Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 46 (2), 2003 pp. 252-264

Beurling-Dahlberg-Sjögren Type Theorems for Minimally Thin Sets in a Cone

Ikuko Miyamoto, Minoru Yanagishita and Hidenobu Yoshida

Abstract. This paper shows that some characterizations of minimally thin sets connected with a domain having smooth boundary and a half-space in particular also hold for the minimally thin sets at a corner point of a special domain with corners, *i.e.*, the minimally thin set at ∞ of a cone.

1 Introduction

Let **R** and **R**₊ be the set of all real numbers and all positive real numbers, respectively. We denote by \mathbf{R}^n ($n \ge 2$) the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space. A point in \mathbf{R}^n is denoted by $P = (X, y), X = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_{n-1})$. The Euclidean distance of two points *P* and *Q* in \mathbf{R}^n is denoted by |P - Q|. Also |P - O| with the origin *O* of \mathbf{R}^n is simply denoted by |P|. The boundary and the closure of a set *S* in \mathbf{R}^n are denoted by ∂S and \bar{S} , respectively.

We introduce a system of spherical coordinates (r, Θ) , $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$, in **R**^{*n*} which are related to cartesian coordinates $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y)$ by

$$x_1 = r(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin \theta_j) \quad (n \ge 2), \quad y = r \cos \theta_1,$$

and if $n \ge 3$, then

$$x_{n+1-k} = r(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \sin \theta_i) \cos \theta_k \quad (2 \le k \le n-1),$$

where $0 \le r < +\infty$, $-\frac{1}{2}\pi \le \theta_{n-1} < \frac{3}{2}\pi$, and if $n \ge 3$, then $0 \le \theta_j \le \pi$ $(1 \le j \le n-2)$.

The unit sphere and the upper half unit sphere are denoted by \mathbf{S}^{n-1} and \mathbf{S}^{n-1}_+ , respectively. For simplicity, a point $(1, \Theta)$ on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} and the set $\{\Theta; (1, \Theta) \in \Omega\}$ for a set $\Omega, \Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, are often identified with Θ and Ω , respectively. For two sets $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{R}_+$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$, the set

$$\{(r, \Theta) \in \mathbf{R}^n ; r \in \Lambda, (1, \Theta) \in \Omega\}$$

in \mathbf{R}^n is simply denoted by $\Lambda \times \Omega$. In particular, the half-space

$$\mathbf{R}_{+} \times \mathbf{S}_{+}^{n-1} = \{ (X, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n} ; y > 0 \}$$

will be denoted by \mathbf{T}_n .

Received by the editors July 31, 2001; revised November 22, 2001. AMS subject classification: Primary: 31B05; secondary: 31B20. ©Canadian Mathematical Society 2003.

As an extension of a result of Beurling [6, Lemma 1], Dahlberg proved:

Theorem A (Dahlberg [9, Theorem 4]) Suppose that $E \subset T_n$ is measurable and that

$$\int_E \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^n} = \infty.$$

If u is a non-negative superharmonic function in \mathbf{T}_n and m is a positive number such that $u(P) \ge my$ for all $P = (X, y) \in E$, then $u(P) \ge my$ for all $P = (X, y) \in \mathbf{T}_n$.

Sjögren also gave Theorem A in the following form with an ingenious proof of Dahlberg's result.

Theorem B (Sjögren [16, Theorem 2]) Let u(P) be a positive superharmonic function on T_n such that

$$u(P) = \int_{\mathbf{T}_n} G(P, Q) \, d\mu(Q) + \int_{\partial \mathbf{T}_n} \Pi(P, Q) \, d\lambda(Q)$$

with non-negative measures μ and λ on \mathbf{T}_n and $\partial \mathbf{T}_n$, respectively, where G(P, Q) $(P, Q \in \mathbf{T}_n)$ and

$$\Pi(P,Q) = y|P-Q|^{-n} \quad (P = (X, y) \in \mathbf{T}_n, Q \in \partial \mathbf{T}_n)$$

is the Green function and the Poisson kernel for T_n , respectively. Then

$$\int_{E_u} \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^n} < \infty,$$

where

$$E_u = \{P = (X, y) \in \mathbf{T}_n ; u(P) > y\}.$$

Let K(P, Q) $(P \in \mathbf{T}_n, Q \in \partial \mathbf{T}_n)$ be the Martin function with the reference point $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbf{T}_n$. Then $K(P, \infty) = y$ for any $P = (X, y) \in \mathbf{T}_n$. A subset *E* of \mathbf{T}_n is said to be minimally thin at ∞ with respect to \mathbf{T}_n , if there exists a point $P = (X, y) \in \mathbf{T}_n$ such that

$$\hat{R}^{E}_{K(\cdot,\infty)}(P)\neq y,$$

where $\hat{R}^{E}_{K(\cdot,\infty)}$ is the regularized reduced function of $K(P,\infty) = y$ ($P = (X, y) \in \mathbf{T}_{n}$) relative to E (Helms [13, p. 134]).

