

NORM INEQUALITIES RELATING ONE-SIDED SINGULAR INTEGRALS AND THE ONE-SIDED MAXIMAL FUNCTION

M. S. RIVEROS and A. DE LA TORRE

(Received 9 December 1998; revised 17 April 2000)

Communicated by P. G. Dodds

Abstract

In this paper we prove that if a weight w satisfies the C_q^+ condition, then the $L^p(w)$ norm of a one-sided singular integral is bounded by the $L^p(w)$ norm of the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, for $1 < p < q < \infty$.

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 42B25, 42A50.

Keywords and phrases: One-sided weights, one-sided singular integrals.

1. Introduction

One-sided singular integrals were defined by Aimar, Forzani and Martín-Reyes in [AFM] as singular integrals T^+f whose kernel has support on $(-\infty, 0)$. In the same paper they proved that a weight w satisfies $\int |T^+f|^p w \leq C \int |f|^p w$, for all $f \in L^p(w)$ if, the weight satisfies the one-sided A_p^+ condition, introduced by Sawyer [S1], that characterizes the boundedness of the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $M^+f(x) = \sup_{h>0} h^{-1} \int_x^{x+h} |f|$.

A crucial step in the proof, is the fact that if $w \in A_\infty^+$, then

$$(1.1) \quad \int |T^+f|^r w \leq C \int [M^+f]^r w,$$

for any $1 < r$. We recall definitions of the A_p^+ classes: $w \in A_p^+$, $1 < p$ if there exists a constant C such that for all $a < b < c$

Research supported by D.G.E.S (PB97-1097), Junta de Andalucía and Universidad Nacional de Córdoba.

© 2000 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/2000 \$A2.00 + 0.00

$$(A_p^+) \quad \int_a^b w \left(\int_b^c w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \leq C(c-a)^p,$$

where $p + p' = pp'$. A weight w is in A_∞^+ if there exist positive constants C and ϵ such that for any $a < b < c$ and any measurable set $E \subset (a, b)$,

$$(A_\infty^+) \quad \frac{\int_E w}{\int_a^c w} \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{c-b} \right)^\epsilon.$$

These definitions and many properties of A_p^+ and A_∞^+ can be found in [MPT]. A natural question arises. Can we find conditions weaker than A_∞^+ that are sufficient for (1.1)? In [S2] Sawyer considered the following condition, introduced first by Muckenhoupt in [Mu].

There exists two positive constants C and ϵ such that for every interval $I \in \mathbb{R}$ and every measurable subset $E \subset I$ we have

$$(C_p) \quad \int_E w \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{|I|} \right)^\epsilon \int [M\chi_I]^p w < \infty,$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Sawyer proved that for a standard singular integral Tf , C_q is sufficient for

$$(1.2) \quad \int |Tf|^p w \leq C \int [Mf]^p w$$

provided $q > p$. He does not require $\int [M\chi_I]^p w < \infty$. Observe that if $\int [M\chi_I]^q w = \infty$ for some I , then $\int [M\chi_J]^q w = \infty$ for every interval J . Then for every $f \geq 0$ and $p \leq q$ we have that $\int [Mf]^p w = \infty$. In this paper we introduce a one-sided version of this condition C_p^+ , and prove that if $q > p$, then

$$\int |T^+f|^p w \leq C \int [M^+f]^p w.$$

The definition of C_p^+ is as follows.

DEFINITION. A weight w satisfies C_p^+ if there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $C > 0$, so that for any $a < b < c$, with $c - b < b - a$, and any measurable set $E \subseteq (a, b)$, the following holds

$$(C_p^+) \quad \int_E w \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{(c-b)} \right)^\epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}} [M^+\chi_{(a,c)}]^p w < \infty.$$

Observe that if $w \in A_\infty^+$ then $w \in \bigcap_{p>1} C_p^+$. We give examples of weights that satisfy C_p^+ condition for all $p > 1$ but they do not satisfy A_∞^+ condition.

