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## BMO Functions and Carleson Measures with Values in Uniformly Convex Spaces

Caiheng Ouyang and Quanhua Xu

Abstract. This paper studies the relationship between vector-valued BMO functions and the Carleson measures defined by their gradients. Let $d A$ and $d m$ denote Lebesgue measures on the unit disc $D$ and the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$, respectively. For $1<q<\infty$ and a Banach space $B$, we prove that there exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D}(1-|z|)^{q-1}\|\nabla f(z)\|^{q} P_{z_{0}}(z) d A(z) \leq c^{q} \sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\|f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right\|^{q} P_{z_{0}}(z) d m(z)
$$

holds for all trigonometric polynomials $f$ with coefficients in $B$ if and only if $B$ admits an equivalent norm which is $q$-uniformly convex, where

$$
P_{z_{0}}(z)=\frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\mid 1-\overline{\left.z_{0} z\right|^{2}}}
$$

The validity of the converse inequality is equivalent to the existence of an equivalent $q$-uniformly smooth norm.

## 1 Introduction

Let $T$ be the unit circle of the complex plane equipped with normalized Haar measure $d m$. Recall that an integrable function $f$ on $\mathbb{T}$ is of bounded mean oscillation (BMO) if

$$
\|f\|_{*}=\sup _{I} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left|f-f_{I}\right| d m<\infty
$$

where the supremum runs over all arcs of $\mathbb{T}$ and $f_{I}=|I|^{-1} \int_{I} f d m$ is the mean of $f$ over $I$. Let $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ denote the space of BMO functions on $\mathbb{T}$. The means over arcs in this definition can be replaced by the averages of $f$ against the Poisson kernel $P_{z_{0}}$ for the unit disc $D$ :

$$
P_{z_{0}}(z)=\frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{z}_{0} z\right|^{2}}, \quad z_{0} \in D, z \in \mathbb{T}
$$

Then

$$
\|f\|_{*}^{2} \approx \sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right|^{2} P_{z_{0}}(z) d m(z)
$$
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with universal equivalence constants. Here, as well as in the sequel, we denote also by $f$ its Poisson integral in $D$ :

$$
f\left(z_{0}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z) P_{z_{0}}(z) d m(z), \quad z_{0} \in D
$$

On the other hand, it is well known that BMO functions can be characterized by Carleson measures. A positive measure $\mu$ on $D$ is called a Carleson measure if

$$
\|\mu\|_{C}=\sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D} \frac{1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}}{\mid 1-\overline{\left.z_{0} z\right|^{2}}} d \mu(z)<\infty
$$

Let $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{T})$. Then $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $|\nabla f(z)|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)$ is a Carleson measure, where $d A(z)$ denotes Lebesgue measure on $D$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{*}^{2} \approx \sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D}|\nabla f(z)|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\overline{z_{0}} z\right|^{2}} d A(z) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We refer to [6] for all these results.
This paper concerns the vector-valued version of (1.1). More precisely, we are interested in characterizing Banach spaces $B$ for which one of the two inequalities in (1.1) holds for $B$-valued functions $f$. Given a Banach space $B$, let $L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; B)$ denote the usual $L^{p}$-space of Bochner $p$-integrable functions on $\mathbb{T}$ with values in $B$. The space $\operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{T} ; B)$ of $B$-valued functions on $\mathbb{T}$ is defined in the same way as in the scalar case just by replacing the absolute value of $\mathbb{C}$ by the norm of $B$. Then the vector-valued analogue of (1.1) is the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}^{-1}\|f\|_{*}^{2} \leq \sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D}\|\nabla f(z)\|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\overline{z_{0}} z\right|^{2}} d A(z) \leq c_{2}\|f\|_{*}^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in \operatorname{BMO}(\mathbb{T} ; B)$, where $c_{1}, c_{2}$ are two positive constants (depending on $B$ ), and where

$$
\|\nabla f(z)\|=\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(z)\right\|+\left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(z)\right\|, \quad z=x+i y
$$

It is part of the folklore that (1.2) holds if and only if $B$ is isomorphic to a Hilbert space (see [2]). We include a proof of this result at the end of the paper for the convenience of the reader.

However, if one considers the validity of only one of the two inequalities in (1.2), the matter becomes much subtler and the corresponding class of Banach spaces is much larger. The following theorem solves this problem.

Theorem 1.1 Let B be a Banach space.
(i) There exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D}\|\nabla f(z)\|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\mid 1-\overline{\left.z_{0} z\right|^{2}}} d A(z) \leq c\|f\|_{*}^{2}
$$

holds for all trigonometric polynomials $f$ with coefficients in $B$ if and only if $B$ admits an equivalent norm that is 2-uniformly convex.
(ii) There exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D}\|\nabla f(z)\|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\mid 1-\overline{\left.z_{0} z\right|^{2}}} d A(z) \geq c^{-1}\|f\|_{*}^{2}
$$

holds for all trigonometric polynomials $f$ with coefficients in $B$ if and only if $B$ admits an equivalent norm that is 2-uniformly smooth.
We refer to the next section for the definition of uniform convexity (smoothness). This theorem is intimately related to the main result of [15], where the vector-valued Littlewood-Paley theory is studied. Given $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{T} ; B)$, define the Littlewood-Paley $g$-function

$$
(G(f)(z))^{2}=\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)\|\nabla f(r z)\|^{2} d r, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}
$$

The following fact is again well known. The equivalence

$$
\|G(f)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T})} \approx\|f-f(0)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; B)}
$$

holds uniformly for all $B$-valued trigonometric polynomials $f$ if and only if $B$ is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. However, the two one-sided inequalities are related to uniform convexity (smoothness). More precisely, we have the following result from [15].

