Malnutrition in free-living elderly in rural south India: prevalence and risk factors
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Abstract

Objective: To estimate the prevalence of malnutrition among free-living elderly in a rural population of south India.

Design: Cross-sectional study. Nutritional status was assessed using the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) questionnaire, which is an eighteen-item nutritional screening instrument used in the elderly.

Setting: Kaniyambadi block, a rural development block in the state of Tamil Nadu, south India.

Subjects: Community-dwelling elderly (aged 60 years and above).

Results: As evaluated by the MNA, 14% of the 227 subjects were malnourished and 49% were at risk of malnourishment. No significant difference was found between men and women. The majority of the elderly were living with their children, had no income and consumed three meals per day. Older age (P < 0.001), decreased food intake (P < 0.001) and consuming fewer meals (P < 0.001) were independently associated with lower MNA scores.

Conclusions: More than 60% of the subjects had low MNA scores (≤ 23.5) indicating that deficient protein–energy intake is common among rural elderly of south India and requires more attention.
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The WHO has predicted that ageing populations will present new challenges to health care. The health of the elderly will be an important issue defining the health status of a population (1). As the number of elderly increases, so too will their health needs. Multi-morbidity associated with increasing age is common and is found to be more frequent in resource-poor countries (2). It is therefore required that health policy addresses this subgroup of the population as well (1). In India, the elderly (aged 60 years and above) constitute 7.7% of the total population of 1.04 billion and this number is increasing (3). The majority of the elderly population lives in rural India (4). With national health policy focusing on maternal health, child health and communicable diseases, the health status of the elderly has not been given due consideration (5). Since nutrition of the elderly affects immunity (6) and functional ability (7,8), it is an important component of elderly care that warrants further attention.

The magnitude of malnutrition among the elderly in India is under-reported. The few studies that have been done show that more than 50% of the older population is underweight (9) and more than 90% has an energy intake below the recommended allowance (10). However, only one study has been done in India using a malnutrition screening tool (11). The use of malnutrition screening tools for community-dwelling elderly has helped to accurately identify those with low nutritional status.

There is no gold standard for estimating malnutrition among the elderly. We used the Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA) questionnaire to estimate the magnitude of malnutrition. It is an eighteen-item validated nutritional screening instrument (12,13) that has a sensitivity of 54–90% when compared with a detailed nutritional assessment (14). It is simple and non-invasive, which facilitates its use in the community (12). It correlates well with biochemical markers of malnutrition (15) and is able to detect subjects at risk of malnutrition before significant changes occur in weight or serum albumin (12). Using the MNA score subjects are categorised as malnourished (<17), at risk of malnourishment (17–23.5) or well nourished (>23.5).

Studies that have been done in India and other developing countries using this questionnaire have found it to be useful and accurate (11,16). We aimed to estimate the prevalence of malnutrition among free-living elderly in a rural south Indian setting using the MNA and to look for associated risk factors.

Methods

Setting

The study was conducted in Kaniyambadi block in the state of Tamil Nadu, south India. Kaniyambadi block is a rural development block covering an area of 127.4 km².
with a population of 104,832, and is served by the Community Health Department. The elderly population (aged 60 years or more) constitutes 10.9% of the total population and 55.5% of the elderly are women (unpublished data as of 1 January 2007). The block consists of eighty-seven villages and the predominant occupation is farming. Health care is provided by a combination of government-run primary health centres and private clinics and hospitals. There are no nursing homes that cater to the elderly in particular; all healthy elderly live at home.

Sampling
A two-stage sampling technique was used. Each village was taken as a cluster of older persons, and eight villages were selected by probability proportional to size based on the cumulative population of all villages. Subjects in each village were chosen by door-to-door visits after starting at a random household.

Subjects aged 60 years or more, who had lived in the village for the past 6 months, were included in the study. A minimum of twenty-seven subjects were taken from each village. Elderly with a known diagnosis of malignancy or those who could not stand unsupported due to debility were excluded.

Assessment
The questionnaire was administered to the participants by the authors (A.V., V.S. and N.V.R.) after verbal informed consent. The questionnaire included sociodemographic details of the participants, the complete MNA and questions regarding previous diagnosis of diabetes and hypertension, smoking, alcohol consumption, source of income and source of food. Responses were accepted from close family members of the same household if subjects could not respond accurately as a result of cognitive impairment.

