

A Hopf Type Lemma and a CR Type Inversion for the Generalized Greiner Operator

Niu Pengcheng, Han Yanwu and Han Junqiang

Abstract. In this paper we establish a Hopf type lemma and a CR type inversion for the generalized Greiner operator. Some nonlinear Liouville type results are given.

1 Introduction

Our aim in this paper is to consider some properties associated with generalized Greiner operators

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta_L = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^2 + Y_j^2),$$

where

$$X_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + 2ky_j |z|^{2k-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad Y_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - 2kx_j |z|^{2k-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t},$$

$j = 1, \dots, n$, $x, y \in R^n$, $t \in R$, $z = x + \sqrt{-1}y$, $|z| = [\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j^2 + y_j^2)]^{1/2}$, $k \geq 1$. When $k = 1$, (1.1) becomes the Heisenberg Laplacian (see Folland [7]); when $k = 2, 3, \dots$, (1.1) is the Greiner operator (see [10]). As is well known, if $k > 1$, then the vector fields X_j, Y_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) do not satisfy the left translation invariance and, if $k \neq 1, 2, 3, \dots$, they do not meet the Hörmander condition (see [11]).

Beals, Gaveau and Greiner [1] constructed an explicit fundamental solution for a class of subelliptic operators containing the operators (1.1) as a particular case. Recently, Zhang, Niu and Luo in [14] obtained the Hardy type inequality and the Pohozaev type identity of Δ_L .

For any second order partial differential operator

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

Received by the editors November 28, 2002.

This work supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China and Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province

AMS subject classification: 35H20.

Keywords: Hopf type lemma, CR inversion, Liouville type theorem, generalized Greiner operator.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2004.

with $(a_{ij}(x))$ a positive semi-definite matrix, the weak Maximum Principle is true (see [13]). If the operator is in divergence form and is generated by vector fields satisfying the Hörmander condition, then the Strong Maximum Principle holds (see [6]). In [4], a Hopf type lemma for the Heisenberg Laplacian was proved. We will establish a similar result for the operator (1.1).

The CR inversion associated with the Heisenberg Laplacian was introduced by Jerison and Lee; see [12, 5]. We will develop the analogue for the operator (1.1).

Let us now describe the contents of the paper. In Section 2 we collect various facts that are used subsequently. In Section 3 we establish the Hopf type lemma for the operator Δ_L . The key ingredient in the proof of the result is the quasi distance defined in Section 2. It allows us to take an effective auxiliary function. Section 4 contains the CR type transform for the operator (1.1). Clearly it plays the role of the “Kelvin transform”. In Section 5 we consider some Liouville type results for nonnegative solutions of semilinear equations of the form

$$\Delta_L u + f(\xi, u) \leq 0.$$

These results generalize those of [2, 3] in the Heisenberg Laplacian setting.

2 Preliminary Facts

This section is devoted to giving some known facts (see [14]) about the operator Δ_L and the family of vector fields $\{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ which will be useful later on.

Denote the generalized gradient by $\nabla_L = \{X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$. Let us denote by δ_λ the natural dilations in R^{2n+1} , *i.e.*,

$$(2.1) \quad \delta_\lambda(\xi) = (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda^{2k} t), \lambda > 0.$$

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a symmetrical matrix, where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n; \\ a_{2n+1, j} &= 2ky_j |z|^{2k-2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \\ a_{2n+1, n+j} &= -2kx_j |z|^{2k-2}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n; \\ a_{2n+1, 2n+1} &= 4k^2 |z|^{4k-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easy to observe that

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta_L = \operatorname{div}(A\nabla),$$

where div and ∇ are the usual divergence and gradient in R^{2n+1} respectively.

Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 & 2ky|z|^{2k-2} \\ 0 & I_n & -2kx|z|^{2k-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_n is the identity matrix in R^n . Obviously one has $A = \sigma^T \sigma$ and then

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_L = \operatorname{div}(\sigma^T \sigma \nabla).$$

