Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 49 (1994) [1-5]

A REMARK ON A THIRD-ORDER THREE-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

SALVATORE A. MARANO

Let f be a real function defined on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$ and let $\eta \in (0, 1)$. Very recently, C.P. Gupta and V. Lakshimikantham, making use of the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem and Wirtinger-type inequalities, established an existence result for the problem

$$\begin{cases} x''' = f(t, x, x', x'') \\ x(0) = x(\eta) = x(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

(Theorem 1 and Remark 4 of [Nonlinear Anal. 16 (1991), 949-957]).

The aim of the present paper is simply to point out how, by means of a completely different approach, it is possible to improve that result not only by requiring much more general conditions on f, but also by giving a precise pointwise estimate on z'''.

Let f be a real function defined on $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^3$; $\eta \in (0, 1)$; $k \in [1, +\infty)$; $L^k([0, 1])$ the space of all (equivalence classes of) measurable functions $\psi: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|\psi\|_{L^k([0, 1])} = \left(\int_0^1 |\psi(t)|^k dt\right)^{1/k} < +\infty$; $W^{3, k}([0, 1])$ the space of all $u \in C^2([0, 1])$ such that u'' is absolutely continuous in [0, 1] and $u''' \in L^k([0, 1])$.

Consider the problem

(P)
$$\begin{cases} x''' = f(t, x, x', x'') \\ x(0) = x(\eta) = x(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

A function $u: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a generalised solution of (P) if $u \in W^{3, k}([0, 1])$, $u(0) = u(\eta) = u(1) = 0$ and, for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$, one has u''(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t), u''(t)).

Our interest in problem (P) originated reading [3]. In that paper the authors implicitly established the following existence theorem (see [3, Theorem 1 and Remark 4]).

Received 12 January 1993

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9729/94 \$A2.00+0.00.

THEOREM A. Assume that:

- (i₁) the function $t \to f(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)$ is measurable for every $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (i₂) the function $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \rightarrow f(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)$ is continuous for almost every $t \in [0, 1];$
- (i3) there exist $p, q, r, S \in L^1([0, 1])$ such that, for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

 $|f(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)| \leq p(t) |z_1| + q(t) |z_2| + r(t) |z_3| + S(t);$

(i4) there exist $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \in L^1([0, 1])$ such that, for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$f(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)z_2 \ge az_2^2 + b|z_1||z_2| + c|z_2||z_3| + \alpha(t)z_2.$$

Then, problem (P) has at least one generalised solution $u \in W^{3,1}([0, 1])$ provided

$$\|r\|_{L^1([0,1])} < 1$$

.. ..

and

$$\left(1 - \|r\|_{L^{1}([0,1])}\right) \left(\frac{4}{\pi^{2}} |a| + \frac{4}{\pi^{3}} |b| + \frac{2}{\pi} |c|\right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \|p\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} + \|q\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} + \|r\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} < 1.$$

The method employed in [3], in proving Theorem A, consists of using the Leray-Schauder continuation theorem and Wirtinger-type inequalities.

The aim of the present paper is simply to point out how, by means of a completely different approach based on the classical Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem, it is possible to establish the following result, which improves Theorem A.

THEOREM 1. Let the assumptions (i_1) , (i_2) and (i_3) of Theorem A be satisfied. Then problem (P) has at least one generalised solution $u \in W^{3,1}([0, 1])$ provided

$$\frac{1}{8} \|p\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} + \frac{1}{2} \|q\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} + \|r\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} < 1.$$

Moreover, for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$, one has

$$|u'''(t)| \leq \sup\{|f(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)|: |z_1| \leq \gamma/8, |z_2| \leq \gamma/2, |z_3| \leq \gamma\},\$$

where

(1)
$$\gamma = \frac{\|S\|_{L^{1}([0,1])}}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{8} \|p\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} + \frac{1}{2} \|q\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} + \|r\|_{L^{1}([0,1])}\right)}$$

Before proving Theorem 1, we collect, in Proposition 1 below, the inequalities we shall use in the sequel.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $u \in W^{3,1}([0,1])$ be such that $u(0) = u(\eta) = u(1) = 0$. Then one has:

$$\begin{array}{ll} (j_1) & \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u(t)| \leqslant \frac{1}{8} \max_{t \in [0,1]} |''(t)|; \\ (j_2) & \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u'(t)| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u''(t)|; \\ (j_3) & \max_{t \in [0,1]} |u''(t)| \leqslant \int_0^1 |u'''(t)| \, dt \,. \end{array}$$

PROOF: The assertions (j_1) and (j_2) follow at once from Theorem 8.2 of [1]. The assertion (j_3) derives from the fact that, owing to our assumptions, there exists $\xi \in [0, 1]$ such that $u''(\xi) = 0$.

We now give the following

PROOF OF THEOREM 1: For every $z = (z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we put $||z|| = \max\{8|z_1|, 2|z_2|, |z_3|\}$. It is easy to check that the extended real function $M: t \mapsto \sup_{\|z\| \leq \gamma} |f(t, z)|, t \in [0, 1]$, where γ is given by (1), is measurable. Moreover, owing to $\|z\| \leq \gamma$ (i₃), $M \in L^1([0, 1])$.