The class of one-sided singular integrals is a subclass of the standard singular integrals and our theorem says that for this subclass we can obtain a more precise result. On one hand, we obtain a smaller right hand side, with M^+f instead of Mf . On the other hand, the condition C_p^+ is different from C_p . These facts make the proof more complicated than in the standard case although it follows the same lines as the paper by Sawyer.

Now we recall the definition of one-sided singular integrals studied in [AFM]. We say that a function k in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R} - \{0\})$ is a Calderón–Zygmund kernel if the following properties are satisfied:

(a) There exists a finite constant B_1 such that

$$\left| \int_{\epsilon < |x| < N} k(x) dx \right| \leq B_1$$

for all ϵ and all N with $0 < \epsilon < N$. Furthermore $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\epsilon < |x| < N} k(x) dx$ exists.

(b) There exists a finite constant B_2 such that

$$|k(x)| \leq B_2/|x|$$

for all $x \neq 0$.

(c) There exists a finite constant B_3 such that

$$|k(x - y) - k(x)| \leq B_3|y||x|^{-2}$$

for all x and y with $|x| > 2|y| > 0$.

A one-sided singular integral is

$$T^+f(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{x+\epsilon}^\infty k(x - y)f(y) dy,$$

where k is a Calderón–Zygmund kernel, with support in \mathbb{R}^- . We also define

$$T^{*+}f(x) = \sup_{\epsilon > 0} \left| \int_{x+\epsilon}^\infty k(x - y)f(y) dy, \right|.$$

Examples of such kernels are given in [AFM].

We end this section with some notation. A weight w is a non-negative, locally integrable function. If E is a measurable set, $w(E)$ denotes the integral of w over E . Throughout the paper the letter C represents a positive constant that may change from time to time.

2. Statement and proof of the result

THEOREM 1. *Let T^+f be a one-sided singular integral, $1 < p < q < \infty$ and assume that w satisfies C_q^+ , then*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} |T^+f|^p w \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}} [M^+f]^p w$$

for all f such that the right hand side is finite.

REMARK. If $w(x) = e^x$ then $w \in A_1^+ \subset A_\infty^+ \subset C_p^+, p > 1$. But $\int [M\chi_I]^p w = \infty$, and therefore $w \notin C_p, p > 1$.

The proof is based on a series of lemmas that we now state and prove.

LEMMA 1. *Let us assume that w satisfies $C_q^+, 1 < q < \infty$, then for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $C(\delta)$ such that for any disjoint family of intervals $\{J_j\}$ contained in $I = (a, b)$ we have*

(i)
$$\int_I \sum_j [M^+\chi_{J_j}]^q w \leq C(\delta)w(I) + \delta \int_{\mathbf{R}} [M^+\chi_I]^q w$$

and

(ii)
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \sum_j [M^+\chi_{J_j}]^q w \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}} [M^+\chi_I]^q w.$$

PROOF. First, we claim that (i) implies (ii). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left(\sum_j [M^+\chi_{J_j}]^q \right) w &= \int_I \left(\sum_j [M^+\chi_{J_j}]^q \right) w + \int_{(-\infty, a)} \left(\sum_j [M^+\chi_{J_j}]^q \right) w \\ &\leq C(\delta)w(I) + \delta \int_{\mathbf{R}} [M^+\chi_I]^q w + \int_{(-\infty, a)} \frac{\sum_j |J_j|^q}{(b-x)^q} w \\ &\leq C(\delta)w(I) + \delta \int_{\mathbf{R}} [M^+\chi_I]^q w + \int_{(-\infty, a)} \frac{|I|^q}{(b-x)^q} w \\ &\leq C(\delta)w(I) + (\delta + 1) \int_{\mathbf{R}} [M^+\chi_I]^q w \\ &\leq 2C(\delta) \int_{\mathbf{R}} [M^+\chi_I]^q w + (\delta + 1) \int_{\mathbf{R}} [M^+\chi_I]^q w. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (i) we use the fact that there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for every $\lambda > 0$ we have

(2.1)
$$|E_\lambda| = \left| \left\{ x : \sum_j [M^+\chi_{J_j}]^q(x) > \lambda \right\} \right| \leq C e^{-\alpha\lambda} |I|$$