We remark that the conclusions of Theorems A and B are equivalent to the facts that *E* is not minimally thin at ∞ and E_u is minimally thin at ∞ , respectively (Theorem 1 in the case where $C_n(\Omega) = \mathbf{T}_n$). Hence Theorems A and B say:

Theorem C If $E \subset \mathbf{T}_n$ is measurable and minimally thin at ∞ with respect to \mathbf{T}_n , then

(1.1)
$$\int_E \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^n} < +\infty.$$

Further the following Theorem D shows that the characterization of a minimally thin set in Theorem C is sharp.

Theorem D Let *E* be a union of cubes from the Whitney cubes of \mathbf{T}_n . Then (1.1) is also sufficient for *E* to be minimally thin at ∞ with respect to \mathbf{T}_n .

These Theorems A, B, C and D follow from the results of Dahlberg [9, Theorem 2], Sjögren [16, Theorem 2], Aikawa [1, Corollary 7 and Corollary 8], Aikawa and Essén [3, Corollary 7.4.6 in p. 158] which are all connected with a Liapunov-Dini domain in \mathbf{R}^n , because \mathbf{T}_n is mapped onto a ball by a suitable Kelvin transformation.

All these results are connected to minimally thin sets at a boundary point of domains with smooth boundary. So we can ask what is a result similar to Theorem C with respect to a minimally thin set at a corner of a domain with corners. In this direction, Aikawa [2, Corollary 4] gave a complicated result with respect to a minimally thin set at a boundary point of an NTA domain which is a mostly irregular domain taken into consideration.

In this paper we shall show that the same type of theorems as Theorems C and D are still true with respect to a minimally thin set at a corner point of a special domain with corners, *i.e.*, a minimally thin set at ∞ of a cone. These theorems are proved by modifying Aikawa's method in [3]. Then we shall generalize Theorems A and B for positive superharmonic functions in a cone one of which is a half-space T_n . In view of our results it is natural to ask whether similar results are valid for Lipshitz domains or more generally, for NTA domains.

2 Statements of Results

Let Ω be a domain on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} ($n \ge 2$) with smooth boundary. Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$(\Lambda_n + \tau)f = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega$$

 $f = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$

where Λ_n is the spherical part of the Laplace operator Δ_n

$$\Delta_n = \frac{n-1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + r^{-2} \Lambda_n.$$

We denote the least positive eigenvalue of this boundary value problem by τ_{Ω} and the normalized positive eigenfunction corresponding to τ_{Ω} by $f_{\Omega}(\Theta)$;

$$\int_{\Omega} f_{\Omega}^2(\Theta) \, d\sigma_{\Theta} = 1,$$

where $d\sigma_{\Theta}$ is the surface element on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} . We denote the solutions of the equation

$$t^2 + (n-2)t - \tau_\Omega = 0$$

by α_{Ω} , $-\beta_{\Omega}$ (α_{Ω} , $\beta_{\Omega} > 0$). If $\Omega = \mathbf{S}_{+}^{n-1}$, then $\alpha_{\Omega} = 1$, $\beta_{\Omega} = n-1$ and

$$f_{\Omega}(\Theta) = (2ns_n^{-1})^{1/2}\cos\theta_1,$$

where s_n is the surface area $2\pi^{n/2} \{\Gamma(n/2)\}^{-1}$ of \mathbf{S}^{n-1} .

To make simplify our consideration in the following, we shall assume that if $n \ge 3$, then Ω is a $C^{2,\alpha}$ -domain ($0 < \alpha < 1$) on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} (*e.g.* see Gilbarg and Trudinger [12, pp. 88–89] for the definition of $C^{2,\alpha}$ -domain).

By $C_n(\Omega)$, we denote the set $\mathbf{R}_+ \times \Omega$ in \mathbf{R}^n with the domain Ω on \mathbf{S}^{n-1} ($n \ge 2$). We call it a cone. Then \mathbf{T}_n is a special cone obtained by putting $\Omega = \mathbf{S}_+^{n-1}$.

