Direct and carryover effect of post-grazing sward height on total lactation dairy cow performance
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Grazing pastures to low post-grazing sward heights (PGSH) is a strategy to maximise the quantity of grazed grass in the diet of dairy cows within temperate grass-based systems. Within Irish spring-calving systems, it was hypothesised that grazing swards to very low PGSH would increase herbage availability during early lactation but would reduce dairy cow performance, the effect of which would persist in subsequent lactation performance when compared with cows grazing to a higher PGSH. Seventy-two Holstein–Friesian dairy cows (mean calving date, 12 February) were randomly assigned post-calving across two PGSH treatments (n = 36): 2.7 cm (severe; S1) and 3.5 cm (moderate; M1), which were applied from 10 February to 18 April (period 1; P1). This was followed by a carryover period (period 2; P2) during which cows were randomly reassigned within their P1 treatment across two further PGSH (n = 18): 3.5 cm (severe, SS and MS) and 4.5 cm (moderate, SM and MM) until 30 October. Decreasing PGSH from 3.5 to 2.7 cm significantly decreased milk (−2.3 kg/cow per day), protein (−95 g/day), fat (−143 g/day) and lactose (−109 g/day) yields, milk protein (−1.2 g/kg) and fat (−2.2 g/kg) concentrations and grass dry matter intake (GDMI; −1.7 kg dry matter/cow per day). The severe PGSH was associated with a lower bodyweight (BW) at the end of P1. There was no carryover effect of P1 PGSH on subsequent milk or milk solids yields in P2, but PGSH had a significant carryover effect on milk fat and lactose concentrations. Animals severely restricted at pasture in early spring had a higher BW and slightly higher body condition score in later lactation when compared with M1 animals. During P2, increasing PGSH from 3.5 to 4.5 cm increased milk and milk solids yield as a result of greater GDMI and resulted in higher mean BW and end BW. This study indicates that following a 10-week period of feed restriction, subsequent dairy cow cumulative milk production is unaffected. However, the substantial loss in milk solid yield that occurred during the period of restriction is not recovered.

Keywords: post-grazing height, dairy cow, early lactation, carryover

Implications

The novel objective of this experiment was to use post-grazing sward height (PGSH) to govern grazing severity during the dairy cow’s lactation. The results deliver useful information to dairy farmers who choose the practice of severe grazing (below 3 cm) to deal with grass deficits in early lactation. Such a strategy substantially reduces immediate and cumulative animal production. In contrast, grazing to 3.5 cm in the first two grazing rotations was identified as the best compromise between high milk production and high pasture utilisation. Subsequently, PGSH should be increased to 4.5 cm to achieve adequate animal performance while maintaining high pasture quality.

Introduction

With the abolition of European Union (EU) milk quotas in 2015, European dairy farmers will face a more volatile milk price (Shalloo et al., 2007). It is envisaged that EU milk production will expand in regions where low input, pastoral-based dairy systems that utilise large quantities of grazed grass over a long grazing season predominate (Lips and Rieder, 2005). Countries such as Ireland will have a competitive advantage over many of their European counterparts, this advantage can be further capitalised upon, if the grazed grass proportion of the dairy cow’s diet can be increased in early spring, thereby minimising the use of concentrates and conserved forages.

Although previous studies recommend a post-grazing sward height (PGSH) of 4 cm during the first two grazing rotations (McEvoy et al., 2008) the imposition of a lower...
PGSH during this critical time, when grass growth is low (Breteron et al., 1985) can increase the accumulation of herbage required for grazing because of the smaller daily area grazed by the cow (McEvoy et al., 2008). A decrease in milk yield is expected with decreasing PGSH due to a lower feed allowance (Kennedy et al., 2007; McEvoy et al., 2008; PGSH ranging from 3.5 to 5.0 cm), however, recent experiments have shown that grazing swards to a low PGSH in early spring results in increased herbage quality (Stakelum and Dillon, 2007) and can support greater subsequent milk production (Kennedy et al., 2006).

Findings are inconsistent regarding the existence of carryover effects on mid-lactation dairy cow performance following a period of early lactation feed restriction (Friggens et al., 1998; Kennedy et al., 2007; Roche, 2007). This may be due to variations in diet (Friggens et al., 1998), timing of the restriction or the duration and amplitude of restriction (Broster and Broster, 1984; Delaby et al., 2009). Furthermore, grazing experiments examining the consequences of restriction in early lactation have not investigated PGSH as an indicator of the plane of nutrition.

Previous studies have shown that increasing PGSH in mid-season rotational grazing systems increased milk production, which was mainly associated with an increase in pasture allowance (Wales et al., 1999). The benefit of consistent lenient grazing (>5.5 cm) on cow performance may be less evident in the long term because of the deterioration of pasture nutritive value throughout the grazing season (Stakelum and Dillon, 1991). Further information is required, however, to (i) assess the true effects of a consistently imposed PGSH, as in previous studies reporting PGSH it has been the result of the imposed stocking rate (Michell and Fulkerson, 1987; Stakelum and Dillon, 2007) or daily herbage allowance (DHA; Maher et al., 2003; McEvoy et al., 2008) and (ii) to describe the milk production response to very low PGSH (3.5 cm) during the main grazing season. Finally, there is a need to determine total lactation performance within a pasture-based production system, to establish if early lactation dairy cow grazing management can potentially compromise total cumulative milk and milk solids production.

This study hypothesised that grazing to lower PGSH than currently recommended results in reduced immediate and cumulative dairy cow production performance. The study had three main objectives: (i) to quantify the effect of PGSH imposed during early lactation on milk production performance, grass dry matter intake (GDMI), BW and body condition score (BCS) of spring-calving dairy cows; (ii) to investigate the carry-over effect of PGSH, imposed during early lactation, on subsequent animal lactation performance; (iii) to identify the effect of PGSH imposed during the remainder of the grazing season on grass utilisation and animal performance.

