

Evolutionary implications of a new transitional blastozoan echinoderm from the middle Cambrian of the Czech Republic

Elise Nardin,¹ Bertrand Lefebvre,² Oldřich Fatka,³ Martina Nohejlová,³ Libor Kašička,⁴ Miroslav Šinágl,⁵ and Michal Szabad⁶

¹Géosciences Environnement Toulouse (GET), Observatoire Midi-Pyrénées, CNRS/UPS/IRD/CNES, 14 avenue Édouard Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France (elise.nardin@get.omp.eu)

²UMR CNRS 5276 Laboratoire de Géologie, Université Lyon 1, Géode, 2 rue Raphaël Dubois, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France (bertrand.lefebvre@univ-lyon1.fr)

³Charles University, Institute of Geology and Palaeontology, Albertov 6, 128 43 Praha 2, Czech Republic (fatka@natur.cuni.cz)

(martina.nohejlova@natur.cuni.cz)

⁴Koněprusy 45, 266 01 Beroun, Czech Republic (libor.kasicka@email.cz)

⁵Osvobozeni 390, 26101 Příbram VII, Czech Republic (mira.sinagl@seznam.cz)

⁶Tisová 29, Bohutín 261 42, Czech Republic (geosz.pb@seznam.cz)

Abstract.—The primitive blastozoan *Felbabkacystis luckae* n. gen. n. sp. is described from the Drumian Jince Formation, Barrandian area (Czech Republic) from eleven fairly well-preserved specimens. Its unique body plan organization is composed of a relatively long, stalk-like imbricate structure directly connected to the aboral imbricate cup of the test and of an adoral vaulted tessellate test supporting the ambulacral and brachiolar systems. Its bipartite test, called prototheca, highlights the evolution of the body wall among blastozoans. *Felbabkacystis* n. gen. shows the combination of plesiomorphic (imbricate stalk-like appendage) and derived features (highly domed peristome, elongate epispires). The new genus is interpreted as a transitional form between calyx-bearing and theca-bearing blastozoans, and is attributed to the new family Felbabkacystidae. The lithology, the associated fauna, and the possession of a long stalk suggest that *Felbabkacystis* was probably a low-level suspension feeder living in relatively deep settings.

Introduction

The Cambrian succession of the Příbram-Jince Basin is famous for being abundantly fossiliferous. Numerous fairly wellpreserved fossils from a large number of distinct fossil groups have been collected in the Cambrian Series 3 (latest Drumian) Jince Formation of the Příbram-Jince Basin (Barrandian area) for more than 230 years. During that time, those fossils have been intensively studied and include the primary producers (e.g., acritarchs and prasinophytes), different primary and higher consumers (like agnostids, brachiopods, echinoderms, foraminiferans, hyoliths, trilobites), and traces of their life activities (see Fatka et al., 2004 and references therein). Echinoderms are highly diverse in the Jince Formation (Fig. 1), being represented by numerous species including at least one lepidocystoid (Vyscystis ubaghsi Fatka and Kordule, 1990), five eocrinoids (Acanthocystites briareus Barrande, 1887; Akadocrinus jani Prokop, 1962; A. knizeki Fatka and Kordule, 1991; Lichenoides priscus Barrande, 1846, and L. vadosus Parsley and Prokop, 2004), two (?)rhombiferans (Dibrachiacystidae gen. indet. sp. indet., Vizcainoia sp.), one cinctan (Asturicystis havliceki Fatka and Kordule, 2001), three ctenocystoids (Etoctenocystis bohemica Fatka and Kordule, 1985; Ctenocystoidea gen. indet. sp. indet.), and one edrioasteroid (*Stromatocystites pentangularis* Pompeckj, 1896 and *S. flexibilis* Parsley and Prokop, 2004), in addition to one stylophoran (*Ceratocystis perneri* Jaekel, 1901) and the problematic *Cigara dusli* Barrande, 1887. This assemblage is mainly endemic from this basin; only a few of these genera allow comparison with fauna elsewhere (see Lefebvre and Fatka, 2003; Zamora et al., 2013). Here, we document a remarkable new blastozoan, *Felbabkacystis* n. gen., from the Jince Formation of Czech Republic interpreted to represent the oldest record of a unique morphology showing a mixture of plesiomorphic and more derived features.

Geological setting

The Jince Formation, with a thickness of about 450 m, is predominantly composed of greywacke interbedded with mudstone to clayshale beds and locally contains subordinate sandy layers in the Příbram-Jince Basin. Fossiliferous layers are composed of relatively massive dark shales grading into finegrained shales (Fatka and Szabad, 2014). Paleoenvironmental conditions might be interpreted as relatively deep, quiet water below storm base (mid offshore) on a siliciclastic platform. Fossil content is mostly represented by fragments and isolated elements spread over large surfaces. Well-preserved specimens





Figure 1. (1) Geographical location of fossiliferous sites in the Příbram-Jince Basin. 1 = Rejkovice-Ostr Hill; 2 = Hill slope of Vinice near Jince. (2) Synthetic stratigraphic column of the Jince Formation (Stage 5–Drumian) in the Příbram-Jince Basin with the stratigraphic range of the echinoderm species. Modified from Fatka and Szabad (2014) and Fatka et al. (2015).

can occur abundantly but in restricted areas. Better-preserved echinoderm material is composed of fully articulated specimens either isolated or clustered.

All known specimens of *Felbabkacystis luckae* n. gen. n. sp. were collected in two fossil sites: (1) Rejkovice-Ostrý Hill locality (49.8207°N, 13.9593°E) (samples SZ343, SZ349, MI2, LK1-2); and (2) Jince-slope called Jince-Vinice locality (49.7844°N, 13.9930°E) (samples SZ344-347) (Fig. 1.1). Both are situated in the Jince Formation, within the lower third of the *Paradoxides (P.) paradoxissimus gracilis* trilobite Zone. The holotype SZ349 was collected about 10 m above the base of the *Paradoxides (P.) paradoxissimus gracilis* Biozone at the Jince-Vinice locality. The *Paradoxides (P.) paradoxissimus gracilis* Biozone is correlated with the middle and higher levels of the Baltic *Paradoxides (P.) paradoxissimus Biozone* Biozone (Fatka et al., 2014). This level corresponds to the Drumian, Cambrian Series 3 in the new international global stratigraphic chart (Babcock et al., 2004; Geyer and Landing, 2004; Fatka, 2006; Zamora et al., 2009; Fatka et al., 2014).

Materials

Repositories and institutional abbreviations.—Specimens of *Felbabkacystis* n. gen. and comparative materials of *Vyscystis ubaghsi* Fatka and Kordule, 1990 are deposited in the collections of the Czech Geological Survey, Prague (SZ, LK, MI) and the National Museum, Prague (NML). Comparative materials of *Lepidocystis wanneri* Foerste, 1938 and *Kinzercystis durhami* Sprinkle, 1973 are deposited in the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts (MCZ).

Systematic paleontology

Subphylum Blastozoa Sprinkle, 1973

Remarks.—The subphylum Blastozoa contains at present ten classes. Since Chauvel (1941), many authors considered that the class Eocrinoidea "includes a heterogeneous assemblage of species whose only similarity is that they lack the autapomorphic characteristics of the other, less ambiguously defined, cystoid [blastozoan] groups" (Smith, 1984, p. 439). Eocrinoids are mostly defined as basal blastozoans (brachiole-bearing echinoderms) having an irregular plated body wall (with imbricate or tessellate plating), with or without epispires, and an irregularly multiplated stalk or a holomeric stem (Ubaghs, 1968; Sprinkle, 1973; Broadhead, 1982; Paul and Smith, 1984). "The diagnoses [of the class Eocrinoidea] are so broad that they essentially define those blastozoans that are not clearly assignable, by default, to a cystoid or blastoid group" (Parsley and Zhao, 2006, p. 1063). Therefore, the class Eocrinoidea, which corresponds to a paraphyletic assemblage, is not considered here as a valid taxonomic entity, and basal-most blastozoans are not assigned to any existing class.

