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#### Abstract

For any integer $m \neq 0$, we prove that $f(x)=x^{9}+9 m x^{6}+192 m^{3}$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and that the Galois group of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is the dihedral group of order 18 . Moreover, we show that for infinitely many values of $m$, the splitting fields for $f(x)$ are distinct.
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## 1. Introduction

Unless stated otherwise, when we say a polynomial is irreducible or reducible, we mean over $\mathbb{Q}$, the rational numbers. In 1892 , using his irreducibility theorem, Hilbert showed that there exist infinitely many irreducible polynomials with Galois group $G$ for each $G \in\left\{S_{n}, A_{n}\right\}$, where $S_{n}$ and $A_{n}$ denote the symmetric and alternating groups of order $n$, respectively. Since then, many authors have used additional techniques, such as resolvents, class field theory, elliptic curves, factorisation over quadratic fields and factorisation modulo a prime, to obtain similar results for other groups (see, for example, [2, 5-7, 9-11]). In particular, Williamson [11] used a combination of such methods to prove that there exist infinitely many $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that the polynomial

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
x^{9}-t & t x^{8}+(-4 t+378) x^{7}+(68 t+6804) x^{6}+(288 t+33048) x^{5}+(-1008 t+50544) x^{4} \\
& +(-5184 t+7776) x^{3}+(-5184 t+139968) x^{2}+279936 x+186624
\end{array}
$$

has Galois group $D_{9}$, the dihedral group of order 18. In this paper, using only two theorems of Capelli and their generalisations due to Rédei, we find an explicit infinite family of irreducible ninth degree polynomials having Galois group $D_{9}$. More precisely, we prove the following theorem.

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Let $m \neq 0$ be an integer, and let

$$
f(x)=x^{9}+9 m x^{6}+192 m^{3} .
$$

Then $f(x)$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{Gal}(f) \simeq D_{9}$, where $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$ is the Galois group of $f(x)$ and $D_{9}$ is the dihedral group of order 18. Moreover, there exist infinitely many values of $m$ such that the splitting fields of $f(x)$ are distinct.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, $\Delta(f)$ denotes the discriminant over $\mathbb{Q}$ of the polynomial $f(x)$ and, if $f(x)$ is irreducible, $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$ denotes its Galois group. We now present some results of Capelli, without proof, that are needed to establish Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.1. Let $K$ be a field and let $g(x), h(x) \in K[x]$ with $g(x)$ irreducible over $K$. Suppose that $g(\alpha)=0$. Then $g(h(x))$ is reducible over $K$ if and only if $h(x)-\alpha$ is reducible over $K(\alpha)$. Furthermore, if

$$
h(x)-\alpha=c_{1} u_{1}(x)^{e_{1}} \cdots u_{k}(x)^{e_{k}},
$$

where $c_{1} \in K(\alpha)$ and the $u_{j}(x)$ are distinct monic irreducible polynomials in $K(\alpha)[x]$, then

$$
g(h(x))=c_{2} \mathcal{N}\left(u_{1}(x)\right)^{e_{1}} \cdots \mathcal{N}\left(u_{k}(x)\right)^{e_{k}},
$$

where $c_{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and the norms $\mathcal{N}\left(u_{j}(x)\right)$ are distinct monic irreducible polynomials in $K[x]$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $K$ be a field and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Let $\alpha \in K$. Then $x^{n}-\alpha$ is reducible over $K$ if and only if either there is a prime $p$ dividing $n$ such that $\alpha=\beta^{p}$ for some $\beta \in K$, or $4 \mid n$ and $\alpha=-4 \beta^{4}$ for some $\beta \in K$.

Remark 2.3. When $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are due to Capelli. Rédei extended Theorem 2.2 to fields of positive characteristic, and also Theorem 2.1 to fields of positive characteristic when $g(x)$ is separable. Schinzel extended Theorem 2.1 further to include the case when $g(x)$ is purely inseparable. For more details, and a proof of the general version of Theorem 2.1, see [8].