(for details see [FeSt]). We define a sequence of points as follows: $x_0 = a$ and for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_i - x_{i-1} = b - x_i$ and consider the sets $E_\lambda^i = E_\lambda \cap (x_i, x_{i+1})$. For $x \in (x_i, x_{i+1})$ we may assume that J_j in $\sum_j |M^+ \chi_{J_j}|^q(x)$ are all contained in (x_i, b) . It follows from (2.1) that

$$|E_\lambda^i| \leq C e^{-\alpha\lambda} (b - x_i) = C e^{-\alpha\lambda} (x_{i+2} - x_{i+1}).$$

If we now use condition C_q^+ for the set E_λ^i and the points x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2} we get

$$w(E_\lambda^i) \leq C e^{-\alpha\lambda\epsilon} \int [M^+ \chi_{(x_i, x_{i+2})}]^q w.$$

It is easy to see that $\sum_{i>1} M^+ \chi_{(x_i, x_{i+2})} \leq C M^+ \chi_I$ and adding up we get

$$w(E_\lambda \cap I) \leq C e^{-\alpha\lambda\epsilon} \int [M^+ \chi_I]^q w.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_I \sum_j [M^+ \chi_{J_j}]^q w &= \int_0^{\lambda_0} \int_{E_\lambda \cap I} w \, d\lambda + \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty \int_{E_\lambda \cap I} w \, d\lambda \\ &\leq \lambda_0 w(I) + \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty w(E_\lambda \cap I) \, d\lambda \\ &\leq \lambda_0 w(I) + C \int_{\lambda_0}^\infty e^{-\alpha\lambda\epsilon} \, d\lambda \int [M^+ \chi_I]^q w \\ &\leq C(\delta) w(I) + \delta \int [M^+ \chi_I]^q w, \end{aligned}$$

if we choose λ_0 big enough. □

For the next lemma we need to define a new operator, $M_{p,q}^+$. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function. Let us consider

$$\Omega_k = \{x : f(x) > 2^k\} = \bigcup_i I_i^k,$$

where I_i^k are the connected components of Ω_k . Then

$$[M_{p,q}^+ f(x)]^p = \sum_{k,i} 2^{pk} [M^+ \chi_{I_i^k}(x)]^q.$$

LEMMA 2. Let $1 < p < q < \infty$, $w \in C_q^+$, and f non-negative, bounded and of compact support. Then

$$\int [M_{p,q}^+(M^+ f)] w \leq C \int [M^+ f]^p w.$$

PROOF. Let $\Omega_k = \{x : M^+f(x) > 2^k\} = \bigcup_j I_j^k$, where I_j^k are the connected components of Ω_k . Let $N \geq 1$, note that $\Omega_k \subseteq \Omega_{k-N}$ for all k . Given a connected component of Ω_{k-N} , I_i^{k-N} we estimate $|\Omega_k \cap I_i^{k-N}|$. First, we put $f = g + h$ with $g = f \chi_{I_i^{k-N}}$. Observe that if $x \in I_i^{k-N} = (a, b)$, then $M^+h(x) \leq M^+f(b) \leq 2^{k-N}$. So if $x \in \Omega_k \cap I_i^{k-N}$, then

$$M^+g(x) \geq M^+f - M^+h \geq 2^k - 2^{k-N} \geq \frac{1}{2} 2^k.$$

Now using the fact that the operator M^+ is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to Lebesgue measure we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega_k \cap I_i^{k-N}| &\leq \left| \left\{ x : M^+g(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} 2^k \right\} \right| \leq C2^{-k} \int g \\ (2.2) \qquad \qquad &= C2^{-k} \int_{I_i^{k-N}} f \leq C2^{-k} |I_i^{k-N}| M^+f(a) \leq C2^{-N} |I_i^{k-N}|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S(k) = 2^{kp} \sum_j \int [M^+\chi_{I_j^k}]^q w$ and $S(k, N, i) = 2^{kp} \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} \int [M^+\chi_{I_j^k}]^q w$. Then

$$S(k, N, i) = 2^{kp} \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} \int_{I_i^{k-N}} [M^+\chi_{I_j^k}]^q w + 2^{kp} \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} \int_{(I_i^{k-N})^c} [M^+\chi_{I_j^k}]^q w = I + II.$$

