rotation. Many trainees will not wish to move house
at the mid-point of the scheme but may commute to
their posts by train. If their posts require the use of a
car, the provision of 'Crown' or lease cars should be
considered.

Ideally, the administration of a scheme
should be done by a senior clinician
whose time is funded

There is no doubt that administering a large regional
scheme makes significant in-roads into the time of
the scheme organiser. We estimate that the organis-
ation of our scheme will take approximately four
sessions per week of consultant time and have
suggested that funding should be made available for
this. We believe that in a large regionally based
scheme decisions should not be taken by a large com-
mittee remote from the trainees in the absence of an
organiser actually having some detailed knowledge of
each trainee in the region. However, in keeping with
the general non-funding of postgraduate training in
hospital specialties, no funds have as yet been identi-
fied for this particular function although a consultant
organiser's travel expenses will be reimbursed by the
region. There will need to be regular meetings between
participating district consultants in the regional
scheme and the scheme organiser in order to sort out
any problems arising from the implementation of
this scheme and in addition, meetings with trainees to
ensure that their educational needs are being met.
This should not supplant the work carried out by
individual district clinical tutors.

Finally, there is no doubt that administering such
a scheme will also require a consumables budget, e.g.
paper, photocopying, telephone calls, etc., and
allowance must be made for these expenses, as well as
for secretarial/administration time. Perhaps the new
arrangements planned by the Department of Health
will provide adequate funding for these activities for
the first time.
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Psychological and psychiatric issues represent a
significant proportion of the workload of all doctors.
Nonetheless junior doctors often have an unsatisfac-
tory knowledge of psychiatry. Previous studies have
examined how hospital doctors say they manage
psychological problems (Mayou & Smith, 1986),
and attitudinal factors among medical students
(Wilkinson et al, 1983).

In Nottingham, medical students undertake an
integrated course with a strong emphasis on the
behavioural sciences, and on the psychological
aspects of medicine, surgery, obstetrics and
child health. It was therefore hypothesised that
Nottingham students would be familiar with some
basic psychological and psychiatric issues before
they began their psychiatric clerkship. Similarly, the
volume of specific knowledge that needs to be learnt during the psychiatry attachment may lead to skills relevant to a nonpsychiatrist being overlooked. It was hypothesised that our students' previous experience would enable them to assimilate these more general applications of psychiatry to a good standard and retain them until after qualification.

The study

Students completed a questionnaire at the beginning of their eight week attachment; at the end of their formal teaching in week seven (i.e. one week before their end-of-attachment examination); and during their first month as house officers. They were not informed at each completion that there would be further questionnaires.

The questionnaire was designed to cover areas of psychiatry relevant to doctors practising in a general medical or general practical setting. It included items about the detection and assessment of depression, alcoholism and deliberate self harm; the prevalence rates of common psychiatric conditions; common misconceptions about psychiatric illness; and attitudes towards the attachment and towards ECT.

Findings

Of the students, 244 (50.8% male) in 11 consecutive clerkships completed the questionnaires at the beginning and end of the clerkship, but missing data reduced the number of complete pairs of forms to 191 (78% of total). One hundred and twenty-three students, comprising one complete year, were circulated with the questionnaire during their first month as a house officer but due to the low response rate (N = 31, 25%) results were not analysed.

The mean score at the beginning of the attachment was 12.8 (s.d. ± 2.8) increasing significantly by the end to 17.3 (s.d. ± 2.3) (P < 0.0001). Maximum possible score was 22.

Individual items

Only 43% initially scored two or three when asked to list three features of suicidal intent, in spite of their experience of relevant teaching before the attachment on medical firms: by the second questionnaire this had risen to 86%. Five per cent initially suggested that a history of alcohol abuse should be sought after an overdose, rising to 35% by the end.

Initially students' assessment of the alcoholic patient included 66% listing three or four ways (out of four requested) that alcoholics may present to a GP; and 55% knowing to ask the patient how much he drinks. These rates improved over the attachment, but at the second assessment still only 70% would ask the patient how much he drank when assessing the extent of his abuse.

Initially 44% scored three or four out of four on recognising hidden depression, and 32% knew the name of a 'firstline' tricyclic antidepressant. These figures rose to 60% and 96% at the end. However, of those who knew a antidepressant at the second assessment, only 60% quoted a dose in the range 125-200 mg/day for full dosage.

Of the five questions on common misconceptions, four were answered correctly by over 70% of students. They answered less well on the question 'mental illness is common among the mentally handicapped', correct answers rising from 24% to 69% over the attachment (P < 0.0001).

Students were surprisingly accurate about the lifetime prevalence of common psychiatric conditions before they started their attachment and this accuracy improved in six out of seven of the conditions enquired about. However, concerning suicide ('correct answer' 1%), there was underestimating which persisted to the end of the attachment. The mode was 0.2% and median 0.5% before and after, though at the end of the attachment there was some shift towards the higher rate; 16% and 25% respectively estimated correctly (a significant improvement, P < 0.02).

Initially 58% would have ECT themselves if severely depressed. The rate increased to 95% at the end of the attachment (P < 0.0001).

At the beginning 16% thought the psychiatry attachment was not long enough and this rose to 34% at the end of the attachment (P < 0.0001).

Associations with responses

Very few sex differences were evident. In particular there were no differences in overall scores, career choice, or attitude to ECT.

Examination results correlated with initial questionnaire score (Spearman's r = 0.18, P < 0.005) and final questionnaire score (Spearman's r = 0.31, P < 0.001) but not with improvement over the attachment. Grades for personal effectiveness (a measure of how hard they try and how good they are with patients) also did not correlate with improvement.

The four students who failed the attachment showed only slightly lower questionnaire scores than the group as a whole (mean scores of 11.5 initially, 14.3 at the end: sample means 12.8 and 17.3).

Career choice

Nine students (4.1%) indicated an intention to choose psychiatry at the start of the attachment (three in combination with another area of medicine) and 21 (9.8%) afterwards (nine in combination). This increase was significant (P < 0.0005). The intention to become a psychiatrist was found in more high scorers initially (P < 0.0001) but this relationship was lost by the end of the attachment.
Comment

The intention of the questionnaire was to measure something other than the straightforward knowledge often tested by examinations. Written responses, however, may not be a good way of assessing psychiatric knowledge that would be used in medical or surgical settings—perhaps a practical test would be more valid (though not feasible in practice).

The absolute level of knowledge particularly at the beginning of the attachment seemed good (mean score 12.8/22). The correlations of initial and final scores with examination grades, although statistically significant, were small only in absolute terms. A confounding factor could be that the questionnaires gave the students the impression that we were most interested in their learning “GP psychiatry”. This is probably an accurate reflection of the aims of the teaching, and the examination at the end of the attachment. In particular, students may specifically try to learn the answers to our questionnaires with a view to doing well in the examination, even though they were not expecting a second administration of the questionnaire.

The second administration of the questionnaire was a full week before the students sat their end of attachment examination. As most students revise hard in the few days before the examination, this may give a better idea of the level of knowledge likely to be retained for future use than the examination itself.

In general, students’ attitudes to psychiatry seem moderately favourable when they start the attachment and improve during the eight weeks. Two features of the questionnaire support this: firstly the increase in the acceptance of ECT as a valid treatment, and secondly the rate of interest in a psychiatric career, which rose from 4.1% to 9.8% over the seven weeks. (The actual proportion of hospital doctors in psychiatry is 11.8%, and of consultants 13.2%. Psychiatrists make up 6.5% of all doctors (i.e. including GPs) (Department of Health, 1989)). In a recent survey of career choice among pre-registration house officers, Nottingham Medical School produced the greatest proportion of respondents indicating psychiatry as their first choice career—8.2% as against an overall rate of 4.1% (Ellin et al, 1986).

It would be valuable to compare these results with studies at other medical schools, especially those using a less integrated approach, to elucidate further the effectiveness of teaching psychiatric issues outside the psychiatric clerkship itself.

Note

The questionnaire used is available on request from the authors.
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