We also require the following well-known facts.
Theorem 2.4 [4]. Suppose that $\operatorname{deg}(f(x))=n$. If $f(x)$ is irreducible, then $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the alternating group $A_{n}$ if and only if $\sqrt{\Delta(f)} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 2.5 [1]. Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be monic of degree $n$ and let $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$ be the Galois group of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $p$ be a prime such that $\Delta(f) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. If $f(x)$ factors in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ as a product of irreducible factors of degrees $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{t}$, then $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$, when viewed as isomorphic to a subgroup of the symmetric group $S_{n}$, contains a permutation $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \cdots \sigma_{t}$, where $\sigma_{i}$ is a cycle of length $n_{i}$.

Remark 2.6. Theorem 2.5 is due to Dedekind.

## 3. The Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $m \neq 0$ be an integer and define the polynomials:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1}(x) & :=x^{2} \\
h_{2}(x) & :=x^{3} \\
g(x) & :=x^{3}+9 m x^{2}+192 m^{3} \\
G_{1}(x) & :=x^{3}+486 m^{2} x^{2}+121257 m^{4} x+995328 m^{6} \\
f(x) & :=g\left(h_{2}(x)\right)=x^{9}+9 m x^{6}+192 m^{3} \\
G(x) & :=G_{1}\left(h_{1}(x)\right)=x^{6}+486 m^{2} x^{4}+121257 m^{4} x^{2}+995328 m^{6} \\
F(x) & :=G\left(h_{2}(x)\right)=x^{18}+486 m^{2} x^{12}+121257 m^{4} x^{6}+995328 m^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that the polynomials $g(x), G_{1}(x), f(x), G(x)$ and $F(x)$ are all irreducible. Although the arguments are similar, we provide at least a sketch of the details in each case.

Consider first $g(x)=x^{3}+9 m x^{2}+192 m^{3}$. Since

$$
\Delta(g)=-2^{8} 3^{5} 5^{2} m^{6}<0
$$

if follows that $g(x)$ has exactly one real zero. An easy computation in Maple shows that this zero is

$$
\left(-3 \cdot 3^{2 / 3}-3^{1 / 3}-3\right) m \notin \mathbb{Z}
$$

Hence, $g(x)$ is irreducible.
Similarly, since

$$
\Delta\left(G_{1}\right)=-2^{10} 3^{13} 5^{6} 11^{2} m^{12}<0
$$

we conclude that $G_{1}(x)$ has exactly one real zero

$$
3\left(35 \cdot 3^{2 / 3}-15 \cdot 3^{1 / 3}-54\right) m^{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}
$$

so that $G_{1}(x)$ is irreducible.
To establish the irreducibility of $f(x), G(x)$ and $F(x)$, the strategy is the same in each of these cases. We assume reducibility and achieve a contradiction using Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Suppose that $f(x)$ is reducible and $g(\alpha)=0$. Then

$$
f(x)=\mathcal{N}(x-\beta) \cdot \mathcal{N}\left(x^{2}+\beta x+\beta^{2}\right),
$$

where $\alpha=\beta^{3}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, and $\mathcal{N}(x-\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\mathcal{N}\left(x^{2}+\beta x+\beta^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ have respective degrees of three and six. Let $n_{0}$ be the constant term of $\mathcal{N}(x-\beta)$. If $\beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$ are the conjugates of $\beta:=\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ are the conjugates of $\alpha:=\alpha_{1}$, it follows that

$$
n_{0}=-\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{3}=-\alpha_{1}^{1 / 3} \alpha_{2}^{1 / 3} \alpha_{3}^{1 / 3}=-\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\right)^{1 / 3}=-\left(-192 m^{3}\right)^{1 / 3} \notin \mathbb{Z},
$$

which contradicts the fact that $n_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $f(x)$ is irreducible.

Suppose that $G(x)$ is reducible and that $G_{1}(\alpha)=0$. Arguing as before,

$$
G(x)=\mathcal{N}(x-\beta) \cdot \mathcal{N}(x+\beta),
$$

where $\alpha=\beta^{2}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, and $\mathcal{N}(x-\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}[x], \mathcal{N}(x-\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ both have degree three. Let $n_{0}$ be the constant term of $\mathcal{N}(x-\beta)$. Then, calculating $n_{0}$ as before, we arrive at the contradiction

$$
n_{0}=-\left(-192 m^{3}\right)^{1 / 3} \notin \mathbb{Z}
$$

Hence, $G(x)$ is irreducible.
Finally, suppose that $F(x)$ is reducible and that $G(\alpha)=0$. Then

