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Abstract

Sociodemographic information, such as a speaker’s regional origin, is intimately related to the judgments and social evaluations that listeners assign to that speaker. This association between linguistic form and social information can also lead to linguistic profiling, a harmful form of discrimination. The present study examines the geographic classifications and social attitudes attributed to ten phonetic variants used within regional varieties of Iberian (i.e., European) Spanish. We are specifically interested in understanding listeners’ geographical classifications and language attitudes held toward Andalusian Spanish, which is a less privileged regional variety spoken in Spain’s southern region, as compared to north-central Peninsular Spanish (NCPS). The results of an online survey show that 165 listeners were fairly consistent when geographically assigning to that speaker. This association between linguistic form and social information can also lead to linguistic profiling, a harmful form of discrimination.
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1. Introduction

Research in the phonetic sciences has long documented the connection between the acoustic properties of speech and the perception of talker-specific attributes such as social identity, individual idiosyncrasies, emotional states, and context-induced features (e.g., Abercrombie, 1967; Goldinger, Pisoni & Logan, 1991; Mullenix, Pisoni & Martin, 1989). From a sociolinguistic standpoint, researchers have hypothesized how this multifaceted indexing of phonetic form contributes to language users’ interpretations of social meaning and their subsequent language choices (Campbell-Kibler, 2010; Eckert, 2008, 2019).

One harmful byproduct of the association between phonetic features and sociodemographic information is its bearing on linguistic profiling, or language-based discrimination. Linguistic profiling manifests when the assumptions made about how a person speaks bring about inequitable outcomes for the speaker themselves (Baugh, 2003, 2017; Lippi-Green, 1997; Purnell, Idaardi & Baugh, 1999; Rickford & King, 2016). In the context of US English, for instance, Baugh (2003) synthesized the deeply interwoven relationship between patterns of racial discrimination and listeners’ judgments of African American Language (AAL). Such discriminatory judgments have been shown to give rise to societal barriers including the denial of housing, insurance, mortgages, or appropriate legal treatment for Black Americans (see Wright, 2019).

Language-based discrimination can arise from ethnoracial bias, cultural prejudice, regional stereotypes, or the compounded impacts of these factors (Baugh, 2017:2–3). With respect to regional stereotypes, instances of negative bias based on a talker’s perceived regional origin have been confirmed for numerous languages, including varieties of French (Giles & Billings, 2004), Dutch (Grondelaers, Van Hout & Steegs, 2010), Turkish, and German (Du Bois, 2019). Additionally, the mediating effect of a listener’s regional origin, in addition to that of the speaker, can play a crucial role in the social evaluation of linguistic forms (Preston, 1989; Walker et al., 2014).

The present study extends this line of work to the language ideologies associated with the regional variation present in Iberian (i.e., European) Spanish. Gal (2016:116) defines language ideologies as language users’ metalinguistic “talk about talk, or their reflections, signals, and presuppositions about linguistic forms and their use.” Here, we are primarily interested in Iberian-Spanish listeners’ ideologies of the linguistic variation present in southern Spain, known collectively as “Andalusian Spanish.” Linguistically, Andalusian Spanish is born from a complex set of features that differentiate the southern dialect from more predominant regional varieties spoken in the northern and central regions of the country (Alvar, 1996:233–58; Moreno-Fernández, 2020:58–69). Although Andalusia is the most populous of Spain’s seventeen autonomous communities, a constellation of social, historical, cultural, and political factors contributes to the stereotyping of Andalusian people as unproductive, uncultivated, and folkloric (Jaspal & Saritidou, 2013; Santana Marrero, 2018).
We developed an online survey to investigate listeners’ geographic classifications and social perceptions based on three linguistic contexts: syllable-initial coronal fricatives (i.e., use of the /s/ and/or /θ/ phonemes), word-medial /s/-/s/, and word-final /s/-/s/. We recruited participants who are speakers of two different subvarieties of Andalusian Spanish in addition to a third participant group from Castile and León, located in central Spain. This geographically expansive participant pool allows us to evaluate intraregional perceptual variation (within Andalusia) as well as interregional variation throughout Spain.

2. Background

2.1. The social meaning of linguistic form

Sociolinguistic research theorizes the dynamic process that brings about associations between linguistic forms and often interchangeable social meanings (Eckert, 2008, 2019). The “third-wave” perspective of sociolinguistics, in particular, proposes that a form’s socially attributed meaning results from communicative language practices in which context prompts a language user’s continual updating of fluid indexical fields (Eckert, 2008, 2019). It is proposed that the indexical assignment of a linguistic form undergoes iterative reconstruction as listeners and speakers engage in new discursive contexts (Silverstein, 2003). Once a form and an indexed social meaning become strongly associated with one another, the form itself then becomes a resource that individual speakers integrate into their linguistic repertoires, thereby prompting language change (Eckert, 2008:457). Empirically, one method for determining the social status of a linguistic form is to measure the explicit social judgments made by listeners (Cargile et al. 1994). In the present article, we will bring attention to such evaluations of forms used in Andalusian Spanish.

Until the mid-twentieth century, Andalusia, located in southern Spain (see provincial map in Map 1), had enjoyed a vibrant agricultural history tied to commerce and a strong labor movement. Any prosperity, however, was greatly altered by the outcomes of the Spanish Civil War and Francisco Franco’s fascist regime, lasting from 1939 to 1975. The repression of Franco’s dictatorship targeted Andalusian nationalists through financial deprivation, the forced exile of state enemies, and mass executions of suspected dissidents including leftist political leaders, intellectuals, and artists, among others (Cobo Romero, 2012). While urban areas to the north were endowed with greater educational and financial investment, Andalusia remained predominantly agrarian. This disparity was further slanted as resulting from a folkloric set of stereotypes assigned to southerners, reflected in common artistic depictions of the region as more antiquated than areas to the north (Caballero-Gálvez, 2017; Gordillo, 2012). Moreover, “Castilian” Spanish, spoken in northern and central Spain, gained newfound privilege due to efforts to strengthen national cohesion and suppress divergent regional identities linked to minority languages and local dialects (Gallego & Rodríguez, 2013; Hernández-Campoy & Villena-Ponsoda, 2009:183–84). Andalusia thus continues to remain at a social and economic disadvantage compared to the rest of Spain. Sociolinguistically, the indexing of prototypical Andalusian features includes contemporarily less favorable attributes such as being less educated, working-class, rural, or informal (Regan, 2022).