By Lemma 1

$$I \leq C(\delta)2^{kp} w(I_i^{k-N}) + \delta 2^{kp} \int [M^+\chi_{I_i^{k-N}}]^q w,$$

where $\delta > 0$ is chosen later. Now, by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq C2^{kp} \int_{-\infty}^a \frac{\sum |I_j^k|^q}{(b-x)^q} w \leq C2^{kp} \int_{-\infty}^a \frac{(C2^{-N} |I_i^{k-N}|)^q}{(b-x)^q} w \\ &\leq C2^{N(p-q)} 2^{p(k-N)} \int [M^+\chi_{I_i^{k-N}}]^q w. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$S(k) = \sum_i S(k, N, i) \leq C(\delta)2^{kp} \sum_i w(I_i^{k-N}) + [\delta 2^{Np} + C2^{N(p-q)}] S(k-N).$$

As $p < q$, we can choose δ small and N big enough such that

$$S(k) \leq C(\delta)2^{kp} w(\Omega_{k-N}) + \frac{1}{2} S(k-N).$$

Now

$$S_M = \sum_{k \leq M} S(k) \leq \frac{1}{2} S_M + C \int [M^+f]^p w,$$

for all M . If we prove that under the assumptions on f , we have $S_M < \infty$, we are finished. Let us suppose that $\text{supp } f \subset I = (a, b)$. There exists L such that $2^L < 1/(b - a) \int_a^b f \leq 2^{L+1}$.

If $k \geq L + 1$, then $\Omega_k \subset I^- \cup I$, where $I^- = (2a - b, a)$. Indeed, if $x < 2a - b$, then

$$M^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>a-x>b-a} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f \leq 2^{L+1}.$$

If I_j^k are the connected components of Ω_k , using Lemma 1 and since $q > p$, we have

$$\sum_{k=L+1}^M \sum_j 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{I_j^k}]^q w \leq \sum_{k=L+1}^M 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{I^- \cup I}]^q w \leq C \int [M^+ \chi_I]^p w < \infty.$$

If $k \leq L$ we can show again that $\Omega_k \subset 2^{L-k+2}(I^-) \cup I$, where $2^n(I^-) = (c_n, a)$, with $(a - c_n) = 2^n(b - a)$. Then by Lemma 1 we have

$$\sum_{k \leq L} \sum_j 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{I_j^k}]^q w \leq C \sum_{k \leq L} 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{2^{L-k+2}(I^-) \cup I}]^q w.$$

Now its easy to see, using $p < q$, that

$$\sum_{k \leq L} 2^{kp} [M^+ \chi_{2^{L-k+2}(I^-) \cup I}(x)]^q \leq C 2^{Lp} [M^+ \chi_I(x)]^p < \infty. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3. Let $1 < p < q < \infty$, $w \in C_q^+$ and let f be a non-negative bounded function with compact support. Then

$$\int [M_{p,q}^+(T^{**}f)]^p w \leq C \left[\int [T^{**}f]^p w + \int [M^+f]^p w \right].$$

PROOF. Let $\Omega_k = \{x : T^{**}f(x) > 2^k\} = \bigcup_j I_j^k$, where I_j^k are the connected components of Ω_k . Observe that in the proof of the ‘good lambda inequality’ in [AFM, Lemma 2.7], what they really show is

$$(2.3) \quad |\{x \in I_i^{k-N} : T^{**}f(x) > 2^k\}| \leq C 2^{-N} |I_i^{k-N}| \quad \text{if } I_i^{k-N} \not\subseteq \{x : M^+f(x) > 2^{k-N}\}.$$

Let $O_k = \{x : M^+f(x) > 2^k\} = \bigcup_j J_j^k$, where J_j^k are the connected components of O_k . For each I_i^{k-N} we have two cases

- (i) $I_i^{k-N} \subseteq O_{k-N}$,
- (ii) $I_i^{k-N} \not\subseteq O_{k-N}$.