Consider the set

 $K = \{ w \in L^1([0, 1]) : |w(t)| \leq M(t) \text{ almost everywhere in } [0, 1] \}.$

Of course, K is nonempty and convex. By the Dunford-Pettis theorem (see, for instance, [2], Theorem 1, p.101) it is also weakly compact. For every $w \in K$ and every $t \in [0, 1]$, we put:

$$\alpha(w) = \frac{\eta}{2(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^2 w(\sigma) d\sigma - \frac{1}{2\eta(1-\eta)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-\sigma)^2 w(\sigma) d\sigma;$$
(2)

$$\beta(w) = \frac{1}{2\eta(1-\eta)} \int_0^\eta (\eta-\sigma)^2 w(\sigma) d\sigma - \frac{1}{2(1-\eta)} \int_0^1 (1-\sigma)^2 w(\sigma) d\sigma;$$

$$\Phi(w)(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (t-\sigma)^2 w(\sigma) d\sigma + \alpha(w)t + \beta(w)t^2;$$

$$\Psi(w)(t) = f(t, \Phi(w)(t), \Phi(w)'(t), \Phi(w)''(t)),$$

where, as usual, $\Phi(w)'(t) = \frac{d\Phi(w)(t)}{dt}$ and $\Phi(w)''(t) = \frac{d^2\Phi(w)(t)}{dt^2}$. Let us prove that $\Psi(K) \subseteq K$. To this end, fix $w \in K$ and observe that, by (i₃) and (1), one has

$$\|w\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} \leq \|M\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} = \int_{0}^{1} \sup_{\|z\| \leq \gamma} |f(t, z)| dt$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{8} \|p\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} + \frac{1}{2} \|q\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} + \|r\|_{L^{1}([0,1])}\right) \gamma + \|S\|_{L^{1}([0,1])} = \gamma.$$

S.A. Marano

[4]

Taking into account that $\Phi(w) \in W^{3,1}([0, 1])$ and $\Phi(w)(0) = \Phi(w)(\eta) = \Phi(w)(1) = 0$, from Proposition 1 it follows that

$$\begin{split} \max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Phi(w)(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{8} \max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Phi(w)''(t)| \leq \frac{1}{8} \int_0^1 |w(t)| \, dt \leq \frac{\gamma}{8}; \\ \max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Phi(w)'(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Phi(w)''(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 |w(t)| \, dt \leq \frac{\gamma}{2}; \\ \max_{t\in[0,1]} |\Phi(w)''(t)| \leq \int_0^1 |w(t)| \, dt \leq \gamma. \end{split}$$

Hence, $|\Psi(w)(t)| \leq \sup_{\|z\| \leq \gamma} |f(t, z)| = M(t)$ for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$. This implies that $\Psi(w) \in K$.

Now, let us prove that the operator Ψ is weakly continuous. Owing to the weak compactness of K, we need only verify that the graph $gr(\Psi)$ of Ψ is weakly closed in $K \times K$. Taking into account Theorem 7, p.313, of [4], it suffices to show that $gr(\Psi)$ is sequentially weakly closed. Let $w \in K$ and let $\{w_n\}$ be a sequence in K weakly converging to w in $L^1([0, 1])$. From (2) it follows that, for every $t \in [0, 1]$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(w_n)(t) = \Phi(w)(t)$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(w_n)'(t) = \Phi(w)'(t)$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi(w_n)''(t) = \Phi(w)''(t)$. Therefore, by (i₂), the sequence $\{\Psi(w_n)\}$ converges almost everywhere in [0, 1] to $\Psi(w)$. Bearing in mind that for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$|\Psi(w_n)(t)| \leqslant M(t),$$

by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Psi(w_n) = \Psi(w)$ in $L^1([0, 1])$. So, $\{\Psi(w_n)\}$ converges weakly to $\Psi(w)$.

At this point, we are allowed to apply the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem to Ψ . There is, therefore, $w \in K$ such that $w = \Psi(w)$. The function $u(t) = \Phi(w)(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, satisfies our conclusion.

REMARK 1. We point out that Theorem 1 of [3] deals with the case $p, q, r \in L^2([0, 1])$, $S \in L^1([0, 1])$. It is easy to check that, in this case, the above-mentioned result and our Theorem 1 are mutually independent.

Taking into account that $\|\psi\|_{L^1([0,1])} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^2([0,1])}$ for every $\psi \in L^2([0,1])$, from Theorem 1, it is possible to derive the following

THEOREM 2. Let the assumptions (i_1) and (i_2) of Theorem A be satisfied. Moreover, suppose that:

(i'_3) there exist $p, q, r, S \in L^2([0, 1])$ such that, for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$ and every $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$|f(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)| \leq p(t) |z_1| + q(t) |z_2| + r(t) |z_3| + S(t).$$

Then, problem (P) has at least one generalised solution $u \in W^{3,2}([0,1])$ provided

$$\frac{1}{8} \|p\|_{L^{2}([0,1])} + \frac{1}{2} \|q\|_{L^{2}([0,1])} + \|r\|_{L^{2}([0,1])} < 1.$$

Moreover, for almost every $t \in [0, 1]$, one has

$$|u'''(t)| \leq \sup\{|f(t, z_1, z_2, z_3)| : |z_1| \leq \gamma'/8, |z_2| \leq \gamma'/2, |z_3| \leq \gamma'\},$$

where

$$\gamma' = \frac{\|S\|_{L^2([0,1])}}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{8} \|p\|_{L^2([0,1])} + \frac{1}{2} \|q\|_{L^2([0,1])} + \|r\|_{L^2([0,1])}\right)}$$

REMARK 2. The previous condition on p, q and r is not so restrictive as that requested in (2.17) or in Remark 4 of [3].

References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, Boundary value problems for higher order differential equations (World Scientific, 1986).
- [2] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl Jr, Vector measures, Math. Surveys 15 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977).
- [3] C.P. Gupta and V. Lakshmikantham, 'Existence and uniqueness theorems for a third-order three-point boundary value problem', Nonlinear Anal. 16 (1991), 949-957.
- [4] G. Köthe, Topological vector spaces I (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969).

Dipartimento di Matematica Città Universitaria Viale A. Doria 6 95125 Catania Italy