Family Lepidocystoidae Durham, 1968 Figure 2

Diagnosis.—'Calyx-bearing' blastozoans. Oral disc made of numerous adjacent plates, with simple sutural pores along their sutures; anal pyramid, hydropore-gonopore located in the 'CD' interradius in the oral disc. Ambulacral system confined to oral disc, consisting of a central, covered mouth, several radially arranged ambulacral grooves embedded into the oral surface, and numerous straight or coiled biserial brachioles alternately arranged alongside each ambulacral groove. Aboral cup coneshaped or elongated into a cylindrical stalk, and composed of numerous imbricate plates.



chloride. Scale bars = 5 mm.

because of the presence of both brachioles and sutural pores and the similar morphology of their attachment disc (holdfast). He diagnosed the order Imbricata on the basis of the well-marked differentiation between the tessellate plating of the oral surface and the imbricate plating of the aboral region (Sprinkle, 1973, p. 60). However, several other echinoderms exhibit a comparable combination of imbricate and tessellate platings (e.g., Camptostroma Ruedemann, 1933; several edrioasteroids, primitive solutans). The discovery of Felbabkacystis n. gen. confirms that the order Imbricata Sprinkle, 1973 was mostly described on plesiomorphic characters (e.g., imbricate plating, sutural pores). Therefore, the order Imbricata is not considered here as a valid taxonomic entity, and the family Lepidocystoidae, with a diagnosis emended from Durham (1968), is retained as a basal blastozoan family. Lepidocystoids possess one single apomorphy of the subphylum (presence of brachioles), but they lack all other apomorphies present in more advanced taxa (e.g., theca, spout-like oral area, holomeric stem). The particular morphology of the lepidocystoids recalls the bipartite body-wall organization (disc-like oral surface and elongate aboral cup; Fig. 2) also occurring in other primitive echinoderms (camptostromatoids, basal crinoids, edrioasteroids, etc.). The body wall of the lepidocystoids is therefore called here a 'calyx' by comparison to the structure observed in many other basal echinoderms (see Nardin et al., 2009 for further discussion).

The family Lepidocystoidae contains the three closely related genera *Lepidocystis* and *Kinzercystis* (both from the Cambrian Stage 4 Kinzers Formation of Pennsylvania, USA) and *Vyscystis* Fatka and Kordule, 1990 (from the Drumian Jince Formation of Czech Republic).

Family Felbabkacystidae new family

Type genus.—Felbabkacystis new genus

Diagnosis.—As for type species by monotypy.

Remarks.—The presence of brachioles supports the assignment of felbabkacystids to the subphylum Blastozoa. The combination of plesiomorphic (e.g., imbricate plating, sutural pores) and derived characters (vaulted oral surface, tessellate region extended beyond the body wall edge, elongate epispires, lateral location of the periproct) is not present among members of the family Lepidocystoidae (Fig. 2). It therefore justifies the erection of a new family, which occupies a relatively basal position within blastozoans (see the following for an extended explanation). Felbabkacystids differ from lepidocystoids by: (1) the higher ratio between tessellate/imbricate regions of the body wall, associated with the overgrowth of the tessellate region not only restricted to the oral disc; and (2) the strongly indented shape of the plates, the length of the epispires, and the location of the periproct high in the lateral tessellate area (Figs. 3, 4). They share with lepidocystoids the presence of an elongate aboral region (cup and stalk) made of imbricate elements.

> Genus *Felbabkacystis* new genus Figures 3–5

Type species.—Felbabkacystis luckae n. gen. n. sp.



Lepidocystoidae Durham, 1968. (1) Holotype MCZ 581 of Kinzercystis

durhami Sprinkle, 1973 (Kinzers Formation, Pennsylvania) showing the circular oral disc, composed of large adjacent plates bearing roundish epispires and bearing the periproct laterally, overlapping the conical aboral cup; (2) plesiotype MCZ 588A of *Lepidocystis wanneri* Foerste, 1938 (Kinzers

Formation, Pennsylvania) showing narrow oral surface composed of small

platelets bearing small epispires and damaged periproct, few brachioles attached to the ambulacra; (3, 4) specimens of *Vyscystis ubaghsi* Fatka and Kordule, 1990 for comparison (Jince Formation, Příbram-Jince Basin, Czech

Republic); (3) partial small specimen NML28665 showing long imbricate

plates and adjacent plates pierced by small roundish epispires, embedded

ambulacral flooring plates bearing brachiole facets; (4) holotype NML 28664, showing disarticulated imbricate plates and epispire-bearing adjacent plates

with five coiled brachioles. Latex casts have been whitened with ammonium

Remarks.—This family, based on the genus Lepidocystis

Foerste, 1938, was initially assigned to an independent class

(Lepidocystoidea Durham, 1968). Durham (1968) differentiated

lepidocystoids from eocrinoids on three main features: (1) the

restriction of the epispires-bearing surface to the oral surface,

(2) the imbricate plating on the aboral region, and (3) the circlet

of 'free arms' (brachioles) on the oral surface and their mode

of attachment. With the description of a second genus

(Kinzercystis Sprinkle, 1973), Sprinkle (1973) suggested that

these differences were not supported by the new discoveries and



Figure 3. (1.1, 2.1, 3.1) Photographs of latex casts whitened with ammonium chloride and (1.2, 2.2, 3.2) the corresponding camera lucida drawings of selected specimens of *Felbabkacystis luckae* n. gen. n. sp. (Jince Formation, Příbram-Jince Basin, Czech Republic). (1) Internal view of a selected paratype on the slab SZ343 showing slightly disarticulated brachioles at the top of the narrow vaulted tessellate region, and the anal structure; (2) internal view of the holotype SZ349 showing straight brachioles grouped on flooring plates in the narrow oral zone; (3) external view of the paratype MI2 showing the clear transition between the tessellate and imbricate parts of the body wall and the long aboral appendage with imbricate plating. Scale bars = 5 mm.

Diagnosis.—As for type species by monotypy.

Etymology.—From the village Felbabka in Czech Republic (type locality).

Felbabkacystis luckae new species Figures 3–5

- 2004 n. gen. n. sp., Fatka et al., p. 379.
- 2015 Undescribed transitional form, n. gen., n. sp., Lefebvre et al., p. 89, fig. 1B.
- 2015 Imbricate eocrinoid, Nohejlová and Fatka, p. 119, fig. 2D

Holotype.—SZ349, complete body wall and articulated brachioles.



Figure 4. (1-5) Photographs of selected specimens of *Felbabkacystis luckae* n. gen. n. sp. (Jince Formation, Příbram-Jince Basin, Czech Republic). (1) Paratype slab SZ343 showing aggregated specimens showing articulated thecae bearing brachioles and/or proximal stalk; (2) partially preserved paratype SZ347 associated with one autochthonous ctenocystoid echinoderm; (3) badly preserved paratype SZ346 associated with one large specimen of *Lichenoides priscus* Barrande, 1846; (4) partial specimen LK1 showing the transition between the aboral imbricate and the adoral tessellate regions of the body wall, possible peristonial organization at the top with few disarticulated brachioles; (5) disarticulated specimen of *Vyscystis ubaghsi* Fatka and Kordule, 1990, showing small polygonal plates forming the oral surface and bearing small roundish epispires, crushed on the aboral imbricate body wall. Scale bars = 5 mm.

Diagnosis.—Blastozoans with a cylindrical to fusiform body wall. Part of the lateral body wall, made of numerous adjacent plates, with large elliptic simple sutural pores along their sutures. Ambulacral system confined to body-wall summit, consisting of a central mouth, several radially arranged ambulacral grooves embedded into the oral surface, and straight biserial brachioles, each mounted on two ambulacral flooring plates. Aboral region exclusively composed of numerous imbricate plates, overlapping adorally; proximal part (cup) forming the aboral part of the body wall; distal part (stalk-like extension of the cup) elongate and forming a relatively long and narrow, cylindrical attachment structure.

Occurrence.—Jince Formation, Drumian; slope called Jince-Vinice locality, Czech Republic.