Material and methods

The experiment was undertaken at Animal & Grassland Research and Innovation Centre, Teagasc, Moorepark, Fermoy, Co. Cork, Ireland (50°16′N; 8°25′W). The soil type was a free-draining acid brown earth of sandy loam-to-loam texture. The area used for the experiment was a predominately perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) permanent grassland site; swards were on average 5 years old. The dominant cultivars originally sown in the experimental area were late-heading diploid cultivars cv. Twystar, Gilford and Tyrella, and were sown as mixtures.

Experimental design and treatments

The experiment was separated into two periods. Period 1 (P1) was conducted as a randomised block design commencing when animals were turned out to grass immediately post calving, 10 February 2010, until 18 April (10 weeks). Animals were randomly assigned pre-calving to one of two PGSH treatments (n = 36): 2.7 cm (S1, severe) or 3.5 cm (M1, moderate) for the duration of P1. Period 2 (P2) was a 2 × 2 factorial design, which began on 19 April and concluded on 30 October (27 weeks). Before the commencement of P2, animals were re-randomised within their P1 treatments, balanced into two groups and assigned to graze to either 3.5 or 4.5 cm across each of the two swards created from the different PGSH imposed during P1. This resulted in four grazing treatments during P2, with 18 cows in each: SS (2.7 cm PGSH in P1 and 3.5 cm PGSH in P2), SM (2.7 cm PGSH in P1 and 4.5 cm PGSH in P2), MS (3.5 cm PGSH in P1 and 3.5 cm PGSH in P2) and MM (3.5 cm PGSH in P1 and 4.5 cm PGSH in P2).

Animals

Seventy-two Holstein–Friesian dairy cows were selected from the Moorepark general spring-calving herd. Thirty-two cows were primiparous, whereas the remaining 40 were multiparous (29 cows were in their second lactation). All animals were balanced on the basis of calving date (12 February; s.d. 14.8 days), lactation number (1.8; s.d. 1.1), dam’s first lactation milk yield and composition (first 36 weeks) for the primiparous cows and previous lactation milk yield for the multiparous cows (4744; s.d. 1426.9 kg/cow), milk fat (42.6; s.d. 3.75 g/kg), protein (33.9; s.d. 1.55 g/kg) and lactose (46.4; s.d. 0.91 g/kg) concentrations, BW (538; s.d. 36.1 kg) and BCS (3.39; s.d. 0.34). Immediately post-calving, animals were randomly allocated to one of two P1 grazing treatments. Before the commencement of P2, animals were re-randomised within their P1 treatment on the basis of the last 3-week data of P1: milk yield (22.7; s.d. 4.25 kg/cow per day), milk fat (40.6; s.d. 5.18 g/kg), protein (30.2; s.d. 1.80 g/kg) and lactose (47.2; s.d. 0.96 g/kg) concentrations, milk solids yield (1.60, s.d. 0.31 kg/cow per day), BW (453, s.d. 51.8 kg) and BCS (2.91, s.d. 0.210). Once groups were balanced, they were randomly assigned to one of two PGSH treatments for P2. Throughout the study, when grass supply was unable to fully meet animals feed demand for one or more treatments, animals from all treatments were supplemented with equal amounts of concentrate.

This resulted in a total of 225 kg dry matter (DM)/cow (or 4.5 kg/cow per day, on average) fed during P1 and 248 kg DM/cow (or 1.2 kg/cow per day, on average) fed during P2. During periods of excessive rainfall surface damage was
minimised by allowing animals to graze for a 3-h period after milking and then removing them from pasture (Kennedy et al., 2011). No additional feed was offered when they returned indoors.

Pasture and herd management
The total experimental grazing area (26 ha) was divided into seven blocks of equal size on the basis of soil fertility, grass cultivar and sward age. Within each block, rotationally grazed paddocks were randomly assigned to one of P1 PGSH treatments so that each treatment received an equal area (13 ha) and number of paddocks during P1. The overall stocking rate of each treatment was 2.74 cows/ha. Following P1, each paddock was sub-divided and permanently fenced into two equal areas (sub-paddocks). Paddocks initially grazed to 2.7 cm during P1 were assigned to either the SS or SM treatment during P2, whereas paddocks grazed to 3.5 cm during P1 were assigned to either the MS or MM treatment during P2.

Two blocks from each treatment were selected from the grazing area and were used as ‘base paddocks’ to undertake additional sward measurements throughout the experiment. Base paddocks were grazed during every rotation, that is, they were not harvested for silage or mechanically topped at any stage during the year.

Animals were offered fresh grass, by using temporary electric fences, following each milking in P1 and on a 24-h basis during P2, due to drier ground conditions. Each herd was managed independently throughout the study. The target pre-grazing yield was between 1200 and 1600 kg DM/ha (>3.5 cm) during the main grazing season. Pasture quality was maintained by the removal of paddocks with excessively high pre-grazing herbage mass (HM) as silage throughout the main grazing season. No swards were topped (mechanically conditioned) during the experiment. In order to ensure target PGSH was achieved, sward heights were measured before cows returned to the paddock following morning milking. If the target PGSH was not achieved, animals remained in their previous day’s grazing area until they grazed to their target PGSH. Consequently, DHA and area grazed/cow per day fluctuated throughout the experiment because of differences in pre-grazing HM and the requirement to keep PGSH constant.

Sward measurements
Pre-grazing HM was calculated above the lowest targeted PGSH, that is, 2.7 cm in P1 and 3.5 cm in P2, twice weekly, by cutting two strips (1.2 × 10 m) per treatment paddock with a motor Agria (Etesia UK Ltd, Warwick, UK) before each paddock was grazed. Ten grass height measurements were recorded before and after harvesting on each cut strip using a folding pasture plate meter with a steel plate (diameter 355 mm and 3.2 kg/m²; Jenquip, Fielding, New Zealand). All mown herbage from each strip was collected, weighed and subsampled. An herbage sample of 100 g fresh weight was dried for 16 h at 90°C for DM determination.