Statistical analyses
The data were collected in November and December 2008. Analyses were performed using Epi-Info version 6 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA) and SPSS Statistics17·0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software packages. Descriptive analyses were used to estimate the prevalence of malnutrition and to present other socio-economic details. To identify possible risk factors associated with poor nutritional status, univariate linear regression analysis was performed with each factor and the total MNA score. Those factors that were found to be associated with the MNA score \( P < 0.15 \) were included in the multivariate regression model to identify their independent effect. Age and sex were included in the final model. Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \) in the final analysis.

Results
We collected data from 227 elderly subjects, with almost equal representation from each village. Median age was 68 years, and there were more women than men. Mean heights and weights were greater among men compared with the women (see Table 1).

The MNA classified 14% as malnourished and 49% at risk of malnourishment. Using BMI as the only indicator, 59% were in the normal range and 32% were found to be underweight. Mean MNA score was identical for both men and women. Poor nutritional status (MNA score <23·5) was seen almost equally between men and women (see Table 1). Sixty-four per cent of the elderly consumed three meals daily, 35% had two meals per day and consumption patterns varied with nutritional status (Fig. 1).

Smoking and alcohol consumption were seen only among men, at 15% and 6%, respectively. Previous diagnosis of diabetes or hypertension was seen in 6% and 9% of the elderly, respectively. The majority of the elderly had never been screened for either condition.

Most of the elderly were living with their children (66%), while 21% were living with another family member, often the spouse or sibling. Meals were cooked

### Table 1 Sociodemographic profile, anthropometric characteristics and MNA outcomes of a sample of rural elderly from south India

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men (n 82)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Women (n 145)</th>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n 227)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean or %</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean or %</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean or %</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sociodemographic profile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td>36·1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>63·9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>100·0</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>72·05</td>
<td>9·77</td>
<td>68·87</td>
<td>8·13</td>
<td>70·02</td>
<td>8·87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of schooling</td>
<td>3·18</td>
<td>2·97</td>
<td>0·32</td>
<td>1·37</td>
<td>1·36</td>
<td>2·50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropometric characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1·62</td>
<td>0·06</td>
<td>1·48</td>
<td>0·06</td>
<td>1·52</td>
<td>0·09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>50·94</td>
<td>9·27</td>
<td>45·78</td>
<td>8·98</td>
<td>47·64</td>
<td>9·36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>19·51</td>
<td>3·32</td>
<td>20·95</td>
<td>3·70</td>
<td>20·43</td>
<td>3·62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNA outcomes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNA score</td>
<td>22·18</td>
<td>3·98</td>
<td>22·17</td>
<td>3·57</td>
<td>22·13</td>
<td>3·71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At risk of malnourishment (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malnourished (%)</td>
<td>47·6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>50·3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>49·3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well nourished (%)</td>
<td>12·4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13·7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13·7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MNA, Mini Nutritional Assessment.
* MNA score: <17, malnourished; 17–23·5, at risk of malnourishment; >23·5, well nourished.
by the elderly themselves (51%) or their children (46%). The remaining 3% obtained their food from neighbours or siblings living in other households.

Forty-nine per cent of the subjects had no income, while 29% received a pension (military or government-sponsored) and 22% were earning (daily wages or monthly salary). Twenty per cent of the elderly received a government-sponsored old-age pension (400 Rs/month; US$ 1548 Rs during the study period). Retired military personnel or their spouses (8.3%) received a military pension (2000–5000 Rs/month). One hundred and ninety-nine of the total 227 subjects were able to report the household expenditure on food. Mean per capita daily expenditure was 16.67 Rs.

Possible factors associated with MNA scores (P < 0.15) identified by univariate linear regression included age, years of schooling, number of meals per day, decline in food intake, earning a living, no income and living alone (see Table 2). Multivariate regression analysis, adjusted for age and sex, was performed with these factors using a stepwise approach. This showed that the independent factors associated with lower MNA score were increasing age (β = 0.312, P < 0.001), decline in food intake (β = 0.380, P < 0.001) and fewer meals (β = 0.302, P < 0.001). Factors such as living alone, absence of income and years of schooling were not found to be significantly associated with lower MNA score.

