



form one composite list. This is then sent to all committee members, together with the CV questionnaires (but not the citations) shortly before the College's annual distinction awards meeting, usually held at the end of January.

The President chairs the meeting and its members consist of the honorary officers, two distinction awards advisers in each NHS region in England and Wales, the chairpersons of division, faculties and sections (if they have awards). Its task is to produce the College's final list of nominations from the composite lists produced by the regions, faculties, sections and honorary officers. The College Secretary and her personal assistant provide administrative support.

Only the names on the composite list of nominations are considered at the meeting and then only if the CV questionnaires and citations have been received in advance of the meeting. The Committee member who has made the nomination will speak briefly on behalf of each candidate. Some names are removed from the list at this stage. The Committee are given ample time to consider the paperwork, together with the relevant statistics, and finally to cast their votes.

The final list of College nominations is then submitted to the ACDA. Further information of the distinction awards procedures can be viewed at <http://www.doh.gov.uk/nhsexec/acda.htm>.

Vanessa Cameron Secretary, Royal College of Psychiatrists

Eating Disorders in the UK: Policies for Service Development and Training

Council Report CR87
£7.50. 64 pp.

With the collaboration of the Consumers' Association, the Eating Disorders Special Interest Group surveyed specialist eating disorder services for adults in the UK, as a follow-up to a previous survey in 1991 – Council Report CR14, Eating Disorders. We found that specialist services had increased in number since 1991 but that many areas remain without access to nearby specialist services. Many patients are sent long distances for specialist care, and adequate follow-up after such care is frequently impractical. Eating disorders are of high prevalence, representing a major source of morbidity, predominantly in young women, and the standardised mortality ratio of eating disorders is among the highest of all psychiatric disorders. There is a substantial evidence base for treatment of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa, although research is urgently required to establish the most effective treatment for many groups of

patients. We recommend the following action:

- (a) For each area of the country, specialist services should be established, with one consultant psychiatrist per million population.
- (b) These services should provide a combination of out-patient, in-patient and day patient services, with an appropriate range of therapeutic interventions.
- (c) Expenditure on staffing should be approximately £1 per head of population.
- (d) Steps should be taken to increase the number of consultant psychiatrists with special expertise in the assessment and treatment of eating disorders.
- (e) Similar problems apply to the treatment of children and adolescents with eating disorders. Services for this group should be fully surveyed and recommendations developed for improving provision.

The Eating Disorders Special Interest Group has undertaken to develop criteria for specialist training in eating disorders in view of the particular mix of psychiatric, psychological, medical and nutritional problems faced by this severely ill group of patients. Our aim is to correct the unacceptable variation in care between different parts of the UK caused by unequal distribution of services.

Paul Robinson Chairman, Eating Disorders Special Interest Group

Fitness to drive: The Driver and Vehicle Licensing Agency and the College

Following a few high profile cases involving driving by people with both physical and mental disorders, the Driver and Vehicle Licensing Agency (DVLA) was asked by the Government of the time to review the procedures and standards to define fitness to drive. The new set of standards, as a result, was published in 1995 as the first issue of *At a Glance* (DVLA, 1995) and was sent to all practising doctors in the UK. Not surprisingly, the first issue covered controversial issues such as blanket withdrawal of the driving licence if someone had an episode of psychosis requiring in-patient hospital treatment. Justified or not, such restriction was in direct conflict with changes of policy, such as the emphasis on community care and closure of mental hospitals, thus placing more and more people with mental disorders in the community with an increased emphasis on social normalisation. Alongside this came changes to the benefit system that often influenced an individual's ability to afford to travel by

public transport for social or clinical reasons. Such changes to the circumstances were occurring at a time of deregulation of buses, leading to a reduction of the level of service for remote and small communities.

The Public Policy Committee (PPC), from time to time, received notification of difficulties in patient care from College members as a result. It was clear that psychiatrists were representing individual cases directly to the DVLA, sometimes with satisfaction and sometimes not. Besides the perceived difficulties in clinical care some clinicians were concerned about a lack of clarity on whose responsibility it is to notify the DVLA regarding change to the physical and mental health of their patients.

With this background, the PPC agreed to explore the possibility of establishing a dialogue with the medical advisory department of the DVLA. The Honorary Secretary of the PPC visited the DVLA to attend the Secretary of State's advisory panel meeting and presented the College's concerns. During that visit it became very clear that:

- (a) there was limited room for manoeuvre because the regulations that govern driving are approved by Parliament
- (b) the DVLA's role is promulgation of these standards
- (c) since the original publication, the standards have been refined and continue to be refined in the light of the experience
- (d) such refinement was taking place through final approval by the Secretary of State upon receiving recommendations from the Advisory Panel.

The advisory panel on mental health matters was initially set up as a subgroup of the panel that dealt with drugs and alcohol related issues and held separate meetings. The psychiatric panel now stands alone. Currently, there exist six such advisory panels, which deal with the following areas:

- (a) cardiology
- (b) neurology
- (c) diabetes
- (d) vision
- (e) alcohol/substance misuse
- (f) psychiatry.

The psychiatric panel meets twice a year, including once in the autumn when the date for the next meeting is set.