The methodology used to calculate post-grazing DM yield was identical to that described above for pre-grazing HM. In P1, post-grazing HM was calculated from quadrant cuts to ground level. In P2, post-grazing HM was determined twice weekly by cutting one 20 m long strip to 3.5 cm with the Agria machine (Etesia) in the area where cows had grazed the previous day. DHA (kg DM/cow per day) was calculated using pre-grazing HM and accordingly changing the area offered per cow per day.

Mean pre-grazing sward height was measured daily throughout the experiment by recording ~40 heights per treatment across the two diagonals of each paddock before grazing using a folding pasture plate meter (as previously described). Following grazing, mean PGSH was calculated with a similar method to pre-grazing height measurement, with sward height measurements incorporating dung pat areas.

Herbage utilisation was calculated using the pre-grazing HM relative to the post-grazing HM. HMs were corrected to 2.7 cm (in P1) or 3.5 cm (in P2) for the calculation. Pasture removed was calculated as ((pre-grazing sward height – PGSH) × HM/cm × (area grazed/cow per day); kg DM/cow per day; >2.7 cm or >3.5 cm in P1 and P2, respectively; (Delaby and Peyraud, 1998).

Animal measurements
Milking took place at 0700 and 1600 h daily. Individual milk yields (kg) were recorded daily at each milking (Dairymaster, Causeway, Co. Kerry, Ireland). Milk fat, protein and lactose concentrations were calculated weekly from one successive evening and morning milking sample for each animal. The concentrations of these constituents in the milk were determined by using a Milkoscan 203 (Foss Electric DK, Hillerød, Denmark). Milk solids yield (kg) was calculated from milk fat and protein yields (milk fat plus milk protein yield).

BW and BCS were recorded weekly throughout the experiment. An electronic portable weighing scale with the Winweigh software package (Tru-test Limited, Auckland, New Zealand) was used to record BW. Body condition was scored by an experienced independent observer on a scale from 1 to 5 (where 1 = emaciated, 5 = extremely fat) with 0.25 increments (Lowman et al., 1976).

Individual GDMI was estimated once in P1 (week 7) and twice in P2 (weeks 4 and 15 of P2) using the n-alkane technique (Dillon and Stakelum, 1989). All cows were dosed twice daily for 12 days before morning and evening milking with a paper pellet (Carl Roth, GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany) containing 500 mg of dotriacontane (C32-alkane). From days 7 to 12 of dosing, faeces samples were collected from each cow twice daily before morning and evening milking and stored at −20°C. The faeces samples were then thawed and bulked (12 g of each collected sample) and dried for 48 h in a 60°C oven. Samples were then milled through a 1-mm screen and stored for chemical analysis (DM and ash contents; AOAC, 1995, method 942.05). During the period of faeces collection, the diet of the animals was also sampled. Daily herbage samples were manually collected with Gardena hand shears (Accu 60, Gardena International GmbH, Ulm, Germany) following close observation of the previous day PGSH to collect a representative sample of the herbage grazed. Herbage samples were frozen at −20°C following collection. The ratio of herbage C33 to dosed C32 was used to
estimate intake. The n-alkane concentration of the dosed pellets, faeces and herbage were determined as described by Dillon (1993). During the periods of GDMI measurement, the organic matter digestibility (OMD) of the diet consumed by the animals was estimated by deducting the organic matter faecal output from the organic matter intake (OMI).

Chemical analysis

Once a week, herbage representative of that selected by the cows was manually collected with a Gardena hand shears by using the same method used to sample herbage selected during periods of GDMI (i.e. defoliating at the previous days PGSH). Samples were frozen at $-20^\circ$C following collection. Herbage samples were then bowl-chopped, freeze-dried and milled through a 1-mm screen. Samples were analysed for DM, ash (AOAC, 1995; method 942.05), ADF and NDF (using the procedures of AOAC 1995; method 973.18; using sodium sulphate for the NDF; ANKOM™ technology, Macedon, NY, USA), CP (Leco FP-428; Leco Australia Pty Ltd, Baulkham Hills B.C., NSW, Australia) and OMD (Fibertec™ Systems, FOSS, Ballymount, Dublin, Ireland).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were carried out using SAS. The pasture data were analysed by ANOVA using the terms for treatment, paddock and rotation.

Animal data were analysed as 72 individual variables using ANOVA. The calculation of BW and BCS changes over P1 and P2 were calculated using the difference between the average from the last 2 weeks data and the average from the first 2 weeks data, respectively, for each period. For the analysis of P1 variables, the pre-experimental milk yield, milk composition, BW and BCS and days in milk (DIM) were used as covariates. Because of differences in parity, pre-experimental values were centred within parity before inclusion. That is, the deviations from the parity mean were used as covariates. The incorporation of individual animal covariates within the model aimed to reduce the residual error term, therefore explaining more variation within parity. For the analysis of P2 variables, the data used as covariates were the average milk yield, milk composition, BW and BCS of the 2 last weeks of P1. The covariates were first centred within parity and P1 treatment. Daily milk yield, milk constituent yield, milk composition, dry matter intake (DMI), BW and BCS were averaged per cow and period and analysed for each period with the following models:

Period 1: $Y_{ij} = \mu + P_i + T1_j + P_i \times T1_j + b_1X1_{ij} + b_2\text{DIM}_j + e_{ij}$

Period 2: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + P_i + T1_j + T2_k + (P_i \times T1_j) + (P_i \times T2_k) + (T1_j \times T2_k) + b_1X1_{ijk} + b_2\text{DIM}_{ijk} + e_{ijk}$

where $Y_{ijk}$ represents the response of animal in parity $i$ to treatment $j$, $\mu$ = mean, $P_i$ = parity ($i = 1$ to 2), $T1_j$ = P1 PGSH treatment ($j = 1$ to 2), $T2_k$ = P2 PGSH treatment ($k = 1$ to 2), $P_i \times T1_j$, and $P_i \times T2_k$ = the interactions between parity and P1 or P2 treatment, respectively. $T1_j \times T2_k$ = the interaction between P1 treatment and P2 treatment, $P_i \times T1_j \times T2_k$ = the interaction between parity, P1 treatment and P2 treatment; $b_1X1_{ijk}$ and $b_2\text{DIM}_{ijk}$ = the pre-experimental milk output or BW/BCS variables in P1 and P2, respectively, $b_2\text{DIM}_{ijk}$ = the days in milk (up to 18 April) and $e_{ijk}$ is the residual error term.

