\% until a visual phenomena was obtained or the 100\% stimulus intensity was reached. As soon as a phosphene production (bright scintillations in the subject’s visual field) was reported by the subjects, stimulus intensity was fine-tuned to determine the thresholds for phosphenes. In patients without phosphene production at the 100\% level of stimulation, the stimulator was moved in 1 cm steps in all directions, and the same procedure was repeated as mentioned above.

Statistics
Analysis of the demographic data in migraine patients and their comparisons with controls was performed by using Student's t-test, chi-square test and Mann Whitney-U test. One-way analysis of variance was used to search for comparisons between MwA patients, MwoA patients and controls. Post hoc analysis was used for comparisons between MwA/MwoA, MwA/controls and MwoA/controls. Both Spearman's and Pearson's correlation tests were used for correlations.

RESULTS
There was no significant difference between the mean age of MwA, MwoA patients and controls. Duration of the disease, attack frequency, attack duration, verbal and visual analog scale was not found to be significantly different in MwA and MwoA patients.

No significant differences between groups were found in respect to the right- and left motor threshold, cortical MEP latencies and amplitudes, MEPmax/CMAPmax ratio and CMCT. There were also no statistically significant inter-side differences in any measurements. The duration of the CSP was also similar in three groups (Table I).

The phosphene production of all the migraine patients (90\%) compared with the controls (71\%), had no significant difference. During occipital cortex stimulation, 93.3\% of the MwA patients, 86.7\% of MwoA patients and 71\% of controls reported phosphenes and there was also no difference between the groups. The mean threshold for eliciting phosphenes was significantly
indicating a motor cortex hyperexcitability in migraine patients. Another two studies, however, showed no interictal changes of excitability of the motor cortex in migraine.

In our study performed during the interictal period, we did not find any significant difference in either MwA and MwoA patients compared with controls, in respect to the motor threshold, cortical MEP latencies and amplitudes, MEPmax/CMAPmax ratio and CMCT. Similarly, no interhemispheric difference between these parameters was noted. Our results support the studies\textsuperscript{10,16} indicating that there is no hyperexcitability of motor cortex in migraine patients during interictal period.

Cortical silent periods are induced by stimulation of the motor cortex and represent an inhibitory phenomenon.\textsuperscript{24,25} It has been postulated that hyperexcitability of the motor cortex observed in some migraine patients might partly be explained by an impairment of inhibitory mechanisms. Therefore, CSP studies have also been performed in migraine patients but the results are controversial.

Such controversial results reported may be due to methodological differences (recordings during slight contraction or at rest, using different stimulus intensity etc.). Three different studies showed that the duration of CSP in migraine patients did not significantly differ from that of controls.\textsuperscript{5,10,16} On the other hand, using low intensity magnetic stimulation, Aurora et al\textsuperscript{8} found shortened CSP in MwA patients; but at maximal intensity levels of stimuli, this difference disappeared. In the light of these findings they suggested that there might be a dysfunction of GABAergic inhibitory interneurons depending on the level of stimulus intensity. There are many studies in the literature reporting abnormalities observed only at high- or low stimulus intensities. Additionally, paired pulse paradigms are needed to examine different inhibitory and excitatory circuits in the motor cortex. Our results support three prior studies showing no difference in CSP durations between MwA and MwoA patients and controls. However, it should be taken into consideration that neither low stimulus intensities nor paired pulse paradigms were used in this study. Therefore, we might have missed some possible changes by using only maximum stimulus intensity.

The hyperexcitability of the occipital cortex has been considered as the basis for spontaneous or triggered visual aura.\textsuperscript{6,9} Transcranial magnetic stimulation, is therefore, another useful and non-invasive method to assess the hyperexcitability of
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lower in migraine patients (46.2±18.8) than that of controls (72.5±12.4; p<0.001). MwA patients (35.6±11.9), MwoA patients (57.8±18.2) and controls (72.5±12.4) compared together the mean threshold of phosphene production was found significantly different (p<0.001). By using post hoc analysis test, we found that the threshold of phosphene production was lower in MwA patients than in both MwoA patients and controls (p<0.001 and p<0.001). Similarly, it was lower in MwoA patients than in controls (p: 0.008).

Motor threshold, MEP amplitude, CSP and the mean threshold of phosphene production was not correlated with disease duration, attack frequency, attack duration, verbal and visual analog scale (r<0.25 for all parameters).

**DISCUSSION**

Migraine pathogenesis is not completely understood. It has been speculated that increased cortical excitability might be responsible. Several factors such as a deficit in mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, calcium-channel dysfunction, intracellular magnesium deficiency or a combination thereof may lead to neuronal hyperexcitability.\textsuperscript{11,27-33} Transcranial magnetic stimulation is a noninvasive method to assess cortical hyperexcitability.\textsuperscript{11,21-25}

The results of TMS studies in migraine patients are controversial. There are studies supporting the presence of cortical hyperexcitability represented by higher motor threshold values.\textsuperscript{1,2,5} On the other hand, van der Kamp et al\textsuperscript{4,4} reported increased MEP/CMAP amplitude ratios and MEP amplitudes
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the occipital cortex by eliciting the perception of phosphenes.\textsuperscript{6,9,11,34} The threshold for phosphene production can be used for evaluating visual cortex excitability.

To our knowledge, most TMS studies, with exception of a few, in the literature, showed that migraine patients, especially those with aura, had higher prevalence and lower threshold for phosphene production.\textsuperscript{5,35} In three different studies, Aurora et al\textsuperscript{6,7,9,18} found higher prevalence and lower threshold of phosphene elicitation in MwA or in both MwA and MwoA patients, respectively. They suggested that these findings could be considered as a direct neurophysiologic evidence for enhanced hyperexcitability of the occipital cortex in migraine patients.

Mulleners et al\textsuperscript{11} found no significant difference in prevalence of phosphenes but lower did find a threshold of phosphene induction in both MwA and MwoA patients than controls. In their following study, they showed that both MwA- and MwoA patients had lower thresholds than normal controls for phosphene induction, but there was no significant difference in terms of phosphene prevalence between the groups. Although a significant reduction in headache frequency was observed, change in phosphene threshold among migraine patients was highly variable after valproate therapy.\textsuperscript{15} Delivering TMS over visual area V5, Battelli et al\textsuperscript{13} demonstrated that the threshold for phosphene induction was lower in migraine patients and thus, hyperexcitability of the visual cortex extended beyond visual area V1. Using low frequency repetitive TMS (rTMS), Brighina et al\textsuperscript{14} also reported hyperexcitability of visual cortex in migraineurs. In another study with rTMS in MwA patients, a failure of inhibitory circuits also involving the extrastriate cortex was reported.\textsuperscript{19}

A study by Afra et al\textsuperscript{5} showing occipital hyperexcitability rather than hyperexcitability in MwA patients was later criticized by Aurora and Welch\textsuperscript{46} In their letter they suggested that this controversy might be explained by different methods used in different studies (i.e. type of stimulator, size of coil, intensity of the stimulus). Similarly, Bohotin et al\textsuperscript{35} found higher phosphene threshold in both MwA and MwoA patients, and considered this finding as evidence of hyperexcitability of the visual cortex during the interictal period. A different (eight shaped) stimulator they used may have played a role in these results.

Although not statistically significant, the prevalence of phosphene production was found to be higher in both Mwa and MwoA in our study. The size of coil we used may have played a role in this result. On the other hand, the threshold of phosphene production was significantly lower in MwA patients than those of both MwoA patients and controls. Our results are in concordance with other studies suggesting an occipital cortex hyperexcitability—rather than motor cortex hyperexcitability—in migraine patients.
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