Description.—Skeleton of *Felbabkacystis luckae* n. sp. consisting of large fusiform bipartite body wall with both tessellate

(upper) and imbricate (lower) plated regions, long cylindrical stalk forming its aboral end, and oral surface bearing both ambulacral system and brachioles (Figs. 3–5).

Stalk-like body-wall extension relatively long (>66 mm in length) and cylindrical $(1.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ mm in diameter})$; at least three times the length of the body capsule (Fig. 3.3). Plates being adorally imbricated, ~40% of their height exposed; not ornamented, as long as wide, but shorter than plates of the tessellate part. Transition between stalk and imbricate part of the body wall marked by diameter and plate size increase. No attachment disc or holdfast observed at the distal end of best-preserved stalk, as well as in other specimens.

Body capsule cylindrical to fusiform, height around three times width; its length varying from 14 mm to 32 mm, and its width from 4 mm to 10 mm; divided into two distinct aboral, imbricate, and adoral, tessellate regions. Aboral part of the test



Figure 5. (1, 2) Photographs of two specimens of *Felbabkacystis luckae* n. gen. n. sp. (Jince Formation, Příbram-Jince Basin, Czech Republic). (1) Internal view showing the location of the periproct in the lateral body wall in the paratype SZ343; (2) details on the subvective system restricted in the narrow oral area of the holotype SZ349. (3) Enlargement on the oral surface of the small specimen NML28665 of *Vyscystis ubaghsi* Fatka and Kordule, 1990 (Jince Formation, Příbram-Jince Basin, Czech Republic) showing the periproct border laterally to the oral disc and the relatively long embedded ambulacrum bearing brachiole facets. Colors indicate the different skeleton regions according to the extraxial-axial theory framework (Mooi and David, 1998; Nardin et al., 2009; Lefebvre et al., 2015). Latex casts have been whitened with ammonium chloride. Scale bars = 1 mm.

conical, expanding in diameter from the stalk to its lower part (Figs. 3.2, 3.3, 4.2); width regularly increasing from the base to the upper part of the test. Adoral tessellate part (L = 8.2-22.2 mm, W = 5.8-8.1 mm) ~1.8 times length and ~1.3 times width of imbricate one (L = 5.8-10.0 mm, W = 4.5-6.7 mm). All specimens showing approximately the same proportions for the two regions. Imbricate part of the test with length 1.5 times width, composed of numerous, scalar plates, slightly overlapping adorally (about 60% exposed), small and squamous, longer than high, thin (0.08 mm on average), constant in size from the base to the top of that part; organized in circlets (Fig. 3.3); slightly domed, without any respiratory structures, smooth on their exterior and interior surfaces. Connection between the two parts of the body wall consisting of one or two circlets of straightened-up imbricate-type plates without epispires (Figs. 3.2, 4.4). Tessellate part of the body wall region with length 1.5 to 2.1 times width, constituted by numerous large adjacent plates, polygonal in shape, possibly organized into poorly defined circlets aborally and with no apparent organization in the oral region (Figs. 4.3, 5.1, 5.2). Interior surface of the plates smooth; exterior surface slightly granular. Adjacent plates twice as thick as imbricate ones (0.15 mm), flat to slightly domed, depending on the number of epispires. One to three simple epispires occurring on each plate side; deeply excavated into adjacent plates, forming elongate peripores (Fig. 4.1); elliptical and large only at the suture margins, probably uncovered. Periporal edges thin and short. Periproct opening in the first adoral third of the tessellate part of the body wall (Fig. 3.1).

Oral surface relatively narrow, composed of few adjacent plates, each bearing only a few small epispires (Fig. 3.2). Plates irregularly pentagonal to hexagonal in shape. Oral area not sufficiently well preserved to show complete ambulacral system. Straight and thin (0.7 mm in diameter) brachioles

probably originating at the border of peristomial area, at least six in number, being mounted on two large, domed plates with no epispires, interpreted as ambulacral flooring plates (Fig. 5.1, 5.2). Brachiolar plates pentagonal, unornamented, domed, and alternating in a biserial pattern. Few plates covering brachiolar food grooves proximally observed; their presence over the entire length of the brachioles being highly probable, due to the presence of two slits occurring on interior edge of brachiolar plates. At present, no hydropore and gonopore observed in any specimen.

Etymology.—From Lucka, daughter of the third author.

Material.—Holotype, SZ349, complete body wall and articulated brachioles. Best paratype, MI2, complete body wall and long stalk. Other figured paratypes SZ343 (slab with several specimens), SZ346-347, SZ349, LK1, and LK2 (slab with one specimen of *Vyscystis*); five additional unfigured partial specimens, some with preserved stalk attached to the body wall and proximal parts of brachioles.

Remarks.—Felbabkacystis n. gen. differs from all lepidocystoids and gogiid eocrinoids described so far. The occurrence of the lepidocystoid *Vyscystis* in the same level and same locality as *Felbabkacystis* could question the validity of the new genus and suggest that putative differences in morphology may simply result from differences in ontogeny and/or in preservation: individuals assigned to these two taxa are sometimes found associated, on a same slab (Fig. 4.5). However, in recent years, the discovery of several new, well-preserved specimens of *Vyscystis* shows that their morphology is clearly distinct from that of *Felbabkacystis* (Table 1; Figs. 2.3, 2.4, 4.5, 5). Main differences between the two genera concern: (1) the morphology of the brachioles (straight in *F. luckae*, spiraled

	Akadocrinus	Felbabkacystis	Gogia	Lepidocystis	Lichenoides	Kinzercystis	Vyscystis
Brachioles	straight	straight	straight	straigth	straight	straight	coiled
Length of the ambulacra	short, restricted to the	probably very short, restricted	short, restricted to the	relatively long extending	long, reaching the	relatively long extending	relatively long extending
	periostomial area	to the peristomial area	periostomial area	over the oral disc	lateral circlet	over the oral disc	over the oral disc
Location of the	most likely embedded in	most likely embedded in the	embedded in the oral disc	embedded in the oral disc	no flooring plates	embedded in the oral disc	embedded in the oral disc
amumacraı noormg plates	une oral unsc	OTAL ULSC					
Branching of the ambulacral rav	isotomy	isotomy	isotomy	holotomy	heterotomy	holotomy	exotomy
Shape of the oral zone	flat	strongly vaulted	flat to slightly domed	flat	flat	flat	flat
Extension of the tessellete region	forming the entire body	forming the oral zone and part	forming the entire body	restricted to the oral disc	forming the entire	restricted to the oral disc	restricted to the oral disc
Shane of the adjacent	small irregularly	medium-sized to large	small_nolvoonal	small_nolvoonal	large subhexagonal i	medium-sized.	medium-sized and
plates	pentagonal to hexaoonal	star-shaped	mus Lou Sound	mus hou source	ange, successfully	subhexagonal	polygonal
Epispires	small, roundish to ellipsoidal	elongate and V-shaped	small and roundish	small and roundish	elongate and V-shaped	small, roundish to ellipsoidal	small and roundish
Location of the periproct	t unknown	adoral third of the lateral body wall	aboral third to half of the lateral body wall	oral disc	unknown	oral disc	oral disc
Shape of the stalk-like	sub- to holomeric	homeomorphic long peduncle	heteromorphic relatively	homeomorphic long	atrophied basal disc 1	homeomorphic long	homeomorphic cup
appendage	column		short stalk	peduncle	of platelets	peduncle	
Plating of the stalk-like appendage	tessellate	imbricate	tessellate	imbricate	tessellate	imbricate	imbricate

in V. ubaghsi); (2) the extension of ambulacra (short and restricted to the summit of the vaulted oral area in F. luckae); (3) the morphology of the oral surface (strongly vaulted in *Felbabkacystis*, almost flat in *Vyscystis*); (4) the extension of the tessellate part of the body wall (restricted to the apical part of the test in V. ubaghsi, more extensive and forming the upper two-thirds of the lateral walls in F. luckae); (5) the morphology of tessellate thecal plates (they are large, granulated, star-shaped, with incurved edges in F. luckae, but small, polygonal, smooth, and flat in V. ubaghsi); (6) the morphology of epispires (elongate and V-shaped in F. luckae, consistently small and roundish in Vyscystis at all growth stages); and (7) the extension of the imbricate, stalk-like appendage (long and cylindrical in Felbabkacystis, apparently short and wide in Vyscystis).