The homogeneous dimension with respect to the dilations (2.1) is

$$Q = 2n + 2k.$$

Define a quasi distance between two points ξ, η in R^{2n+1} by setting

$$(2.4) \quad d(\xi, \eta) = [|z|^{4k} + |z'|^{4k} + (t - t')^2]^{\frac{1}{4k}},$$

where $\xi = (z, t), \eta = (z', t') \in R^{2n+1}$. Clearly in this definition the quasi ball centered at ξ with radius R is denoted by

$$(2.5) \quad B_L(\xi, R) = \{\eta \in R^{2n+1} : d(\xi, \eta) < R\}.$$

Note that for $R > 0$ sufficiently large, if $B(0, R)$ is the Euclidean ball of radius R centered at the origin, then

$$(2.6) \quad B(0, R) \subset B_L(0, R) \subset B(0, R^2).$$

We can now state some useful properties concerning the operator Δ_L . One verifies directly that

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_L = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} + 4ky_j|z|^{2k-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial t} - 4kx_j|z|^{2k-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j \partial t} \right) + 4k^2|z|^{4k-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}.$$

A routine calculation shows that the operator Δ_L is homogeneous of degree 2 with respect to the dilations δ_λ defined in (2.1), namely $\Delta_L(\delta_\lambda) = \lambda^2 \delta_\lambda(\Delta_L)$. For u , a smooth function depending only on $\rho = |\xi|_L = d(\xi, 0)$, one obtains

$$\Delta_L u(\rho) = \psi \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{Q-1}{\rho} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \rho} \right),$$

where $\psi = |z|^{4k-2} / \rho^{4k-2}$. Clearly $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$. If u is a smooth function of $d = d(\xi, \eta)$, then we have

$$(2.8) \quad \Delta_L u(d) = u'(d) \Delta_L d + u''(d) |\nabla_L d|^2.$$

The following is an important Gauss-Green formula:

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} \Delta_L u \cdot v \, d\xi = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_L u \cdot \nabla_L v \, d\xi + \int_{\partial\Omega} v A \nabla u \cdot \vec{\nu} \, d\sigma = - \int_{\Omega} \nabla_L u \cdot \nabla_L v \, d\xi + \int_{\partial\Omega} v \nabla_L u \cdot \nu_L \, d\xi,$$

where $\vec{\nu}$ is the exterior normal to $\partial\Omega$ and $\nu_L(\xi) = \sigma(\xi) \nu(\xi)$.

3 A Hopf Type Lemma

In this section we want to examine a version of Hopf lemma for the operator Δ_L . Let us start with the following definition which is a natural generalization of interior sphere condition concepts in the Euclidean space and in the Heisenberg group, respectively.

Definition 3.1 Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ be a connected open set. Then Ω satisfies the interior Greiner's sphere condition at $\xi_0 \in \partial\Omega$ if there exist a constant $R > 0$ and $\eta \in \Omega$ such that the quasi-ball $B_L(\eta, R) \subset \Omega$ and $\xi_0 \in \partial B_L(\xi, R)$.

Lemma 3.1 Let Ω be a bounded smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} possessing the interior Greiner's sphere condition at $\xi_0 \in \partial\Omega$. If

- (1) $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ and is continuous in ξ_0 ,
- (2) $-\Delta_L u + cu \geq 0$ in Ω , where c is bounded in Ω ,
- (3) $u(\xi) > u(\xi_0) = 0$ for $\xi \in B_L(\xi_0, R) \cap \Omega$ for some $R > 0$,

then, for any \bar{n} exterior direction to $\partial\Omega$ at ξ_0 , we have

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{u(\xi_0) - u(\xi_0 - h\bar{n})}{h} < 0$$

and if it exists, it holds

$$\frac{\partial u(\xi_0)}{\partial \bar{n}} < 0.$$

Moreover $A\nabla u(\xi_0) \cdot \bar{v}(\xi_0) < 0$ where \bar{v} , the exterior normal to $\partial\Omega$ at ξ_0 , is not in the direction of the t -axis.

Proof Let $\xi = (z, t) = (x, y, t) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, t)$, $\eta = (z', t') = (x', y', t') = (x'_1, \dots, x'_n, y'_1, \dots, y'_n, t')$ and $R > 0$ as in the Definition 3.1. Let $d = d(\xi, \eta) = [|z|^{4k} + |z'|^{4k} + (t - t')^2]^{\frac{1}{4k}}$. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad |\nabla_L d|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^n (|X_j d|^2 + |Y_j d|^2) \\ &= d^{2-8k} |z|^{4k-2} [|z|^{4k} + (t - t')^2], \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_L d = (1 - 4k)d^{1-8k} |z|^{4k-2} [|z|^{4k} + (t - t')^2] + 2(n + 3k - 1)d^{1-4k} |z|^{4k-2}.$$