It is known that the Martin boundary of $C_n(\Omega)$ is the set $\partial C_n(\Omega) \cup \{\infty\}$, each of which is a minimal Martin boundary point. When we denote the Martin kernel by K(P, Q) $(P \in C_n(\Omega), Q \in \partial C_n(\Omega) \cup \{\infty\})$ with respect to a reference point chosen suitably, we know

$$K(P,\infty) = r^{\alpha_{\Omega}} f_{\Omega}(\Theta), \quad K(P,O) = \kappa r^{-\beta_{\Omega}} f_{\Omega}(\Theta) \quad \left(P \in C_{n}(\Omega)\right),$$

where κ is a positive constant.

A subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ is said to be minimally thin at $Q \in \partial C_n(\Omega) \cup \{\infty\}$ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$ (Brelot [7, p. 122], Doob [10, p. 208]), if there exists a point $P \in C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\hat{R}^{E}_{K(\cdot,O)}(P) \neq K(P,Q),$$

where $\hat{R}^{E}_{K(\cdot,Q)}(P)$ is the regularized reduced function of $K(\cdot, Q)$ relative to E.

Let *E* be a bounded subset of $C_n(\Omega)$. Then $\hat{R}^E_{K(\cdot,\infty)}$ is bounded on $C_n(\Omega)$ and hence the greatest harmonic minorant of $\hat{R}^E_{K(\cdot,\infty)}$ is zero. When we denote by G(P, Q) $(P \in C_n(\Omega), Q \in C_n(\Omega))$ the Green function of $C_n(\Omega)$, we see from the Riesz decomposition theorem that there exists a unique positive measure λ_E on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\hat{R}^{E}_{K(\cdot,\infty)}(P) = G\lambda_{E}(P)$$

for any $P \in C_n(\Omega)$ and λ_E is concentrated on B_E , where

$$B_E = \{P \in C_n(\Omega) ; E \text{ is not thin at } P\}$$

(see Brelot [7, Theorem VIII, 11] and Doob [10, XI. 14. Theorem (d)]). The (Green) energy $\gamma_{\Omega}(E)$ of λ_E is defined by

$$\gamma_{\Omega}(E) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} (G\lambda_E) \, d\lambda_E$$

(see Helms [13, p. 223]). Let *E* be a Borel subset of $C_n(\Omega)$ and $E_k = E \cap I_k(\Omega)$ (k = 0, 1, 2, ...), where

$$I_k(\Omega) = \{ (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) ; 2^k \le r < 2^{k+1} \}.$$

First we shall state Theorem 1, essentially due to Miyamoto and Yoshida [15, p. 6, Theorem 1], which, with Theorem 2, gives Corollaries 1 and 2 extending Theorems A and B, respectively.

Theorem 1 The following statements are equivalent.

(I) A subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ is minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$.

- (II) (Wiener type) $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{\Omega}(E_k) 2^{-k(\alpha_{\Omega}+\beta_{\Omega})} < \infty$. (III) (Sjögren type) There exists a positive superharmonic function v(P) on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

(2.1)
$$\inf_{P \in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\nu(P)}{K(P,\infty)} = 0$$

and

$$E \subset M_{\nu},$$

where

$$M_{\nu} = \{ P \in C_n(\Omega) ; \nu(P) \ge K(P, \infty) \}.$$

(IV) (Dahlberg type) There exist a positive superharmonic function v(P) on $C_n(\Omega)$ and a positive number m such that even if $v(P) \ge mK(P, \infty)$ $(P \in E)$, there exists $P_0 \in C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying $v(P_0) < mK(P_0, \infty)$.

The following Theorem 2 is the main theorem in this paper.

Theorem 2 Let a Borel subset E of $C_n(\Omega)$ be minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$. Then we have

(2.2)
$$\int_E \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^n} < \infty.$$

When we decompose a positive superharmonic function v(P) on $C_n(\Omega)$ into

$$\nu(P) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} G(P, Q) \, d\mu(Q) + \int_{\partial C_n(\Omega)} K(P, Q) \, d\nu(Q) + K(P, \infty) \nu(\{\infty\})$$

with two measures μ and ν on $C_n(\Omega)$ and $\partial C_n(\Omega) \cup \{\infty\}$, respectively, we see that (2.1) is equivalent to $\nu(\{\infty\}) = 0$ (Doob [10, p. 213, Theorem]). This fact shows that the following corollary of Sjögren type generalizes Theorem B.