When the performance of the SS and MS treatments are reported together in the paper, it corresponds to the statistical average between these two treatments and is the result of the effect of the severe PGSH imposed in P2. The statistical average between SM and MM is the result of the effect of the moderate PGSH imposed in P2.

Results

Weather and grass growth

Monthly rainfall was below the 10-year average for the months of February, April, May, June, August and October but higher than the 10-year average for the months of March, July and September. Annual rainfall was $-94$ mm than the 10-year average (722 mm, between February and October). Over the experiment, mean daily air temperature averaged $10.8^\circ$C, which was $0.6^\circ$C less than the 10-year average for the same period. On average between February and April, mean air temperatures ($5.6^\circ$C) were $1.6^\circ$C lower than the 10-year average for these months. Consequently, mean grass growth rate was $15$ kg DM/ha per day lower than the 10-year average between February and April ($20$ kg DM/ha per day, on average). For the remaining months of the study, grass growth was similar to the 10-year average except in May ($99$ kg DM/ha per day) when growth rate was +$11$ kg DM/ha per day than average.

Grass chemical composition and pasture measurements

In early lactation. During P1, the herbage selected by both S1 and M1 treatments was similar in quality (Table 1). The PGSH achieved were 2.7 and 3.5 cm on the S1 and M1 treatments. The lower PGSH was the result of a lower DHA offered to the S1 animals (Table 1) compared with that offered to the M1 animals and resulted in $3.2$ kg DM/cow per day less herbage removed by the S1 animals than that removed by M1 animals (9.0 kg DM/cow per day; $P < 0.001$).

From mid-season to late lactation. During P2, the SS and MS animals grazed to 3.8 cm, whereas 4.8 cm was the PGSH achieved by the SM and MM animals (Table 1). This difference was created by a $2.5$ kg DM/cow per day difference in DHA between the SS and MS ($12.9$ kg DM/cow per day) and SM and MM animals (Table 1). Animals from SS and MS removed $11.5$ kg DM/cow per day of pasture, compared with $12.6$ kg DM/cow per day for the SM and MM animals.

Grass DM and OM intakes

In early lactation. The diet OMD of the herbage eaten, estimated from the faecal output of each cow during the
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Period 1</th>
<th></th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th></th>
<th>Period 2</th>
<th></th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PGSH treatment¹</td>
<td>s.e.d.</td>
<td>Treatment effect</td>
<td></td>
<td>PGSH treatment²</td>
<td>s.e.d.</td>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S1</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>SM</td>
<td>MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbage chemical composition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DM (%)</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP (g/kg DM)</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF (g/kg DM)</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF (g/kg DM)</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic matter digestibility (%)</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>85.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>81.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily sward measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-grazing herbage mass (kg DM/ha)*</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>1608</td>
<td>1682</td>
<td>1731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-grazing herbage mass (kg DM/ha)*</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>126^a</td>
<td>323^b</td>
<td>137^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-grazing height (cm)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td>8.6^a</td>
<td>9.3^b</td>
<td>9.4^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-grazing height (cm)</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>3.8^a</td>
<td>4.8^b</td>
<td>3.8^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herbage allowance (kg DM/cow per day)*</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>12.8^a</td>
<td>15.3^b</td>
<td>12.9^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily area (m²/cow)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>91^a</td>
<td>98^b</td>
<td>85^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass utilisation (%)³</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>90.9^a</td>
<td>71.0^b</td>
<td>91.8^a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PGSH = post-grazing sward height; DM = dry matter.

¹P1 PGSH: S1 = 2.7 cm; M1 = 3.5 cm.
²P2 PGSH: SS = 2.7 cm in P1, 3.8 cm in P2; SM = 2.7 cm in P1, 4.8 cm in P2; MS = 3.5 cm in P1, 3.8 cm in P2; MM = 3.5 cm in P1, 4.8 cm in P2.
³Percentage of grass utilisation, calculated from pre-grazing yield to post-grazing yield.
*Measurements above 2.7 cm in P1 and above 3.5 cm in P2.

P1 = carryover effect of P1 treatment in P2; P2 = immediate effect of P2 treatment; P1 × P2 = interaction between P1 and P2 treatments.
s.e.d = standard error of the difference.