We set

$$(3.3) \quad v(d) = e^{-aR^2} - e^{-ad^2}, \quad \text{for } 0 < \rho < d < R,$$

and claim the existence of $m > 0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad -\Delta_L v - 4m(x_1 - x'_1)X_1 v \geq 0$$

for a sufficiently large. Indeed, in view of

$$v'(d) = 2ade^{-ad^2},$$

$$v''(d) = (2a - 4ad^2)e^{-ad^2},$$

we have from (3.1) and (3.2),

$$(3.5) \quad -\Delta_L v - 4m(x_1 - x'_1)X_1 v = -v''(d)|\nabla_L d|^2 - v'(d)\Delta_L d - 4m(x_1 - x'_1)X_1 v$$

$$= 2ae^{-ad^2} [2ad^2|\nabla_L d|^2 - |\nabla_L d|^2 - d\Delta_L d - 4m(x_1 - x'_1)X_1 d]$$

$$= 2ae^{-ad^2} \left\{ 2ad^2|\nabla_L d|^2 + (4k - 2)d^{2-8k}|z|^{4k-2}[|z|^{4k} + (t - t')^2] \right.$$

$$\quad - d^{2-4k}|z|^{4k-2}[2n + 6k - 2 + 4m(x_1 - x'_1)x_1]$$

$$\quad \left. - 4md^{2-4k}|z|^{2k-2}(x_1 - x'_1)(t - t')y_1 \right\}.$$

First case: $|\nabla_L d|^2 > 0$. Clearly (3.4) follows from (3.5) for a sufficiently large.

Second case: $|\nabla_L d|^2 = 0$. We get that $|z| = 0$ from (3.1) and the right hand side of (3.5) becomes zero. Consequently the claim (3.4) is concluded.

Let $\xi_0 \in \partial B_L(\eta, R)$ and \vec{n} be an exterior direction to $\partial\Omega$ at ξ_0 . Define an auxiliary function

$$(3.6) \quad w = e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} u, \text{ for } m > 0.$$

Then the following inequality holds:

$$(3.7) \quad -\Delta_L w - 4m(x_1 - x'_1)X_1 w \geq 0.$$

In fact, it is easy to check that

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1^2} = e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} \left[4m^2(x_1 - x'_1)^2 u - 2mu - 4m(x_1 - x'_1) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} \right],$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_j^2} = e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y_j^2} = e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y_j^2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1 \partial t} = e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} \left[-2m(x_1 - x'_1) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial t} \right],$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_j \partial t} = e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial t}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y_j \partial t} = e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y_j \partial t}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then one infers that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_L w + 4m(x_1 - x'_1)X_1 w &= e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} [-4m^2(x_1 - x'_1)^2 u + \Delta_L u - 2mu] \\ &\leq e^{-m(x_1 - x'_1)^2} (\Delta_L u - 2mu). \end{aligned}$$

The claim (3.7) is proved from the condition (2).
If we show that

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{\partial w(\xi_0)}{\partial n} < 0,$$

then $\frac{\partial w(\xi_0)}{\partial n} = e^{-m(x_0)_1 - x'_1)^2} \frac{\partial u(\xi_0)}{\partial n}$ gives the first statement of the Lemma.
In light of (3.4) and (3.8), we have

$$(3.10) \quad -\Delta_L(w + \epsilon v) - 4m(x_1 - x'_1)X_1(w + \epsilon v) \geq 0, \text{ in } B_L(\eta, R) \setminus B_L(\eta, \rho)$$

and $w + \epsilon v \geq 0$, on $\partial B_L(\eta, R)$. Furthermore, for ϵ sufficiently small, $w + \epsilon v \geq 0$, on $\partial B_L(\eta, \rho)$. Thus, from the weak maximum principle (see[10]), we deduce that

$$(3.11) \quad w + \epsilon v \geq 0, \text{ in } B_L(\eta, R) \setminus B_L(\eta, \rho).$$

Now note that $w(\xi_0) = -\epsilon v(\xi_0) = 0$. Furthermore, for any $\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v} > 0$ and for small $h > 0$, $w(\xi_0 - h\vec{n}) \geq -\epsilon v(\xi_0 - h\vec{n})$. Using the fact that v'_d is strictly positive, (3.9) is concluded. If \vec{v} is not in the t-axis direction, then

$$A(\xi_0)\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} = \sigma(\xi_0)^T \sigma(\xi_0)\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} = \sigma(\xi_0)\vec{v} \cdot \sigma(\xi_0)\vec{v} > 0.$$

This implies that $A\vec{v}$ is an exterior direction at ξ_0 and then

$$A(\xi_0)\nabla u(\xi_0) \cdot \vec{v}(\xi_0) < 0.$$

The proof of the lemma is completed. ■

Based on Lemma 3.1, we give the following Strong Maximum Principle, whose proof is similar to one in elliptic context (see Gilbang-Trudinger [9] or Garofalo-Vassilev [8]).