Felbabkacystis differs from *Lepidocystis* by having thinner brachioles, larger plates, and wider epispires in a more extended tessellate region and narrower ornamented plates in the imbricate region (Fig. 2.2; Table 1; Foerste, 1938; Sprinkle, 1973). Comparison with *Kinzercystis* shows that *Felbabkacystis* has larger epispires over a more contracted oral area, and a fusiform tessellate plating area (Fig. 2.1; Table 1; Sprinkle, 1973). *Felbabkacystis* contrasts with the coeval eocrinoids (*Akadocrinus* Prokop, 1962; *Lichenoides* Barrande, 1846) in its bipartite body wall and the imbricate plating of its aboral cup and the stalk-like appendage, and the possession of thin, large star-shaped adjacent plates (Table 1; Fig. 6). It resembles some co-occurring gogiid eocrinoids by possessing elongate elliptical epispires (as in *Lichenoides*) and short isotomous ambulacra (as in *Akadocrinus*).

The absence of identified gonopore in *Felbabkacystis* does not allow documenting the degree of maturity of observed specimens. All *Felbabkacystis* specimens are homogeneous in size and in the relative proportions of the tessellate and imbricate regions of the body wall. This suggests that all specimens were probably at similar ontogenetic stage.

Evolutionary implications

Phylogenetic results.—The peculiar morphology of *Felbabkacystis* n. gen. allows interpreting the relationships and the evolutionary history within the early blastozoan genera (lepidocystoids, coeval eocrinids, and later eocrinoids), using *Stromatocystites* Pompeckj, 1896 as an outgroup (Appendix, Supplementary Data S1). Primary homologies have been identified independently among the three morphological modules (aboral region, body wall, and feeding system; Table 1, Appendix), whereas mechanistic homologies were used to describe the organization of each module, independently from any terminological influence (David et al., 2000; Nardin et al., 2009; Zamora et al., 2012; Zamora and Rahman, 2014).

Phylogenetic analysis of the 13 characters scored for 13 taxa found nine equally parsimonious cladograms (see Appendix for further details). The majority-rule consensus of these trees places *Felbakbacystis* n. gen. near the base of the blastozoans, more derived than the lepidocystoids and as a sister-group of the two crownward clades: the gogiids and the later eocrinoids (Fig. 7). Among the blastozoans, the basal lepidocystoids are characterized by the plesiomorphic features



Figure 6. Sketch indicating the homology and relative body regions in selected Cambrian echinoderms, according to the extraxial-axial theory framework (Mooi and David, 1998; Nardin et al., 2009; Lefebvre et al., 2015).

of having both imbricate and tessellate platings on their test in addition to slender brachioles born by five embedded ambulacra and epispires at the plate margins in the tessellate region (Fig. 2, Table 1).

Felbabkacystis n. gen. is considered as a sister-taxon of the second clade (Fig. 7). It shares similarities with that of various eocrinoids (Table 1), such as: (1) the presence of an overgrown tessellate vaulted region, forming the theca in all eocrinid genera and further derived blastozoans; (2) larger adjacent plates (e.g., Sinoeocrinus Zhao et al., 1994, Trachelocrinus Ulrich, 1929); (3) shorter ambulacra embedded into the apical area (e.g., Gogia Walcott, 1917); (4) isotomous ambulacral pattern leading to the clustering of the brachioles at the edge of the oral surface (e.g., Gogia, Sinoeocrinus); and (5) elongate epispires (e.g., Lichenoides) (Sprinkle, 1973). It still retains some plesiomorphies, shared with Lepidocystis (e.g., presence of a long imbricate stalk and a relatively narrow tessellate oral surface), and with Kinzercystis and Vyscystis, (e.g., comparable size and organization of imbricate cup plates; Table 1). Felbabkacystids differ from lepidocystoids by: (1) the relative proportions of the tessellate and imbricate regions of the body wall, (2) the length and shape of the epispires and the resulting plate shape, and (3) the location of the periproct in the lateral tessellate area.

The first crownward cluster is composed of the eocrinids, except *Lyracystis*, which are only united on the heteromorphous polyplating of the aboral stalk-like appendage and the possession of a relatively organized theca (Fig. 7). The presence of numerous plesiomorphies (e.g., heteromorphous polyplated stalk, holotomous biserial long ambulacra, periproct located in the oral disc, etc.) might explain the basal position of *Lyracystis* regarding the other eocrinids. Their paraphyly is sustained by the location of the periproct and the organization of the ambulacral system, showing various degrees of derivation (e.g., convergent recumbency or erection of the ambulacra, symmetry). The association of *Ubaghsicystis* Gil Cid and Domínguez-Alonso, 2002 to this cluster is supported by the plesiomorphies of the theca. The second crownward cluster is composed of the most derived genera (*Ridersia* Jell et al., 1985, *Trachelocrinus*), in addition to the lichenoid *Lichenoides*. This clade prefigures the typical morphology of later blastozoans, with a holomeric or atrophied stem, a more regularly plated theca, heterogeneous oral surface organization, and a strong variability in the ambulacral system (Fig. 7).

Evolutionary trends.—The globular, elongate test of *Felbab-kacystis luckae* n. gen. n. sp. shows a clear differentiation into two well-separated regions (Fig. 3). The lower (aboral) region of the test without any body opening and its stalk-like extension, which contain no main body orifice or any ambulacral (axial) element, are both probably made of imperforate extraxial plates (cf. Nardin et al., 2009; Lefebvre et al., 2015). Consequently, it is very likely that these two regions, which display the same imbricate pavement and are in physical continuity with each other, are all together equivalent to the aboral cup of *Stromatocystites* and of the lepidocystoids, and to the stalk or the (developed to atrophied) column of the other blastozoans, even



Figure 7. Geological time framework of the majority-rule consensus of nine equally parsimonious trees (L = 27 steps, CI = 0.741, RI = 0.800, RC = 0.593), showing the idealized morphology of the genera included in the analysis. Bootstrap and Bremer support values are to the left and to the right side of each node, respectively. Drawings to scale. Abbreviations: Drum. = Drumian; Gu. = Guzhangian; Pai. = Paibian; Jiang. = Jiangshanian; Laws. = Lawsonian.

if some do not show an imbricate plating (Figs. 5-7). The upper two-thirds of the test of Felbabkacystis is entirely made of adjacent plates bearing respiratory structures and pierced by the main body openings (anus, mouth) (Fig. 5.1, 5.2). The oral surface of Stromatocystites and lepidocystoids, the aboral tessellate part of the felbabkacystid test, and the entire theca of the later blastozoans are interpreted as made of perforate extraxial skeleton (Figs. 5, 7) because of the presence of the primary openings (David et al., 2000; Nardin et al., 2009; Lefebvre et al., 2015). Therefore, the degree of stretching of the tessellate region in the felbabkacystids is unique within blastozoans. The test, called prototheca sensu Lefebvre et al. (2015), is interpreted as representing a transitional form between calyx-bearing primitive blastozoans (lepidocystoids) and more advanced, theca-bearing blastozoans (Fig. 6). The recently described bipartite test of the Cambrian Helicocystis Smith and Zamora, 2013 has been similarly interpreted as transitional between the helicoplacoids and the more derived pentaradial forms (Smith and Zamora, 2013).

Within early blastozoans, the progressive replacement of imbricate aboral stalk-like appendages (plesiomorphic condition) by tessellate ones has often been considered as a major evolutionary trend (Sprinkle, 1973, 1981; Ubaghs, 1968, 1975; Broadhead, 1982). The morphology of *Felbabkacystis* n. gen. not only confirms this trend, but also illustrates the transition between lepidocystoids and eocrinids (Figs. 6, 7). Those and/or further observations may support the phylogenetic significance of the nature of aboral plating (imbricate vs. tessellate) in blastozoans or even within the early echinoderms. This trend is associated with the progressive increase (Fig. 7) in regularity of the multiplated eocrinid stalks (e.g., *Gogia, Sinoeocrinus*) and with the convergent transition toward holomeric columns in several blastozoans (e.g., *Akadocrinus* vs. *Ridersia, Trachelocrinus*), as suggested by Sprinkle (1973).