Means within a row with different superscripts differ (P < 0.05).
Effect of post-grazing height on dairy cow performance

Table 2 Effect of PGSH on daily grass and total DMI and OMI of spring-calving dairy cows during early lactation (P1, 10 February to 18 April)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PGSH treatment</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>MM</th>
<th>s.e.d.</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P1 × P2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total DMI (kg DM/cow per day)†</td>
<td>10.1a</td>
<td>11.9b</td>
<td>11.6b</td>
<td>11.9a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDMI (kg DM/cow per day)</td>
<td>6.1a</td>
<td>7.9b</td>
<td>7.2b</td>
<td>7.4a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate intake (kg DM/cow per day)</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OMI (kg/cow per day)</td>
<td>9.2a</td>
<td>10.6b</td>
<td>10.9b</td>
<td>9.7a</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOMI (kg OM/cow per day)</td>
<td>5.6a</td>
<td>7.3b</td>
<td>7.3b</td>
<td>7.3a</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate OMI (kg OM/cow per day)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digestible OMI (kg OM/cow per day)</td>
<td>6.7a</td>
<td>8.1b</td>
<td>8.1b</td>
<td>8.1a</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMDf of the consumed diet (%)³</td>
<td>73.2</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PGSH = post-grazing sward height; DMI = dry matter intake; OMI = organic matter intake; DM = dry matter; GDMI = grass dry matter intake; GOMI = grass organic matter intake; OMD = organic matter digestibility.
†P1 PGSH: S1 = 2.7 cm; M1 = 3.5 cm.
‡Total DMI, measured from 21 to 25 March (7th week of P1); TDMI was calculated by assuming animals consumed all concentrate offered and by adding the offered concentrate allowance to actual herbage intake, which was calculated using the n-alkane technique.
³OMD estimated from the individual faecal index during the GDMI measurement periods.
s.e.d. = standard error of the difference.
abMeans within a row with different subscripts differ (P < 0.05).

Table 3 Effect and carryover effect of PGSH on daily GDMI and OMI of spring-calving dairy cows during period 2 (P2, 19 April to 30 October)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PGSH treatment</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>SM</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>MM</th>
<th>s.e.d.</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P1 × P2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean GDMI (kg OM/cow per day)‡</td>
<td>14.5b</td>
<td>15.0b</td>
<td>12.9a</td>
<td>15.2b</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May measurement</td>
<td>14.8b</td>
<td>15.0b</td>
<td>13.4a</td>
<td>15.6b</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July measurement</td>
<td>14.2b</td>
<td>14.8b</td>
<td>12.5a</td>
<td>14.5b</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean GOMI (kg OM/cow per day)</td>
<td>13.2b</td>
<td>13.6b</td>
<td>11.9a</td>
<td>13.9b</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May measurement</td>
<td>13.5b</td>
<td>13.7b</td>
<td>12.3a</td>
<td>14.3b</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July measurement</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean digestible OMI (kg OM/cow per day)</td>
<td>10.7a</td>
<td>11.4b</td>
<td>9.7a</td>
<td>11.5b</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May measurement</td>
<td>11.2b</td>
<td>11.5b</td>
<td>10.2a</td>
<td>12.0b</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July measurement</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean OMD of the diet consumed (%)³</td>
<td>81.4a</td>
<td>83.6b</td>
<td>81.5a</td>
<td>82.5b</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May measurement</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>84.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July measurement</td>
<td>79.8b</td>
<td>82.9b</td>
<td>80.2a</td>
<td>80.7a</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PGSH = post-grazing sward height; GDMI = grass dry matter intake; OMI = organic matter intake; DM = dry matter; GOMI = grass organic matter intake; OMD = organic matter digestibility.
‡P1 PGSH: S1 = 2.7 cm in P1, 3.8 cm in P2; SM = 2.7 cm in P1, 4.8 cm in P2; MS = 3.5 cm in P1, 3.8 cm in P2; MM = 3.5 cm in P1, 4.8 cm in P2.
³OMD estimated from the individual faecal index during the GDMI measurement periods.
s.e.d. = standard error of the difference.
abMeans within a row with different subscripts differ (P < 0.05).

DMI measurement in P1 did not differ between the S1 and M1 treatments (73.9%). The M1 animals had greater (P < 0.001) GDMI (+1.8 kg DM/cow), grass OMI (+1.7 kg OM/cow), total OMI (+1.7 kg OM/cow) and digestible OMI (+1.4 kg OM/cow) than the S1 animals (Table 2).

From mid-season to late lactation. The SM and MM treatments together had greater (83.6%; P < 0.001) diet OMD than the average of the SS and MS treatments (81.5%). The SM and MM cows had +1.4 kg OM/cow GDMI, +1.3 kg OM/cow total OMI and +1.2 kg OM/cow digestible OMI than the SS and MS cows (P < 0.001; Table 3).

Carryover effects of early lactation regime. In P2, there was an interaction (P = 0.057) between P1 and P2 treatments, the MS treatment recorded lower (P < 0.05) mean GDMI (−2.0 kg DM/cow) and total OMI (−1.7 kg OM/cow) and tended to have lower digestible OMI when compared with the SS, SM and MM treatments (Table 3). During P2, the animals from S1 or M1 treatments had similar diet OMD (82.3%). On average throughout P2, the S1 animals tended to have greater mean GDMI (+0.8 kg DM/cow; P = 0.08) than the M1 animals (14.1 kg DM/cow). The differences between S1 and M1 treatments in GDMI, total OMI and digestible OMI were significant (P < 0.05) during the July GDMI measurement period (Table 3).
Table 4: Effect of PGSH imposed during period 1 (P1; 10 February to 18 April) and period 2 (P2; 19 April to 30 October) and carryover effect of PGSH in early spring on dairy cow milk yield, BW and BCS during P2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Period 1</th>
<th>Period 2</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>PGSH treatment²</th>
<th>Treatment effect</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>PGSH treatment²</th>
<th>Treatment effect</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S M s.e.d. Treatment effect</td>
<td>SS SM MS MM s.e.d. P1 P2 P1 × P2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk yield (kg)</td>
<td>20.3 22.6 0.5 0.001</td>
<td>16.9ab 18.3b 16.6a 17.7b</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat concentration (g/kg)¹</td>
<td>42.6 44.8 1.1 0.045</td>
<td>40.1a 41.4b 42.5b 43.7b</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.986</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein concentration (g/kg)¹</td>
<td>31.4 32.6 0.3 0.001</td>
<td>34.4 34.6 34.5 35.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose concentration (g/kg)¹</td>
<td>46.5 46.9 0.2 0.099</td>
<td>45.7a 46.4b 45.7a 45.3b</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.548</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk solids yield (kg)</td>
<td>1.50 1.74 0.04 0.001</td>
<td>1.24a 1.40b 1.28a 1.40b</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.411</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average BCS²</td>
<td>4.00 3.01 0.03 0.668</td>
<td>2.87 2.86 2.85 2.84</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End BW (kg)</td>
<td>454 469 8 0.065</td>
<td>479a 485b 476c 486d</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW change over period (kg)</td>
<td>−32 −24 4 0.058</td>
<td>+57ab +63a +48c +48c</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average BCS²</td>
<td>3.00 3.01 0.03 0.668</td>
<td>2.87 2.86 2.85 2.84</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.445</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End BCS</td>
<td>2.86 2.85 0.04 0.836</td>
<td>2.85a 2.85a 2.79b 2.77b</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCS change over period</td>
<td>−0.26 −0.29 0.02 0.085</td>
<td>+0.04a +0.02b −0.04b −0.03b</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.753</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PGSH = post-grazing sward height; BCS = body condition score.