Case (1). There exists I_i such that $I_i^{k-N} \subseteq J_i^{k-N}$.

Case (2). (2.3) implies

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} |I_j^k| = |\{x \in I_i^{k-N} : T^{*+} f(x) > 2^k\}| \leq C2^{-N} |I_i^{k-N}|.$$

Let $S(k) = 2^{kp} \sum_j \int [M^+ \chi_{I_j^k}]^q w$ and $S(k, N, i) = 2^{kp} \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} \int [M^+ \chi_{I_j^k}]^q w$. Then

$$S(k, N, i) = 2^{kp} \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} \int_{I_i^{k-N}} [M^+ \chi_{I_j^k}]^q w + 2^{kp} \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} \int_{(I_i^{k-N})^c} [M^+ \chi_{I_j^k}]^q w = I + II.$$

By Lemma 1 we have that

$$I \leq C(\delta)2^{kp} w(I_i^{k-N}) + \delta 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{I_i^{k-N}}]^q w,$$

where $\delta > 0$. We denote $(a_i^{k-N}, b_i^{k-N}) = I_i^{k-N}$, then by (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq C2^{kp} \int_{-\infty}^{a_i^{k-N}} \frac{\sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} |I_j^k|^q}{(b_i^{k-N} - x)^q} w \leq C2^{kp} \int_{-\infty}^{a_i^{k-N}} \frac{(C2^{-N} |I_i^{k-N}|)^q}{(b_i^{k-N} - x)^q} w \\ &\leq C2^{kp-Nq} \int [M^+ \chi_{I_i^{k-N}}]^q w. \end{aligned}$$

Adding I and II we get

$$S(k, N, i) \leq C(\delta)2^{kp} w(I_i^{k-N}) + (\delta + C2^{-Nq})2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{I_i^{k-N}}]^q w.$$

Then

$$S(k) = \sum_{\substack{i: I_i^{k-N} \\ \text{is in case (1)}}} S(k, N, i) + \sum_{\substack{i: I_i^{k-N} \\ \text{is in case (2)}}} S(k, N, i) = III + IV.$$

For III we observe that I_j^k is contained in exactly one J_i^{k-N} and by Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} III &= \sum_{i: I_i^{k-N} \subseteq J_i^{k-N}} S(k, N, i) = \sum_{i: I_i^{k-N} \subseteq J_i^{k-N}} \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq I_i^{k-N}} 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{I_j^k}]^q w \\ &\leq \sum_i \sum_{j: I_j^k \subseteq J_i^{k-N}} 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{I_j^k}]^q w \leq C \sum_i 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{J_i^{k-N}}]^q w. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate IV we observe that

$$IV \leq C(\delta)2^{kp} \sum_i w(I_i^{k-N}) + (\delta + C2^{-Nq})2^{kp} \sum_i \int [M^+ \chi_{I_i^{k-N}}]^q w$$

$$\leq C2^{kp} w(\Omega_{k-N}) + \frac{1}{2} S(k - N),$$

choosing δ small and N big enough. Combining III and IV we get

$$S(k) \leq \frac{1}{2} S(k - N) + C2^{kp} w(\Omega_{k-N}) + C2^{kp} \sum_l \int [M^+ \chi_{J_l^{k-N}}]^q w.$$

Using Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} S_M &= \sum_{k \leq M} S_k \leq \frac{1}{2} S_M + C \int [T^{*+} f]^p w + C \int [M_{p,q}^+(M^+ f)]^p w \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} S_M + C \left(\int [T^{*+} f]^p w + \int [M^+ f]^p w \right), \end{aligned}$$

and since $S_M < \infty$ (see Lemma 2), we get

$$\int [M_{p,q}^+(T^{*+} f)]^p w \leq C \left(\int [T^{*+} f]^p w + \int [M^+ f]^p w \right). \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. First we observe that $|T^+ f| \leq T^{*+} f$, so it is enough to prove the theorem for T^{*+} . Let f be a non-negative bounded function with compact support.