Theorem 1.2 Let B be a Banach space.
(i) B has an equivalent 2-uniformly convex norm if and only if for some $p \in(1, \infty)$ (or equivalently, for every $p \in(1, \infty)$ ) there exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G(f)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c\|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; B)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all B-valued trigonometric polynomials $f$.
(ii) $B$ has an equivalent 2-uniformly smooth norm if and only if for some $p \in(1, \infty)$ (or equivalently, for every $p \in(1, \infty)$ ) there exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-f(0)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T} ; B)} \leq c\|G(f)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{T})} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all B-valued trigonometric polynomials $f$.
According to [15], the spaces satisfying (1.3) (resp. (1.4)) are said to be of Lusin cotype 2 (resp. Lusin type 2). The name Lusin refers to the fact that the LittlewoodPaley $g$-function can be replaced by the Lusin area function. At this stage, let us also recall that by Pisier's renorming theorem [10], $B$ has an equivalent 2-uniformly convex (resp. smooth) norm if and only if $B$ is of martingale cotype (resp. type) 2 .

The value $p=\infty$ is, of course, not allowed in Theorem 1.2 At the time of the writing of [15], the second author guessed that a correct version of Theorem 1.2 for $p=\infty$ should be Theorem 1.1, but could not confirm this. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 relies heavily on Theorem 1.2 and Calderón-Zygmund singular integral theory. In fact, we will work in the more general setting of a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ instead of $\mathbb{T}$. On the other hand, the power 2 in $\|\nabla f\|^{2}$ no longer plays any special role in the vector-valued setting. We will consider the analogue of Theorem 1.1 for $\|\nabla f\|^{q}$ with $1<q<\infty$. The corresponding result is stated separately in Theorems 4.1 and 5.1 below, which correspond to the end point $p=\infty$ of the results of $[9,15]$.

## 2 Preliminaries

Our references for harmonic analysis are [5,6,14]. All results quoted in this paper without explicit reference can be found there. However, one sometimes needs to adapt arguments in the scalar case to the vector-valued setting.

Let $(\Omega, \mu)$ be a measure space and $B$ a Banach space. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote by $L^{p}(\Omega, \mu ; B)$ the usual $L^{p}$-space of Bochner (or strongly) measurable functions on $\Omega$ with values in $B$. The norm of $L^{p}(\Omega, \mu ; B)$ is denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{p}$. The $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is equipped with Lebesgue measure, and $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ denotes the space of locally integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with values in $B$. Recall the Poisson kernel on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
P_{t}(x)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{(n+1) / 2}} \frac{t}{\left(t^{2}+|x|^{2}\right)^{(n+1) / 2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad t>0
$$

Let $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\|f(x)\| \frac{1}{1+|x|^{n+1}} d x<\infty
$$

The Poisson integral of $f$ is then defined by

$$
P_{t} * f(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} P_{t}(x-y) f(y) d y
$$

The function $P_{t} * f(x)$ is harmonic in the upper half space $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$. Let us make a convention to be used throughout this paper. For a function $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$, we also denote by $f$ its Poisson integral (whenever the latter exists); thus $f(x, t)=P_{t} * f(x)$.

The space $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ is defined as the space of all functions $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{*}=\sup _{Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q}\left\|f(x)-f_{Q}\right\| d x<\infty
$$

where the supremum runs over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (with sides parallel to the axes), and where $f_{Q}$ denotes the mean of $f$ over $Q$. Equipped with $\|\cdot\|_{*}, B M O\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ is a Banach space modulo constant. $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ is simply denoted by $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

We will also need the Hardy space $H^{1}$. There exist several different (equivalent) ways to define this. It is more convenient for us to use atomic decomposition. A $B$-valued atom is a function $a \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{supp}(a) \subset Q, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a d x=0, \quad\|a\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{|Q|}
$$

for some cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We then define $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ to be the space of all functions $f$ that can be written as $f=\sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_{k} a_{k}$, with $a_{k}$ atoms and $\lambda_{k}$ scalars such that $\sum_{k}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<\infty$. The norm of $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ is defined by

$$
\|f\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)}=\inf \left\{\sum_{k \geq 1}\left|\lambda_{k}\right|: f=\sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_{k} a_{k}\right\} .
$$

This is a Banach space. It is well known that $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ coincides with the space of all $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ such that $\sup _{t>0}\|f(\cdot, t)\| \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Fefferman's duality theorem between $H^{1}$ and BMO remains valid in this setting (with a slight condition on $B$ ). More precisely, $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)$ is isomorphically identified as a subspace of the dual $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)^{*}$; moreover, it is norming in the following sense. For any $f \in H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$,

$$
\|f\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)} \approx \sup \left\{|\langle f, g\rangle|: g \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right),\|g\|_{*} \leq 1\right\}
$$

with universal equivalence constants. Note that this duality result follows immediately from the atomic definition of $H_{a}^{1}$. If $B^{*}$ has the Radon-Nikodym property (in particular, if $B$ is reflexive), then $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)^{*}=\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)$. We refer to [1] and [3] for more details.

BMO functions can be characterized by Carleson measures. Let $\Gamma=\{(z, t) \in$ $\left.\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}:|z|<t\right\}$, the standard cone of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} . \Gamma(x)$ denotes the translation of $\Gamma$ by $(x, 0)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: \Gamma(x)=\Gamma+(x, 0)$. Let $Q$ be a cube. The tent over $Q$ is defined by $\widehat{Q}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} \backslash \bigcup_{x \in Q^{c}} \Gamma(x)$. A positive measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ is called a Carleson measure if

$$
\|\mu\|_{C}=\sup _{Q \text { cube }} \frac{\mu(\widehat{Q})}{|Q|}<\infty .
$$

Then $f \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if and only if $\mu(f)=(t|\nabla f(x, t)|)^{2} d x d t / t$ is a Carleson measure. Moreover, $\|f\|_{*}^{2} \approx\|\mu(f)\|_{C}$. This is the analogue of (1.1) for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Our main concern is the validity of each of the two one-sided inequalities of the equivalence above in the vector-valued setting. The previous result is, of course, part of the Littlewood-Paley theory. In this regard let us recall its $L^{p}$-analogue. Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Define the Lusin integral function of $f$ :

$$
(S(f)(x))^{2}=\int_{\Gamma}(t|\nabla f(x+z, t)|)^{2} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Then

$$
\|f\|_{p} \approx\|S(f)\|_{p}, \quad \forall f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 1<p<\infty
$$

The vector-valued Littlewood-Paley theory is studied in [9,15]. Let $1<q<\infty$ and $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$. Define

$$
\left(S_{q}(f)(x)\right)^{q}=\int_{\Gamma}(t\|\nabla f(x+z, t)\|)^{q} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where

$$
\|\nabla f(x, t)\|=\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t)\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} f(x, t)\right\|
$$

According to $[9,15], B$ is said to be of Lusin cotype $q$ if for some $p \in(1, \infty)$ (or equivalently, for every $p \in(1, \infty)$ ) there exists a positive constant $c$ such that
$\left\|S_{q}(f)\right\|_{p} \leq c\|f\|_{p}$ for all compactly supported, $B$-valued, continuous functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Similarly, we define Lusin type $q$ by reversing the inequality above. Note that if $B$ is of Lusin cotype (resp. type) $q$, then necessarily $q \geq 2$ (resp. $q \leq 2$ ). By $[9,15]$, Lusin cotype (resp. type) $q$ is equivalent to martingale cotype (resp. type) q. We will not need the latter notion and refer the interested reader to [10, 11]. By Pisier's renorming theorem [10], $B$ is of martingale cotype (resp. type) $q$ if and only if $B$ has an equivalent norm which is $q$-uniformly convex (resp. smooth). Let us recall this last notion for which we refer to [8] for more information. First define the modulus of convexity and modulus of smoothness of $B$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{B}(\varepsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\left\|\frac{a+b}{2}\right\|: a, b \in B,\|a\|=\|b\|=1,\|a-b\|=\varepsilon\right\}, \quad 0<\varepsilon<2, \\
& \rho_{B}(t)=\sup \left\{\frac{\|a+t b\|+\|a-t b\|}{2}-1: a, b \in B,\|a\|=\|b\|=1\right\}, \quad t>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$B$ is called uniformly convex if $\delta_{B}(\varepsilon)>0$ for every $\varepsilon>0$, and uniformly smooth if $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \rho_{B}(t) / t=0$. On the other hand, if $\delta_{B}(\varepsilon) \geq c \varepsilon^{q}$ for some positive constants $c$ and $q, B$ is called $q$-uniformly convex. Similarly, we define $q$-uniformly smoothness by demanding $\rho_{B}(t) \leq c t^{q}$ for some $c>0$ and $q>1$. It is well known that for $1<$ $p<\infty$, any (commutative or noncommutative) $L^{p}$-space is $\max (2, p)$-uniformly convex and $\min (2, p)$-uniformly smooth.

## 3 A Singular Integral Operator

Let the cone $\Gamma=\left\{(z, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}:|z|<t\right\}$ be equipped with the measure $d z d t / t^{n+1}$. Let $1<q<\infty$ and $B$ be a Banach space. Set $A=L^{q}(\Gamma ; B)$. For $h \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$, we will consider $h$ as a function of either a sole variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ or three variables $(x, z, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \Gamma$. In the first case, $h(x)$ is a function of two variables $(z, t)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Thus $h(x)(z, t)=h(x, z, t)$.

We will consider singular integral operators with kernels taking values in $\mathcal{L}(A)$, the space of bounded linear operators on $A$. Recall that $P_{t}$ denotes the Poisson kernel on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{t}(x)=t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P_{t}(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $h \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(h)(x, u, s)=\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi_{s} * \varphi_{t}(x+u+z-y) h(y, z, t) d y \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Phi(h)$ is well defined for $h$ in a dense vector subspace of $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$. Indeed, let $h: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow A$ be a compactly supported continuous function such that for each $x \in \operatorname{supp}(h)$ the function $h(x): \Gamma \rightarrow B$ is continuous and supported by a compact of $\Gamma$ independent of $x$. Then it is easy to check that $\Phi(h)$ is well defined and belongs to $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$ for all $p$. On the other hand, it is clear that the family of all such functions
$h$ is dense in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R} ; A)$ for every $p<\infty$. In the sequel, $h$ will be assumed to belong to this family whenever we consider $\Phi(h)$.

The following will be crucial later on. We refer to [15] for a similar lemma on the circle $\pi$.

Lemma 3.1 The map $\Phi$ extends to a bounded map on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$ for $1<p<\infty$, and also a bounded map from $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$ to $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$. Moreover, denoting again by $\Phi$ the extended maps, we have

$$
\left\|\Phi: L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right) \rightarrow L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)\right\| \leq c, \quad\left\|\Phi: H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right) \rightarrow L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)\right\| \leq c
$$

where the constant $c$ depends only on $p, q$ and $n$.
A similar statement holds for each of the $n$ partial derivatives in $x_{i}$ instead of $\partial / \partial t$ in the definition of $\varphi$ in (3.1).

Proof The proof is based on Calderón-Zygmund singular integral theory for vectorvalued kernels, for which we refer to [5]. We will represent $\Phi$ as a singular integral operator. Let

$$
k_{s, t}(x)=\varphi_{s} * \varphi_{t}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi_{s}(x-y) \varphi_{t}(y) d y .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(h)(x, u, s)=\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} k_{s, t}(x+u+z-y) h(y, z, t) d y \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the definition of $\varphi_{t}$ and the semigroup property of $P_{t}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{s, t}(x)=\left.s t \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} P_{r}(x)\right|_{r=s+t} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the operator-valued kernel $K(x): A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$
K(x)(a)(u, s)=\int_{\Gamma} k_{s, t}(x+u+z) a(z, t) \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}}, \quad a \in A
$$

Then $\Phi(h)$ can be rewritten as

$$
\Phi(h)(x)=K * h(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K(x-y)(h(y)) d y .
$$

Thus $\Phi$ is a convolution operator with kernel $K$. We will show that $K$ is a regular Calderón-Zygmund kernel with values in $\mathcal{L}(A)$. Namely, $K$ satisfies the following norm estimates

$$
\|K(x)\| \leq \frac{c}{|x|^{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad\|\nabla K(x)\| \leq \frac{c}{|x|^{n+1}}
$$

for some positive constant $c$ depending only $n$. To this end first observe that by (3.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k_{s, t}(x)\right| \leq \frac{c s t}{(s+t+|x|)^{n+2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here as well as in the rest of the paper, letters $c, c^{\prime}, c_{1}, \ldots$ denote positive constants that may depend on $n, q, p$, or $B$ but never on particular functions in consideration. They may also vary from line to line. Let $a \in A$ with $\|a\| \leq 1$. Let $q^{\prime}$ denote the conjugate index of $q$. Then by the Hölder inequality and (3.5), we deduce

$$
\|K(x)(a)(u, s)\|^{q^{\prime}} \leq c^{q^{\prime}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{s^{q^{\prime}} t^{q^{\prime}}}{(s+t+|x+u+z|)^{(n+2) q^{\prime}}} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}}
$$

Since $|z|<t$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}(s+t+|x+u|) \leq s+t+|x+u+z| \leq 2(s+t+|x+u|)
$$

It then follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|K(x)(a)(u, s)\|^{q^{\prime}} & \leq c_{1}^{q^{\prime}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{s^{q^{\prime}} t^{q^{\prime}}}{(s+t+|x+u|)^{(n+2) q^{\prime}}} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \\
& \leq c_{2}^{q^{\prime}} \frac{s^{q^{\prime}}}{(s+|x+u|)^{(n+1) q^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|K(x)(a)\|_{A}^{q} & =\int_{\Gamma}\|K(x)(a)(u, s)\|^{q} \frac{d u d s}{s^{n+1}} \\
& \leq c_{2}^{q} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{s^{q}}{(s+|x+u|)^{(n+1) q}} \frac{d u d s}{s^{n+1}} \leq \frac{c_{3}^{q}}{|x|^{n q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the supremum over all $a$ in the unit ball of $A$, we deduce that $K(x)$ is a bounded operator on $A$ and $\|K(x)\| \leq c_{3} /|x|^{n}$. Similarly, we show $\|\nabla K(x)\| \leq$ $c_{4} /|x|^{n+1}$. Therefore, $K$ is a regular vector-valued kernel.

Since $\Phi$ is the singular integral operator with kernel $K$, by [5, Theorem V.3.4] (see also [9, Theorem 4.1]), the lemma is reduced to the boundedness of $\Phi$ on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$ for some $p \in(1, \infty)$. Clearly, the most convenient choice of $p$ is $p=q$. By (3.3) and the Hölder inequality $\|\Phi(h)(x, u, s)\| \leq \alpha \cdot \beta$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{q^{\prime}} & =\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|k_{s, t}(x+u+z-y)\right| d y \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \\
\beta^{q} & =\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|k_{s, t}(x+u+z-y)\right|\|h(y, z, t)\|^{q} d y \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.5), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha^{q^{\prime}} & \leq c \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{s t}{(s+t+|x+u+z-y|)^{n+2}} d y \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \\
& \leq c_{1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{s t}{(s+t)^{2}} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \leq c_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\Phi(h)\|_{q}^{q} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\Gamma}\|\Phi(h)(x, u, s)\|^{q} \frac{d u d s}{s^{n+1}} d x \\
& \leq c_{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{s t}{(s+t+|x+u+z-y|)^{n+2}} d x \frac{d u d s}{s^{n+1}}\|h(y, z, t)\|^{q} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} d y \\
& \leq c_{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\Gamma}\|h(y, z, t)\|^{q} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} d y=c_{4}\|h\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)}^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\Phi$ extends to a bounded map on $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$, so the lemma is proved.

## 4 Carleson Measures and Uniform Convexity

The following theorem is the main result of this section. Recall that $\widehat{Q}$ denotes the tent over $Q$ for a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Theorem 4.1 Let B be a Banach space and $2 \leq q<\infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) There exists a positive constant $c$ such that for all $f \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sup _{Q \text { cube }} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\widehat{Q}}(t\|\nabla f(x, t)\|)^{q} \frac{d x d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q} \leq c\|f\|_{*} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) B has an equivalent norm which is q-uniformly convex.

Inequality (4.1) means that $(t\|\nabla f(x, t)\|)^{q} d x d t / t$ is a Carleson measure on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ for every $f \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$. In this regard, let us introduce one more function $C_{q}$, besides the Lusin function $S_{q}$. Given $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow B$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{q}(f)(x)=\left(\sup _{Q} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\widehat{Q}}(t\|\nabla f(y, t)\|)^{q} \frac{d y d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the supremum runs over all cubes $Q$ containing $x$. Then (4.1) can be rephrased as $\left\|C_{q}(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leq c\|f\|_{*}$.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 and that of Theorem 5.1 below rely heavily on the results on Lusin type and cotype in [9]. We collect them in the following lemma for the convenience of the reader and also for later reference.

Lemma 4.2 Let B be a Banach space and $2 \leq q<\infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $B$ is of Lusin cotype q. Namely, for some $p \in(1, \infty)$ (or equivalently, for every $p \in(1, \infty))$ there exists a positive constant $c$ such that $\left\|S_{q}(f)\right\|_{p} \leq c\|f\|_{p}$ for all $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$.
(ii) There exists a constant $c$ such that $\left\|S_{q}(f)\right\|_{1} \leq c\|f\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)}, \forall f \in H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$.
(iii) $B$ has an equivalent $q$-uniformly convex norm.
(iv) $B^{*}$ is of Lusin type $q^{\prime}$, where $q^{\prime}$ is the conjugate index of $q$.
(v) $B^{*}$ has an equivalent $q^{\prime}$-uniformly smooth norm.

Proof of Theorem4.1 (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $f \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ with $\|f\|_{*} \leq 1$. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a cube. Set $\widetilde{Q}=2 Q$, the cube of the same center as $Q$ and of double side length. Write

$$
f=\left(f-f_{\widetilde{Q}}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{Q}}+\left(f-f_{\widetilde{Q}}\right) \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{Q}^{c}}+f_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}}} .
$$

Then

$$
\nabla f(x, t)=\nabla f_{1}(x, t)+\nabla f_{2}(x, t)
$$

so

$$
\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\widehat{Q}}(t\|\nabla f(x, t)\|)^{q} \frac{d x d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q} \leq \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{k}=\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\widehat{Q}}\left(t\left\|\nabla f_{k}(x, t)\right\|\right)^{q} \frac{d x d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q}, \quad k=1,2
$$

For $\alpha_{1}$, by the Fubini theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Q| \alpha_{1}^{q} & \leq c_{n}^{q} \int_{Q} \int_{\Gamma}\left(t\left\|\nabla f_{1}(x+z, t)\right\|\right)^{q} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} d x \\
& =c_{n}^{q} \int_{Q}\left(S_{q}\left(f_{1}\right)(x)\right)^{q} d x \leq c_{n}^{q}\left\|S_{q}\left(f_{1}\right)\right\|_{q}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is a constant depending only on $n$. By (ii) and Lemma 4.2, $B$ is of Lusin cotype $q$. Thus $\left\|S_{q}\left(f_{1}\right)\right\|_{q} \leq c\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{q}$. However, by the John-Nirenberg theorem,

$$
\left\|f_{1}\right\|_{q} \leq c^{\prime}|Q|^{1 / q}\|f\|_{*} \leq c^{\prime}|Q|^{1 / q}
$$

It then follows that $\alpha_{1} \leq c_{n} c c^{\prime}$. To deal with $\alpha_{2}$, we write

$$
\nabla f_{2}(x, t)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \nabla P_{t}(x-y) f_{2}(y) d y=\int_{\widetilde{Q}^{c}} \nabla P_{t}(x-y) f_{2}(y) d y
$$

Note that

$$
\left|\nabla P_{t}(x-y)\right| \leq \frac{c_{n}}{(t+|x-y|)^{n+1}}
$$

On the other hand, for $(x, t) \in \widehat{Q}$ and $y \in \widetilde{Q}^{c}$,

$$
\frac{1}{(t+|x-y|)^{n+1}} \approx \frac{1}{(\ell+|x-y|)^{n+1}}
$$

where $\ell=\ell(Q)$ is the side length of $Q$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla f_{2}(x, t)\right\| & \leq c_{n}^{\prime} \int_{\widetilde{Q}^{c}}\left\|f_{2}(y)\right\| \frac{1}{(\ell+|x-y|)^{n+1}} d y \\
& \leq \frac{c_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\|f_{2}(y)\right\| P_{\ell}(x-y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

We now use a well known characterization of BMO functions, in which averages over cubes are replaced by averages against the Poisson kernel. Namely, a function $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow B$ belongs to $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\|g(y)-g(x, t)\| P_{t}(x-y) d y<\infty
$$

If this is the case, the supremum above is equivalent to $\|g\|_{*}$ with relevant constants depending only on $n$. Then we deduce $\left\|\nabla f_{2}(x, t)\right\| \leq \frac{c}{\ell}$. Therefore,

$$
\alpha_{2}^{q} \leq \frac{c^{q}}{\ell^{q}|Q|} \int_{\widehat{Q}} t^{q} \frac{d x d t}{t} \leq c^{\prime}
$$

Combining the preceding inequalities, we find that $(t\|\nabla f(x, t)\|)^{q} d x d t / t$ is a Carleson measure on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}$ with constant depending only on $n, q$ and $B$ for every $f \in$ $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ with $\|f\|_{*} \leq 1$. This concludes the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). This proof is harder. Let $A=L^{q}(\Gamma ; B)$ (recall that the cone $\Gamma$ is equipped with the measure $\left.d z d t / t^{n+1}\right)$. Given a function $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$, define

$$
\mathcal{S}_{q}(f)(x, z, t)=t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x+z, t), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},(z, t) \in \Gamma
$$

We regard $\S_{q}(f)$ as a function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with values in $A$. Then

$$
\left\|\mathcal{S}_{q}(f)(x)\right\|_{A}=S_{q}^{t}(f)(x)
$$

where $S_{q}^{t}(f)$ is the Lusin integral function of $f$, but using only the partial derivative in $t$. Also note that $S_{q}(f)(x, z, t)=\varphi_{t} * f(x+z)$, where $\varphi$ is defined by (3.1). As in section 3, $\mathcal{S}_{q}$ can be represented as a singular integral operator with a regular kernel taking values in the space of bounded linear maps from $B$ into $A$ (see [13] for the scalar case and [15] for $\mathbb{T}$ ). By $[9,15$ ], (ii) is equivalent to the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{S}_{q}(f)\right\|_{*} \leq c\|f\|_{\infty}, \quad \forall f \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this inequality is a finite dimensional property. Namely, if (4.3) holds for every finite dimensional subspace $E$ of $B$ in place of $B$ with constant independent of $E$, then (4.3) holds for the whole $B$ too. Thus we can assume $\operatorname{dim} B<\infty$ in the rest of the proof. To prove (4.3) we will use duality. We first show that (i) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)} \leq c\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}(g)\right\|_{1} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all compactly supported continuous functions $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow B^{*}$. To this end let $f \in$ $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ with $\|f\|_{*} \leq 1$. Then by Plancherel's theorem

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle f(x), g(-x)\rangle d x=4 \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}}\left\langle t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t), t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(-x, t)\right\rangle \frac{d x d t}{t} .
$$

Note that since $\operatorname{dim} B<\infty$, this equality is reduced to the scalar case, in which it is well known and immediately follows from Plancherel's theorem. Let $C_{q}^{t}(f)$ denote the function defined by (4.2) using only the partial derivative in $t$. Then by (4.1) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle f(x), g(-x)\rangle d x\right| & \leq 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}} t\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x, t)\right\| t\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(-x, t)\right\| \frac{d x d t}{t} \\
& \leq c^{\prime} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} C_{q}^{t}(f)(x) S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}(g)(-x) d x \\
& \leq c c^{\prime}\|f\|_{*}\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}(g)\right\|_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used [4, Theorem $1(\mathrm{a})$ ] for the next to last inequality. Note that the inequality there is proved only for $q=2$, but the arguments can be easily modified to our situation. Taking the supremum over all $f$ in the unit ball of $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$, we obtain (4.4).

Return to 4.3). We use duality again, this time that between $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$ and $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A^{*}\right)$. Fix a function $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R} ; B)$. Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{q}(f)$ is a function from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $A$ and the left hand side of (4.3) is $\left\|\mathcal{S}_{q}(f)\right\|_{\mathrm{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)}$. Thus it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\mathcal{S}_{q}(f), h\right\rangle\right| \leq c\|f\|_{\infty}\|h\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A^{*}\right)}, \quad \forall h \in H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A^{*}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by approximation, we need only to consider a nice $h$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\mathcal{S}_{q}(f), h\right\rangle & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\Gamma}\left\langle\varphi_{t} * f(x+z), h(-x, z, t)\right\rangle \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\Gamma}\left\langle f(y), \varphi_{t}(\cdot+z) * h(\cdot, z, t)(-y)\right\rangle \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} d y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle f(y), \Psi(h)(-y)\rangle d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(h)(x) & =\int_{\Gamma} \varphi_{t}(\cdot+z) * h(\cdot, z, t)(x) \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \\
& =\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varphi_{t}(x+z-y) h(y, z, t) d y \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\Psi(h)$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with values in $B^{*}$. Therefore, by (4.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle\mathcal{S}_{q}(f), h\right\rangle\right| & \leq\|f\|_{\infty}\|\Psi(h)\|_{1} \leq\|f\|_{\infty}\|\Psi(h)\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)} \\
& \leq c\|f\|_{\infty}\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}(\Psi(h))\right\|_{1}=c\|f\|_{\infty}\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}(\Psi(h))\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A^{*}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we use the same notation $\mathcal{S}$ in the dual setting, which is consistent with the preceding meaning, because $A^{*}$ is the space associated with $B^{*}$ in the same way as $A$ associated with $B: A^{*}=L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma ; B^{*}\right)$. Now it is easy to see that $\mathcal{S}_{q^{\prime}}(\Psi(h))=\Phi(h)$, where $\Phi$ is the map defined by (3.1) with $\left(B^{*}, q^{\prime}\right)$ instead of $(B, q)$. Thus by Lemma3.1.

$$
\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}(\Psi(h))\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A^{*}\right)} \leq c\|h\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A^{*}\right)}
$$

Combining the preceding inequalities, we obtain (4.5), and consequently, 4.3) too. This shows the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

The previous proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) shows the following result, which extends [9, Theorem 5.3] (and [15, Theorem 2.5]) to the case $p=1$.

Corollary 4.3 Let B be a Banach space and $1<q \leq 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) B is of Lusin type q.
(ii) There exists a constant $c$ such that $\|f\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)} \leq c\left\|S_{q}(f)\right\|_{1}$ holds for all compactly supported continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $B$.
(iii) There exists a constant $c$ such that $\|f\|_{1} \leq c\left\|S_{q}(f)\right\|_{1}$ holds for all compactly supported continuous functions $f$ from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $B$.

## 5 Carleson Measures and Uniform Smoothness

This section deals with the properties dual to those in Theorem 4.1 The following theorem gives the characterization of Lusin type in terms of Carleson measures.

Theorem 5.1 Let B be a Banach space and $1<q \leq 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) There exists a positive constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{*} \leq c\left(\sup _{Q \text { cube }} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\widehat{Q}}(t\|\nabla f(x, t)\|)^{q} \frac{d x d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all compactly supported continuous functions from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $B$.
(ii) $B$ has an equivalent $q$-uniformly smooth norm.

Proof (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) First note that by Lemma 4.2, (ii) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}(g)\right\|_{1} \leq c\|g\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)}, \quad \forall g \in H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow B$ be a compactly supported continuous function. We are going to prove (5.1). This proof is similar to that of (4.4) but in a converse direction. By approximation, we can assume that $f$ takes values in a finite dimensional subspace of $B$; then replacing $B$ by this subspace, we can simply assume $\operatorname{dim} B<\infty$. Using the duality between $\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B\right)$ and $H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)$, we find a function $g \in H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)$ of unit norm such that $\|f\|_{*} \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle f(x), g(-x)\rangle d x$, where the equivalence constants depend only on $n$. By approximation, we can further assume that $g$ is sufficiently nice so that all calculations below are legitimate. By Plancherel's theorem,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle f(x), g(-x)\rangle d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}}\langle t \nabla f(x, t), t \nabla g(-x, t)\rangle \frac{d x d t}{t}
$$

By [4] and (5.2), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle f(x), g(-x)\rangle d x & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1}} t\|\nabla f(x, t)\| t\|\nabla g(-x, t)\| \frac{d x d t}{t} \\
& \leq c^{\prime} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} C_{q}(f)(x) S_{q^{\prime}}(g)(-x) d x \\
& \leq c^{\prime}\left\|C_{q}(f)\right\|_{\infty}\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}(g)\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq c^{\prime \prime}\left\|C_{q}(f)\right\|_{\infty}\|g\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)} \leq c^{\prime \prime}\left\|C_{q}(f)\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the preceding inequalities, we deduce (5.1).
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume (i). It suffices to prove (5.2). We will do this only for the Lusin function involving the partial derivative $\partial / \partial t$. The others can be treated similarly. Thus let $S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}$ denote this Lusin function. Our task is to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}(g)\right\|_{1} \leq c\|g\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)}, \quad \forall g \in H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can clearly assume $\operatorname{dim} B<\infty$. Let $A=L^{q}(\Gamma ; B)$ be as in section 3 and keep the notations introduced there. Note that $A^{*}=L^{q^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma ; B^{*}\right)$. Now fix a nice function $g \in H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)$. Recall that

$$
\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}(g)\right\|_{1}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\int_{\Gamma}\left(t\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(x+z, t)\right\|_{B^{*}}\right)^{q^{\prime}} \frac{d z d t}{t}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} d x=\|\widetilde{g}\|_{L^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A^{*}\right)}
$$

where $\widetilde{g}(x, z, t)=t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(x+z, t)$. Thus there exists a function $h \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$ of norm 1 such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}(g)\right\|_{1} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\Gamma}\left\langle t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g(x+z, t), h(-x, z, t)\right\rangle \frac{d z d t}{t} d x \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle g(x), \Psi(h)(-x)\rangle d x
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Psi$ is defined by (4.6). Therefore, by (5.1), we deduce

$$
\left\|S_{q^{\prime}}^{t}(g)\right\|_{1} \leq c_{n}\|g\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)}\|\Psi(h)\|_{*} \leq c_{n} c\|g\|_{H_{a}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; B^{*}\right)}\left\|C_{q}(\Psi(h))\right\|_{\infty}
$$

Thus we are reduced to proving

$$
\left\|C_{q}(\Psi(h))\right\|_{\infty} \leq c\|h\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)}, \quad \forall h \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)
$$

We will do this only for the partial derivative in the time variable in the gradient. Namely, we have to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\widehat{Q}}\left(s\left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Psi(h)(x, s)\right\|\right)^{q} \frac{d x d s}{s} \leq c^{q}\|h\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)}^{q} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The argument below is similar to the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorem4.1 Using $\varphi$ and $k_{s, t}$ in Section3, we have

$$
s \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Psi(h)(x, s)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\Gamma} k_{s, t}(x+z-y) h(y, z, t) \frac{d z d t}{t} d y \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} \widetilde{\Phi}(h)(x, s) .
$$

Now fix a cube $Q$ and a nice $h \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)$ with $\|h\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)} \leq 1$. Let $\widetilde{Q}=2 Q$. Decompose $h$ :

$$
h=h \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{Q}}+h \mathbb{1}_{\widetilde{Q}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} h_{1}+h_{2} .
$$

Then (5.4) is reduced to

$$
\beta_{k}=\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\widehat{Q}}\left(\left\|\widetilde{\Phi}\left(h_{k}\right)(x, s)\right\|\right)^{q} \frac{d x d s}{s}\right)^{1 / q} \leq c, \quad k=1,2
$$

It is easy to estimate $\beta_{1}$. Indeed, using the map $\Phi$ in (3.2) and Lemma 3.1) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Q| \beta_{1}^{q} & \leq c_{n}^{q} \int_{Q}\left\|\Phi\left(h_{1}\right)(x)\right\|_{A}^{q} d x \leq c_{n}^{q}\left\|\Phi\left(h_{1}\right)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)}^{q} \\
& \leq c_{n}^{q} c^{q}\left\|h_{1}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} ; A\right)}^{q} \leq c_{n}^{q} c^{q}|Q|
\end{aligned}
$$

whence the desired result for $\beta_{1}$. For $\beta_{2}$ a little more effort is needed. By (3.5), we have

$$
\left\|\widetilde{\Phi}\left(h_{2}\right)(x, s)\right\| \leq c \int_{\widetilde{Q}^{c}} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{s t}{(s+t+|x+z-y|)^{n+2}}\|h(y, z, t)\| \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} d y
$$

By the Hölder inequality and the assumption that $\|h\|_{L^{\infty}(\Gamma ; A)} \leq 1$, the internal integral is estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Gamma} & \frac{s t}{(s+t+|x+z-y|)^{n+2}}\|h(y, z, t)\| \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Gamma} \frac{(s t)^{q^{\prime}}}{(s+t+|x+z-y|)^{(n+2) q^{\prime}}} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}\|h(y)\|_{A} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{\Gamma} \frac{s^{q^{\prime}} t^{q^{\prime}}}{(s+t+|x+z-y|)^{(n+2) q^{\prime}}} \frac{d z d t}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \approx \frac{s}{(s+|x-y|)^{n+1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for $(x, s) \in \widehat{Q}$ and $y \in \widetilde{Q}^{c}$, we have

$$
\frac{s}{(s+|x-y|)^{n+1}} \approx \frac{s}{|x-y|^{n+1}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|\widetilde{\Phi}\left(h_{2}\right)(x, s)\right\| \leq c^{\prime} s \int_{\widetilde{Q}^{c}} \frac{d y}{|x-y|^{n+1}} \leq \frac{c^{\prime \prime} s}{\ell}
$$

where $\ell$ is the side length of $Q$. It then follows that $\beta_{2} \leq c$. Thus (5.4) is proved. This finishes the proof of (5.3), so the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is proved as well.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 Except for the difference between $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, Theorem 1.1 is a particular case of Theorems 4.1 and5.1. The proofs of these two latter theorems can be easily adapted to the case of the circle.

Remark 5.2 The two "if" parts in Theorem 1.1 can also be proved by using the invariance of the expression $\|\nabla f(z)\|^{2} d A(z)$ under Möbius transformations of $D$. This invariance means that if $w=\varphi(z)$ is a Möbius transformation of $D$, then

$$
\|\nabla f(\varphi(z))\|^{2} d A(z)=\|\nabla f(w)\|^{2} d A(w)
$$

Now assume that $B$ is 2-uniformly convex. Then $B$ is of Lusin cotype 2. Therefore there exists a constant $c$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{0}^{1}(1-r)\|\nabla f(r z)\|^{2} d r d m(z) \leq c\|f-f(0)\|_{2}^{2}, \quad \forall f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{T} ; B) .
$$

Then one easily deduces that (with a different $c$ )

$$
\int_{D}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\|\nabla f(z)\|^{2} d A(z) \leq c\|f-f(0)\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Now let $z_{0} \in D$ and let $\varphi(z)=\left(z+z_{0}\right) /\left(1+\bar{z}_{0} z\right)$. Applying the preceding inequality to $f \circ \varphi$, we get

$$
\int_{D}\|\nabla f \circ \varphi(z)\|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \leq c\|f \circ \varphi-f \circ \varphi(0)\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Then a change of variables and the previous Möbius invariance yield

$$
\int_{D}\|\nabla f(z)\|^{2} \frac{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\overline{z_{0}} z\right|^{2}} d A(z) \leq c \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\|f(z)-f\left(z_{0}\right)\right\|^{2} P_{z_{0}}(z) d m(z)
$$

Taking the supremum over all $z_{0} \in D$ gives the first inequality in Theorem 1.1. The same argument applies to the "if" part in (ii) there. Unfortunately, this simple proof works neither for the case of $q \neq 2$ nor for that of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

We end the paper with some comments on (1.2). If (1.2) holds, then $B$ has an equivalent 2 -uniformly convex norm as well as an equivalent 2 -uniformly smooth norm. In particular, it is of both cotype 2 and type 2, so isomorphic to a Hilbert space by Kwapień's theorem [7] (see also [12] to which we refer for the notion of type and cotype too). Conversely, if $B$ is isomorphic to a Hilbert space, we get (1.2) as in the scalar case. Let us give a much more elementary argument showing that the validity of (1.2) implies the isomorphism of $B$ to a Hilbert space. The main point is the following remark.

Remark 5.3 Let $1<q<\infty$ and $B$ be a Banach space. Given a finite sequence $\left(a_{k}\right) \subset B$, consider the function $f(z)=\sum_{k \geq 1} a_{k} z^{2^{k}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D}(1-|z|)^{q-1}\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{q} P_{z_{0}}(z) d A(z) \approx \sum_{k \geq 1}\left\|a_{k}\right\|^{q} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with universal equivalence constants.

Recall the following well-known (and easily checked) fact:

$$
\|f\|_{*} \approx\left\|\sum_{k \geq 1} a_{k} z^{2^{k}}\right\|_{1}
$$

Combining this with (5.5), we deduce the following result from [2]. If

$$
\sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D}(1-|z|)^{q-1}\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|^{q} P_{z_{0}}(z) d A(z) \leq c^{q}\|f\|_{*}^{q}
$$

holds for any lacunary polynomial $f$ with coefficients in $B$ with some positive constant $c$, then $B$ is of cotype $q$; the converse inequality implies that $B$ is of type $q$.

Let us show (5.5). Since $f^{\prime}(z)=\sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{k} a_{k} z^{2^{k}-1}$, replacing $a_{k}$ by $2^{k} a_{k}$, we see that (5.5) is reduced to

$$
\sup _{z_{0} \in D} \int_{D}(1-|z|)^{q-1}\|f(z)\|^{q} P_{z_{0}}(z) d A(z) \approx \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-q k}\left\|a_{k}\right\|^{q}
$$

The lower estimate is very easy. Indeed, we have (with $z_{0}=0$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D}(1-|z|)^{q-1}\|f(z)\|^{q} d A(z) & =\int_{0}^{1}(1-r)^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}}\|f(r z)\|^{q} d m(z) r d r \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{1-2^{-n+1}}^{1-2^{-n}}(1-r)^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \| f(r z)^{q} d m(z) r d r \\
& \geq \sum_{n \geq 1} \int_{1-2^{-n+1}}^{1-2^{-n}}(1-r)^{q-1}\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{q} r^{q^{2^{n}}} r d r \\
& \approx \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{-q n}\left\|a_{n}\right\|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the upper estimate, we first majorize $f$ pointwise. For $n \geq 1$ and $1-2^{-n+1} \leq$ $|z|<1-2^{-n}$, we find

$$
\|f(z)\| \leq \sum_{k \leq n}\left\|a_{k}\right\|+\sum_{k>n}\left\|a_{k}\right\| \exp \left(-2^{k-n}\right)
$$

Let $0<\alpha<1$. Then, $\sum_{k \leq n}\left\|a_{k}\right\| \leq c 2^{n \alpha}\left(\sum_{k \leq n} 2^{-k \alpha q}\left\|a_{k}\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}$. Similarly, for $\beta>1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k>n}\left\|a_{k}\right\| \exp \left(-2^{k-n}\right) & \leq\left(\sum_{k>n} 2^{-k \beta q}\left\|a_{k}\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}\left(\sum_{k>n} 2^{k \beta q^{\prime}} \exp \left(-q^{\prime} 2^{k-n}\right)\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \\
& \leq c 2^{n \beta}\left(\sum_{k>n} 2^{-k \beta q}\left\|a_{k}\right\|^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that for any $z_{0} \in D$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{D}(1 & -|z|)^{q-1}\|f(z)\|^{q} P_{z_{0}}(z) d A(z) \\
& \leq c \sum_{n \geq 1} 2^{-n q}\left[2^{n q \alpha} \sum_{k \leq n} 2^{-k \alpha q}\left\|a_{k}\right\|^{q}+2^{n q \beta} \sum_{k>n} 2^{-k \beta q}\left\|a_{k}\right\|^{q}\right] \\
& \leq c \sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-q k}\left\|a_{k}\right\|^{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $(5.5)$ is proved.
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