¹P1 PGSH: S1 = 2.7 cm; M1 = 3.5 cm.
²Period 2 PGSH: SS = 2.7 cm in P1, 3.8 cm in P2; SM = 2.7 cm in P1, 4.8 cm in P2; MS = 3.5 cm in P1, 3.8 cm in P2; MM = 3.5 cm in P1, 4.8 cm in P2.
³Milk yield was measured daily.
⁴Milk composition, BW and BCS were measured weekly throughout the experiment.
⁵P1 = carryover effect of P1 treatment in P2; P2 = immediate effect of P2 treatment; P1 × P2 = interaction between P1 and P2 treatments.
s.e.d = standard error of the difference.
⁶Means within a row with different superscripts differ (P < 0.05).

Milk production and composition

In early lactation. Decreasing PGSH from M1 to S1 during P1 decreased milk yield by 2.3 kg/cow per day (P < 0.001) as well as decreasing milk fat and protein concentrations (Table 4). The S1 cows decreased (P < 0.001) milk protein (−95 g/day), fat (−143 g/day), lactose (−109 g/day) and milk solids (−0.24 kg/cow per day) yields when compared with M1 (733 g/day, 1007 g/day, 1058 g/day and 1.74 kg/cow per day, respectively).

From mid-season to late lactation. There was no interaction between P1 and P2 treatment on animal production during the remainder of the lactation (Table 4). During P2, the SM and MM treatments recorded significantly (P < 0.001) greater milk (+1.3 kg/cow per day), fat (+78 g/day), protein (+57 g/day), lactose (+62 g/day) and milk solids (+0.14 kg/cow per day) yields when compared with the MS and SS treatment (16.7 kg/cow per day, 686 g/day, 575 g/day, 764 g/day, and 1.26 kg/cow per day, respectively). The SM and MM animals tended to have greater milk fat and protein concentrations (Table 4).

Carryover effects of early lactation regime. There was no effect of P1 treatment on milk yield during P2 (Table 4). From Figure 1, it is clear that milk yield differences between P1 treatments dissipated before the 3rd week of P2. Animals previously assigned to the M1 treatment (MS and MM) had +2.3 g/kg milk fat (P < 0.01) but −0.5 g/kg milk lactose (P < 0.01) concentrations and −31 g/day lactose yield (P < 0.05) than the animals from SS and SM (40.8 g/kg, 46.0 g/kg and 811 g per day, respectively). The MS and MM animals tended to have a greater milk protein concentration (+0.5 g/kg; P = 0.14) when compared with the SS and SM animals (34.5 g/kg).

Cumulative lactation production. At the end of P1, the cumulative milk and milk solids yield produced per cow from the S1 treatment were 156 and 16 kg less (P < 0.001) than that of M1 cows (Table 5). At the end of the lactation, the SM and MM animals had accumulated +266 kg milk/cow and +28 kg milk solids/cow than the SS and MS animals. Treatment imposed in P1 tended to affect cumulative P2 milk yield: 3585 kg milk/cow for the SS and SM animals against 3481 kg milk/cow, for the MS and MM animals. There was, however, no effect of P1 treatment on total lactation.

![Figure 1: Effect of post-grazing sward height (PGSH) on the lactation curve of spring-calving dairy cows, with particular emphasis on the subsequent 3 weeks following the change of treatment (see zoom).](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731113000451)
Milk production (kg/cow)

SS and SM (all S in P1) had a greater (Edward et al., 1995) than the SS and MS animals (478 and 509 kg, respectively). The M1 animals tended to have a lower mean BW (486 kg, on average) and end BW (519 kg) compared with the S1 animals (483 kg). Period 1 treatment affected animal BW and BCS in P2: the SS and SM animals had a greater (+13 kg; P < 0.01) BW gain and end BCS (+0.07; P < 0.05) than their counterparts from MS and MM (all M in P1; +47 kg and 2.78, respectively). There was no significant difference in BW between SS and MM animals at the end of the lactation. The BCS change over P2 was positive for the S1 cows, whereas it was negative for the M1 cows (P < 0.05; Table 4).

During P2, the SM and MM animals had significantly greater mean BW (486 kg, on average) and end BW (519 kg) compared to the SS and MS animals (478 kg and 509 kg, respectively). There was no effect of treatment on BCS (Table 4).

Discussion

Increasing the grazed grass proportion in the total lactation diet of the spring-calving dairy cow is a key objective for pasture-based dairy production systems to limit the use of conserved forages and concentrate (Kennedy et al., 2005). Grass is a limiting factor during the early spring period. One strategy to address this issue is to impose a low PGSH to utilise all available herbage. It was hypothesised that imposing a very low PGSH would have negative consequences on dairy cow production performance compared to a more lax PGSH.