Let $\Omega_k = \{x : T^{*+} f(x) > 2^k\} = \bigcup_j J_j^k$ where J_j^k are the connected components of Ω_k . Let us fix $(a, b) = J_j^k$. We partition (a, b) as follows. Let $x_0 = a$, and we choose x_{i+1} such that $x_{i+1} - x_i = b - x_{i+1}$ and we let $I_i^k = (x_i, x_{i+1})$. By ‘the good lambda inequality’ in [AFM, Lemma 2.7] we have that

$$|E_i^k| = |\{x \in I_i^k : T^{*+} f(x) > 2^{k+1}, M^+ f(x) \leq \gamma 2^k\}| \leq C\gamma |I_i^k| \quad \text{for } 0 < \gamma < 1.$$

From C_γ^+ condition we have

$$w(E_i^k) \leq C\gamma^\epsilon \int [M^+ \chi_{I_i^k \cup I_{i+1}^k}]^q w.$$

Summing over all i and using Lemma 1 we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} w(\{x \in J_j^k : T^{*+} f(x) > 2^{k+1}, M^+ f(x) \leq \gamma 2^k\}) \\ \leq C\gamma^\epsilon \sum_i \int [M^+ \chi_{I_{i,j}^k \cup I_{i+1,j}^k}]^q w \leq C\gamma^\epsilon \int [M^+ \chi_{J_j^k}]^q w. \end{aligned}$$

Now, summing over all j we have that

$$w(\{x \in \Omega_k : T^{*+} f(x) > 2^{k+1}, M^+ f(x) \leq \gamma 2^k\}) \leq C\gamma^\epsilon \sum_j \int [M^+ \chi_{J_j^k}]^q w.$$

Then by Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} \int (T^{**}f)^p w &= \sum_k \int_{\Omega_k - \Omega_{k+1}} (T^{**}f)^p w \leq 2^p \sum_k 2^{kp} w(\Omega_k) \\ &= C \sum_k 2^{kp} \left[w(\{x \in \Omega_k : T^{**}f > 2^{k+1}, M^+f \leq \gamma 2^k\}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + w(\{x \in \Omega_k : T^{**}f > 2^{k+1}, M^+f > \gamma 2^k\}) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{j,k} \left(C \gamma^\epsilon 2^{kp} \int [M^+ \chi_{J_j^k}]^q w \right) \\ &\quad + C \sum_k 2^{kp} w(\{x \in \Omega_k : M^+f(x) > \gamma 2^k\}) \\ &\leq C \gamma^\epsilon \left[\int [T^{**}f]^p w + \int [M^+f]^p w \right] + C \int [M^+f]^p w. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we prove that under the assumptions on f , we have that $\int [T^{**}f]^p w < \infty$, and choosing γ small enough we finish the proof. To see that $\int [T^{**}f]^p w < \infty$, let $\text{supp } f \subset I = (a, b)$ and $I^- = (2a - b, a)$. If $x < 2a - b$, then $T^{**}f(x) \leq CM^+f(x)$, so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{2a-b} [T^{**}f]^p w \leq \int_{-\infty}^{2a-b} [M^+f]^p w < \infty.$$

Since $T^{**}f$ is a singular integral and f is bounded, it is known that $\int_{I^- \cup I} e^{\alpha T^{**}f} < \infty$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Thus

$$|E_\lambda| = |\{x \in I^- \cup I : T^{**}f(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C e^{-\lambda\alpha} |I^- \cup I|$$

for all $\lambda > 0$. Applying the C_p^+ condition to the set E_λ and the points $2a - b, b, 2b - a$, we get

$$w(E_\lambda) \leq C e^{-\lambda\alpha\epsilon} \int [M^+ \chi_{I^- \cup I \cup I^+}]^q w,$$

where $I^+ = (b, 2b - a)$. Integrating with respect to λ , using that $p < q$, and proceeding as in the final step of the proof of Lemma 1, we have

$$\int_{I^- \cup I} [T^{**}f]^p w \leq C \int [M^+ \chi_{I^- \cup I \cup I^+}]^p w < \infty. \quad \square$$

As observed in the introduction $A_\infty^+ \subseteq \bigcap_{p>1} C_p^+$. We now show that the inclusion is proper.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $w \in A_\infty$, then $w\chi_{(-\infty,0)} \in \bigcap_{p>1} C_p^+$.

PROOF. First we observe that $w\chi_{(-\infty,0)} \notin A_\infty^+$. Let us consider $a < b < c$ such that $c - b < b - a$ and E a measurable set such that $E \subset (a, b)$. We have several cases

(i) $a < b < c < 0$. In this case there is nothing to prove because

$$A_\infty \implies A_\infty^+ \implies \bigcap_{p>1} C_p^+.$$

(ii) $a < b < 0 < c$. There exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} w\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(E) = w(E) &\leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{b-a}\right)^\epsilon w(a, b) \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{c-b}\right)^\epsilon \int_a^b [M^+\chi_{(a,b)}]^p w \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{c-b}\right)^\epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,b)}]^p w \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{c-b}\right)^\epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,c)}]^p w. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) $a < 0 < b < c$, and $b \leq -2a$. Suppose that $E \subseteq (a, 0)$. Note that since $b - a \leq -3a$,

$$\begin{aligned} w\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(E) = w(E) &\leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{0-a}\right)^\epsilon w(a, 0) \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{b-a}\right)^\epsilon \int_a^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,0)}]^p w \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{c-b}\right)^\epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,c)}]^p w. \end{aligned}$$

If $E \not\subseteq (a, 0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} w\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(E) = w(E \cap (-\infty, 0)) &\leq C \left(\frac{|E \cap (-\infty, 0)|}{c-b}\right)^\epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,c)}]^p w \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{c-b}\right)^\epsilon \int_{-\infty}^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,c)}]^p w. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) $a < 0 < b < c$ and $b > -2a$.

$$w\chi_{(-\infty,0)}(E) \leq w(E) \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{b-a}\right)^\epsilon w(a, b) \leq C \left(\frac{|E|}{c-b}\right)^\epsilon w(a, b).$$

If we prove that $w(a, b) \leq C \int_{-\infty}^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,c)}]^p w$, we have finished the proof. Using that w satisfies the doubling condition and that $b > -2a$ if and only if $a + b > b/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,c)}]^p w &\geq \int_{-\infty}^0 [M^+\chi_{(a,b)}]^p w \geq \int_{-b}^a [M^+\chi_{(a,b)}]^p w = \int_{-b}^a \left(\frac{b-a}{b-x}\right)^p w \\ &\geq \int_{-b}^a \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^p w \geq \frac{C}{2^p} w(-b, a) \geq Cw(a, b). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

References

- [AFM] H. Aimar, L. Forzani and F. J. Martín Reyes, 'On weighted inequalities for one-sided singular integrals', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **125** (1997), 2057–2064.
- [FeSt] C. Fefferman and E. Stein, 'Some maximal inequalities', *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 107–115.
- [Mu] B. Muckenhoupt, 'Norm inequalities relating the Hilbert transform to the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function', in: *Functional analysis and approximation (Oberwolfach 1980)*, Internat. Ser. Number. Math. **60** (Birkhauser, Basel-Boston, Mass., 1981) pp. 219–231.
- [MPT] F. J. Martín Reyes, L. Pick and A. de la Torre, ' A_{∞}^+ condition', *Can. J. Math.* **45** (1993), 1231–1244.
- [S1] E. Sawyer, 'Weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood maximal function', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **297** (1986), 53–61.
- [S2] ———, 'Norm inequalities relating singular integrals and maximal functions', *Studia Math.* **75** (1983), 251–263.

FaMAF
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
(5000) Córdoba
Argentina
e-mail: sriveros@mate.uncor.edu

Análisis Matemático
Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de Málaga
(29071) Málaga
Spain
e-mail: torre@anamat.cie.uma.es