Corollary 1 Let v(P) be a positive superharmonic function on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

$$\inf_{P\in C_n(\Omega)}\frac{\nu(P)}{K(P,\infty)}=0$$

Then we have

$$\int_{M_{\nu}} \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^n} < \infty.$$

From Theorems 1 and 2 we also obtain the following corollary of Dahlberg type, which generalizes Theorem A.

Corollary 2 Let E be a Borel measurable subset of $C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\int_E \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^n} = +\infty.$$

If v(P) is a non-negative superharmonic function on $C_n(\Omega)$ and m is a positive number such that $v(P) \ge mK(P, \infty)$ for all $P \in E$, then $v(P) \ge mK(P, \infty)$ for all $P \in C_n(\Omega)$.

In order to state Theorem 3 which shows the sharpness of the characterization of a minimally thin set in Theorem 2, we introduce the Whitney cubes of $C_n(\Omega)$.

A cube is of the form

$$[l_1 2^{-k}, (l_1 + 1) 2^{-k}] \times \cdots \times [l_n 2^{-k}, (l_n + 1) 2^{-k}]$$

where k, l_1, \ldots, l_n are integers. The Whitney cubes of $C_n(\Omega)$ are a family of cubes having the following properties:

- (i) $\bigcup_{i} W_{j} = C_{n}(\Omega)$,
- (ii) $\operatorname{int} W_j \cap \operatorname{int} W_k = \emptyset \ (j \neq k),$
- (iii) diam $W_i \leq \text{dist}(W_i, \mathbf{R}^n \setminus C_n(\Omega)) \leq 4 \text{ diam } W_i$,

where int *S*, diam *S*, dist (S_1, S_2) stand for the interior of *S*, the diameter of *S*, the distance between S_1 and S_2 , respectively (Stein [17, p. 167, Theorem 1]).

Theorem 3 If *E* is a union of cubes from the Whitney cubes of $C_n(\Omega)$, then (2.2) is also sufficient for *E* to be minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$.

3 Lemmas and Their Proofs

For a function F(P, Q) $(P, Q \in C_n(\Omega))$ and a positive measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} F(P,Q) \, d\mu(Q)$$

is simply denoted by $F\mu(P)$. We shall also write $g_1 \approx g_2$ for two positive functions g_1 and g_2 , if and only if there exists a positive constant *a* such that $a^{-1}g_1 \leq g_2 \leq ag_1$.

Let *E* be a Borel subset of $C_n(\Omega)$ and let $\delta(P) = \text{dist}(P, \partial C_n(\Omega))$ for a point $P \in C_n(\Omega)$. We define a measure σ_Ω on $C_n(\Omega)$ by

$$\sigma_{\Omega}(E) = \int_{E} \left(\frac{K(P, \infty)}{\delta(P)} \right)^2 dP.$$

Lemma 1 Let E be a bounded Borel subset of $C_n(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant M_1 independent of E such that

$$\sigma_{\Omega}(E) \le M_1 \gamma_{\Omega}(E).$$

Proof First of all, we remark that $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus C_n(\Omega)$ is (1, 2) uniformly fat, *i.e.*, there is a positive constant ι such that at any $P \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus C_n(\Omega)$

$$\operatorname{Cap}\left(\left\{P+r^{-1}(Q-P)\in\mathbf{R}^n; Q\in B(P,r)\cap\left(\mathbf{R}^n\setminus C_n(\Omega)\right)\right\}\right)\geq\iota$$

for every positive number r, where $B(P, r) = \{Q \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Q - P| < r\}$ and Cap denotes the Newtonian capacity (see Lewis [14, p. 178]). Then by a result of Lewis [14, Theorem 2], there is a positive constant M_1 depending only on ι and n such that

(3.1)
$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \left| \frac{\psi(P)}{\delta(P)} \right|^2 dP \le M_1 \int_{C_n(\Omega)} |\nabla \psi(P)|^2 dP$$

for every $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(C_n(\Omega))$ (also see Ancona [4]).