The presence of a narrow, vaulted oral region leading to the restriction of the ambulacral system at the summit of the body wall in *Felbabkacystis* n. gen. is a derived but probably convergent feature (Figs. 5–7). Similar domed or spoutlike organizations occur in several Cambrian eocrinoids (e.g., *Trachelocrinus*), as well as in many younger and more derived blastozoans (e.g., *Bockia* Hecker, 1938, *Heliocrinites*) Eichwald, 1840). The grouped arrangement of exothecal feeding appendages (brachioles) at the end of the ambulacral ray or as lateral branches of the ambulacral ray reflects convergences among blastozoans (e.g., *Aristocystites* Barrande, 1887, *Felbabkacystis* n. gen., *Gogia, Palaeosphaeronites* Prokop, 1964 vs. *Eumorphocystis* Branson and Peck, 1940, *Kinzercystis, Macrocystella* Callaway, 1877, *Trachelocrinus*).

The trait set of Felbabkacystis n. gen. appears as fundamentally transitional between the lepidocystoids and the eocrinids, combining both apomorphies (e.g., partially overgrown tessellate region, large epispires, vaulted oral area, roundish lateral periproct) and plesiomorphic features (e.g., imbricate stalk-like appendage) of the blastozoans (Fig. 7). Such atypical morphology reinforces the unity of the blastozoans on the possession of brachioles as appendages disconnected from the body wall cavity, clearly contrasting from arms as outgrowth of the body wall (Ubaghs, 1968, 1975; Sprinkle, 1973; David et al., 2000; but see Zamora and Smith, 2012 for an alternative interpretation). It emphasizes the strong but natural variability affecting the ambulacra-oral surface plate patterns (embedded, recumbent, erect, or nonmineralized ambulacral flooring plates, see Nardin et al., 2009) and the ambulacral grooves branching and their associated structures plating (Nardin et al., 2010).

The stratigraphic scaling of the phylogenetic hypothesis supports the concept of a rapid early blastozoan diversification (Guensburg and Sprinkle, 1992; Smith et al., 2013). Major homologies defining the derived blastozoans as well as the more basal blastozoan clades seem to appear in the fossil record before the Drumian (Fig. 7). However, the morphological characters defining the clades suggest an asynchronous development of various versions of plesiomorphic and apomorphic trait sets, as well as strong convergences in the evolution of the plating of the aboral region (as suggested by Sprinkle, 1973) and of the ambulacral architecture (as proposed by Zamora and Smith, 2012).

Paleoecological implications

On some slabs, Felbabkacystis n. gen. co-occurs with a wellpreserved individual of the eocrinoid Lichenoides priscus and two individuals of an undescribed ctenocystoid, in addition to small fragments of trilobites (Fig. 4). The relatively large (10 mm) specimen of Lichenoides priscus (slab SZ346) shows well-developed epispires and an unusual prominent ornamentation as large vermicular and branched ridges on the thecal plates and large granules sometimes fused in ridges in the brachiolar plates (Fig. 4.3). Two strongly disarticulated ctenocystoid specimens are preserved on the same slab as specimen SZ347 (Fig. 4.2). Both are ovoid in shape (8 mm in diameter), made of numerous rectangular plates surrounded by 10 triangular plates on the periphery. Ornamentation is relatively dense, being composed of long sinuous ridges over the rectangular plates of the surface and of small granules aligned in fine straight centripetal lines on the frame plates. The good state of preservation (slight disarticulation to full articulation) and the high fragility of the Czech echinoderm material (type 1) echinoderms sensu Brett et al., 1997) would suggest that specimens were probably quickly buried or transported a short distance (parautochthonous to autochthonous material; Ausich, 2001; Gorzelak and Salamon, 2013).

Felbabkacystids can be interpreted as epifaunal suspension feeders, filtering with a small brachiole fan and exploiting the relatively high tier class +5–10 cm above the seafloor, in comparison to other Cambrian echinoderms (Bottjer and Ausich, 1986; Bottjer et al., 2000). The absence of a fully preserved stalk with holdfast in any collected specimen of *Felbabkacystis* prevents a definitive interpretation of their motility level. However, lepidocystoids, possessing similar stalk, have been interpreted as suspension feeders living attached on hard substrate (pebble, skeletal fragments) (Sprinkle, 1973; Fatka and Kordule, 1990) or sticking (bioglue as ligament fibers of collagen) to firm sediment (Parsley and Prokop, 2004; Dornbos, 2006; Parsley and Zhao, 2010; Kloss et al., 2015). Felbabkacystids may have had a similar mode of attachment (Supplementary Data S2).

Felbabkacystids and coeval fauna exhibit an unusual welldeveloped ornamentation and/or respiratory structures (epispires). Low-level bottom-dweller and shallow sediment sticker taxa (e.g., ctenocystoids, Lichenoides) show thicker thecal plates bearing stronger ornamentation than the higher (5-10 cm)tierers (e.g., Akadocrinus, Felbabkacystis). If felbabkacystids are interpreted as hard-substrate attachers, then the high flexibility of their imbricate skeleton might suggest a strong resistance to moderate lateral bottom current, which is also consistent with the strength of the skeleton of Lichenoides (swollen and strongly ornamented thecal plates). This interpretation is in good accordance with the model of latitudinal distribution of Ordovician blastozoans (Paul, 1976), suggesting a higher efficiency of the respiratory structures when slightly lower oxygen settings are reached (e.g., in the colder and deeper environment of the Příbram-Jince Basin). By contrast, taxa with rather small epispires and thin body wall plates tend to occur in the better-oxygenated depositional environments, such as the Impure Carbonate Facies of the Kinzers Formation, which have yielded species with rather small epispires and thin body wall plates (Skinner, 2005; Powel, 2009).

Felbabkacystid specimens and their associated fauna (e.g., the lepidocystoid Vyscystis, the eocrinid Akadocrinus, the lichenoid Lichenoides, and the enigmatic Cigara; Fig. 1.2) have been collected in transgressive medium- to fine-grained shales in the upper third of the Jince Formation (upper Hypagnostus parvifrons-Paradoxides (P.) paradoxissimus gracilis biozones) at the transition from the trilobite to the agnostid biofacies, sensu Fatka and Szabad (2014). The other highly fossiliferous level of the Jince Formation (not revealing any imbricate blastozoans) occurs in a similar configuration in the Paradoxides (E.) pusillus-lower Onymagnostus hybridus biozones (Fig. 1.2). The richly fossiliferous siliciclastic levels have been interpreted as deposited in a relatively deep (below storm-wave base) and quiet environment of a mixed platform (Fatka and Mergl, 2009). Blastozoan faunas from these two levels are either endemic species or close to typical taxa of Mediterranean peri-Gondwanan margin (e.g., undetermined lichenoid from the Tarhoucht Member of the Jbel Warwmast Formation [global Cambrian Series 3, Stage 5], eastern Anti-Atlas [southern Morocco], Smith et al. (2013); unidentified lichenoid from the Murero Formation [global Cambrian Series 3, Stage 5], Iberian