**Discussion**

Previous studies in south India have shown a high prevalence of malnutrition among the elderly(9,10). The only study conducted using the MNA questionnaire in India was done in western Rajasthan, and showed a higher prevalence of malnourishment and risk of malnourishment among the rural elderly compared with the urban elderly (11% and 62% v. 2% and 36%, respectively)(11).
We found a similar prevalence of malnutrition among our population compared with the rural population of western Rajasthan (14% vs. 11%). However, we had a smaller proportion of the population at risk of malnutrition (49% vs. 62%). Compared with the results from a large study among rural elderly of Bangladesh, our population shows lower rates of malnutrition.

In comparison to studies among community-dwelling or home-care elderly in developed countries, our study showed a similar prevalence of risk of malnutrition but higher rates of overt malnutrition. A large study done in Spain showed lower rates of malnutrition and risk of malnutrition (4±3% and 25±4%, respectively) than our study.

The MNA uses a higher BMI cut-off (19 kg/m²) than that recommended by the WHO (18.5 kg/m²). Analysis of the MNA data with this lower cut-off showed a decrease in the prevalence of malnutrition and risk of malnutrition (to 11.9% and 48.9%, respectively) as compared with the original MNA (14% and 49%, respectively). Although we chose to use the MNA without any modifications, the cut-off values for BMI and malnutrition differ between populations and hence our results should be interpreted accordingly. Other studies have modified the MNA for use in their respective populations, however, without validation of the same. Previous studies in south India have shown a high prevalence of undernutrition among the elderly, suggesting that our estimate appears close to the true value. However, we feel that the use of a modified MNA specific for our population would give more accurate results.

Our results showed more elderly at risk of malnutrition than actually malnourished. This finding has been seen among community-dwelling elderly from India and other parts of the world. This is primarily due to the fact that the MNA is better at identifying those at risk of malnourishment among healthy elderly in the community. More importantly, this emphasises the fact that among the elderly, there is high prevalence of deficient protein-energy intake without obvious malnutrition.

Older age was associated with lower MNA scores in our population. This finding has been shown in some previous studies, while others have shown that age has no effect on nutritional status. We observed that the older subjects were less active and often reported reduced appetite and decreased food intake. It is apparent that increased focus on nutritional status is required as the age of the elderly increases.

Our analysis showed that lower MNA scores were associated with those subjects who had fewer than three meals per day. A similar finding was reported in Bangladesh, where most of the elderly who were malnourished or at risk of malnourishment consumed only two meals daily. Other studies involving community-dwelling elderly have shown that chewing problems and difficulty preparing or eating full meals contribute to poor nutritional status. Thirty-eight per cent of elderly in our study reported moderate to severe decline in food intake. The majority of these subjects indicated that decreased appetite was the cause for low food intake. Decreased appetite can be attributed to physiological changes during ageing as well as medical conditions in the elderly. Geriatric depression in Kaniyambadi block was found to be associated with decreased nourishment and it may be an important factor affecting food intake in our population. In our population, lunch was the major meal of the day and dinner was often omitted. A previous study in a similar population had shown that insufficient income and inadequate knowledge of nutrient requirements were possible factors associated with low energy intake. We observed that economic pressure did influence dietary patterns to a large extent, but we did not assess knowledge or attitudes of the elderly towards nutrition.

Since our study was aimed primarily at studying the prevalence of malnutrition, identifying risk factors in greater detail would have benefited from a larger sample size. In rural India, elderly subjects often do not know their own age and so an estimate based on age at marriage, age of their children or age recorded on government-issued ration cards is used. This was not a major problem in our study but may be a significant point for future researchers targeting particular age groups among the elderly. Only two subjects could not participate in the study because they were unable to stand and this did not affect our results. However, exclusion of a sizeable number of debilitated subjects in future studies can give an incomplete picture of the nutritional status in a community, as disease is an important predictor of malnutrition among free-living elderly. We also found that subjects had difficulty stating their daily food expenditure primarily because rice, which constitutes the largest part of any meal, is bought once monthly, while vegetables and pulses were bought once or twice weekly. Also, in households where elderly lived with their children, the member of the household with knowledge of the finances was often not available for response. A detailed analysis of the diet, which was lacking in our study, would have given a more complete picture of the nutritional status. Future research in this area should include diet analysis, economics of nutrition, feasibility and effects of nutritional intervention programmes.

In conclusion, we present the first study in south India that uses the MNA to assess malnutrition in the elderly. Our results have shown that more than 60% of the rural elderly had low MNA scores. Low MNA scores were associated with older age, decreased food intake and consuming fewer meals. Considering the high prevalence of poor nutritional status in the elderly, more focus on diet and possible nutritional interventions is required.
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