$$
F(x)=\mathcal{N}(x-\beta) \cdot \mathcal{N}\left(x^{2}+\beta x+\beta^{2}\right),
$$

where $\alpha=\beta^{3}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{N}(x-\beta)$ and $\mathcal{N}\left(x^{2}+\beta x+\beta^{2}\right)$ are monic polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ of respective degrees six and 12. If $n_{0}$ is the constant term of $\mathcal{N}(x-\beta)$, we arrive at the contradiction

$$
n_{0}=-\left(-995328 m^{6}\right)^{1 / 3} \notin \mathbb{Z}
$$

since $995328=2^{12} 3^{5}$. Therefore, $F(x)$ is irreducible.
Let $L$ be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. By Descartes' rule of signs, $f(x)$ has exactly one real zero $\theta$. Clearly, $\mathbb{Q}(\theta) \subset L$ and, since $f(x)$ is irreducible, $[\mathbb{Q}(\theta): \mathbb{Q}]=9$. But $\mathbb{Q}(\theta) \subset \mathbb{R}$, so $\mathbb{Q}(\theta) \neq L$ and thus $[L: \mathbb{Q}] \geq 18$. Let $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{9}$ be the zeros of $f(x)$ and let $\gamma$ be such that $F(\gamma)=0$. Then, using a computer algebra system, it is straightforward to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=\frac{\gamma^{16}+60 m \gamma^{13}+234 m^{2} \gamma^{10}+31860 m^{3} \gamma^{7}-145611 m^{4} \gamma^{4}+6713280 m^{5} \gamma}{5702400 m^{5}} \\
& \theta_{2}=\frac{9 \gamma^{16}+32 m \gamma^{13}+4086 m^{2} \gamma^{10}+23328 m^{3} \gamma^{7}+1039761 m^{4} \gamma^{4}+5272128 m^{5} \gamma}{3801600 m^{5}} \\
& \theta_{3}=\frac{-17 \gamma^{16}+60 m \gamma^{13}-7938 m^{2} \gamma^{10}+31860 m^{3} \gamma^{7}-1908333 m^{4} \gamma^{4}+3862080 m^{5} \gamma}{5702400 m^{5}} \\
& \theta_{4}=\frac{2 \gamma^{16}+963 m^{2} \gamma^{10}+256743 m^{4} \gamma^{4}-356400 m^{5} \gamma}{712800 m^{5}} \\
& \theta_{5}=\frac{-\gamma^{16}-454 m^{2} \gamma^{10}-115529 m^{4} \gamma^{4}}{211200 m^{5}} \\
& \theta_{6}=\frac{9 \gamma^{16}-32 m \gamma^{13}+4086 m^{2} \gamma^{10}-23328 m^{3} \gamma^{7}+1039761 m^{4} \gamma^{4}-5272128 m^{5} \gamma}{3801600 m^{5}} \\
& \theta_{7}=\frac{2 \gamma^{16}+963 m^{2} \gamma^{10}+256743 m^{4} \gamma^{4}+356400 m^{5} \gamma}{712800 m^{5}} \\
& \theta_{8}=\frac{-17 \gamma^{16}-60 m \gamma^{13}-7938 m^{2} \gamma^{10}-31860 m^{3} \gamma^{7}-1908333 m^{4} \gamma^{4}-3862080 m^{5} \gamma}{5702400 m^{5}} \\
& \theta_{9}=\frac{\gamma^{16}-60 m \gamma^{13}+234 m^{2} \gamma^{10}-31860 m^{3} \gamma^{7}-145611 m^{4} \gamma^{4}-6713280 m^{5} \gamma}{5702400 m^{5}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$. Since $F(x)$ is irreducible, $[\mathbb{Q}(\gamma): \mathbb{Q}]=18$, which implies that $[L: \mathbb{Q}] \leq 18$. Hence, $[L: \mathbb{Q}]=18$ and $L=\mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$. Since

$$
\Delta(f)=2^{36} 3^{26} 5^{6} m^{24}
$$

it follows from Theorem 2.4 that

$$
\operatorname{Gal}(f) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{9} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \simeq D_{9} \quad \text { or } \quad \operatorname{Gal}(f) \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