We denote the function $G\lambda_E(P) = \hat{R}^E_{K(\cdot,\infty)}(P)$ on $C_n(\Omega)$ by $\nu_E(P)$. It is well known that the Green energy can be represented as the Dirichlet integral, *i.e.*,

(3.2)
$$\gamma_{\Omega}(E) = \int_{C_n(\Omega)} |\nabla v_E|^2 \, dP.$$

Since

$$(3.3) A^{-1}r^{\alpha_{\Omega}}f_{\Omega}(\Theta)t^{-\beta_{\Omega}}f_{\Omega}(\Phi) \le G(P,Q) \le Ar^{\alpha_{\Omega}}f_{\Omega}(\Theta)t^{-\beta_{\Omega}}f_{\Omega}(\Phi)$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$ and any $Q = (t, \Phi) \in C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying $2r \le t$, where *A* is a positive constant (see Azarin [5, Lemma 1]) and

(3.4)
$$f_{\Omega}(\Theta) \approx \delta(P)$$

for any $P = (1, \Theta) \in \Omega$ (see Courant and Hilbert [8]), we also see

(3.5)
$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \left| \frac{\nu_E(P)}{\delta(P)} \right|^2 dP < +\infty.$$

Hence we have $v_E \in H(C_n(\Omega))$ from (3.2) and (3.5), where

$$H(C_n(\Omega)) = \left\{ f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(C_n(\Omega)) : \nabla f \in L^2(C_n(\Omega)), \delta^{-1}f \in L^2(C_n(\Omega)) \right\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$||f||_{H(C_n(\Omega))} = (||\nabla f||^2_{L^2(C_n(\Omega))} + ||\delta^{-1}f||^2_{L^2(C_n(\Omega))})^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and further $v_E \in H_0(C_n(\Omega))$, where $H_0(C_n(\Omega))$ denotes the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(C_n(\Omega))$ in $H(C_n(\Omega))$. Thus we obtain from (3.1) that

$$\int_{C_n(\Omega)} \left| \frac{\nu_E(P)}{\delta(P)} \right|^2 \, dP \le M_1 \int_{C_n(\Omega)} |\nabla \nu_E(P)|^2 \, dP$$

(see Ancona [4, p. 288]). Since $v_E = K(\cdot, \infty)$ quasi everywhere on *E* and hence a.e. on *E*, we have from (3.2)

$$\gamma_{\Omega}(E) \ge M_1^{-1} \int_{C_n(\Omega)} \left(\frac{\nu_E(P)}{\delta(P)}\right)^2 dP \ge M_1^{-1} \int_E \left(\frac{K(P,\infty)}{\delta(P)}\right)^2 dP = M_1^{-1} \sigma_{\Omega}(E),$$

which gives the conclusion.

Lemma 2 Let W_j be a cube from the Whitney cubes of $C_n(\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant M_2 independent of j such that

$$\gamma_{\Omega}(W_j) \le M_2 \sigma_{\Omega}(W_j).$$

Proof If we apply a result of Aikawa and Essén [3, Theorem 5.6, p. 19] for compact set \overline{W}_j , we obtain a measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$, supp $\mu \subset \overline{W}_j$, $\mu(\overline{W}_j) = 1$ such that

(3.6)
$$\begin{cases} \int_{C_n(\Omega)} |P - Q|^{2-n} d\mu(Q) = \{ \operatorname{Cap}(\overline{W}_j) \}^{-1} & (n \ge 3), \\ \int_{C_2(\Omega)} \log |P - Q| d\mu(Q) = \log \operatorname{Cap}(\overline{W}_j) & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

for any $P \in \overline{W}_j$. Also there exists a positive measure $\lambda_{\overline{W}_j}$ on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that

(3.7)
$$\hat{R}_{K(\cdot,\infty)}^{\overline{W}_{j}}(P) = G\lambda_{\overline{W}_{j}}(P) \quad \left(P \in C_{n}(\Omega)\right)$$

Let $P_j = (r_j, \Theta_j)$, ρ_j , t_j be the center of W_j , the diameter of W_j , the distance between W_j and $\partial C_n(\Omega)$, respectively. Then we have $\rho_j \leq t_j \leq 4\rho_j$ and $\rho_j \leq r_j$. Then from (3.4) we can find a positive constant A_1 independent of j such that

(3.8)
$$A_1^{-1}r_j^{\alpha_{\Omega}-1}\rho_j \le K(P,\infty) \le A_1r_j^{\alpha_{\Omega}-1}\rho_j$$

for any $P \in \overline{W}_{j}$. We can also prove that

(3.9)
$$G(P,Q) \ge \begin{cases} A_2 |P-Q|^{2-n} & (n \ge 3), \\ \log \frac{A_3 \rho_j}{|P-Q|} & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

for any $P \in \overline{W}_j$ and any $Q \in \overline{W}_j$, where A_2 and A_3 are two positive constants independent of *j*. Hence we obtain