Chains, Zamora (2010); the rhombiferan Vizcainoia Zamora and Smith, 2012 from the Coulouma Formation [global Cambrian Series 3, lower Drumian], Montagne Noire [France], Zamora and Smith (2012)). The Jbel Warwmast Formation, the Murero Formation, and the Coulouma Formation consist of upper offshore fine siliciclastic sediments (siltstone to claystone) deposited under low or moderately low energy conditions in a temperate mixed platform (Liñan and Mergl, 2001; Landing et al., 2006; Alvaro et al., 2008). The closely related and contemporaneous lepidocystoids occur in obrution deposits in the Impure Carbonate Facies in the Emigsville Member of the Kinzers Formation (global Cambrian Series 2, Stage 4) in the Conestoga Valley (southern Pennsylvania), interpreted as an offshore environment with active bottom currents in a mixed platform (Skinner, 2005). An undetermined lepidocystoid was mentioned in the transgressive claystone-dominated Issafen Formation [global Cambrian Series 2, Stage 4] in the Central Anti-Atlas (Morocco) (Smith et al., 2013). The (par)autochthonous occurrences of the felbabkacystids and lepidocystoids in the deeper facies in the Paradoxides (P.) paradoxissimus gracilis Zone [global Cambrian Series 3, mid-Drumian] suggest that the morphological innovation of the overgrown vaulted oral area might have occurred in an offshore and quiet environment. All occurrences of lepidocystoids, felbabkacystids, and Mediterranean eocrinids-lichenoids are related to relatively deep (up to mid offshore) lithofacies in transgressive and/or drowning platform contexts (Skinner, 2005; Landing et al., 2006; Fatka and Szabad, 2014). The echinoderm plasticity and geographic distribution, even at low taxonomic levels, might be controlled by environmental changes such as the water energy, the water depth, and the substrate consistency (Guensburg and Sprinkle, 1992; Sprinkle and Guensburg, 1995; Álvaro et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2013). The morphological innovation and the high diversity in offshore environments during the early mid-Cambrian times seem to dispute the onshore-offshore pattern for the early echinoderm diversification (Jablonski et al., 1983; Sepkoski, 1991; Smith et al., 2013).

Acknowledgments

All authors contributed to this study. This study was supported by MSM 0021620855 and the Czech Science Foundation through the PRVOUK P44 of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of Czech Republic. It is a contribution to the group "Lithosphère-Océan-Atmosphère" of the UMR CNRS5563/ IRD234/UPS/CNES GET (EN), to the SYNTHESYS projects SE-TAF-2924 (EN) and CZ-TAF-6049 (BL), and to the UMR CNRS 5276 LGLTPE (BL). The authors are particularly grateful to T. E. Guensburg and R. L. Parsley for their very helpful comments, and to S. Zamora, C. D. Sumrall, and J. Sprinkle for their constructive remarks, which greatly helped to improve the manuscript.

Accessibility of supplemental data

Data available from the Dryad Digital Repository: http://dx.doi. org/10.5061/dryad.sg931

References

- Álvaro, J.J., Bauluz, B., Subias, I., Pierre, C., and Vizcaïno, D., 2008, Carbon chemostratigraphy of the Cambrian-Ordovician transition in a midlatitude mixed platform, Montagne Noire, France: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 120, p. 962–975.
- Álvaro, J.J., Zamora, S., Clausen, S., Vizcaïno, D., and Smith, A.B., 2013, The role of abiotic factors in the Cambrian Substrate Revolution: A review from the benthic community replacements of West Gondwana: Earth-Science Reviews, v. 118, p. 69–82.
- Ausich, W.I., 2001, Echinoderm taphonomy, *in* Jangoux, M., and Lawrence, J.M., eds., Echinoderm Studies, v. 6. Lisse, A.A. Balkema, p. 171–227.
- Babcock, L.E., Rees, M.N., Robison, R.A., Langenburg, E.S., and Peng, S., 2004, Potential Global Standard Stratotype-section and Point (GSSP) for a Cambrian stage boundary defined by the first appearance of the trilobite *Ptychagnostus atavus*, Drum Mountains, Utah, USA: Geobios, v. 37, p. 149–158.
- Barrande, J., 1846, Notice préliminaire sur le Système silurien et les trilobites de Bohème: Leipzig, Hirschfeld, 97 p.
- Barrande, J., 1887, Systême Silurien du centre de la Bohême. Part I: Recherches paléontologiques, v. 7, Classes des Echinodermes, sec. 1, Ordre des Cystidées: Leipzig, Rivnac, Prague/Gerhard, 396 p.
- Bottjer, D.J., and Ausich, W.I., 1986, Phanerozoic development of tiering in soft substrate suspension-feeding communities: Paleobiology, v. 12, p. 400–420.
- Bottjer, D.J., Hagadorn, J.W., and Dornbos, S.Q., 2000, The Cambrian substrate revolution: GSA Today, v. 10, p. 1–7.
- Branson, E.B., and Peck, R.E., 1940, A new cystoid from the Ordovician of Oklahoma: Journal of Paleontology, v. 14, p. 89–92.
- Brett, C.E., Moffat, H.A., and Taylor, W., 1997, Echinoderm taphonomy, taphofacies, and Lagerstätten, *in* Waters, J.A., and Maples, C.G., eds., Geobiology of Echinoderms: Paleontological Society Papers, v. 3, p. 147–190.
- Broadhead, T.W., 1982, Reappraisal of class Eocrinoidea (Echinodermata), *in* Laurence, J.M., ed., Echinoderms: Proceedings of the 4th International Echinoderm Conference; Rotterdam, A.A. Balkema, p. 125–131.
- Callaway, C., 1877, On a new area of Upper Cambrian rocks in South Shropshire, with a description of new fauna: Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London, v. 33, p. 652–672.
- Chauvel, J., 1941, Recherches sur les Cystoïdes et les Carpoïdes armoricains: Mémoires de la Société Géologique et Minéralogique de Bretagne, v. 5, p. 1–286.
- David, B., Lefebvre, B., Mooi, R., and Parsley, R.L., 2000, Are Homalozoans echinoderms? An answer from the extraxial-axial theory: Paleobiology, v. 26, p. 529–555.
- Dornbos, S.Q., 2006, Evolutionary palaeoecology of early epifaunal echinoderms: Response to increasing bioturbation levels during the Cambrian radiation: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 237, p. 225–239.
- Durham, J.W., 1968, Lepidocystoids, *in* Moore, R.C., ed., Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, Part S, Echinodermata 1(2): New York and Lawrence: Geological Society of America and the University of Kansas, p. S631–S634.
- Eichwald, C.L. von, 1840, Über das silurische Schichtensystem in Esthland: St. Petersburg: Medizinische Akademie, 222 p.
- Fatka, O., 2006, Biostratigraphy of the Jince Formation (middle Cambrian) in the Příbram-Jince Basin: Historical review: Acta Universitatis Carolinae -Geologica, v. 47, p. 53–61.
- Fatka, O., and Kordule, V., 1985, *Etoctenocystis bohemica* gen. et sp. nov., new Ctenocystoid from Czechoslovakia (Echinodermata, middle Cambrian): Věstník Ústředního ústav geologického, v. 60, p. 225–231.
- Fatka, O., and Kordule, V., 1990, Vyscystis ubaghsi gen. et sp. nov., imbricate eocrinoid from Czechoslovakia (Echinodermata, middle Cambrian): Věstník Ústředního ústav geologického, v. 65, p. 315–323.
- Fatka, O., and Kordule, V., 1991, Akadocrinus knizki sp. nov., gogiid eocrinoids from Czechoslovakia (Echinodermata, middle Cambrien): Věstník Ústředního ústav geologického, v. 66, no. 4, p. 239–246.
- Fatka, O., and Kordule, V., 2001, Asturicystis havliceki sp. nov. (Echinodermata, Homostelea) form the middle Cambrian of Bohemia (Barrandian area, Czech Republic): Journal of Czech Geological Survey, v. 46, p. 189–194.
- Fatka, O., and Mergl, M., 2009, The "microcontinent" Perunica: Status and story 15 years after conception: Geological Society, London, Special Publications, v. 325, p. 65–101.
- Fatka, O., and Szabad, M., 2014, Cambrian biostratigraphy in the Příbram-Jince Basin (Barrandian area, Czech Republic): Bulletin of Geosciences, v. 89, p. 413–429.