2.2. Sociolinguistic overview of Andalusian Spanish

Concerning the phonetic and phonological differences between Andalusian Spanish and NCPS, the Andalusian variety is characterized by features including variable production of the coronal-fricative phonemes /s/ and /θ/ in syllable-initial position, lenition of syllable-final /s/ (in both word-medial and word-final positions), weakening of /θ/ to /θ/, dentalized pronunciation of /s/, velarization of word-final /n/, and neutralization of the syllable-final liquids /i/ and /l/, among others (Alvar, 1996:233–258; Moreno-Fernández, 2020:58–69; Villena-Ponsoda, 2008). The present study turns attention to listeners’ geographic classifications and social evaluations of the variations present in two of these linguistic environments, namely syllable-initial coronal fricatives and coda-/s/ lenition (the latter will be further subclassified), which are reviewed in the following sections. Our motivation for choosing these contexts was twofold. First, within Andalusia there is considerable intraregional variation reflected in each category, with the most consistent differences falling primarily (although not exclusively) along a west-to-east continuum (Alvar, 1996). Second, the variation present within the three linguistic environments is susceptible to interrelated sociolinguistic forces (see Hernández-Campoy & Villena-Ponsoda, 2009), which allows for a more comprehensive understanding of how language users perceive and evaluate variation.

2.2.1 Syllable-initial coronal fricatives

In the initial position of a syllable, speakers of Iberian Spanish differ in their production of the graphemes <ci>, <ce>, <θ>, and <θ> (see Table 1). In the NCPS variety, for instance, speakers use the voiceless interdental fricative /θ/ in the <ci>, <ce>, and <θ> contexts and the voiceless alveolar fricative /s/ in the <θ> context. This usage, known as distinción, brings about phonemic contrast through minimal pairs such as casa /θaθa/ ‘house’—hunt (e.g., /kasa/ - /kaθa/). In Andalusian Spanish, by contrast, four systems are possible (see Map 2, based on accounts from early work in Spanish dialectology). First, distinción is present along Andalusia’s northernmost border and in the easternmost provinces. Second, ceceo, which consists of producing /θ/ in all four graphemic contexts (e.g., /kaθa/ - /kaθa/), is more common in the westernmost provinces, the central province of Málaga, and in many rural areas. A third possibility is seseo—namely, use of /s/ in all four contexts (e.g., /kasa/ - /kasa/)—which is prevalent in the urban centers of Córdoba and Seville. Finally, some speakers, primarily those with greater social and physical mobility, variably produce /θ/ or /s/ in the <ci>, <ce>, and <θ> contexts, while maintaining /s/ in the <θ> context. This fourth usage among Andalusian speakers is known as seseo (Dalbor, 1980; Sawoff, 1980).
Concerning word-medial coda-/s/, there is now an ongoing sound change whereby speakers from the western provinces of Cádiz, Huelva, and Seville are transitioning toward productions of phonological /s/ + /p t k/ sequences in which a glottal fricative follows the stop closure, resulting in the post-aspirated stops [pʰ tʰ kʰ]. In this context, a word like /pasta/ can be increasingly pronounced as /pastə/ (with retention), /paha/ (with pre-aspiration), or /pata/ (with /s/-deletion), while /patʰa/ with post-aspiration is becoming the dominant pronunciation. Ruch and Harrington (2014) and Ruch and Peters (2016) show that young speakers from the western provinces, and from Andalusia’s capital city Seville in particular, are leading this change. In light of this ongoing shift, there is a need for new research assessing listeners’ social evaluations of post-aspirated stops in comparison to other phonetic variants. For example, it is possible that post-aspirated variants provoke more favorable social evaluations among Andalusian listeners than straightforward /s/-deletion, which would help to explain why the new sound is undergoing intraregional transmission within Andalusia (Ruch, 2018).

### Table 1. List of the ten phonetic variants, with examples, included in the perception study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linguistic context</th>
<th>Phonetic variant</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syllable-initial coronal fricatives</td>
<td>distinción</td>
<td>[po.li. ˈθia.am.bi.ˈθia.so.] policía ambicioso ‘ambitious police officer’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ceceo</td>
<td>[po.li. ˈθia.am.bi.ˈθia.so.] policía ‘police’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>seceo</td>
<td>[po.li. ˈθia.am.bi.ˈθia.so.] policía ‘police’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>seseo</td>
<td>[po.li. ˈθia.am.bi.ˈθia.so.] policía ‘police’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word-medial /s/</td>
<td>/s/-retention</td>
<td>['ne.nes] nenes ‘children’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post-aspiration</td>
<td>['ne.nes] nenes ‘children’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/s/-deletion</td>
<td>['ne.nes] nenes ‘children’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/s/-deletion + tense vowel</td>
<td>['ne.nes] nenes ‘children’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>/s/-deletion + lax vowel</td>
<td>['ne.nes] nenes ‘children’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Apparent-time studies show that ceceo, seceo, and seseo are currently giving way to distinción in many urban areas of Andalusia (Hualde, 2021; Melguizo Moreno, 2007). The ongoing sound change in favor of the national norm is led primarily by university-educated young speakers, socially and physically mobile individuals of various ages, and female speakers (Regan, 2020b). In light of this intersecting regional and sociolinguistic variation, we anticipate an especially fluid social index with respect to the four systems, making them apt for perceptual testing.

#### 2.2.2 Word-medial coda-/s/

In addition to variation of syllable-initial coronal fricatives, coda-/s/ variation in the word-medial and word-final positions has received considerable attention in the literature (e.g., Carbonero Cano, 1982; Villena-Ponsoda, 2008; see overview by Gradoville, Brown & File-Muriel, 2022). In both word positions, syllable-final-/s/ may undergo lenition (i.e., weakening) through debuccalization, resulting in glottal aspiration prior to the stop closure (i.e., pre-aspiration) or coda deletion. This stands in contrast to the presence of coda-/s/ by northern and central speakers who primarily converge toward retention of the alveolar fricative (Henriksen & Harper, 2016; Momcilovic, 2009).

#### 2.2.3 Word-final coda-/s/

In contrast to post-aspiration, which is more prevalent in Andalusia’s western provinces, deletion of coda-/s/ in the word-final position is accompanied by a vowel lacing process in the eastern provinces of Almeria, Granada, and Jaén, and in portions of the central provinces of Córdoba and Málaga. For this reason, historical word-final /as es os/ now have laxed or open realizations [æ e ɔ] (Alvar, 1996; Hernández-Campoy & Trudgill, 2002; see Map 3). This word-final lacing is accompanied by a leftward vowel-harmony process that transmits the quality of the final vowel to preceding nonhigh vowels up to the stressed syllable. Thus, plural words like camas ‘beds’, nenes ‘boys’, or conos ‘cones’ are pronounced as [ˈka.ma], [ˈne.ne], and [ˈko.no], while their singular forms retain the respective tense vowels as in [ˈka.ma], [ˈne.ne], and [ˈko.no]. For speakers from the central and eastern provinces, this results in an eight-vowel system /a e o u æ ɛ ɔ ɪ/ (Jiménez & Lloret, 2019).

The current body of work focused on Andalusian vowel lacing predominantly considers the phonetic and/or phonological bases for this sound change (e.g., Henriksen, 2017; Herrero de Haro, 2016). While there is some indication that the occurrence of lacing
may be more common in smaller towns than in their respective capital cities (e.g., Martínez Melgar, 1994), further research is required to determine the complete sociolinguistic basis underlying the perceptual indexing of word-final lax vowels. Due to the unjust influence of the bias generally associated with Andalusian features (Hernández-Campoy & Villena-Ponsoda, 2009:190–191), it becomes crucial to examine listeners’ social evaluations of this understudied sociophonetic feature.