(3.10)
$$\lambda_{\overline{W}_{j}}(C_{n}(\Omega)) \leq \begin{cases} (A_{1}/A_{2})r_{j}^{\alpha_{\Omega}-1}\rho_{j}\operatorname{Cap}(\overline{W}_{j}) & (n \geq 3) \\ A_{1}r_{j}^{\alpha_{\Omega}-1}\rho_{j}\left\{\log\frac{A_{3}\rho_{j}}{\operatorname{Cap}(\overline{W}_{j})}\right\}^{-1} & (n = 2) \end{cases}$$

from (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9). Since

$$\gamma_{\Omega}(\overline{W}_{j}) = \int G\lambda_{\overline{W}_{j}} d\lambda_{\overline{W}_{j}} \leq \int_{\overline{W}_{j}} K(P,\infty) d\lambda_{\overline{W}_{j}}(P) \leq A_{1}r_{j}^{\alpha_{\Omega}-1}\rho_{j}\lambda_{\overline{W}_{j}}(C_{n}(\Omega))$$

from (3.7) and (3.8), we have from (3.10)

(3.11)
$$\gamma_{\Omega}(\overline{W}_{j}) \leq \begin{cases} A_{1}^{2}A_{2}^{-1}r_{j}^{2\alpha_{\Omega}-2}\rho_{j}^{2}\operatorname{Cap}(\overline{W}_{j}) & (n \geq 3), \\ A_{1}^{2}r_{j}^{2\alpha_{\Omega}-2}\rho_{j}^{2}\left\{\log\frac{A_{3}\rho_{j}}{\operatorname{Cap}(\overline{W}_{j})}\right\}^{-1} & (n = 2). \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Cap}(\overline{W}_j) \approx \rho_j^{n-2} & (n \ge 3), \\ \operatorname{Cap}(\overline{W}_j) \approx \rho_j & (n = 2), \end{cases}$$

we obtain from (3.11)

(3.12)
$$\gamma_{\Omega}(W_j) \le A_4 r_j^{2\alpha_{\Omega}-2} \rho_j^n$$

with a positive constant A_4 . On the other hand, we have from (3.4) that

(3.13)
$$\sigma_{\Omega}(W_j) \approx r_j^{2\alpha_{\Omega}-2}\rho_j^{2}$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in W_j$. From (3.12) and (3.13) we finally have

$$\gamma_{\Omega}(W_j) \le M_2 \sigma_{\Omega}(W_j),$$

which is the conclusion of Lemma 2.

4 **P**roofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3

Proof of Theorem 1 It is a result of Miyamoto and Yoshida [15, Theorem 1] that (II) follows from (I).

We shall show that (III) follows from (II). Since

$$\hat{R}^{E_k}_{K(\cdot,\infty)}(Q) = K(Q,\infty)$$

for any $Q \in B_{E_k}$ (Brelot [7, p. 61] and Doob [10, p. 169]) and λ_{E_k} is concentrated on B_{E_k} , we have

$$egin{aligned} &\gamma_\Omega(E_k) = \int_{B_{E_k}} K(Q,\infty) \, d\lambda_{E_k}(Q) \ &\geq 2^{k lpha_\Omega} \int_{B_{E_k}} f_\Omega(\Phi) \, d\lambda_{E_k}(t,\Phi) \quad ig(Q = (t,\Phi) \in C_n(\Omega)ig) \end{aligned}$$

and hence from (3.3)

(4.1)
$$\hat{R}^{E_{k}}_{K(\cdot,\infty)}(P) \leq Ar^{\alpha_{\Omega}} f_{\Omega}(\Theta) \int_{B_{E_{k}}} t^{-\beta_{\Omega}} f_{\Omega}(\Phi) \, d\lambda_{E_{k}}(t,\Phi)$$
$$\leq Ar^{\alpha_{\Omega}} f_{\Omega}(\Theta) 2^{-k(\alpha_{\Omega}+\beta_{\Omega})} \gamma_{\Omega}(E_{k})$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$ and any integer k satisfying $2^k \ge 2r$. If we define a measure μ on $C_n(\Omega)$ by

$$\mu = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{E_k},$$

then from (II) and (4.1)

$$G\mu(P) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hat{R}^{E_k}_{K(\cdot,\infty)}(P)$$

is a finite-valued superharmonic function on $C_n(\Omega)$,

$$G\mu(P) \ge \hat{R}^{E_k}_{K(\cdot,\infty)}(P) = r^{\alpha_\Omega} f_\Omega(\Theta)$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in B_{E_k}$ (k = 0, 1, 2, ...), and from (3.3)

$$G\mu(P) \ge A_5 r^{\alpha_\Omega} f_\Omega(\Theta)$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in \{P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) ; 0 < r < 1\}$, where

$$A_5 = A^{-1} \int_{\{Q=(t,\Phi)\in C_n(\Omega); 2\leq t\}} t^{-\beta_\Omega} f_\Omega(\Phi) \, d\mu(Q).$$