- Fatka, O., Kordule, V., and Szabad, M., 2004, Stratigraphical distribution of Cambrian fossils in the Příbram-Jince Basin (Barrandian area, Czech Republic): Senckenbergiana lethaea, v. 84, p. 369–384.
- Fatka, O., Williams, M., and Budil, P., 2014, Bradoriid arthropods from the Cambrian of the Pribram-Jince Basin, Czech Republic: Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und Paläontologie Abhandlungen, v. 273, no. 2, p. 147–154.
- Fatka, O., Knížek, F., and Kozák, V., 2015, *Condylopyge* Hawle et Corda, 1847 in the Příbram-Jince Basin (Barrandian area, the Czech Republic, Agnostida): Acta Musei Nationalis Pragae, Series B, Historia Naturalis/Sborník Národního muzea řada B, přírodní vědy, v. 71, no. 1–2, p. 103–109.
- Foerste, A.F., 1938, Echinodermata, in Resser, C.E., and Howell, B.F., eds., Lower Cambrian Olenellus Zone of the Appalachians: Geological Society of American Bulletin, v. 49, p. 212–213.
- Geyer, G., and Landing, E., 2004, A unified lower-middle Cambrian chronostratigraphy for West Gondwana: Acta Geologica Polonica, v. 54, p. 179–218.
- Gil Cid, M.D., and Domínguez-Alonso, P.D., 2002, *Ubaghsicystis segurae* nov. gen. y sp., nuevo Eocrinoide (Echinodermata) del Cambrio Medio del Norte de España: Coloquios de Paleontología, v. 53, p. 21–32.
- Gorzelak, P., and Salamon, M.A., 2013, Experimental tumbling of echinoderms —Taphonomic patterns and implications: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 386, p. 569–574.
- Guensburg, T.E., and Sprinkle, J., 1992, Rise of echinoderms in the Paleozoic evolutionary fauna: Significance of paleoenvironmental controls: Geology, v. 20, p. 407–410.
- Hecker, R.F., 1938, New data on *Rhipidocystis* Jkl. (order Digitata n. o., class Carpoidea Jkl) and a new genus *Bockia* (subclass Eocrinoidea Jkl, class Crinoidea Mill.) from the Ordovician of Leningrad Province, USSR, and Estonia: Académie des Sciences URSS, Compte-Rendus, v. 19, p. 421–424.
- Jablonski, D., Sepkoski, J.J., Bottjer, D.J., and Sheehan, P.M., 1983, Onshoreoffshore patterns in the evolution of Phanerozoic shelf communities: Science, v. 222, p. 1123–1125.
- Jaekel, O., 1901, Über Carpoiden, eine neue Classe von Pelmatozoen: Zeitschrift der Deutschen geologischen Gesellschaft, v. 52, p. 661–677.
- Jell, P.A., Burett, C.F., and Banks, M.R., 1985, Cambrian and Ordovician echinoderms from eastern Australia: Alcheringa, v. 9, p. 183–208.
- Kloss, T.J., Dornbos, S.Q., and Chen, J., 2015, Substrate adaptations of sessile benthic metazoans during the Cambrian radiation: Paleobiology, v. 41, p. 342–352.
- Landing, E., Geyer, G., and Heldmaier, W., 2006, Distinguishing eustatic and epeirogenic controls on lower-middle Cambrian boundary successions in West Gondwana (Morocco and Iberia): Sedimentology, v. 53, p. 899–918.
- Lefebvre, B., and Fatka, O., 2003, Palaeogeographical and palaeoecological aspects of the Cambro-Ordovician radiation of echinoderms in Gondwanan Africa and peri-Gondwanan Europe: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 195, p. 73–97.
- Lefebvre, B., Nardin, E., and Fatka, O., 2015, Body wall homologies in basal blastozoans, *in* Zamora, S., and Rábano, I., eds., Progress in Echinoderm Palaeobiology, Cuadernos Del Museo Geominero, v. 19: Madrid, Insituto Geologico y Minero de España, p. 87–93.
- Liñán, E., and Mergl, M., 2001, Lower and middle Cambrian brachiopods from the Iberian Chains and Sierra Morena (Spain): Revista Española de Paleontologia, v. 16, p. 317–337.
- Mooi, R., and David, B., 1998, Evolution within a bizarre phylum: Homologies of the first echinoderms: American Zoologist, v. 38, p. 965–974.
- Nardin, E., Lefebvre, B., David, B., and Mooi, R., 2009, Early Paleozoic diversification of echinoderms: The example of blastozoans: Comptes Rendus Palevol, v. 8, p. 179–188.
- Nardin, E., David, B., Lefebvre, B., and Mooi, R., 2010, Reappraisal of ambulacral branching patterns in blastozoans, *in* Harris, L.G., Böttger, S.A., Walker, C.W., and Lesser, M.P., eds., Echinoderms: Durham: New York, A.A. Balkema, p. 45–49.
- Nohejlová, M., and Fatka, O., 2015, Blastozoan echinoderms from the Cambrian of the Barrandian Area (Czech Republic), *in* Zamora, S., and Rabáno, I., eds., Progress in Echinoderm Palaeobiology, Cuadernos Del Museo Geominero, v. 19: Madrid, Insituto Geologico y Minero de Espana, p. 119–124.
- Parsley, R.L., and Prokop, R.J., 2004, Functional morphology and palaeoecology of some sessile middle Cambrian echinoderms from the Barrandian region of Bohemia: Bulletin of Geosciences, v. 79, p. 147–156.
- Parsley, R.L., and Zhao, Y.L., 2006, Long stalked eocrinoids in the basal middle Cambrian Kaili Biota, Taijiang County, Guizhou Province, China: Journal of Paleontology, v. 80, p. 1058–1071.
 Parsley, R.L., and Zhao, Y.L., 2010, A new turban-shaped gogiid eocrinoid from
- Parsley, R.L., and Zhao, Y.L., 2010, A new turban-shaped gogiid eocrinoid from the Kaili Formation (Kaili Biota), Balang, Jianhe County, Guizhou Province, China: Journal of Paleontology, v. 84, p. 549–553.
- Paul, C.R.C., 1976, Palaeogeography of primitive echinoderms in the Ordovician, *in* Bassett, M.G., ed., The Ordovician System: Proceedings of a Palaeontological Association Symposium. Cardiff, The University of Wales Press and National Museum of Wales, p. 553–574.