Theorem 3.1 *Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying $\Delta_L u \leq 0$ ($\Delta_L u \geq 0$). If u is not a constant identically, then u can not have a nonpositive minimum (nonnegative maximum) at a point in Ω .*

Corollary 3.1 *If $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying $\Delta_L u = 0$ and u is not a constant identically, then throughout Ω ,*

$$\min_{\partial\Omega} u < u(\xi) < \max_{\partial\Omega} u, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \Omega.$$

4 A CR Type Inversion

A function u is said to be cylindrical in R^{2n+1} with respect to the operator Δ_L , if for any $(x, y, t) \in R^n \times R^n \times R$, it has $u(x, y, t) = u(r, t)$ with $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.

We define the CR type inversion of a regular function $u(x, y, t)$ in R^{2n+1} to be

$$(4.1) \quad v(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} u(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{t})$$

with $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$ and $\tilde{y} = (\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_n)$, where

$$\tilde{x}_i = \frac{x_i t + |z|^{2k} y_i}{\rho^{2k+2}}, \tilde{y}_i = \frac{y_i t - |z|^{2k} x_i}{\rho^{2k+2}}, \tilde{t} = -\frac{t}{\rho^{4k}}.$$

Note that v is a regular function in $R^{2n+1} \setminus \{0\}$. Denote $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ in the sequel.

Theorem 4.1 Let $u(x, y, t)$ be a solution of

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta_L u(x, y, t) = f(x, y, t).$$

Then v defined by (4.1) satisfies

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_L v(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}} f(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{t}).$$

Proof Since

$$\tilde{r} = \sqrt{\tilde{x}^2 + \tilde{y}^2} = \frac{r}{\rho^2}, \tilde{\rho} = (|\tilde{z}|^{4k} + \tilde{t}^2)^{\frac{1}{4k}} = \frac{1}{\rho},$$

we know that if u is cylindrical, then so is v . For the sake of simplicity we will prove (4.3) only for cylindrical functions.

A short computation gives the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial r} &= \frac{r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{4k-1}}; & \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} &= \frac{t}{2k\rho^{4k-1}}; \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{r}}{\partial r} &= \frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}}; & \frac{\partial \tilde{r}}{\partial t} &= \frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}}; \\ \frac{\partial \tilde{t}}{\partial r} &= \frac{4kt r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}}; & \frac{\partial \tilde{t}}{\partial t} &= \frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}}; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \right) &= \frac{(2-Q)r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}}; & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \right) &= \frac{(2-Q)t}{2k\rho^{Q+4k-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v(r, t) = \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} u(\tilde{r}, \tilde{t})$ satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = \frac{(2-Q)r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} u + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{r}} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{t}} \left(\frac{4kt r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right]$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.5) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{(2-Q)r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \right) u \\
 &+ \frac{2(2-Q)r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{t}} \left(\frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{Q+4k}} \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{r}^2} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{r} \partial \bar{t}} \left(\frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+8k-2}} \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t}^2} \left(\frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t} \partial \bar{r}} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

One easily infers

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \frac{(2-Q)t}{2k\rho^{Q+4k-2}} u + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{t}} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right]$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.6) \quad \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{(2-Q)t}{2k\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \right) u \\
 &+ \frac{(2-Q)t}{k\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{t}} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{-tr}{k\rho^{Q+4k}} \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{r}^2} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{r} \partial \bar{t}} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{Q+8k-2}} \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t}^2} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t} \partial \bar{r}} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) \right] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

