Delayed postprandial TAG peak after intake of SFA compared with PUFA in subjects with and without familial hypercholesterolaemia: a randomised controlled trial
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Abstract
Postprandial hypertriacylglycerolaemia is associated with an increased risk of developing CVD. How fat quality influences postprandial lipid response is scarcely explored in subjects with familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH). The aim of this study was to investigate the postprandial response of TAG and lipid sub-classes after consumption of high-fat meals with different fat quality in subjects with FH compared with normolipidaemic controls. A randomised controlled double-blind cross-over study with two meals and two groups was performed. A total of thirteen hypertriacylglycerolaemic subjects with FH who discontinued lipid-lowering treatment 4 weeks before and during the study, and fourteen normolipidaemic controls, were included. Subjects were aged 18–30 years and had a BMI of 18.5–30.0 kg/m². Each meal consisted of a muffin containing 60 g (70 E%) of fat, either mainly SFA (40 E%) or PUFA (40 E%), eaten in a random order with a wash-out period of 3–5 weeks between the meals. Blood samples were collected at baseline (fasting) and 2, 4 and 6 h after intake of the meals. In both FH and control subjects, the level of TAG and the largest VLDL sub-classes peaked at 2 h after intake of PUFA and at 4 h after intake of SFA. No significant differences were found in TAG levels between meals or between groups (0 ≤ P ≤ 0.72). The distinct TAG peaks may reflect differences in the postprandial lipid metabolism after intake of fatty acids with different chain lengths and degrees of saturation. The clinical impact of these findings remains to be determined.
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CVD is the main cause of death in Europe[1]. In addition to well-established risk factors such as hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension, diabetes and smoking[2], both fasting and postprandial hypertriacylglycerolaemia are associated with an increased risk of developing CVD. Whether this association is causal is still debated[3–6]. Dietary fat is mainly composed of TAG, which after absorption is incorporated in chylomicrons (CM) and consequently transported to the circulation[7]. CM and its remnants, along with VLDL from the liver, cause the elevated TAG concentration in the postprandial phase[8]. The magnitude of the postprandial response is determined by several lifestyle factors such as diet, exercise and smoking. Among dietary factors, fat quantity is the main determinant of the postprandial lipid response, but fat quality, i.e. fatty acids of different chain lengths and degrees of saturation, and additional intake of protein, dietary fibre and alcohol may also affect the response[9]. High levels of VLDL and CM remnants may promote atherosclerosis directly, by entering the intima and by attracting inflammatory cells to the intima, but also indirectly by causing a more atherogenic lipoprotein profile in the circulation[10].

Patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH) are characterised by elevated cholesterol levels, resulting in an increased risk of developing early-onset CVD[10]. FH is mainly caused by a mutation in the gene coding for the LDL receptor[11]. Subsequently, the deficiency in the LDL-receptor function may affect the metabolism of TAG-rich lipoproteins, including VLDL and CM[12,13]. Some have reported an increased postprandial TAG response in FH subjects when compared with normolipidaemic controls[14], whereas others have not[15]. Furthermore, studies have shown increased postprandial levels of CM or increased
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production of VLDL in subjects with FH compared with controls\(^{(16–19)}\).

Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) affects the metabolism of LDL; however, it has also been suggested to affect the metabolism of TAG-rich lipoproteins\(^{(20)}\). Circulating PCSK9 has been shown to be positively correlated with plasma TAG, VLDL particle concentration, intermediate-density lipoprotein particle concentration\(^{(21)}\) and CM particle concentration\(^{(22)}\). Moreover, Bjermo et al\(^{(23)}\) recently showed that intake of PUFA compared with SFA reduced PCSK9 levels, and hypothesised that down-regulation of PCSK9 could be a novel mechanism behind the cholesterol-lowering effects of PUFA.

Whether the content of SFA and PUFA in the diet could differently affect the postprandial TAG response is still not clarified\(^{(24)}\), and it is scarcely explored in subjects with FH. In the present study, the aim was to investigate the postprandial response of TAG and lipid sub-classes after consumption of high-fat meals with different fat quality in subjects with FH compared with healthy normolipidaemic controls.