2.3. The current study

We developed an online survey aiming to disambiguate the relationship between listeners’ geolinguistic classifications of phonetic variants based on three linguistic contexts (see Table 1) and their related social evaluations. As outlined in Section 2.2, some of the variants within each linguistic context are distinctive features of Andalusian Spanish and thus likely play a role in shaping listeners’ ideologies regarding this regional variety (for further elaboration, see Carbonero Cano, 2003). Our design builds upon previous research on listeners’ perceptions of sociophonetic variation in Iberian Spanish (e.g., Harjus, 2018; Molina-García, 2020; Regan, 2019, 2020a, 2022; Ruch, 2018; Santana Marrero, 2018) by expanding the set of features included in a single survey and by including listeners of distinct regional provenances.

We recruited listeners from the cities of Granada, Jerez de la Frontera, and Salamanca (see Map 4) due to their comparable sizes—all are mid-size cities with populations ranging between 150,000 and 230,000. Salamanca was selected to reflect the language ideologies held by listeners from north/central Spain, while Granada and Jerez de la Frontera were selected to reflect those of eastern and western Andalusia, respectively. In doing so, the study was driven by two research questions.

**Research question 1:** How do listeners of Iberian Spanish perceptually categorize the geographic origin of ten phonetic variants?

1a: How do listeners categorize the variants based on a predetermined north/central/south geographic classification?

1b: How do listeners categorize the variants in an open-ended response format?

**Research question 2:** What social attributes do listeners of Iberian Spanish assign to each of the ten phonetic variants?

2a: What is the effect of linguistic context (i.e., syllable-initial coronal fricatives, word-medial coda-/s/, word-final coda-/s/) on the assignment of social attributes?

2b: What is the effect of a listener’s geographic origin (i.e., Granada, Jerez de la Frontera, Salamanca) on the assignment of social attributes?

3. Methods

3.1. Participants

Participants (N = 199) were recruited through advertisements published in social media groups targeting university students. The inclusion criteria were as follows: participants must (1) be 18-30 years of age at the time of taking the survey; (2) be native speakers of Spanish who were born and raised in Spain and have lived in Granada, Jerez de la Frontera, or Salamanca for the majority of their lives; and (3) have access to a quiet space, a computer with internet, and headphones to listen to audio. The data from 30 participants were excluded for various reasons, including failure to fulfill the inclusion criteria or failure to enter a validation code at the end of the survey. An additional four participants opted out of the study. Here, the data from 165 respondents were analyzed: 59 from Granada, 52 from Jerez de la Frontera, and 54 from Salamanca.

The average respondent age was 21.3 years (range = 18-30), and the majority self-identified as women (N = 104, 63.0%). Most participants had completed university studies or were enrolled at a local university at the time of the survey (N = 135, 81.9%), while 30 participants (18.1%) reported secondary studies as their highest level of education.

3.2. Audio stimuli

The audio stimuli, containing isolated words or noun phrases, were recorded by a native male speaker of Western Andalusian Spanish (the second author of this article). This speaker is a trained linguist who was raised in an Andalusian-speaking household and attended private elementary and secondary schools that required the use of Castilian Spanish (the term generally used to describe the socially privileged status of the NCPS variety). By all accounts, the speaker is a well-balanced bidialectal individual.
The speaker recorded 90 target stimuli in addition to 15 distractors (see Appendix A for the full stimuli list). The target items were developed per the three linguistic contexts: (1) syllable-initial coronal fricatives; (2) word-medial coda-/s/; and (3) word-final coda-/s/. For the syllable-initial coronal fricatives, four variants were featured in the survey: distinción, ceceo, seceo, and seseo. For the word-medial /s/ stimuli, three variants were featured: /s/-retention, post-aspiration of /s/, and /s/-deletion. For the word-final /s/ stimuli, three variants were featured: /s/-retention, /s/-deletion + tense vowel, and /s/-deletion + lax vowel. There were nine baseline words for each of the three contexts, and the speaker produced each baseline word using the targeted variants, yielding 90 stimuli: (9 × 4 variants for syllable-initial coronal fricatives) + (9 × 3 variants for word-medial coda-/s/) + (9 × 3 variants for word-final coda-/s/).

Following the recording session, a research assistant performed acoustic analysis to ensure consistency among the Voice Onset Time (VOT) values from the post-aspiration stimuli, as well as among the F1 and F2 values from the tense- and lax-vowel stimuli (see values in Appendix B). The stimuli involving more categorical differences (e.g., distinción, seseo, /s/-deletion) were reviewed by two trained linguists, both of whom agreed that they accurately reflected the targeted features. All stimulus files were normalized for duration and amplitude with PSOLA resynthesis using a series of Praat scripts. We also added 0.5 seconds of silence prior to the start of each stimulus to mitigate the effects of unexpected audio glitches.

3.3. Survey design and implementation

The survey was created using the Qualtrics platform and consisted of four parts: (1) an introduction involving participant consent and an audio-functionality verification; (2) a short demographic questionnaire; (3) the dialect survey including all 105 audio stimuli; and (4) debriefing questions asking participants to describe their experience taking the survey and to explain what they believed the study’s goal to be.

In the survey, each audio stimulus was presented at the top of a new page in Qualtrics and was accompanied by an orthographic transcription (see Figures 1 and 2). The respondents were permitted to listen to each stimulus twice at most in an effort to reduce any risk of semantic satiation. Next, the listeners responded to seven prompts on the screen. The first prompt was a forced multiple-choice question requiring participants to associate the pronunciation with a geographical area of Spain (north, central, or south). The second prompt used a free-response format in which participants provided more concrete information regarding the perceived geographic origin (e.g., specific province, city, or town) of the stimulus. The five remaining questions asked participants to characterize the audio stimulus according to five social attributes: (1) work ethic; (2) education level; (3) urbanicity; (4) habitus; and (5) humor. The participants responded to the social-attribute prompts by moving a slider to the intended value between 0 and 100 (see Table 2 for the predefined values). All slider scales were initially set to a value of 50 to avoid priming effects.

Figure 1. Image of the first two prompts that respondents saw upon playing an audio stimulus. English translation: Please click the button to hear the phrase “policía ambicioso.” You may listen to the recording a maximum of two times. What region of Spain do you associate this pronunciation with? North of Spain/Central Spain/South of Spain. More specifically, what do you think the geographic origin of this pronunciation is? You can indicate the autonomous community, province, or locality if you know it.
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To be noted, the perceived humor scale is more semantically ambiguous than the four other scales. The indexing of Andalusian Spanish in popular culture as sounding “funny” or of Andalusians themselves being joke-tellers could parallel the American “class clown” stereotype, describing those who are seen as funny yet in an inappropriate or attention-seeking manner. In the context of Andalusian stereotypes, this characteristic often goes hand in hand with unfavorable social attributes such as being uncultivated, lazy, or originating from rural areas of Spain with lower socioeconomic status (Caballero-Gálvez, 2017; Gordillo, 2012).