If we set

$$E'=\bigcup_{k=-1}^{\infty}B_{E_k},$$

where

(4.2)
$$E_{-1} = E \cap \{ P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) ; 0 < r < 1 \}$$

and $A_6 = \min(A_5, 1)$, then

$$E' \subset \{P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) ; G\mu(P) \ge A_6 r^{\alpha_\Omega} f_\Omega(\Theta)\}$$

and *E'* is equal to *E* except a polar set *S* (see Brelot [7, p. 57] and Doob [10, p. 177]). If we take a positive measure η on $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $G\eta$ is identically $+\infty$ on *S* (see Doob [10, p. 58]) and define a measure ν on $C_n(\Omega)$ by

$$\nu = A_6^{-1}(\mu + \eta),$$

then

$$E \subset \{P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega) ; G\nu(P) \ge r^{\alpha_\Omega} f_\Omega(\Theta)\}.$$

If we put $v(P) = G\nu(P)$, then this shows that v(P) is the function required in (III).

Now we shall see that (IV) follows from (III). Let v(P) be the function in (III). It follows that

$$\nu(P) \geq K(P,\infty)$$

for any $P \in E$. On the other hand from (2.1) we can find a point $P_0 \in C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\nu(P_0) < K(P_0, \infty).$$

Therefore v(P) satisfies (IV) with m = 1.

Finally we shall prove that (I) follows from (IV). Let v(P) be the function in (IV). If we put

$$\inf_{P \in C_n(\Omega)} \frac{\nu(P)}{K(P,\infty)} = c_{\infty}(\nu)$$

and

$$u(P) = v(P) - c_{\infty}(v)K(P, \infty),$$

then we have

$$\inf_{P\in C_n(\Omega)}\frac{u(P)}{K(P,\infty)}=0.$$

Since there exists $P_0 \in C_n(\Omega)$ satisfying $v(P_0) < mK(P_0, \infty)$, we note that

$$c_{\infty}(v) < m.$$

Now we obtain

$$u(P) \ge mK(P, \infty) - c_{\infty}(v)K(P, \infty)$$
$$= (m - c_{\infty}(v))K(P, \infty)$$

for any $P \in E$. Hence by a result of Doob [10, p. 213], *E* is minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$, which is the statement of (I).

Proof of Theorem 2 First of all we remark that

(4.3)
$$\int_{E} \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^{n}} = \int_{E_{-1}} \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^{n}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{E_{k}} \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^{n}} \le |E_{-1}| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-kn} |E_{k}|,$$

where E_{-1} is the set in (4.2) and $|E_k|$ is the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure of E_k . We have from (3.4)

$$A_7\delta(P) \leq rf_\Omega(\Theta),$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$, where A_7 is a positive constant, hence

$$\sigma_{\Omega}(E_k) = \int_{E_k} \left(\frac{K(P,\infty)}{\delta(P)}\right)^2 dP \ge A_7^2 \int_{E_k} \left(\frac{r^{\alpha_{\Omega}} f_{\Omega}(\Theta)}{r f_{\Omega}(\Theta)}\right)^2 dP$$
$$= A_7^2 \int_{E_k} r^{2\alpha_{\Omega}-2} dP \ge 2^{-2} A_7^2 \int_{E_k} 2^{k(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} dP$$
$$= 2^{-2} A_7^2 2^{k(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} |E_k|.$$

By using Lemma 1, we obtain

(4.4)
$$\gamma_{\Omega}(E_k) \ge M_1^{-1} \sigma_{\Omega}(E_k) \ge A_8 2^{k(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} |E_k|,$$

where A_8 is a positive constant.

If *E* is minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$, then from Theorem 1, (4.3) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{split} \int_E \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^n} &\leq |E_{-1}| + \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{k(2\alpha_\Omega - 2)} |E_k| 2^{-k(\alpha_\Omega + \beta_\Omega)} \\ &\leq |E_{-1}| + A_8^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \gamma_\Omega(E_k) 2^{-k(\alpha_\Omega + \beta_\Omega)} < \infty, \end{split}$$

which is the conclusion of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3 Let $\{W_j\}$ be a family of cubes from the Whitney cubes of $C_n(\Omega)$ such that $E = \bigcup_j W_j$. Let $\{W_{k,j}\}$ be a subfamily of $\{W_j\}$ such that $W_{k,j} \subset (E_{k-1} \cup E_k \cup E_{k+1})$ (k = 1, 2, ...).

