# On the Essential Dimension of Some Semi-Direct Products 

Arne Ledet

Abstract. We give an upper bound on the essential dimension of the group $\mathbb{Z} / q \rtimes(\mathbb{Z} / q)^{*}$ over the rational numbers, when $q$ is a prime power.

## 1 Introduction

Let $K$ be an infinite field, let $L$ be an extension field of $K$, and let $M / L$ be a finite Galois extension with Galois group $G=\operatorname{Gal}(M / L)$ (a G-extension). The essential dimension of $M / L$ over $K$, denoted $\mathrm{ed}_{K}(M / L)$, is then the minimal transcendency degree of a subextension $F / K$ of $M / K$ such that $G$ acts faithfully on $F$, $c f$. [B\&R1, Section 2].

In other words: The essential dimension $\operatorname{ed}_{K}(M / L)$ is $n$, if there exists a $G$-extension $F / E$ with $K \subseteq E \subseteq L$, $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{deg}_{L} E=n$ and $M=F \otimes_{E} L$, and no such extension of transcendency degree $<n$.


It is clear that the essential dimension is finite: Let $\theta \in M$ be a primitive element for $M / K$, and look at $K\left(\{\sigma \theta\}_{\sigma \in G}\right)$. It has transcendency degree $\leq|G|$, and $G$ acts faithfully. Thus, $\operatorname{ed}_{K}(M / L) \leq|G|$.

The concept of essential dimension was introduced by Buhler and Reichstein in $[B \& R 1]$ as a measure of how many algebraically independent parameters are needed to describe $M / L$. In their paper, they proved various properties of ed ${ }_{K} G$, of which we will need the following:

[^0]Results (a) Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional $K$-vector space, and let $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{K}(V)$ be a faithful linear representation. Then the essential dimension of $K(V) / K(V)^{G}$ over $K$ is greater than or equal to $\operatorname{ed}_{K}(M / L)$ for all $G$-extensions $M / L$ with $K \subseteq L$. In particular, all faithful linear representations give rise to $G$-extensions of the same essential dimension, which we will call the essential dimension of $G$ over $K$, denoted $\mathrm{ed}_{K} G$.
(b) If $H$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $\operatorname{ed}_{K} H \leq \operatorname{ed}_{K} G$.

It follows that ed ${ }_{K} G \leq n$ if $G$ has a faithful linear representation of degree $n$.
By the result of $[\mathrm{O}](c f$. also [Ro]) and Lüroth's Theorem, a subextension of transcendency degree 1 in a rational field extension $K\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) / K$ is rational. It follows that the essential dimension of a group $G$ over $K$ cannot be 1 unless $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the projective general linear group $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(K)$. This, however, is not a sufficient condition: By [B\&R1, Corollary 5.5], the Klein Vierergruppe $V_{4}$ has essential dimension 2 over any field of characteristic 0 , even though it is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(\mathbb{O})$ ).

The main result of this paper is the following Theorem, which generalises an unpublished result of Buhler and Reichstein [B\&R2]:
Theorem Let $q=p^{n}$ be a prime power. Then

$$
\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / q \rtimes(\mathbb{Z} / q)^{*}\right) \leq \varphi(p-1) p^{n-1}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the Euler $\varphi$-function.
In [B\&R2], the Theorem (and more generally the corollary in Section 2 below) is proved for the cyclic group $\mathbb{Z} / q$, rather than the semi-direct product. For a cyclic group of prime order, the result is implicit in the work of Hendrik Lenstra.

The proof we give below is a modification of Buhler and Reichstein's argument, which in turn is based of Lenstra's ideas. In fact, their argument can be obtained simply by removing all references to $\tau$ in our proof.

Example Let $q=7$. Since $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} C_{7}>1$, we get

$$
\mathrm{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} C_{7}=\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} D_{7}=\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} F_{21}=\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} F_{42}=2
$$

where $D_{7}$ is the dihedral group of degree 7 (and order 14) and $F_{21}$ and $F_{42}$ are the Frobenius groups of order 21 and 42, respectively.

## 2 Proof of the Theorem

Let $p$ be a prime, and let $q=p^{n}$ be a power of $p$. Also, let $K$ be an infinite field of characteristic $\neq p$, and assume that the $q$-th cyclotomic extension $K_{q} / K$ is cyclic of degree $D$.

We denote by $\kappa$ a generator for the Galois group $G_{q}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{q} / K\right)$. Thus, $\kappa$ is given by $\kappa \zeta=\zeta^{f}$ for $\zeta$ in the group $\mu_{q}$ of $q$-th roots of unity, where $f \in \mathbb{Z}$ is some primitive $D$-th root of unity modulo $q$. The group we are interested in is then $C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}$, where a generator $\tau$ of $C_{D}$ acts on $C_{q}$ as $\rho \mapsto \rho^{f}$. We let $\sigma$ be a generator for $C_{q}$.