Effect of PGSH in early lactation

The results from the current study confirm our initial hypothesis and emphasise the large reductions in GDMI and milk production performance of early lactation dairy cows that occur when a feed restriction is created by imposing a very low PGSH (e.g. <3.0 cm). The main reason for the limited intake on the S1 treatment was the low herbage availability per cow per day, as a consequence of imposing a PGSH of 2.7 cm. Below 2.7 cm, the sward horizon is dominated by stem and dead material, which acted as a barrier below which the animal could not graze any further (Edwards et al., 1995). The reduction in GDMI consequently resulted in lower milk yield and increased BW loss. In contrast, the M1 cows were less restricted by the PGSH imposed: the area per cow per day was increased and so was the quantity of herbage available. The increased GDMI of these animals resulted in increased nutrient availability to increase milk synthesis and to avoid excessive BW loss during early lactation and is demonstrated when their digestible OMI is considered. During the GDMI measurement period, the M1 cows consumed diets with slightly greater OM digestibility (OMD_i) than the S1 cows; however, the difference in OMD_i between treatments was not significant. As a result, the difference in digestible OMI between treatments was similar to the difference in OMI. By defoliating swards to a less severe PGSH, the M1 cows increased their intake of digestible OM by 21% in comparison to the S1 cows. The extra energy consumed by these cows was used for milk production (+11%) and to support maintenance during early lactation.

The milk yield response of 2.88 kg of milk per extra cm in PGSH achieved in this study was higher than that reported by McEvoy et al. (2008; 2.11 kg of milk per extra cm in PGSH; comparing 3.8 and 4.7 cm). Delaby et al. (2001) reported a...
response of only 0.82 kg milk per extra cm in compressed plate meter height (5.7 v. 6.8 cm) with non-supplemented cows at pasture. From the studies reported above and the results of our experiment, it appears that cows are less restricted when laxer PGSH are achieved, as they have the ability to achieve higher DMI, and therefore have a greater ability to achieve their potential milk yield, resulting in smaller differences in milk yield response between treatments. The high milk response of the S1 treatment in our study supports the theory that their restricted DMI resulted in greater BW loss.

The mobilisation of energy reserves is classically observed in early lactation (Friggens et al., 1998) because the dairy cow, in negative energy balance, does not ingest sufficient nutrients to meet the energy demands of milk production. In the current study, the 21% increase in intake of digestible OM by the M1 cows led to an 11% milk yield increase and 25% less BW loss compared to the S1 animals. A decrease in BW is often found when the diet of dairy cows is restricted by the imposition of low PGSH in early lactation (Delaby et al., 2003; Kennedy et al., 2007). The BW losses and the difference between treatments in this study were much larger (−0.48 kg/cow per day v. −0.36 kg/cow per day for the S1 and M1 animals) than that previously reported by McEvoy et al. (2008; −0.23 kg/cow per day v. −0.03 kg/cow per day) with similar experiment duration, but with higher PGSH (3.8 and 4.7 cm). The large BW losses in the current study confirm the high level of feed restriction placed upon animals from both treatments.

Milk protein concentration was affected by PGSH in the current study. This is in contrast to that reported by Kennedy et al. (2007) but concurs with Maher et al. (2003) who reported a linear increase in milk protein concentration with increasing PGSH from 4.4 to 6.5 cm (sward stick measurement). The review of Coulon and Rémond (1991) outlined a linear drop in milk protein concentration during periods of restriction in energy supply to dairy cows and was due to reductions in the mammary uptake of all nutrients. Roche (2007) suggested that body protein stores are likely to be mobilised to compensate for the shortage in metabolisable proteins in early lactation cows whose diet is restricted. This reduction in metabolisable proteins for milk production may contribute to the lower milk protein concentration in early lactation (P1). The literature is conflicting on the effect of feed restriction in early lactation on milk fat concentration. Roche (2007) and Kay et al. (2011) reported increased milk fat concentration on their restricted treatments, whereas Delaby et al. (2009) described a decrease in milk fat concentration with reduced DMI (and PGSH). Milk fat concentration has been shown to vary with the forage : concentrate (F: C) ratio of a diet. Although OMD of the diet selected by animals from each P1 treatment was similar, the M1 cows had a larger intake of digestible OM, mainly because of the greater herbage allowance offered to achieve the targeted PGSH. It was assumed that all cows consumed the totality of the concentrate offered. The F:C ratios were 58:42 and 67:33 for the S1 and M1 treatments, respectively. The increase in concentrate proportion in the S1 diet may explain the lower milk fat concentration (Broster et al., 1985).

Carryover effect of PGSH in early lactation on subsequent production

One objective of this experiment was to examine the carryover effect of early lactation PGSH, a driver of feed restriction, on subsequent dairy cow performance. Results show that the PGSH imposed during the first 10 weeks of the lactation did not influence subsequent milk yield. The only effect on milk production during P2 was that of the severe (SS and MS cows) or moderate (SM and MM cows) treatment imposed during that period. The absence of a carryover effect on milk yield following feed restriction in early lactation has previously been described in short- (Nielsen et al., 2007) and long-term studies (Friggens et al., 1998; Delaby et al., 2009). Kennedy et al. (2007), Roche (2007) and Kay et al. (2011) reported a carryover effect on milk yield, but its duration was limited (<2 months) partly because the intensity of restriction at pasture remained moderate (PGSH of 3.1 to 5.2 cm).

A possible reason for the recovery of milk synthesis of the S1 animals (SS and SM) following the period of restriction is the reactivation of quiescent mammary secretory alveoli. Vetharaniam et al. (2003) represented mammary cells as two pools, active and quiescent, and proposed that interconversion between the pools was monitored by various factors, one of which was nutritional status. It is clear from the current results that the milk production potential of restricted animals earlier in lactation was recovered once they were offered an adequate nutrient supply in P2, that is, when PGSH was increased (see Fig. 1). Once animals were no longer restricted in energy input, sufficient nutrients became available to the mammary gland where quiescent alveoli progressively became active again to an activity level comparable to the M1 animals (MS and MM). The examination of P2 cumulative milk production confirms the recovery of milk synthesis as the SM animals actually produced 3.6% more milk over P2 than the MM animals. Delaby et al. (2009) postulated that the imposition of pasture restriction at the beginning of the lactation contributed to the absence of any carryover effect on milk yield.