Since γ_{Ω} is a countably subadditive set function (Essén and Jackson [11, Lemma 2.1]), we have

(4.5)
$$\gamma_{\Omega}(E_k) \leq \sum_j \gamma_{\Omega}(W_{k,j}) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence we see from Lemma 2

(4.6)
$$\sum_{j} \gamma_{\Omega}(W_{k,j}) \leq M_2 \sum_{j} \sigma_{\Omega}(W_{k,j}) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Since we see from (3.4)

$$rf_{\Omega}(\Theta) \leq A_9\delta(P)$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in C_n(\Omega)$, where A_9 is a positive constant, we have

$$(4.7) \sum_{j} \sigma_{\Omega}(W_{k,j}) \leq A_{9}^{2} \left\{ \int_{E_{k-1}} r^{2(\alpha_{\Omega}-1)} dP + \int_{E_{k}} r^{2(\alpha_{\Omega}-1)} dP + \int_{E_{k+1}} r^{2(\alpha_{\Omega}-1)} dP \right\}$$
$$\leq A_{10} \{ 2^{(k-1)(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} |E_{k-1}| + 2^{k(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} |E_{k}| + 2^{(k+1)(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} |E_{k+1}| \}$$
$$(k = 1, 2, ...),$$

where A_{10} is a positive constant. Thus (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) give

$$\gamma_{\Omega}(E_k) \le M_2 \cdot A_{10} \{ 2^{(k-1)(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} | E_{k-1} | + 2^{k(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} | E_k | + 2^{(k+1)(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} | E_{k+1} | \}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ Finally we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{\Omega}(E_k) 2^{-k(\alpha_{\Omega}+\beta_{\Omega})} &\leq \gamma_{\Omega}(E_0) + A_{11} \cdot 2^{-2n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k(2\alpha_{\Omega}-2)} |E_k| 2^{-k(\alpha_{\Omega}+\beta_{\Omega})} \\ &\leq \gamma_{\Omega}(E_0) + A_{11} \int_E \frac{dP}{(1+|P|)^n} < \infty, \end{split}$$

where A_{11} is a positive constant, which shows with Theorem 1 that *E* is minimally thin at ∞ with respect to $C_n(\Omega)$.

References

- [1] H. Aikawa, Quasiadditivity of Riesz capacity. Math. Scand. 69(1991), 15–30.
- [2] _____, Quasiadditivity of capacity and minimal thinness. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Ser. AI 18(1993), 65–75.
- [3] H. Aikawa and M. Essén, *Potential Theory-Selected Topics*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1633, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [4] A. Ancona, On strong barriers and an inequality of Hardy for domains in Rⁿ. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 34(1986), 274–290.
- [5] V. S. Azarin, Generalization of a theorem of Hayman on subharmonic functions in an m-dimensional cone. Mat. Sb. 66 (108)(1965), 248–264; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 80(1969), 119–138.
- [6] A. Beurling, A minimum principle for positive harmonic functions. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI. Math. 372, 1965.
- [7] M. Brelot, *On topologies and boundaries in potential theory*. Lecture Notes in Math. **175**, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [8] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics*. 1st English edition, Interscience, New York, 1954.
- B. E. J. Dahlberg, A minimum principle for positive harmonic functions. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 33(1976), 238–250.
- [10] J. I. Doob, Classical potential theory and its probabilistic counterpart. Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [11] M. Essén and H. L. Jackson, On the covering properties of certain exceptional sets in a half-space. Hiroshima Math. J. 10(1980), 233–262.
- [12] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*.
- Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.
- [13] L. L. Helms, Introduction to potential theory. Wiley, New York, 1969.

- [14] J. L. Lewis, Uniformly fat sets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 308(1988), 177–196.
- [15] I. Miyamoto and H. Yoshida, Two criteria of Wiener type for minimally thin sets and rarefied sets in a cone. J. Math. Soc. Japan, to appear.
- P. Sjögren, Une propriété des fonctions harmoniques positives d'après Dahlberg. In: Séminaire de théorie du potentiel, Lecture Notes in Math. 563, Springer, Berlin, 1976, 275–282.
- [17] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*. Princeton University Press, 1970.

Department of Mathematics and Informatics Faculty of Science Chiba University 1-33 Yayoi-cho, Inage-ku Chiba 263-8522 Japan email: miyamoto@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp myanagis@g.math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp

yoshida@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp