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## 1. Introduction

We consider linear recursive sequences of integers not all zero such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+k}=a_{1} u_{n+k-1}+\cdots+a_{k} u_{n}, \quad \text { for } n=0,1,2, \cdots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{j}$ are rational integers.
If

$$
X^{k}-a_{1} X^{k-1}-\cdots-a_{k-1} X-a_{k}=\prod_{j=1}^{h}\left(X-\omega_{j}\right)^{r j}
$$

is the decomposition of the associated polynomial $P,\left|\omega_{1}\right| \geqq \cdots \geqq\left|\omega_{h}\right|$, it is well-known that $u_{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{h} P_{j}(n) \omega_{j}^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $<r_{j}$, with coefficients in $Q\left(\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{h}\right)$.
We recall first a theorem of Mahler (1969).
Theorem $A$. Suppose that the $u_{n}$ are given by (1), where

$$
k=2, a_{1}^{2}+4 a_{2}<0, a_{2} \leqq-2,\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=1
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be an arbitrary constant. Then, as soon as $n$ is sufficiently large,

$$
\left|u_{n}\right| \geqq\left|\omega_{1}\right|^{(1-\varepsilon) n}
$$

Our aim is to prove the following result.
Theorem 1. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of integers satisfying (1). Suppose that $P$ has at most 3 roots of greatest modulus and that these roots $\omega_{1}, \cdots, \omega_{l}$ are
simple. Then, there exist $n_{0}$ and $c$, which are calculable, such that, for $n \geqq n_{0}$, we have

$$
\left|u_{n}\right| \geqq\left|\omega_{1}\right|^{n^{-c}} \text { if } v_{n}=P_{1} \omega_{1}^{n}+\cdots+P_{l} \omega_{l}^{n} \neq 0, \quad l \leqq 3
$$

(The polynomials $P_{1}, \cdots, P_{l}$ are constant.)
It is clear that this result is nearly the best possible. It seems to be difficult to extend this theorem to the general case.

## 2. A lemma

Lemma. Let $x_{n}$ be defined by

$$
x_{n}=b+b_{1} y_{1}^{n}+\bar{b}_{1} \tilde{y}_{1}^{n}
$$

where $b_{1}, y_{1}$ are algebraic numbers, $\left|y_{1}\right|=1, b=0$ or 1 . Then, there exists calculable $n_{0}$ and $C$ such that, for $n \geqq n_{0}$, the following implication holds

$$
x_{n} \neq 0 \Rightarrow\left|x_{n}\right| \geqq n^{-c}
$$

Proof. Because of $\left|x_{n}\right| \geqq b-2\left|b_{1}\right|$, it suffices to consider the case $b$ $\leqq 2\left|b_{1}\right|$. Put

$$
b_{1}=\left|b_{1}\right| e^{i \psi}, y_{1}=e^{i \theta},\left|b / b_{1}\right|=-2 \cos \phi, \theta, \phi, \psi \in[-\pi, \pi[
$$

We have

$$
\left|x_{n}\right|=4\left|b_{1}\right|\left|\sin \frac{\psi+n \theta+\phi}{2} \sin \frac{\psi+n \theta-\phi}{2}\right|
$$

The inequality $\left|x_{n}\right| \leqq \eta$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sin \frac{\psi+n \theta+\phi}{2} \sin \frac{\psi+n \theta-\phi}{2}\right| \leqq \frac{\eta}{4\left|b_{1}\right|} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\phi \neq 0$, (3) leads to an inequality of the form

$$
|n \theta+m \pi \pm \phi+\psi| \leqq c_{1} \eta, m \in \mathbb{Z},|m| \leqq n, \text { if } \eta<\eta_{0}
$$

whereas, if $\phi=0$, it implies

$$
|n \theta+m \pi+\psi| \leqq c_{2} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text { if } \eta<\eta_{1}
$$

In both cases, for $x_{n} \neq 0$, we get

$$
0<|n \theta+m \pi+\psi \pm \phi| \leqq c \eta^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text { if } \eta<\eta_{2}
$$

Here $i \theta$, $i \pi, i \phi, i \psi$ are values of logartithms of algebraic numbers and the conclusion follows from Baker's theorem (1972):

Theorem. Let $\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k}$ be fixed algebraic numbers. There exists a calculable constant $C_{0}$, such that for $0<\delta<\frac{1}{2}$, the inequalities

$$
0<\left|b_{1} \log \beta_{1}+\cdots+b_{k-1} \log \beta_{k-1}-\log \beta_{k}\right|<\delta^{C_{0}} e^{-\delta B}
$$

have no integer solutions $b_{1}, \cdots, b_{k-1}$, with $\max \left|b_{i}\right| \leqq B$.
Here, for $B>2 C_{0}$, we choose $\delta=C_{0} / B$, thus, if $\left|b_{1} \log \beta_{1}+\cdots\right| \neq 0$,

$$
\left|b_{1} \log \beta_{1}+\cdots\right|>\left(\frac{C_{0}}{e}\right)^{c_{0}} B^{-c_{0}}
$$

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

We may write

$$
\left|v_{n}\right|=a\left|\omega_{1}^{n} x_{n}\right|
$$

where $x_{n}$ verifies the hypothesis of the lemma. The conclusion follows at once from the lemma (use (2)).
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