In order to bound $\operatorname{ed}_{K}\left(C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}\right)$ from above, we may use any faithful linear representation of it over $K$. We obtain such a representation as follows:

Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{\zeta}\right)_{\zeta \in \mu_{q}}$ be a set of indeterminates indexed by $\mu_{q}$, and let $C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}$ act on the function field $K_{q}(\mathbf{x})$ by

$$
\sigma x_{\zeta}=\zeta x_{\zeta} \text { and } \tau x_{\zeta}=x_{\kappa \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in \mu_{q}
$$

with the action understood to be trivial on $K_{q}$. Clearly, this gives a faithful $C_{q} \rtimes C_{D^{-}}$ action, although over $K_{q}$ rather than $K$.

Next, extend the action of $G_{q}$ to $K_{q}(\mathbf{x})$ by

$$
\kappa x_{\zeta}=x_{\kappa \zeta}, \quad \zeta \in \mu_{q}
$$

(i.e., $\kappa$ and $\tau$ acts identically on the indeterminates.) Then

$$
\sigma \tau=\tau \sigma^{f}, \quad \sigma \kappa=\kappa \sigma \quad \text { and } \quad \tau \kappa=\kappa \tau
$$

meaning that $G_{q} \times\left(C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}\right)$ acts on $K_{q}(\mathbf{x})$. It is easily seen that this action is in fact faithful.

By the Invariant Basis Lemma (see e.g. [Sh, App., Section 3] or [K\&M, Lemma 5]), the $K_{q}$-vector space $\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q}} K_{q} x_{\zeta}$ has a $G_{q}$-invariant basis $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{D}\right)$, and $C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}$ acts linearly on $K(\mathbf{s})=K(\mathbf{x})^{G_{q}}$.

To produce a subfield of $K(\mathbf{s})$ of low transcendency degree on which $C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}$ acts faithfully, we make use of lattices: For a given finite group $G$, a $G$-lattice $\mathcal{L}$ is a finitely generated free abelian group on which $G$ acts by automorphisms. Given a $G$-lattice $\mathcal{L}$ and a field $L$, we can produce a rational function field $L(\mathcal{L})$ with an $L$-linear $G$ action by identifying a basis $\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{r}\right)$ for $\mathcal{L}$ with a set of indeterminates $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ over $L$, mapping $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_{i} \ell_{i}$ to $\prod_{i=1}^{r} t_{i}^{a_{i}}$. i.e., we consider the multiplicative group of monomials in $L\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ as a free abelian group of rank $r$.

In this case, we are interested in $G_{q}$-lattices, and we start by considering the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right]$. We write the elements in $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right]$ as $\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q}} a_{\zeta} e_{\zeta}$, and have $G_{q}$ acting by $\kappa: e_{\zeta} \mapsto e_{\kappa \zeta}$. Moreover, we define a map $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right] \rightarrow \mu_{q}$ by

$$
\lambda\left(\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q}} a_{\zeta} e_{\zeta}\right)=\prod_{\zeta \in \mu_{q}} \zeta^{a_{\zeta}}
$$

and call a $G_{q}$-sublattice $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right]$ non-degenerate if $\lambda(\mathcal{L})=\mu_{q}$.
Proposition 1 Let $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right]$ be a non-degenerate $G_{q}$-sublattice. Then

$$
\operatorname{ed}_{K}\left(C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}\right) \leq \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{L}
$$

Proof We have $K_{q}(\mathcal{L}) \subseteq K_{q}(\mathbf{x})$, when we identify $e_{\zeta}$ and $x_{\zeta}$.
If we, for convenience, denote the monomial $\prod_{\zeta \in \mu_{q}} x_{\zeta}^{a_{\zeta}}$ corresponding to $a=$ $\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_{q}} a_{\zeta} e_{\zeta}$ by $x^{a}$, we see that $\kappa x^{a}=\tau x^{a}=x^{\kappa a}$ and $\sigma x^{a}=\lambda(a) x^{a}$. So, $K_{q}(\mathcal{L})$ is closed under the action of $G_{q} \times\left(C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}\right)$. We claim that the action is faithful:

Assume that $\chi \in G_{q}$ and $\rho \in C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}$ act identically on $K_{q}(\mathcal{L})$. Since $K_{q}(\mathcal{L})$ contains $K_{q}$, on which $G_{q}$ acts faithfully and $C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}$ acts trivially, we immediately get that $\chi=1$ and that $\rho$ acts trivially on $K_{q}(\mathcal{L})$. Now write $\rho=\tau^{i} \sigma^{j}$, where $0 \leq i<q$ and $0 \leq j<d$, and pick $a \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\lambda(a)$ a primitive $q$-th root of unity. Then $\rho\left(x^{a}\right)=\lambda(a)^{j} x^{\kappa^{i} a}=x^{a}$, and so we must have $j=0$ and $\rho=\tau^{i}$. But on the monomials, $\tau$ acts as $\kappa$, meaning that the $C_{D}$-action is faithful, and so $\rho=1$.