### Methods

#### Subjects

Subjects with genetically verified FH and healthy normolipidaemic controls aged 18–30 years were recruited from March to May 2016. FH subjects were recruited from the out-patient Lipid Clinic, Oslo University Hospital, Norway, and the patient organisation, FH Norge, Norway, by mail invitations with a subsequent telephone request. Control subjects were primarily recruited by email invitations and advertisements by posters at the University of Oslo, Norway. Screening interviews were performed by telephone, and the interventions were performed at the University of Oslo, Norway.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: FH subjects with FH mutation in genes not coding for LDL-receptor (data collected from the patient’s medical journal at Oslo University Hospital), self-reported BMI <18.5 or >30 kg/m\(^2\), C-reactive protein >10 mg/l, TAG >4 mmol/l, any co-morbidities, use of medication other than lipid-lowering or contraceptive pills, hormonal treatment, pregnancy or lactation, allergy or intolerance for gluten or egg, tobacco smoking, large alcohol consumption (>40 g daily), weight change ±5% of body weight within the past 3 months, blood donation within the past 2 months or unwillingness to stop using medications or supplements affecting the lipid metabolism the last 4 weeks before both intervention days. All FH subjects were treated with lipid-lowering medications but agreed to discontinue the treatment the last 4 weeks before the 1st test day and in the period between the 1st and 2nd test day.

#### Study design

The study was a randomised controlled double-blind cross-over study. Subjects with FH (without current lipid-lowering treatment) and normolipidaemic controls ingested two meals with different fat quality in a randomised order with a wash-out period of 3–5 weeks between the meals. The two meals had a similar appearance and were marked A or B to ensure blinding of participants and care providers. Each meal consisted of a high-fat muffin with the same amount of energy, carbohydrates, protein and total fat, but with different fatty acid composition, as shown in Table 1. The fatty acid composition of the meals was analysed by Eurofins. Each meal was 150 g and contained about 60 g of fat (70% of the total energy). One of the meals was rich in SFA from palm oil and coconut oil and the other was rich in PUFA from sunflower oil and rapeseed oil (AAK Sweden AB). The amount of MUFA was similar in the two meals. The meal was consumed within 15 min. Venous blood samples were taken at baseline (fasting) and at 2, 4 and 6 h after consumption of the meal.

The subjects were advised to keep their habitual diet and physical activity level during the study period. Before each test meal, they had to be fasting for 12 h. They were also asked not to consume alcohol or conduct strenuous exercise within the last 24 h before the test meal, nor to consume high-fat foods the evening before (the last 14 h). A list of low-fat foods that could be consumed the evening before the test days was given to the participants. They all reported to have followed the guidelines regarding food intake and physical activity the last 24 h before the interventions. During the test day, the subjects engaged in minimum physical activity and were not allowed to eat or drink anything but water (maximum 1 litre). After the last blood sample, the subjects were offered a meal.

#### Anthropometry, blood pressure and diet

Waist and hip circumferences were measured according to the World Health Organization guidelines\(^{(25)}\). Body composition was assessed by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (GE Lunar...
Nutrition, University of Oslo, Norway.

The intake of energy and different nutrients from the FFQ was assessed by a semi-quantitative FFQ including 270 food items, designed to capture the habitual food intake of Norwegian adults in the preceding year, as described elsewhere\(^\text{26}\). Average daily intake of energy and different nutrients from the FFQ was computed using the food database KBSAE-14 and KBS software system (KBS version 7.3, 2017) developed at the Department of Nutrition, University of Oslo, Norway.

Routine measures

Serum was obtained from silica gel tubes (Becton Dickenson Vacutainer Systems) and kept at room temperature for 30–60 min until centrifugation (1500 \(g\), 15 min). Whole-blood samples were collected in EDTA tubes (Becton Dickenson Vacutainer Systems) and kept at room temperature until analysis. Standard blood chemistry and lipid variables were measured in serum and whole blood using routine laboratory methods at an accredited medical laboratory (Fürst Medical Laboratory).

ELISA

Serum was divided into aliquots and frozen at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) for analysis of apoB48 and PCSK9. ApoB48 concentration, which reflects the amount of CM, was determined by the Human ApoB48 ELISA kit (catalogue no.: RSHAKHB48R; producer: BioVendor Research and Diagnostic Products, distributor: BioVendor Laboratorni Medicina as., intra variation: 3.5\% , inter variation: 2.8–8.6\%). PCSK9 concentration was determined by the Human Proprotein Convertase 9/PCSK9 Immunoassay ELISA kit (catalogue no.: DPC900; producer: R&D Systems, Inc., distributor: R&D Systems Europe, Ltd, intra variation: 4.8–27.7\% , inter variation: 4.6–27.9\%).

Lipoprotein sub-classes

Plasma was obtained from EDTA tubes (Becton Dickenson Vacutainer Systems), kept on ice immediately and centrifuged within 15 min at 2000 \(g\) for 15 min at \(4^\circ\text{C}\). Plasma was divided into aliquots and frozen at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) for analysis of lipoprotein sub-classes. Lipoprotein sub-classes were analysed by using a metabolomics platform (Nightingale's Biomarker Analysis Platform). By this method, the concentration and composition of fourteen different lipoprotein sub-classes can be determined (six VLDL, one intermediate-density lipoprotein, three LDL, four HDL\(^\text{27}\)).

Ethics

The study was approved by the Regional Committees for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REK 2015/2392/REK sør-øst B) and conducted according to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All subjects provided written informed consent. The study was registered at www.ClinicalTrials.gov with the registration no. NCT02729857.

Statistics

The participants were randomised and the randomisation list was created using Excel random list generator. Data are presented as medians and interquartile range: 25th–75th percentiles when not otherwise specified. A Mann–Whitney U test or a \(\chi^2\) test was used to test differences between FH subjects and healthy controls at baseline. AUC\(^{28}\) and incremental AUC (AUC adjusted for baseline values as described elsewhere, \(\text{iAUC}\)) were calculated on all repeated variables by using the trapezoid method. AUC and \(\text{iAUC}\) were analysed by a linear mixed model for repeated measures to study the effect of the meals within each group and between groups. Meal, group, period (which meal the subjects received first) and their interactions were included in the model. When no significant interaction was found between meal and group (i.e. when group effects did not differ between meals and vice versa), \(P\) values are presented from testing differences between meals and between groups. In the case of significant interaction between group and meal, \(P\) values are presented from analysis stratified by group and meal. Period and interactions with period were not significant and therefore not presented. The residuals were examined to check model assumptions. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient, \(r\), was estimated for circulating PCSK9 and lipids (AUC and \(\text{iAUC}\)) stratified by group with both meals combined. \(P\) values \(<0.05\) were considered significant. The statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 20.0.

Results

Baseline characteristics

We included thirteen subjects with FH and eighteen healthy normolipaemic controls. Flow chart of the participants is shown in Fig. 1. Four control subjects dropped out after the 1st test day and were therefore not included in the statistical analysis. Reasons for dropping out were lack of time, fear of multiple blood samples and mild headache during the postprandial period. Baseline characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 2. The groups were similar with regard to age, sex, anthropometric measures and most biomarkers. As expected, FH subjects had significantly higher baseline levels of total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and apoB, but also higher diastolic blood pressure compared with control subjects (Table 2). Furthermore, the two groups did not differ with regard to dietary intake (Table 3). All FH subjects had LDL receptor missense mutations, except one that had a mutation that disrupts normal splicing of the LDL receptor (Table 2). On average, the FH subjects had used lipid-lowering treatment for 10 years, but they discontinued the medication 4 weeks before the 1st test day and during the study.

Postprandial response in lipid parameters

There was no significant difference in the postprandial response (neither AUC nor \(\text{iAUC}\)) in the level of TAG, apoB48, HDL-cholesterol or glucose between the different meals (SFA or PUFA) or between the groups, as shown in the online
Fig. 1. Flow chart of the participants. FH, familial hypercholesterolaemia.

Table 2. Subject characteristics at baseline* (Medians and interquartile ranges (IQR); percentages; numbers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n 14)</th>
<th>FH (n 13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>IQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>21.5–23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>70.3–83.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference (cm)</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>94.4–100.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat mass (%)</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>19.9–29.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>106–127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>63–73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5–1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine (μmol/l)</td>
<td>71.5</td>
<td>59.8–85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAT (U/l)</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>20.3–29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAT (U/l)</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>16.1–25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH (mU/l)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.5–2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0–5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.4–4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/l)</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>35.6–46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAG (mmol/l)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7–1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.6–4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.8–2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.2–1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoA1 (g/l)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.4–1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoB (g/l)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.7–0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lp(a) (mg/l)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100–259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL receptor missense mutation (n)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL receptor disrupting normal splicing mutation (n)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FH, familial hypercholesterolaemia; hs-CRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; ASAT, aspartate amino transferase; ALAT, alanine amino transferase; TSH, thyroid-stimulating hormone; Lp(a), lipoprotein(a).

* P values from Mann–Whitney U test or χ² test. Blood samples are fasting.

Supplementary Table S1 (0.08 ≤ P ≤ 0.96). Interestingly, the TAG concentration peaked at 2 h after intake of the PUFA meal, whereas at 4 h after intake of the SFA meal in both groups (Fig. 2). This was also reflected in the level of apoB48 in FH subjects (Fig. 2). The distinct TAG peaks were also apparent in the individual TAG and apoB48 curves, even though large individual differences were observed (online Supplementary Fig. S1). Compared with controls, subjects with FH had...
Table 3. Daily dietary intake among the participants\textsuperscript{*} (Medians and interquartile ranges (IQR))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n 14)</th>
<th>FH (n 13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>IQR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (KJ)</td>
<td>10331</td>
<td>9073–11996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (E%)</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>40.5–45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary fibre (E%)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0–3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added sugar (E%)</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.9–6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (E%)</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>13.9–18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol (E%)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.4–3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fat (E%)</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>32.2–37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFA (E%)</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>8.8–14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans-unsaturated fat (E%)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2–0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUFA (E%)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.0–14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUFA (E%)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>6.0–7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* P values from Mann–Whitney U test. Dietary intake is measured by a FFQ.

 significandy higher AUC for PCSK9, total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol (P ≤ 0.02), but not when adjusted for baseline (0.40 ≤ P ≤ 0.95, iAUC) (Fig. 2 and online Supplementary Table S1). We found no significant effect of meals for any of these variables (0.61 ≤ P ≤ 0.91, AUC; 0.13 ≤ P ≤ 0.99, iAUC).

Similar to the TAG response, the level of the three largest VLDL sub-classes (L, XL and XXL) peaked later after intake of the SFA meal compared with the PUFA meal in both groups. This may reflect different in the postprandial lipid metabolism after intake of fatty acids with different chain lengths and degrees of saturation.

**Correlations between PCSK9 and lipids**

For both control and FH subjects, no significant correlation was found between circulating PCSK9 and TAG, total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol and apoB48 (−0.34 ≤ r ≤ 0.36, AUC and iAUC, online Supplementary Table S3). In controls, a significant correlation was found between PCSK9 and VLDL particle concentration (r 0.51, P = 0.006, iAUC) and between PCSK9 and IDL particle concentration (r 0.44, P = 0.02, AUC).

**Discussion**

In the present study, there was no significant difference in the postprandial response (neither AUC nor iAUC) of TAG or apoB48 elicited by the different meals or between the two different groups. However, we found that the TAG concentrations peaked at 2 h after intake of the PUFA meal, and at 4 h after intake of the SFA meal in both groups. This may reflect differences in the postprandial lipid metabolism after intake of fatty acids with different chain lengths and degrees of saturation.

**Differences between the meals**

In both FH and normolipidaemic subjects, we found no significant difference in TAG AUC after intake of the SFA-rich meal compared with the PUFA-rich meal. FH subjects are characterised by reduced number of functional LDL receptors\textsuperscript{[10]}\textsuperscript{[11,12]}. Previous studies have suggested that long-term intake of SFA may lead to downregulation of the LDL receptor\textsuperscript{[20]}, thus possibly affecting the metabolism of TAG-rich lipoproteins\textsuperscript{[12,13]}. The novel finding in this study is that FH subjects had a similar TAG response to meals with different fat quality. Our data are in accordance with a systematic review and meta-analysis summarising the effect elicited by meals of different fat qualities among different populations 6 h after the meal\textsuperscript{[21]}. In the meta-analysis, however, the TAG AUC was significantly higher 8 h after intake of SFA compared with PUFA\textsuperscript{[22]}. In this study, we only followed the subjects 6 h postprandially, and thus the postprandial period in the present study may have been too short to reveal differences in AUC. Interestingly, we found a delayed peak in TAG after intake of the SFA-enriched meal compared with the PUFA-enriched meal. This difference in TAG peak is supported by previous findings\textsuperscript{[31,32]}\textsuperscript{[14]}. Moreover, different TAG peaks have also been shown between different SFA, such as lauric, myristic, palmitic and stearic acid, in which intake of palmitic acid, the major fatty acid in the present SFA meal, also resulted in a TAG peak at 4 h\textsuperscript{[33]}. The postprandial differences in the TAG response following intake of SFA v. PUFA may be mediated through stimulation of fat absorption\textsuperscript{[34–36]}, CM secretion\textsuperscript{[37–39]}, VLDL secretion\textsuperscript{[40]} and lipoprotein lipase activity\textsuperscript{[41,42]}. Some studies have indicated that PUFA are absorbed more efficiently than SFA\textsuperscript{[34–36]}. This may be because of the lower melting point of PUFA compared with long-chain SFA\textsuperscript{[35,43]}, or different ability of SFA and PUFA to form lipid droplets in the intestine\textsuperscript{[44]}. Furthermore, the fatty acids may be taken up by both passive and active transport\textsuperscript{[45]}, and thus it is possible that the active transporters may promote selective uptake of different fatty acids. PUFA have been shown to be more potent in stimulating apoB48 secretion than SFA in vitro, probably through stimulating the microsomal TAG transfer protein activity\textsuperscript{[47]}. Moreover, it has been shown that unsaturated fatty acids result in a larger number or size of CM particles when compared with SFA\textsuperscript{[38,39]}, thus affecting the postprandial TAG response.

VLDL particles are major contributors to the elevated TAG concentration in the postprandial phase\textsuperscript{[40]}. The availability of apoB100 and TAG in the liver is the most important regulator of VLDL synthesis; however, fatty acid quality of the diet may further affect the synthesis\textsuperscript{[40]}, possibly through stimulation of microsomal TAG transfer protein activity\textsuperscript{[40]}. Studies comparing the intake of
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SFA vs. PUFA on VLDL secretion are inconsistent\(^{(46,47)}\). Furthermore, TAG is hydrolysed by lipoprotein lipase, which is tightly regulated by several apo and angiopoietin-like proteins\(^{(48)}\). In vitro studies have shown that the lipolysis of PUFA is more efficient than the lipolysis of SFA\(^{(41,42)}\), supporting our data with an earlier TAG peak after intake of the PUFA meal than after the SFA meal. Moreover, it may be speculated that after intake of SFA the TAG-rich lipoproteins may contain more apoCIII and apoE than after intake of PUFA, thus reducing lipoprotein lipase activity and prolonging the microsomal TAG transfer protein TAG response\(^{(49)}\).

The clinical impact of the difference in TAG response should be further clarified. There may be a biological advantage in prioritising the uptake of essential fatty acids before other fatty acids. The delayed microsomal TAG transfer protein TAG response after intake of an SFA-rich meal compared with a PUFA-rich meal may further adversely affect the vasculature or cause a more atherogenic lipoprotein profile in the circulation\(^{(9)}\). Furthermore, we found some differences in lipoprotein sub-classes between the meals, but these differences were rather small and may not be clinically relevant.

**Differences between the groups**

In the present study, we found that the microsomal TAG transfer protein TAG and apoB48 response to high-fat meals...
seem to be similar in young and normal-weight subjects with and without FH, suggesting that reduced LDL receptor activity does not influence the post-prandial metabolism of TAG-rich lipoproteins. Conflicting data have been reported previously, with some studies finding no difference\(^\text{15,50,51}\) whereas other studies finding a difference in the postprandial TAG response or in the catabolism of CM remnants between subjects with FH compared with normolipaemic controls\(^\text{14,19}\). Furthermore, we found an increased level of PCSK9 (AUC) in subjects with FH compared with controls. Statins have been shown to increase the level of PCSK9\(^\text{95}\), and the level of PCSK9 has been shown to be positively associated with LDL-cholesterol\(^\text{95}\). Similar to the study by Kwakernaak et al.\(^\text{57}\) also found higher level of the largest HDL sub-class in FH subjects, with FH compared with controls. Statins contain more TAG than the smaller particles. Christensen et al.\(^\text{57}\) also found higher level of the largest HDL sub-class in children with FH compared with controls, particularly in non-statin-treated subjects. This may be related to an enhanced cholesteryl ester transfer protein activity in subjects with FH\(^\text{141}\). TAG-enriched HDL has been found to elicit a reduced anti-lipoprotein particle concentration\(^\text{21}\), indicating that PCSK9 also affects the metabolism of TAG-rich lipoproteins.

In the present study, we found significantly higher levels (AUC) of several lipoprotein sub-classes in FH subjects compared with normolipaemic controls; however, when adjusting for baseline values (AUC), the responses were not significantly different between the groups. The differences between groups (AUC) in VLDL sub-classes were similar for both total concentration and TAG content, which is in accordance with the fact that TAG are the most abundant compounds of VLDL. However, the total TAG level in the circulation was not different between the groups. The increased levels of VLDL may partly be due to an increased production rate of apoB100 in subjects with FH\(^\text{16–17,54}\). In addition to the well-established effect of elevated LDL, enhanced VLDL levels may also increase the risk of developing CVD in subjects with FH, as it has been shown that lipoproteins <70–75 nm may enter the endothelial wall and promote atherogenesis\(^\text{55,56}\).

In the present study, subjects with FH had significantly higher level of the largest HDL sub-class than controls. These particles contain more TAG than the smaller particles. Christensen et al.\(^\text{57}\) also found higher level of the largest HDL sub-class in children with FH compared with controls, particularly in non-statin-treated subjects. This may be related to an enhanced cholesteryl ester transfer protein activity in subjects with FH\(^\text{141}\). TAG-enriched HDL has been found to elicit a reduced anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidative activity\(^\text{59}\). This may result in increased systemic oxidative stress in FH subjects\(^\text{59}\). An altered lipid composition of HDL particles in subjects with FH may further result in an impaired cholesterol efflux\(^\text{60}\). Furthermore, Frenais et al. showed an increased production and catabolism of apoA1 in subjects with FH compared with normolipaemic controls\(^\text{61}\).

A strength in the present study was the inclusion of two homogeneous groups with similar age, sex and BMI. The subjects were young and had no known co-morbidities complicating the interpretation of the findings. Moreover, the controlled and randomised design of the study also represents a major strength. However, the study also has some limitations. By chance, the FH subjects had higher baseline TAG at the SFA visit than at the PUFA visit, despite following the study protocol (including standardisation of food intake the last 14 h before the intervention) and the study randomisation. This may imply that the diet and physical activity the day(s) before the intervention may have had an impact on the fasting TAG values\(^\text{62,63}\).

A limitation of the study is that blood samples were only collected for 6 h after the meals\(^\text{224}\), whereas including collection of 8-h samples may have generated a different result. Another limitation is that PCSK9 measurement at 2 h after intake of meal was not performed. We mainly included FH patients with LDL receptor missense mutations, and can therefore not exclude the possibility that the effect may differ between FH subjects with different LDL receptor mutations. When evaluating such a large number of variables, the concern with multiple comparisons must be kept in mind. Finally, the study has rather few participants; however, the sample size was determined on the basis of previous studies\(^\text{12,14,18,50}\).

**Conclusions**

In the present study, young FH subjects had similar post-prandial TAG and apoB48 response as healthy age- and sex-matched controls. Serum TAG peaked later after intake of SFA compared with PUFA in both groups. This may reflect differences in the postprandial metabolism of fatty acids with different chain lengths and degrees of saturation. The clinical impact of these findings, as well as the additive effect of subsequent fatty rich meals, should be further clarified.
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