- Paul, C.R.C., and Smith, A.B., 1984, The early radiation and phylogeny of echinoderms: Biological Reviews, v. 59, p. 443–481.
- Pompeckj, J.F. von, 1896, Die Fauna des Kambriums von Tejřovic und Skrej in Böhmen: Jahrbuch der geologischen Reichensalt, v. 45, p. 495–511.
- Powell, W.G., 2009, Comparison of geochemical and distinctive mineralogical features associated with the Kinzers and Burgess Shale formations and their associated units: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 277, p. 127–140.
- Prokop, R.J., 1962, Akadocrinus nov. gen., a new Crinoid from the Cambrian of the Jince Area (Eocrinoidea): Sborník Státního geologického Ústavu Československé Republiky, oddíl paleontologický, v. 27, p. 37–42.
- Prokop, R.J., 1964, Sphaeronitoidea Neumayr of the lower Paleozoic of Bohemia (Cystoidea, Diploporita): Sborníku geologických věd – Paleontologie, v. 3, p. 7–37.
- Ruedemann, R., 1933, *Camptostroma*, a lower Cambrian floating hydrozoan: Proceedings of the United States National Museum, v. 82, p. 1–13.
- Sepkoski, J.J., 1991, A model of onshore-offshore change in faunal diversity: Paleobiology, v. 17, p. 58–77.
- Skinner, E.S., 2005, Taphonomy and depositional circumstances of exceptionally preserved fossils from the Kinzers Formation (Cambrian), southeastern Pennsylvania: Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 220, p. 167–192.
- Smith, A.B., 1984, Classification of the Echinodermata: Palaeontology, v. 27, p. 431–459.
- Smith, A.B., and Zamora, S., 2013, Cambrian spiral-plated echinoderms from Gondwana reveal the earliest pentaradial body plan: Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Biological Sciences, v. 280, p. 20131197– 20131197.
- Smith, A.B., Zamora, S., and Álvaro, J.J., 2013, The oldest echinoderm faunas from Gondwana show that echinoderm body plan diversification was rapid: Nature Communications, v. 4, no. 1385, doi: 10.1038/ncomms2391.
- Sprinkle, J., 1973, Morphology and evolution of blastozoan echinoderms: Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Special Publication, 283 p.
- Sprinkle, J., 1981, Diversity and evolutionary patterns of Cambrian echinoderms, *in* Taylor, J.F., ed., Second International Symposium on the Cambrian System, p. 219–221.
- Sprinkle, J., and Collins, D., 2006, New Eocrinoids from the Burgess Shale, Southern British Columbia, Canada, and the Spence Shale, Northern Utah, USA: Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, v. 43, no. 3, p. 303–322.
- Sprinkle, J., and Guensburg, T.E., 1995, Origin of echinoderms in the Paleozoic evolutionary fauna: The role of substrates: Palaios, v. 10, p. 437–453.
- Swofford, D.L., 2016, PAUP*: Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (and other methods), version 4.0a150: Sunderland, MA, Sinauer Associates.
- Ubaghs, G., 1968, Eocrinoidea, *in* Moore, R.C., ed., Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, Echinodermata 1(2): New York and Lawrence, Geological Society of America and the University of Kansas, p. S455–S495.
- Ubaghs, G., 1975, Early Paleozoic echinoderms: Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, v. 3, p. 79–98.
- Ulrich, E.O., 1929, *Trachelocrinus* a new genus of upper Cambrian crinoids: Journal of Washington Academy of Science, v. 19, p. 63–66.
- Walcott, C.D., 1917, Cambrian geology and paleontology. IV, Fauna of the Mount Whyte Formation: Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collections, v. 67, p. 61–114.
- Zamora, S., 2010, Middle Cambrian echinoderms from north Spain show echinoderms diversified earlier in Gondwana: Geology, v. 38, p. 507–510.
- Zamora, S., and Rahman, I.A., 2014, Deciphering the early evolution of echinoderms with Cambrian fossils: Palaeontology, v. 57, p. 1105–1119.
- Zamora, S., and Smith, A.B., 2012, Cambrian stalked echinoderms show unexpected plasticity of arm construction: Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, v. 279, p. 293–298.
- Zamora, S., Álvaro, J.J., and Vizcaïno, D., 2009, Pelmatozoan echinoderms from the Cambrian-Ordovician transition of the Iberian Chains (NE Spain): Early diversification of anchoring strategies: Swiss Journal of Geosciences, v. 102, p. 43–45.
- Zamora, S., Rahman, I.A., and Smith, A.B., 2012, Plated Cambrian bilaterians reveal the earliest stages of echinoderm evolution: PLoS ONE, v. 7, no. e38296, doi: 10.1098/rspb.2011.0777.
- Zamora, S., Lefebvre, B., Javier Alvaro, J., Clausen, S., Elicki, O., Fatka, O., Jell, P., Kouchinsky, A., Lin, J.-P., Nardin, E., Parsley, R., Rozhnov, S., Sprinkle, J., Sumrall, C.D., Vizcaino, D., and Smith, A.B., 2013, Chapter 13 Cambrian echinoderm diversity and palaeobiogeography: Geological Society, London, Memoirs, v. 38, p. 157–171.
- Zhao, Y.-L., Huang, Y.-Z., and Gong, X.-Y., 1994, Echinoderm fossils of Kaili Fauna from Taijiang, Guizhou: Acta Palaeontologica Sinica, v. 33, p. 305–331. (in Chinese with English summary).

Accepted 8 November 2016

Appendix. Rationale of the phylogenetic analysis

Method

Phylogenetic analysis was conducted in PAUP 4.0a150 (Swofford, 2016) using the criterion of maximum parsimony. All characters are treated as unordered, except for the fifth (David et al., 2000), and equally weighted. Analysis was a heuristic search with random addition (repeated 1,000 times) with a branch-swapping using the tree-bisection reconnection algorithm. The majority-rule consensus of nine equally parsimonious trees has been retained (L = 27 steps, CI = 0.741, RI = 0.800, RC = 0.593). Bootstrap and Bremer support have been calculated in PAUP 4.0a150. Analysis was performed on genera represented by exemplar species (see Supplementary Data S1). The taxon Stromatocystites Pompeckj, 1896 has been considered as outgroup according to previous phylogenetic analyses (Zamora and Smith, 2012; Zamora et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2013).

List of characters

Our phylogenetic analysis is based on a list of 13 characters, partly emended from Paul and Smith (1984), Nardin et al. (2009), Smith et al. (2013), and Zamora and Smith (2012). Coding has been based on new observations of specimens of the 13 considered taxa and on a reinterpretation according to the extraxial-axial theory framework (Mooi and David, 1998; David et al., 2000; Nardin et al., 2009; Zamora et al., 2012; Lefebvre et al., 2015).

Ambulacral system

- 1. Exothecal appendages: (0) absence, (1) presence
- 2. Ambulacral floor: (0) specialized flooring plates forming an integral part of the oral surface, (1) specialized flooring plates embedded in and then recumbent/erect on the body wall, (2) specialized flooring plates strictly erect or recumbent on the body wall, (3) ambulacral rays lying directly on perioral and thecal plates (no calcified flooring plates).

This character concerns the topology of the axial plates bearing the brachioles and the rest of the oral surface (perforate extraxial skeleton). Specific ambulacral plates have been detected in most of the genera (except in Lichenoides and Ubaghsicystis).

- 3. Ambulacral symmetry: (0) 2-1-2 pattern, (1) reduced
- 4. Ambulacral ray branching: (0) absence, (1) on lateral side(s) of the ray, (2) grouped at the end of the ray

This character focuses on the branching patterns of the ambulacral rays, with or without any specific ambulacral floor plates. Brachioles appear to be grouped at the end of the ambulacral rays in the eocrinid genera and Ubaghsicystis, whereas they rise alternately from both sides of the ray in the other genera of the ingroup.

Body capsule

5. Extension of the tessellate perforate extraxial region: (0) restricted to a plateau-like oral surface, above the body capsule edge, (1) extended over the body capsule edge and forming part of the lateral vertical body capsule, (2) forming the entire body capsule enclosing viscera.

- 6. Body wall capsule: (0) antero-posteriorly flattened, (1) globular
- 7. Oral surface plating: (0) numerous, small elements, (1) few large plates
- 8. Organization of the perforate extraxial skeleton: (0) none (irregular plating), (1) in circlets
- 9. Respiratory structures as epispires: (0) presence, (1) absence
- 10. Location of the periproct: (0) within the oral disc, (1) within the lateral wall

Periproct has been detected in Felbabkacystis n. gen. relatively high in the lateral body wall. A similar location has been observed in Gogia (Zamora et al., 2009), Sinoeocrinus (Parsley and Zhao, 2006), Ubaghsicystis (Gil Cid and Domínguez-Alonso, 2002), whereas it pierced within the oral disc in Lepidocystis and Kinzercystis (Sprinkle, 1973), Vyscystis (Figs. 2.4, 2.5, 4.3), Lyracystis (Sprinkle and Collins, 2006) and Ridersia (Jell et al., 1985). It remains unknown in Trachelocrinus (Sprinkle, 1973), Akadocrinus and Lichenoides (our observations).

Aboral region

11. Extension of the aboral part of the body wall (imperforate extraxial region): (0) extensive and forming lateral walls of the test, (1) elongate and contributing to lower part of body capsule, (2) elongate and not contributing to body capsule, (3) atrophied and reduced to few plates.

Lichenoides has no column but few platelets forming its attachment disc, considered as homologous of stalk (coding 3).

- 12. Plating of the aboral region: (0) tessellate, (1) imbricate
- 13. Plating of the aboral region: (0) homeomorphic elements, (1) polymorphic elements, (2) holomeric cylindrical elements

Character codification for each taxon

Thirteen genera, represented by the species listed in the Supplementary Data S1, have been selected on the basis of previous phylogenetic analyses.

Stromatocystites	00000 00000 000
Lepidocystis	10010 10000 010
Felbabkacystis	10121 10001 110
Kinzercystis	10010 10000 010
Vyscystis	10010 10000 010
Lyracystis	11012 10000 201
Trachelocrinus	12012 11?1? 202
Ubaghsicystis	13122 11101 202
Ridersia	10012 11110 202
Lichenoides	13012 1110? 301
Akadocrinus	10022 10?0? 201
Gogia	10022 10?01 201
Sinoeocrinus	10022 10101 201