Stepping down to fixed fields under $G_{q}$, we conclude that $C_{q} \rtimes C_{D}$ acts faithfully on $K_{q}(\mathcal{L})^{G_{q}} \subseteq K(\mathbf{s})$. And by construction, $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{deg}_{K} K_{q}(\mathcal{L})=\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{L}$.

It remains to produce a non-degenerate $G_{q}$-sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right]$ of the desired rank:
Proposition 2 Let $G$ be a cyclic subgroup of Aut $\mu_{q}$ of order $D=d p^{e}$, where $d \mid p-1$ and $a \leq n-1$. Then there is a non-degenerate $G$-sublattice of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right]$ of rank $\varphi(d) p^{e}$.

Proof Let $\kappa$ be a generator for $G$, and let $f$ be a primitive $D$-th root of unity modulo $q$, such that $\kappa \zeta=\zeta^{D}$ for $\zeta \in \mu_{q}$.

First of all, $f$ is a primitive $d$-th root of unity modulo $p$ : Since $f^{d} \equiv f^{D} \equiv 1$ $(\bmod p)$, it has order dividing $d$. On the other hand, if $f^{c} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ for a $c \in$ $\{1, \ldots, d-1\}$, we have $f^{c}=1+p i$ for some $i$, and hence $f^{c p^{n-1}} \equiv 1(\bmod q)$, since the kernel of $(\mathbb{Z} / q)^{*} \rightarrow(\mathbb{Z} / p)^{*}$ has order $p^{n-1}$, and so $D$ must divide $c p^{n-1}$, contradicting $0<c<d$.

Next, we let

$$
P(t)=\prod_{j=0}^{e} \Phi_{d p^{j}}(t) \quad \text { and } \quad Q(t)=\prod_{j=0}^{e} \prod_{\substack{k \mid d \\ k<d}} \Phi_{k p^{j}}
$$

where $\Phi_{m}(t)$ is the $m$-th cyclotomic polynomial. Then $P(t) Q(t)=t^{D}-1$, and $Q(t)$ consists exactly of those factors $\Phi_{m}(t)$ of $t^{D}-1$ for which $p \nmid \Phi_{m}(f)$. In particular, $p \nmid Q(f)$. Also, $\operatorname{deg} P(t)=\varphi(d) p^{e}$.

We now look at the $G$-lattice $\mathbb{Z}[t] /(P(t))$, where $\kappa$ acts as multiplication by $t$. This is a well-defined $G$-action, since $P(t) \mid t^{D}-1$.

We have another $G$-lattice $\mathbb{Z}[t] /\left(t^{D}-1\right)$, also with $\kappa$ acting as multiplication by $t$, and $\mathbb{Z}[t] /(P(t)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t] /\left(t^{D}-1\right)$ as $G$-lattices by $\bar{g} \mapsto \overline{Q g}$.

Finally, $\mathbb{Z}[t] /\left(t^{D}-1\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right]$ by $t^{i} \mapsto e_{\kappa^{i} \eta}$, where $\eta$ is a primitive $q$-th root of unity, and hence we get $\mathbb{Z}[t] /(P(t)) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\mu_{q}\right]$. The image of $\overline{1} \in \mathbb{Z}[t] /(P(t))$ has $\lambda$-value $\eta^{Q(f)}$, which is a primitive $q$-th root of unity, and so $\mathbb{Z}[t] /(P(t))$ is nondegenerate.
Corollary Assume that $K_{q} / K$ is cyclic of degree $D=d p^{e}$, where $d \mid p-1$ and $e \leq n-1$, and let $G_{q}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{q} / K\right)$ act on $C_{q}$ by cyclotomic action (i.e., by identifying $C_{q}$ and $\mu_{q}$ ). Then

$$
\operatorname{ed}_{K}\left(C_{q} \rtimes G_{q}\right) \leq \varphi(d) p^{e}
$$

For odd primes, this proves the Theorem. For $p=2$, we note that $\mathbb{Z} / q \rtimes(\mathbb{Z} / q)^{*}$ has a faithful linear representation over $\mathbb{O}$ of degree $q / 2$, and that we must therefore have $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(\mathbb{Z} / q \rtimes(\mathbb{Z} / q)^{*}\right) \leq q / 2$.