The stimuli were randomized within a single block of 105 questions in Qualtrics. All participants received ten euros for their participation, and the average completion time was 41 minutes. The participants were informed that payment would not be released until three days after completing the survey, which allowed a research assistant to validate the data by confirming that...
For each model, we computed type III F-statistics ($\chi^2$-statistics for the logistic model) for the two predictor variables of interest and their interaction. Additionally, as a measure of effect size, we computed marginal $R^2$ values (i.e., the percentage of variance explained by the reported variable adjusted for the other predictors in the model) using the R package "r2glmm" (Jaeger, 2017; Jaeger et al., 2017). In order to facilitate model-based inference regarding the fixed effects, we computed marginal predicted means based on the fitted models using the R package "ggpredict" (Lüdecke, 2018). This procedure calculates predicted values for the outcome variable based on all used observations for each category combination of the interaction of interest. To account for the multiple testing throughout the top-down modeling strategy with a beforehand-unknown number of tests, we set an adjusted significance level of $\alpha = 0.005$, following Benjamin et al. (2018).

As a follow-up analysis (see Section 4.4), we fitted models using the five social-attribute percepts as outcomes, and GEOGRAPHIC CLASSIFICATION ("north/central" versus "south"), RESPONDENT CITY, and their interaction as fixed effects, as well as random intercepts for PARTICIPANT and STIMULUS. Additionally, we included by-PARTICIPANT random slopes for GEOGRAPHIC CLASSIFICATION. The analysis followed the same modeling strategy as previously described. All models with this random effect structure converged.

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Geographical classification of variants ("north/central" versus "south")

The first prompt for each stimulus asked participants to select from one of three options regarding the perceived regional origin of the audio file: north, central, or south. From the corresponding regression model, we uncovered a significant effect for the two-way interaction PHONETIC VARIANT $\times$ RESPONDENT CITY ($\chi^2(18) = 44.376, p < 0.001, \text{marginal } R^2 = 0.07$) on the binary outcome coded for "north/central" versus "south." Figure 3 shows the model-derived marginal means and 95% confidence intervals for the outcome organized according to PHONETIC VARIANT (x-axis) and RESPONDENT CITY (legend). For plots of the raw data, see Appendix C.

Regarding the effect of PHONETIC VARIANT for syllable-initial coronal fricatives (leftmost panel of Fig. 3), the mean probability of being classified as "south" was overwhelmingly higher in the $\text{ceceo}$, $\text{seceo}$, and $\text{seseo}$ conditions (mean values between 0.93 and 0.99) than in the $\text{distinción}$ condition (mean values between 0.02 and 0.14). For word-medial /s/, the center
panel shows that this probability was likewise higher in the post-aspiration and /s/-deletion conditions (means between 0.94 and 0.99) than in the /s/-retention condition (means between 0.01 and 0.06). For word-final coda-/s/ (rightmost panel), the probability of being classified as “south” was again higher in the two /s/-lenition conditions (means between 0.90 and 0.99) than in the /s/-retention condition (means between 0.00 and 0.03).

With respect to the interaction between PHONETIC VARIANT and RESPONDENT CITY, the Granada listeners displayed a higher degree of variation than the other two listener groups in the classification of the syllable-initial coronal fricatives. In particular, the Granada listeners were the most likely of the three groups to classify distinción as a southern feature and cceo and seceo as northern/central features.

4.2. Open-ended perceptual classification of phonetic variants

The second prompt for each stimulus asked participants to indicate the most specific geographic origin that they associated with the audio file (see Figure 1 for the Spanish wording). We initially coded these open-ended responses based on the following three-way classification: (1) “north/central” that incorporates all responses referencing a locality in continental Spain outside of Andalusia; (2) “Andalusia-general,” which incorporates all responses referencing the autonomous community of Andalusia without listing a more specific place name (e.g., Andalucía, andaluz); or (3) “Andalusia-specific,” which incorporates all responses that reference a town or province located within Andalusia proper. Figure 4 visualizes the response-type counts in a mosaic plot organized according to PHONETIC VARIANT and RESPONDENT CITY. A mosaic plot is a visual representation of a cross table, meaning that the interpretation relies on tile size, that is, larger areas represent higher counts of the respective response category. Importantly for this plot, the underlying data come from a near-balanced sample of participants with respect to the three respondent cities.

As Figure 4 shows, most respondents classified the distinción stimuli as being from outside of Andalusia, and cceo, seceo, and seseo as originating from within the Andalusian region. For word-medial and word-final coda-/s/, the /s/-retention variants were overwhelmingly identified as pertaining to northern and central regions of Spain, while the /s/-lenition variants were associated with specific Andalusian localities or the general south.

To further explore the participants’ free responses, Figure 5 plots the weighted5 “Andalusia-specific” category based on a fine-grained classification of Andalusia’s intraregional variation (see Jaime-Jiménez, 2019):

(1) western Andalusia (localities in the provinces of Cádiz, Huelva, and Seville);
(2) central Andalusia (localities in the provinces of Córdoba and Málaga); and
(3) eastern Andalusia (localities in the provinces of Almería, Granada, and Jaén).

One main finding is that the participants listed western-Andalusian localities more often than central and eastern localities combined (i.e., the overall plotted area of western

---

Figure 3. Model-predicted marginal means plot for the outcome GEOGRAPHIC CLASSIFICATION per the predictors PHONETIC VARIANT and RESPONDENT CITY. Error bars mark the 95% confidence intervals around each predicted mean value.
Andalusian responses is considerably larger than the combined area of central and eastern Andalusian responses). Figure 5 further shows that for the syllable-initial coronal fricatives, the respondents predominantly classified ceceo, seceo, and seseo as western Andalusian features. In particular, the Jerez respondents displayed a near-categorical response pattern of attributing the non-distinción stimuli to the western provinces.
Table 3. Results of significance tests from the fifteen models fitted for research question 2. X = significant result; n.s. = not significant result; = main effect was not tested due to the presence of the interaction in the model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linguistic context</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Interaction PHONETIC VARIANT</th>
<th>Main effect RESPONDENT CITY</th>
<th>Main effect PHONETIC VARIANT</th>
<th>Test result</th>
<th>Marginal R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syllable-initial coronal fricatives</td>
<td>Work ethic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>F(6, 161.009) = 3.942, p = 0.001</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F(3, 58.949) = 37.176, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habitus</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>F(6, 160.992) = 9.454, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urbanicity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>F(6, 161.039) = 3.813, p = 0.001</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humor</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F(3, 61.250) = 36.674, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word-medial coda-/s/</td>
<td>Work ethic</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>F(4, 161.001) = 4.081, p = 0.004</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F(2, 45.601) = 53.062, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habitus</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>F(4,161.009) = 9.298, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urbanicity</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>F(4,160.996) = 4.706, p = 0.001</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humor</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F(2, 67.797) = 49.352, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word-final coda-/s/</td>
<td>Work ethic</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F(2, 59.436) = 99.314, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F(2, 50.419) = 73.581, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Habitus</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>F(2, 65.312) = 150.191, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urbanicity</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F(4, 161.940) = 3.819, p = 0.005</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Humor</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>F(2, 163.000) = 36.942, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For word-medial coda-/s/, the patterns were overall more varied. Specifically, post-aspiration evoked more western Andalusian responses than central and eastern responses, while /s/-deletion resulted in high response counts for both western Andalusia and eastern Andalusia. The Salamanca listeners were also the most likely of the three groups to perceive post-aspiration as being from western Andalusia.

For word-final /s/, all groups demonstrated a greater preference for classifying the /s/-deletion as being from western Andalusia than central and eastern Andalusia. The respondents were more likely to identify the lax-vowel stimuli, by contrast, as being from eastern Andalusia.

To summarize Sections 4.1 and 4.2, the respondents overwhelmingly perceived distinción and /s/-retention as being native to northern and central Spain, while they classified the three non-distinción variants and the /s/-lenition variants as being southern features. Regarding the free-response prompt, ceceo, seseo, secco, and post-aspiration provoked the most western Andalusian responses, while word-final lax vowels provoked the most eastern Andalusian responses.

4.3. Social-attribute percepts

For seven of the fifteen fitted models for the derived outcomes from research question 2, we uncovered a statistically significant effect for the two-way interaction PHONETIC VARIANT * RESPONDENT CITY (see Table 3). For the remaining eight models, the main effect of PHONETIC VARIANT was significant. In the following subsections of Section 4.3, we therefore focus on describing the model-predicted marginal-means plots based on the observed effects of the significant two-way interaction or the main effect of PHONETIC VARIANT.

4.3.1. Syllable-initial coronal fricatives

This section presents the results from the listeners’ social-attribute ratings for the four variants included in the context of syllable-initial coronal fricatives; the results for each social-attribute percept are graphically presented in Figures 6-10.

Collectively, Figures 6-9 show that the listeners assigned the highest (or most favorable) mean ratings to distinción and the lowest means to ceceo. Secco and seseo fell between the more diametrically opposed distinción and ceceo (when comparing within the responses for each of the three respondent cities). Regarding the interaction between PHONETIC VARIANT and RESPONDENT CITY for perceived work ethic, habitus, and urbanicity, the Jerez listeners were the most extreme for the latter three variants are overall more funny-sounding speech.

Regarding perceived humor, Figure 10 shows that distinción received lower ratings than ceceo, secco, and seseo, indicating that the latter three variants are overall more “funny-sounding” than distinción. It is noteworthy that the numerical results for perceived humor reveal a trend opposite to that of Figures 6-9. This suggests that the respondents remained engaged while completing the survey rather than indiscriminately moving all five sliders in the same direction.

4.3.2. Word-medial coda-/s/

For word-medial coda-/s/, recall that there were three levels of PHONETIC VARIANT: /s/-retention, post-aspiration of /s/, and /s/-deletion. Figures 11-15 plot the marginal means and confidence intervals for the five social-attribute percepts.
For the first four outcomes (i.e., work ethic, education level, habitus, and urbanicity), Figures 11-14 show that /s/-retention received the highest ratings and /s/-deletion received the lowest ratings, with post-aspiration falling intermediate. Regarding the interaction effects for perceived work ethic, habitus, and urbanicity, the listeners from Jerez rated /s/-retention and /s/-deletion most extremely (i.e., these participants assigned the highest means to /s/-retention and the lowest means to /s/-deletion). For perceived work ethic (Fig. 11), the Granada respondents’ means were the highest of the three listener groups.
for the post-aspiration and the /s/-deletion stimuli. All groups’ response ranges concerning perceived urbanicity fell below 50 (Fig. 14), emulating the effect of ceceo on this outcome shown in Section 4.3.1 (Fig. 9). For perceived humor (Fig. 15), post-aspiration received the highest ratings, while /s/-retention received the lowest ratings. The /s/-deletion stimuli received intermediate ratings that were closer to post-aspiration than to /s/-retention.

4.3.3. Word-final coda-/s/
This section presents the results for word-final coda-/s/ based on the three levels of PHONETIC VARIANT: /s/-retention; /s/-deletion + tense vowel; and /s/-deletion + lax vowel.

Figures 16-19. (i.e., perceived work ethic, education level, habitus, and urbanicity) show that the listeners converged in assigning the highest values to /s/-retention, followed by...

Figure 8. Model-predicted marginal means plot for the outcome PERCEIVED HABITUS per the predictors RESPONDENT CITY and PHONETIC VARIANT for syllable-initial coronal fricatives. Error bars mark the 95% confidence intervals around each predicted mean value.

Figure 9. Model-predicted marginal means plot for the outcome PERCEIVED URBANICITY per the predictors RESPONDENT CITY and PHONETIC VARIANT for syllable-initial coronal fricatives. Error bars mark the 95% confidence intervals around each predicted mean value.
When the interaction effect between PHONETIC VARIANT and RESPONDENT CITY was significant (in this instance, for perceived habitus), the Jerez listeners had assigned the highest mean values to /s/-retention. Concerning both perceived habitus (Fig. 18) and perceived urbanicity (Fig. 19), the three groups converged in assigning means well below 50 to the /s/-deletion + lax vowel stimuli. Finally, Figure 20 shows that perceived humor was highest for /s/-deletion + lax vowel and lowest for /s/-retention.
4.4. Respondents’ associations between geographic classifications and social-attribute percepts

The results from Sections 4.1 and 4.2 indicate that the non-distinción variants for the syllable-initial coronal fricatives and all /s/-lenition variants for both categories of syllable-final-/s/ were the most likely to be classified as originating from southern Spain. The results from Section 4.3 indicate that this same set of variants consistently received the least favorable social-attribute ratings. To investigate the relationship between these two outcomes, we fitted LMEMs with each of the five social attributes as outcomes and RESPONDENT CITY, GEOGRAPHIC CLASSIFICATION, and their interaction as predictors. In three of the five models...
(perceived habitus, urbanicity, and humor), we found a significant interaction between RESPONDENT CITY and GEOGRAPHIC CLASSIFICATION (see Table 4). The results of this analysis are visually presented in Figure 21. The figure displays model-predicted marginal means of the interaction levels for RESPONDENT CITY * GEOGRAPHIC CLASSIFICATION with corresponding 95% confidence intervals for each of the social attributes. The predicted means confirm that the stimuli classified as “south of Spain” were also more likely to be evaluated as having lower work ethic, education level, habitus, and urbanicity, as well as being more humorous than the stimuli classified as pertaining to northern or central Spain. When the interaction effect was significant (i.e., for perceived habitus, urbanicity, and humor), the Jerez respondents displayed the largest
differences in their predicted means between “north/central” and “south,” while the Salamanca respondents showed more subtle differences on the habitus and urbanicity scales.

To summarize, from Section 4.4 we find a relationship between the participants’ geographical classifications and their social evaluations. The stimuli classified as being from the south of Spain were consistently attributed the least favorable social meanings.

5. Discussion

5.1. Responding to research question 1

Research question 1 inquired into listeners’ regional classifications of the ten phonetic variants included in the survey. Research question 1a specifically considered listeners’ responses on a forced north/central/south classification, while research
question 1b considered the responses from an open-ended prompt.

Research question 1a

Syllable-initial coronal fricatives. Distinción was more likely to be classified as a northern or central feature, whereas the ceceo, seceo, and seco stimuli were far more likely to be classified as southern features. Although the listener groups showed fair agreement overall, the response patterns for the syllable-initial coronal fricatives displayed a greater level of variation than for word-medial and word-final coda-/s/. In particular, the Granada listeners were the most likely of the three groups to classify distinción as a southern feature and ceceo and seceo as northern features. The Granada listeners’ heightened recognition of geolinguistic
variation with respect to distinción resonates with previous studies showing that speakers from the eastern Andalusian region are more likely to use the distinción national standard compared to western or central Andalusians. It is hypothesized that this difference arises from sociolinguistic factors such as dialect mixing and regional dialect leveling, which are more common in the eastern provinces (Melguizo Moreno, 2007; Villena-Ponsoda, 2008).

Word-medial and word-final coda-/s/. All participant groups predominantly classified the /s/-retention stimuli as being from northern or central Spain and the /s/-lenition stimuli as pertaining to the south. With respect to group differences, the Salamanca respondents were near categorical in classifying /s/-retention as a strictly northern/central feature. Concerning the effect of PHONETIC VARIANT, the lax-vowel stimuli were the most likely to be classified as “south.”

Overall, these geographic classifications suggest that listeners of Iberian Spanish hold rigid perceptual categorizations of coda-/s/ variation. The seemingly invariant geographic indices tied to coda-/s/ suggest that /s/-lenition operates as a robust and widespread sociophonetic cue for signaling a speaker’s regional origin (Gordillo, 2012). Such findings notwithstanding, it is important to emphasize that /s/-lenition also manifests in areas well to the north of the Andalusian border, such as in nearby Castile-La Mancha and the country’s capital Madrid (Henriksen & Harper, 2016; Momcilovic, 2009).

5.1.2. Research question 1b

Regarding the listeners’ responses to the free-response prompt, we first analyzed the data using a three-way classification coded for northern/central localities, “Andalusia” as a general category, or specific localities within Andalusia. The results closely resembled the findings from research question 1a.

We subsequently coded the “Andalusia-specific” responses as western, central, or eastern Andalusian. A main finding was that localities from western Andalusia were overall more frequent among the participants’ responses than localities from central or eastern Andalusia. For the syllable-initial coronal fricatives in particular, the respondents overwhelmingly converged in classifying ceceo, seceo, and seseo as western Andalusian. This finding confirms earlier proposals that listeners readily identify a speaker’s Andalusian origin based on non-distinción features (Regan, 2022:23). In other words, listeners have formed a collective indexical link between nonstandardized variants and the western provinces.

With respect to word-medial /s/ + /p t k/ sequences, Ruch (2018) showed that listeners from Andalusia are more likely to rate post-aspirated stops as either younger-sounding or western Andalusian rather than as older-sounding or eastern Andalusian. While our results corroborate those of Ruch (2018), a novel finding is that the Salamanca respondents were the most likely of the three groups to classify post-aspiration as a western feature and the least likely to classify it as eastern. The rigidity reflected in the Salamanca participants’ classifications suggests that while NCPS listeners may be attuned to the western Andalusian origin of the

Table 4. Results of the significance tests from the five models for WORK ETHIC, EDUCATION LEVEL, HABITUS, URBANICITY, and HUMOR (Section 4.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Test result for interaction of RESPONDENT CITY * GEOGRAPHIC CLASSIFICATION</th>
<th>Marginal R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work ethic</td>
<td>F(2,161.0) = 4.065, p = 0.019</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level</td>
<td>F(2,160.3) = 5.059, p = 0.007</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitus</td>
<td>F(2,160.7) = 16.857, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urbanicity</td>
<td>F(2,160.6) = 9.620, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humor</td>
<td>F(2,159.8) = 7.403, p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sound change, they remain less aware of the ongoing diffusion of post-aspiration to the eastern provinces (see Ruch & Harrington, 2014; Ruch & Peters, 2016).

Regarding word-final coda-/s/, more respondents classified the lax-vowel stimuli as having origins in eastern Andalusia than in central or western Andalusia, which supports previous work proposing that vowel laxing is a robust marker of intraregional variation in Andalusian Spanish (Hernández-Campoy & Trudgill, 2002). There was less agreement overall in classifying the lax-vowel stimuli as pertaining to the central provinces.

5.2. Responding to research question 2

Research question 2 considered Iberian Spanish listeners’ social evaluations of the ten phonetic variants. The interaction of PHONETIC VARIANT * RESPONDENT CITY proved significant in seven of the fifteen LMEMs; in all other models, PHONETIC CATEGORY alone was significant.

5.2.1 Syllable-initial coronal fricatives

The distinción stimuli received the most favorable attribute ratings, while ceceo generally received the least favorable ratings (i.e., the lowest means in terms of perceived work ethic, education level, urbanicity, and habitus, and the highest mean for perceived humor); the values for seco and seseo were generally intermediate. The Jerez listeners demonstrated the most polarized response patterns by assigning the most favorable means to distinción and the least favorable means to ceceo.

The overall response patterns for distinción support the findings of matched-guise perception studies (Regan, 2019; 2020b), showing that Andalusian speakers of higher socioeconomic status draw from nationwide ideologies in their increased usage of the distinción system (recall that our participants were primarily university educated). In light of this, Regan (2022) has proposed that listeners’ implicit language attitudes toward distinción and ceceo operate with respect to social meanings referencing socioeconomic class, urbanicity, formality, educational level, and profession. Our study emphasizes that an additional attribute, namely perceived humor, merits inclusion into the field of social meanings indexed with syllable-initial coronal fricatives.

This study additionally offers new findings concerning the respondents’ evaluations of seco and seseo, which generally fell intermediate between distinción and ceceo. One explanation for the medial ratings assigned to seco and seseo is that listeners may be familiar with the regional prestige attached to Sevillian speech norms within the context of Andalusia proper: “the Seville urban variety has become the basis of a regional spoken standard [ . . . ] which represents an innovative alternative to the spoken national standard” (Hernández-Campoy & Villena-Ponsoda, 2009:193). A related explanation is that if the participants’ initial reactions to the seco and seseo stimuli was to associate these variants with Seville, they may have been additionally challenged by the task of conflating distinct associations found within Andalusia into a single social-attribute assignment. That is, associations with both the stigmatized rural south and the prestige of the capital city may have been simultaneously activated, yielding intermediate values. Analogously, British listeners hold conflicting views regarding the “London” label due to the sociodemographic diversity associated with different areas of the United Kingdom’s capital city (Bishop, Coupland & Garrett, 2005:139).
5.2.2 Word-medial coda-/s/
All groups attributed the most favorable social meanings to /s/-retention, followed by post-aspiration, and finally /s/-deletion. The listeners’ more positive ratings of post-aspiration, in comparison to /s/-deletion, provide a social basis for the ongoing change toward post-aspirated stops among speakers of Andalusian Spanish (Ruch & Harrington, 2014; Ruch & Peters, 2016). Note that Campbell-Kibler (2010) and Eckert (2008) propose that when variants become socially prized, they are more likely to become the basis of sound change. At the same time, we should underscore that both post-aspiration and /s/-deletion received markedly lower ratings than /s/-retention. This reinforces the idea that at a national level, /s/-retention continues to hold overt prestige even though post-aspiration is undergoing regional transition across subvarieties of Andalusian Spanish (Ruch & Harrington, 2014; Ruch & Peters, 2016).

5.2.3 Word-final coda-/s/
The participant groups demonstrated considerable agreement in attributing the most favorable social meanings to /s/-retention and the least favorable meanings to the lax-vowel stimuli, with the ratings for the tense-vowel stimuli being intermediate. To our knowledge, ours is the first study to examine listeners’ social evaluations of word-final vowel laxing in comparison to /s/-retention and /s/-deletion alone, as well as in comparison to phonetic variants from other phonological environments. Notably, the laxing stimuli received the most unfavorable evaluations of the ten phonetic variants targeted in this study. One possible explanation for this result is that the presence of the lax vowel in combination with deletion of syllable-final /s/ contributes to an exceptionally unfavorable socially attributed meaning.

To summarize, the results from research question 2 indicate that listeners of Iberian Spanish incorporate collective ideologies into their social assignments of regional variation. The interconnected findings suggest that the social attributes linked to the ten targeted variants derive from comparable indexical fields of meaning (Eckert, 2008, 2019). When group differences emerged, the Jerez respondents often presented a more polarized data pattern than the Granada and Salamanca respondents. The Jerez listeners’ distinctly unfavorable ratings toward the Andalusian-sounding stimuli resemble what Labov (1972) calls “linguistic insecurity,” which is the negative self-image held by users of their own variety vis-à-vis more overtly prestigious varieties (Moreno-Fernández, 2020:60). Labov further proposes that linguistic insecurity and regional variation are closely linked, meaning that speakers may feel less confident if they believe that a local form of speaking is less prestigious than more “correct” ways of speaking. Within the context of Andalusian Spanish, the present results suggest that such linguistically underprivileged language users remain less confident in the social favorability of their own speech forms (Preston, 1998). Yet another possibility is that the Jerez listeners responded based on how they believe the variants would be perceived on a national level, even if they hold some level of personal pride associated with them.

5.3. Social motivations of language change in Andalusian Spanish
The social-attribution results further elucidate the nature of language change in Andalusian Spanish. Eckert (2008) proposes that a linguistic form’s indexical assignment undergoes cycles of reinterpretation as the form is used and heard in new social contexts (Silverstein, 2003). Contemporarily, one way in which language users reevaluate a form’s indexical assignment stems from ongoing changes affecting urban versus rural speakers (Kerswill, 2003; Trudgill, 1986). Due to societal shifts that give rise to increased social mobility and regional dialect leveling, speakers from urban areas are prone to adopt overtly prestigious forms of speech at faster rates than speakers from rural areas (Brittain, 2009, 2010). A subsequent reinterpretation of overtly prestigious variants as more “urban”-sounding yields an accompanying reindexing of traditional dialect features as more local- or “rural”-sounding (Regan, 2022). One of the stronger findings of our study comes from the participants’ responses to the urbanicity-rurality scale, with three variants standing out as sounding the most rural and consistently yielding mean values below 50: ceceo in the syllable-initial fricatives, /s/-deletion in the word-medial context, and /s/-deletion + lax vowel in the word-final context.

With respect to syllable-initial coronal fricatives, one interpretation of the urbanicity findings is that the change from ceceo to distinción (Regan, 2020b) is currently more advanced in urban areas of Andalusia than in surrounding rural areas, which leads to a re-evaluation of ceceo as an even stronger marker of rural identity. Regarding seseo and seseo, these variants were perceived as pertaining to the speech from a small city (i.e., means near 50). Such medial ratings likely reflect the respondents’ awareness that seseo and seseo are pervasive among speakers from Seville, Andalusia’s capital city, and the region’s chief urban area (Narbona Aguilar & Morillo, 1998). Regarding word-medial coda-/s/, the respondents converged in assigning values near 50 to postaspiration and comparatively lower values to /s/-deletion. This data pattern suggests that the straightforward deletion of the alveolar fricative in the phonological /s/ + /p t k/ context may be undergoing an indexical re-evaluation as more “rural”-sounding due to urban Andalusian speakers’ heightened use of post-aspiration (Ruch & Harrington, 2014; Ruch & Peters, 2016). This subtle social differential between /s/-deletion and post-aspiration aligns with Eckert’s (2008:471) proposal that “the longer a variable is around the more nuanced meanings it can take on” (recall that post-aspiration is a more recent development than /s/-deletion). Concerning listeners’ assignments of lax vowels indexing rurality, the findings do not entirely support previous dialectological research claiming that Andalusia’s eastern and central provinces are notoriously laxing regions (Alvar, 1996; Hernández-Campoy & Trudgill, 2002). While our perceptual data suggest that vowel laxing is indeed a pervasive process in these areas, they also give the impression that urban central and eastern speakers may be diverging away from a previously conventionalized use of lax vowels, due to an updated indexing of laxing as especially rural-sounding. Future research will need to test this hypothesis.

5.4. Implications for language-based discrimination
This study has implications for the ways in which listeners automatically and preemptively make assumptions about a speaker through combining knowledge of regional variation and implicit bias. Craft and colleagues emphasize that a harmful byproduct of language ideologies is the impression they create that language users have the liberty to “elevate or devalue the social standing and language performance of speakers according to their language choices” (2020:393). Rubin (2012:12) further explains that when listeners make “informal, on-the-spot” judgments about a speaker’s voice, they utilize assumptions from stereotypical associations between linguistic variation and social identity. In the context of
Andalusian Spanish, national media and television can sometimes portray speakers from southern Spain as uncultivated, unintelligible, or producing prescriptively incorrect speech (Gordillo, 2012; León-Castro Gómez, 2016). The participants from the present study likely fell back on commonplace Andalusian stereotypes when attributing social meaning to the southern-sounding stimuli. Although our findings do not explicitly demonstrate inequitable outcomes for Andalusians (Purnell et al., 1999), the negative judgments of the Andalusian variants call attention to a sociolinguistic climate ripe for language-based discrimination through linguistic profiling. In future work, it will be important for linguists to develop strategies that combat potential discrimination brought about by an adverse indexing of Andalusian features. In this regard, we endorse Craft and colleagues’ (2020:402) call for action in response to linguistic justice across numerous institutional arenas.