The following documents outline the College's policy on driving related matters:

- (a) Psychiatric standards of fitness to drive large goods vehicles (LGVs) and passenger carrying vehicles (PCVs) were published in the *Psychiatric Bulletin* in October 1993 (Royal College of Psychiatrists).



- (b) PPC guidance on medical aspects of fitness to drive (Royal College of Psychiatrists, 1996).

Following receipt of a report on the above meeting with the DVLA, the PPC established a working group, the membership being as follows: Dr John Baird, Dr Ranjit Baruah (Chair), Dr David Thompson, Dr Daphne Wallace and Dr Mary Whalley.

Dr Jane Durston, senior medical adviser at the DVLA, attended the working group meeting on 7 September 2000. The following were agreed:

- (a) The current issue of the *At a Glance* document, which is issued to every doctor, specifies the current standards of fitness to drive.
- (b) Doctors should follow General Medical Council guidelines to notify the DVLA of a lack of fitness to drive of their patients.
- (c) Any concerns, current or future, that the College may have on driving related matters should be referred to the above DVLA working group for initial consideration who will then, if necessary, refer to the senior medical adviser for possible submission to the appropriate advisory panel.
- (d) The DVLA would appreciate any help that the College could offer that results in wider promotion of the *At a Glance* document and accepted the working group's suggestion for consideration that the proposed publicity drive to increase awareness of driving related standards should also be aimed at the general population as well as clinicians. It is the individual driver's responsibility to notify the DVLA of any changes to his/her physical and mental health that affects driving ability.

In the light of the above:

- (a) The College is in the process of submitting its policy documents to the Psychiatric Advisory Panel to ensure that these meet the statutory requirements. If amendments are called for these will be considered by the PPC. The outcome will be released through the *Psychiatric Bulletin*.
- (b) Any matter of concern relating to driving by psychiatric patients should be at first referred to the DVLA working group for consideration and further liaison with the medical department of the DVLA for advice and action, which may involve making recommendations to the Secretary of

State. Such reports should be addressed to Christopher Walden, Parliamentary and Policy Officer at the College, for referral to the Chair of the DVLA working group. This arrangement does not prejudice individual members' ability to represent individual cases of concern.

- (c) The current basis of membership of the working group representing relevant faculties will continue and it is agreed that the Honorary Secretary to the PPC should act as the College link person with the DVLA.
- (d) The College could assist the DVLA in its promotion of the *At a Glance* document through the divisional structure, if presentation on the subject at divisional meetings is requested by the DVLA.

It is hoped that through these arrangements difficulties in patient care in the community will be minimised.

DVLA (1995) *At a Glance*. Swansea: HMSO.

ROYAL COLLEGE OF PSYCHIATRISTS (1993) Psychiatric standards of fitness to drive large goods vehicles (LGVs) and passenger carrying vehicles (PCVs). *Psychiatric Bulletin*, **17**, 631–632.

— (1996) Public Policy Committee guidance on medical aspects of fitness to drive. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, **20**, 631.

Dr Ranjit K. Baruah Honorary Secretary to the PPC

Election of President

Notice to Fellows and Members

Fellows and Members are reminded of their rights under the Bye-laws and Regulations as follows.

Bye-law XI

The President shall be elected annually from among the Fellows.

Regulation XI

- (1) As soon as may be practicable after the first day of June in any year the Council shall hold a nomination meeting and shall at such meeting nominate not less than one candidate and not more than three candidates.

- (2) Between the first day of June in any year and the date that is 4 clear weeks after the nomination meeting of the Council, written nominations accompanied in each case by the nominee's written consent to stand for election, may be lodged with the Registrar, provided that each such nomination is supported in writing by not less than 12 Members of the College who are not members of the Council.
- (3) An election by ballot shall be held in accordance with the provisions of the Regulations.

The nominating meeting of the Council will be held on 31 October and the last date for receiving nominations under (2) above will therefore be 28 November.

Professor John Cox is in his third year of office as President and is therefore not eligible for re-election.

MRCPsych examinations – Chief Examiner

Applications are invited from College Fellows for the post of Chief Examiner, which will become vacant at the end of this year. The postholder works closely with the Dean, has day-to-day responsibility for the conduct and standards of the examination for Membership and reports directly to the Court of Electors on such matters.

Based within the Department of Examination Services, the postholder will be supported in his or her work by the Head of Examination Services and her assistant. The Chief Examiner is an honorary position with a term of office of 4 years. It is probable that the postholder would need to devote one session per week to this work, not including attendance at committee meetings. A further one session a week will be required before and immediately after publication of the results of each examination diet, in May and June, and in November and December each year. The Examinations Sub-Committee (ESC) meets four times a year and the postholder will work closely with the Dean and members of the ESC, especially the two Deputy Chief Examiners. Interviews are likely to take place in the latter half of November.

If you are interested in applying, please contact Ms Julie Smalls, Head of Examination Services, Royal College of Psychiatrists, 17 Belgrave Square, London SW1X 8PG by Friday 2 November 2001.

corrigendum

On page 277 of the letter written by Richardson and Joseph (*Psychiatric*

Bulletin, July 2001, **25**, 276–277) the number of respondents (line 9 of second

paragraph) should read seventy-six per cent, not seventy-eight.