## 3 Remarks

In [B\&R1, Lemma 4.1(b)], it is shown that $\mathrm{ed}_{K}(G \times H) \leq \mathrm{ed}_{K} G+\mathrm{ed}_{K} H$. Consequently, we get a bound on the essential dimension of any finite abelian group $A$ over (O).

Also, by using that $D_{m n} \hookrightarrow D_{m} \times D_{n}$ when $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime (with $D_{2}$ understood to be $C_{2}$ ), we see that the bound obtained for $C_{n}$ will hold for $D_{n}$ as well, when $D_{n}$ is the dihedral group of degree $n$ (and order $2 n$ ).

For $q=3,5$ and 7 , the bounds we obtain for $\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} C_{q}$ and $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} D_{q}$ are the exact values of the essential dimensions. And over the field $K=\mathbb{O}_{2}\left(\cos \frac{2 \pi}{n}\right), n$ odd, the cyclic and dihedral groups are both subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(K)$, and it is easy to see that they have essential dimension $1, c f$. also $[H \& M]$. Thus, it seems reasonable to propose
Conjecture For $n$ odd, the essential dimensions of $C_{n}$ and $D_{n}$ coincide over any field in characteristic 0 .

For even $n$, this is obviously not true: Over the $n$-th cyclotomic field, $C_{n}$ has essential dimension 1, whereas $D_{n}$ has essential dimension 2 .

The bound $p^{n-1} \varphi(p-1)$ for $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} C_{p^{n}}$ is in fact an upper bound for $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} P$ of any group of order $p^{n}$, by the following elementary result:

Lemma Let $K$ be a field and $G$ a finite group. For any subgroup $H$ of $G$ we then have

$$
\operatorname{ed}_{K} G \leq[G: H] \cdot \operatorname{ed}_{K} H
$$

In other words: The quantity $\operatorname{ed}_{K} G /|G|$ does not grow with $G$.
Proof Let $G$ act regularly on the indeterminates $\mathbf{t}=\left(t_{\sigma}\right)_{\sigma \in G}$. Then $H$ acts regularly on $\mathbf{t}^{\prime}=\left(t_{\tau}\right)_{\tau \in H}$, and we can find a subfield $F$ of $K\left(\mathbf{t}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{tr} \operatorname{deg}_{K} F=\operatorname{ed}_{K} H$ and $H$ acts faithfully on $F$. Let $F^{\prime}$ be the composite inside $K(\mathbf{t})$ of the images of $F$ under G's action: $F^{\prime}=\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma F$. Since $\tau F=F$ for $\tau \in H$ and $\sigma F \subseteq K\left(\sigma \mathbf{t}^{\prime}\right)=$ $K\left(\left\{t_{\tau}\right\}_{\tau \in \sigma H}\right)$, there are exactly $[G: H]$ distinct conjugates, and $\sigma F=\sigma^{\prime} F$ if and only if $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ are in the same coset modulo $H$, with $\sigma F \cap \sigma^{\prime} F=K$ otherwise.

Clearly, $F^{\prime}$ is closed under the action of $G$, and we claim that the action is faithful: If $\sigma \in G$ acts trivially on $F^{\prime}$, it in particular maps $F$ to itself, and so $\sigma \in H$. But $H$ acts faithfully on $F$, and so $\sigma=1$.

For a group $P$ of order $p^{n}$, we can now take a subgroup of order $p$ and get that $\operatorname{ed}_{K} P \leq p^{n-1} \mathrm{ed}_{K} C_{p}$, as claimed. In particular, if $\varphi(p-1)$ is not the exact essential dimension of $C_{p}$ over $(\mathbb{O})$ for some prime $p$, the bound on $C_{p^{n}}$ will not be exact either.

Of course, the bound $p^{n-1} \varphi(p-1)$ on $\mathrm{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} P$ is very likely not optimal: If $P$ contains a non-cyclic abelian subgroup, we can use that as $H$ to get a lower bound. And for $C_{p^{m}} \rtimes C_{p^{m-1}}$ the Theorem gives a better bound.

Example The two non-abelian groups of order $p^{3}, p$ odd prime, are the Heisenberg group $H_{p^{3}}$ of exponent $p$, and the semi-direct product $C_{p^{2}} \rtimes C_{p}$. From the Theorem, we get

$$
\operatorname{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left(C_{p^{2}} \rtimes C_{p}\right) \leq p \varphi(p-1)
$$

and since $H_{p^{3}}$ contains an abelian subgroup $\simeq C_{p} \times C_{p}$, the Lemma gives us

$$
\mathrm{ed}_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{p^{3}} \leq 2 p \varphi(p-1)
$$

In both cases, the bound is better than $p^{2} \varphi(p-1)$.
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