No significant carryover effect of the early lactation treatment was observed on DMI or the intake of the digestible OM during P2. However, numerically, the cows previously assigned to S1 had greater digestible OMI (+0.5 kg OM/cow per day) in P2 than the M1 cows. Friggens et al. (1998) suggested that the capacity of adaptation to a different plane of nutrition was not affected by early lactation treatment. The results of the present study concur as the SM and MM cows had very similar intake of digestible OM throughout P2, although the nutrition plane of the SM cows in P1 had been more restrictive than that of MM. The lower digestible OMI on the MS cows can be explained by the constant plane of nutrition imposed throughout P1 and P2 (3.5 v. 3.8 cm), whereas SS, SM and MM cows had a higher plane of nutrition because of increased PGSH from P1 to P2, which resulted in increasing their digestible OMI.
Some carryover effects of the regime applied in early lactation were observed for milk fat and protein concentrations in later lactation. There seems to be no consensus in the literature on the existence (Roche, 2007; Delaby et al., 2009) or absence (Friggens et al., 1998; Kennedy et al., 2007) of carryover effects on milk components. Friggens et al. (2013) reported that there have been varying responses in milk fat and protein concentrations to changes in energy input. Further investigation is required to fully describe the different rules governing the partition of nutrients for milk component synthesis. When the full lactation was examined, the total milk solids production of the M1 animals was 4.7% more than that of the S1 animals. This difference resulted from the difference in production accumulated during P1 as P1 treatment had no influence on subsequent production during P2, but the loss of milk solids during P1 was not recovered by the end of the lactation.

Cows underfed in early lactation regained more BW in later lactation and had greater end BCS when compared with the M1 animals. These findings are consistent with those of Delaby et al. (2009) and Friggens et al. (2013) who reported that the phenomenon of nutrient partitioning changes throughout lactation. Cows in early lactation partition nutrients towards the mammary gland and mobilise animal body reserves. As lactation progresses, cows progressively increase the partitioning of energy towards body reserves rather than milk (Koenen et al., 2001). The current results suggest that once PGSH was increased in P2, more herbage was made available to dairy cows who adjusted milk yield accordingly to changes in OMI. The S1 animals particularly, made use of the additional nutrients to increase milk synthesis as well as recovering body condition.

Grazing management during mid-season to optimise animal performance
The inter-relationship between the grazing ruminant and pasture is a dynamic, two-way process (Van Vuuren and Chilibroste, 2011). The plant morphological and qualitative aspects influence pasture intake by the ruminant, this process in turn modifies the remaining plants and their subsequent production and fate. Laxly grazed swards are often characterised by a decline in sward quality throughout the grazing season (Peyraud and Delagarde, 2013) as a result of increased stem and senescent material at the expense of leaf concentration within the sward (Michell and Fulkerson, 1987; Stakelum and Dillon, 2007). This can have negative effects on herbage intake and subsequent milk production (Stakelum and Dillon, 1991), which is mostly attributed to the decline in grass OMD because of a reduced proportion of digestible leaf material within the sward. Peyraud and Delagarde (2013) reported a loss of 1 kg/day in milk yield with each percentage decline in sward OMD. Increasing PGSH from 3.8 to 4.8 cm in the current study did not lead to any decrease in OMD in contrast to previous studies, undoubtedly because 4.8 cm remains a moderate PGSH when compared with the lax grazing practices previously described in the literature (Stakelum and Dillon, 1991; Delaby et al., 2003). By removing a larger quantity of good quality herbage (+11% digestible OMI eaten), the SM and MM animals were able to increase grass OMI (Wales et al., 1999) and increase milk and milk solids yields accordingly (Maher et al., 2003). The difference in effective DHA resulting from the PGSH imposed was greater than the actual difference in herbage OMI. This was expected because DHA was calculated above 3.5 cm and SM and MM animals only defoliated swards to 4.8 cm. The milk response to PGSH equated to 1.2 kg milk per extra cm in PGSH, which is 17% greater than the response found by McEvoy et al. (2008) with similar type of cows grazing to between 4.8 and 5.6 cm. The results of the current study emphasise the benefits of grazing to a moderate PGSH such as between 4.5 and 5.0 cm from mid-season onwards to maintain high per cow performance while maintaining high sward utilisation.

Conclusions
PGSH was the driver of grazing severity in this experiment. Owing to variations in HM, DHA and area per cow fluctuated during the experiment as a result of the PGSH imposed. This experiment demonstrated that imposing a very severe PGSH such as 2.7 cm during the first 10 weeks of the lactation reduced milk yield by 11% when compared with cows grazing to 3.5 cm, and also resulted in significant BW losses over this period. Following the period of restriction, there was no carry-over effect of early lactation PGSH on subsequent lactation milk and milk solids yields. The findings of this experiment acknowledge that cows restricted to graze to 2.7 cm in the first two grazing rotations will recover milk production when grass supply becomes more plentiful from April onwards. Although grazing to a severe PGSH in early spring did not significantly reduce cumulative lactation milk yield, it did, however, reduce cumulative lactation milk solids yield. Indeed, the substantial milk solids production lost in early spring was not recovered by the end of the lactation. This finding is critical given the introduction of a milk payment system based on milk solids for some milk suppliers. Following this experiment, a PGSH of 3.5 cm is recommended during the first two grazing rotations to achieve a compromise between animal production performance and grass utilisation. PGSH should be increased above 4.5 cm from mid-April onwards to allow an adequate expression of the cow’s potential for milk production.
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