5.5. Limitations

Limitations of this study include: (1) the use of a single voice in the survey; (2) the use of short noun phrases in the stimuli; and (3) the homogeneous sociodemographic background of the respondents.

Regarding the first limitation, the audio stimuli were recorded by a single male speaker. While the results are relevant for understanding how listeners incorporate global ideologies of regional differences into their social evaluations of language, we have not established whether any additional social indexing of a speaker’s voice (e.g., age, speaker sex) simultaneously influences listeners’ judgments (Cole, 2021; Walker et al., 2014). Second, most audio files in the survey included single noun phrases due to our attempt to mitigate the confounds of longer stimuli containing multiple Andalusian-sounding variants. However, studies argue that spontaneous-speech stimuli might activate more authentic sociolinguistic perceptions than read-speech stimuli (Campbell-Kibler, 2010:34). Finally, we limited our participant recruitment to university-level respondents with life-long experiences in Spain’s national education system. Future studies aiming to present a more expansive vision of language ideologies will benefit from including a more diverse pool of sociodemographic backgrounds (Eckert, 2008:467; Henrich, Heine & Norenzayan, 2010).

6. Conclusion

This study’s aim was to investigate the perceptual assessments of ten phonetic variants used in Iberian Spanish by eliciting listeners’ geographic classifications and social evaluations of the variants. The audio stimuli, featuring three linguistic contexts, were evaluated by 165 listeners from Granada, Jerez de la Frontera, and Salamanca, Spain. The main findings include: (1) the listener groups were fairly consistent in their geographic classifications based on a north/central/south categorization; (2) when classifying a stimulus as originating from Andalusia, the participants more often identified localities from western Andalusia than from central or eastern Andalusia; (3) the participants attributed more favorable social meanings to variants common to northern/central areas of Spain than to variants common to southern Spain; and (4) the western Andalusian listeners from Jerez evaluated the stimuli in the most polarized manner. The latter results echo the findings of previous studies demonstrating the ways in which speakers of underprivileged language varieties can hold feelings of linguistic insecurity toward their own ways of speaking (Labov, 1972; Preston, 1989).

A major takeaway from this study is that listeners of Iberian Spanish reference shared ideologies toward regional variation when assigning social meaning to linguistic form. In this respect, this article brings attention to the largely unfavorable attitudes that language users hold toward Andalusian Spanish, which have the potential to disadvantage Andalusians themselves through instances of language-based discrimination (Baugh, 2003, 2017; Purnell et al., 1999). On a more conceptual level, the findings emphasize the dynamic relationship between linguistic variation and social evaluation (Campbell-Kibler, 2010; Eckert, 2008, 2019).
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Notes

1 We emphasize the lack of inherent favorability or value of such social attributes (e.g., "rurality"). In this paper, we refer to the attributes in terms of the “favorability” they have accrued within the particular socioeconomic, political, and historical contexts in which our study is couched.

2 Note that in the ceseo system, there is a question regarding the phonetic realization of the interdental voiceless fricative, namely, whether it is best transcribed as [θ], [s], or [p] (see Regan, 2020b:162).

3 Note that this is different from ceseo, in which speakers vary between distinción and ceseo (Regan, 2022).

4 An additional category, coded as “other,” includes all place names outside of continental Spain (e.g., Canary Islands, Latin America). The “other” category was dropped from the analysis due to low token counts (2.89% of the data).

5 To account for the unbalance in the subsample “Andalusia-specific,” we applied a weighting procedure. The unweighted numbers of observation were as follows: 1613 from Jerez, 1771 from Granada, and 1250 from Salamanca.

6 We understand “overtly prestigious” features as supralocal linguistic forms that are prioritized in institutional or public domains, such as in educational systems or in national media (see Regan, 2022:501).
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**Appendix A. List of baseline token used in the perception study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linguistic context</th>
<th>Baseline tokens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Syllable-initial coronal fricatives (target consonants are underlined)</td>
<td>cereza, mohosa, moldy cherry, diferencia, necesaria, necesidad, difference, la alegria de su vecino, ‘your neighbor’s porch’, maza, precioso, ‘precious young woman’; polaco, ambiguo, ‘ambiguous police officer’, precipicio, peligoso, ‘dangerous precipice’; sacarote, imbecil, ‘idiotic priest’; una oficina, sencilla, ‘a simple office’; zapata de la sencilla, ‘a simple shoe-stomp’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Word-medial coda-/s/ (target phonemic sequences are underlined)</td>
<td>/sp/ targets: espina, ‘spine’, espada, ‘sword’; despertó, ‘awake’; /st/ targets: pestano, ‘eyelash’, Estela, ‘Estela’; estaba, ‘he/she was’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distractors</td>
<td>Cuba y Puerto Rico, ‘Cuba and Puerto Rico’; el equipo y el grupo, ‘the team and the group’; el libro y la gorda, ‘the book and the cap’; el muriceo y lo obra, ‘the doll and the play’; la amiga de Mario, ‘Mario’s friend’; la cama y la lámpara, ‘the bed and the lamp’; la comida y la bebida, ‘the food and the drink’; la pelea y la bandera, ‘the fight and the flag’; Perú y Uruguay, ‘Peru and Uruguay’; un lagón y un río, ‘a lake and a river’; un niño y una niña, ‘a boy and a girl’; un perro y un gato, ‘a dog and a cat’; un rico y un pobre, ‘a rich person and a poor person’; una planta y una flor, ‘a plant and a flower’; una rata y un búho, ‘a rat and an owl’</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B. Phonetic measures taken for the word-medial coda-/s/ and word-final coda-/s/ stimuli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>/s/-retention stimuli</th>
<th>post-aspiration stimuli</th>
<th>/s/-deletion stimuli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>/s/ duration</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure duration</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VOT duration</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B1. Mean segmental durations for word-medial coda-/s/ stimuli (all values in ms)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>/s/-retention stimuli</th>
<th>/s/-deletion + tense vowel stimuli</th>
<th>/s/-deletion + lax vowel stimuli</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F1</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F2</td>
<td>1738</td>
<td>1716</td>
<td>1589</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table B2. Mean F1 and F2 values for word-final coda-/s/ stimuli (all values in Hz)

Appendix C. Bar charts of raw counts from responses to the three-way ‘north of Spain/center of Spain/south of Spain’ classification

Figure C1. Frequency of geographical classification responses for each variant of syllable-initial coronal fricatives within each RESPONDENT CITY.
Figure C2. Frequency of geographical classification responses for each variant of word-medial coda-/s/ within each RESPONDENT CITY.

Figure C3. Frequency of geographical classification responses for each variant of word-final coda-/s/ within each RESPONDENT CITY.