because $D_{9}$ and $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ are the only transitive subgroups of $A_{9}$ of order 18 [3]. To see that $\operatorname{Gal}(f) \simeq D_{9}$, let $p$ be a prime such that $\Delta(f) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $y^{3} \equiv 3(\bmod p)$ has no solutions. Suppose that $f(x)$ is reducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then, by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we argue as before to deduce that

$$
-\left(-192 m^{6}\right)^{1 / 3}=2^{2} 3^{1 / 3} m^{2} \in \mathbb{F}_{p},
$$

which contradicts the fact that 3 is not a cube in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Hence, $f(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and thus, by Theorem 2.5, we conclude that $\operatorname{Gal}(f)$ contains an element of order 9. Since $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{3} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ contains no element of order 9 , it follows that $\operatorname{Gal}(f) \simeq D_{9}$.

We now show that there exist infinitely many values of $m$ for which the splitting fields of $f(x)$ are distinct. Let $p \neq q$ be primes, and let

$$
f_{p}(x)=x^{9}+9 p x^{6}+192 p^{3} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{q}(x)=x^{9}+9 q x^{6}+192 q^{3}
$$

with respective splitting fields $L_{p}$ and $L_{q}$. Let $r=-\left(3^{5 / 3}+3^{1 / 3}+3\right)$. As we have seen,

$$
g_{p}(p r)=0=g_{q}(q r)
$$

Thus,

$$
f_{p}\left(p^{1 / 3} r^{1 / 3}\right)=0=f_{q}\left(q^{1 / 3} r^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

since $f_{p}(x)=g_{p}\left(x^{3}\right)$ and $f_{q}(x)=g_{q}\left(x^{3}\right)$. Suppose that $L_{p}=L_{q}$. Then

$$
M:=\mathbb{Q}\left(r,(p / q)^{1 / 3}\right) \subset L_{p}
$$

where $M$ is a totally real field with $[M: \mathbb{Q}]=9$. Since $L_{p}$ contains a unique totally real subfield of degree nine, it follows that

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(p^{1 / 3} r^{1 / 3}\right)=M=\mathbb{Q}\left(q^{1 / 3} r^{1 / 3}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{Gal}(f) \simeq D_{9}$, we know that $M$ contains a unique subfield $K$ with $[K: \mathbb{Q}]=3$. Consequently,

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left((p / q)^{1 / 3}\right)=\mathbb{Q}(r)=\mathbb{Q}\left(3^{1 / 3}\right) .
$$

Therefore, there exist $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p / q)^{1 / 3}=c_{1}+c_{2} 3^{1 / 3}+c_{3} 3^{2 / 3} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Raising both sides of (3.1) to the third power and equating coefficients, we get the system of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+9 c_{1} c_{3}^{2}+9 c_{2}^{2} c_{3} & =0 \\
3 c_{1}^{2} c_{3}+3 c_{1} c_{2}^{2}+9 c_{2} c_{3}^{2} & =0 \\
c_{1}^{3}+18 c_{1} c_{2} c_{3}+3 c_{2}^{3}+9 c_{3}^{3} & =p / q
\end{aligned}
$$

to which Maple provides the three solutions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{c_{2}=0, c_{3}=c_{3}, p=9 c_{3}^{3} q, c_{1}=0, q=q\right\}, \\
& \left\{c_{3}=0, c_{2}=c_{2}, p=3 c_{2}^{3} q, c_{1}=0, q=q\right\}, \\
& \left\{p=c_{1}^{3} q, c_{2}=0, c_{3}=0, q=q, c_{1}=c_{1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of these possibilities results in a contradiction. For example, consider the second solution and let $c_{2}=u / v$, where $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(u, v)=1$. Then

$$
p v^{3}=3 u^{3} q .
$$

If $p=3$, then $q^{1 / 3}=v / u \in \mathbb{Q}$, which is impossible. If $p \neq 3$, then $u=p u_{1}$, for some $u_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that

$$
v^{3}=3 p^{2} u_{1}^{3} q,
$$

which implies that $\left(3 p^{2} q\right)^{1 / 3}=v / u_{1} \in \mathbb{Q}$, another contradiction. The other possibilities can be handled in a similar manner. Hence, $L_{p} \neq L_{q}$, and the proof is complete.
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