It is evident to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{(2-Q)r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \right) &= \frac{(2-Q)r^{4k-2}}{\rho^{Q+8k-2}} [(4k-1)\rho^{4k} - (Q+4k-2)r^{4k}], \\
 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{(2-Q)t}{2k\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \right) &= \frac{2-Q}{2k} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} - \frac{(Q+4k-2)t^2}{2k\rho^{Q+8k-2}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right) &= -\frac{4kr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{4k+2}} - \frac{(4k+2)r^{4k-1}(t^2 - r^{4k})}{\rho^{8k+2}}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) &= 4ktr^{4k-2} \left(\frac{4k-1}{\rho^{8k}} - \frac{8kr^{4k}}{\rho^{12k}} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) &= \frac{r}{k} \left(\frac{(2k+1)t^2}{k\rho^{8k+2}} - \frac{1}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) &= \frac{2t}{\rho^{8k}} - \frac{4t(t^2 - r^{4k})}{\rho^{12k}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.7), we obtain readily

$$\Delta_L v(r, t) = \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} + 4k^2 r^{4k-2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial t^2}.$$

Consequently, from (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta_L v(r, t) = a_0 u + a_1 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + a_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{r} \partial \bar{t}} + a_3 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t}^2} + b_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} + b_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{t}},$$

where a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, b_1 and b_2 are the coefficients to be determined. Using the previous computations, we deduce that the coefficients satisfy the following:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{(2-Q)r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \right) + \frac{2n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{(2-Q)r^{4k-2}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} + 4k^2 r^{4k-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{(2-Q)t}{2k\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \right) \\ &= 0, \\ a_1 &= \frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{Q+4k}} \cdot \frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} + 4k^2 r^{4k-2} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{Q+4k}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) = \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}}, \\ a_2 &= \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{Q+4k}} \right) \frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} + \frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+8k-2}} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right) \\ &\quad + 4k^2 r^{4k-2} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{Q+4k}} \right) \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) + 4k^2 r^{4k-2} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{Q+8k-2}} \right) \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) \\ &= 0, \\ a_3 &= \frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+8k-2}} \cdot \frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} + 4k^2 r^{4k-2} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{Q+8k-2}} \right) \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) = \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}} \cdot \frac{4k^2 r^{4k-2}}{\rho^{8k-4}}, \\ b_1 &= \frac{2(2-Q)r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \cdot \frac{t^2 - r^2}{\rho^{4k+2}} + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{2n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \cdot \frac{t^2 - r^{4k}}{\rho^{4k+2}} \\ &\quad + 4k^2 r^{4k-2} \left[\frac{(2-Q)t}{k\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{-tr}{k\rho^{4k+2}} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}} \frac{(2n-1)\rho^2}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_2 &= \frac{2(2-Q)r^{4k-1}}{\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \cdot \frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{2n-1}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \cdot \frac{4ktr^{4k-1}}{\rho^{8k}} \\
 &\quad + 4k^2r^{4k-2} \left[\frac{(2-Q)t}{k\rho^{Q+4k-2}} \cdot \frac{t^2-r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{t^2-r^{4k}}{\rho^{8k}} \right) \right] \\
 &= 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying these to (4.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_L v(r, t) &= \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}} \cdot \frac{(2n-1)\rho^2}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}} \cdot \frac{4k^2r^{4k-2}}{\rho^{8k-4}} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t}^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{r}^2} + \frac{2n-1}{\bar{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{r}} + 4k^2\bar{r}^{4k-2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \bar{t}^2} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2}} \Delta_L u(\bar{r}, \bar{t})
 \end{aligned}$$

so the result is proved. ■

Remark 4.1 If a cylindrical function u satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_L u + u^p = 0 \text{ in } R^{2n+1},$$

then v , the CR inversion of u , which is given by

$$v(r, t) = \frac{1}{\rho^{Q-2}} u \left(\frac{r}{\rho^2}, -\frac{t}{\rho^4} \right)$$

satisfies the equation

$$\Delta_L v + \frac{1}{\rho^{Q+2-p(Q-2)}} v^p = 0 \text{ in } R^{2n+1} \setminus \{0\}.$$

5 Liouville Type Theorems

In this section we study the Liouville type behaviors of the operator (1.1) which were considered for positive solutions of superlinear equations associated to the sub-Laplacian on the Heisenberg group in [2, 3]. The following Theorem 5.1 is an application of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 5.1 Let $u \in C^2(R^{2n+1})$ be a nonnegative solution of

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta_L u + h(\xi)u^p \leq 0 \text{ in } R^{2n+1},$$

where $h(\xi) \in C(R^{2n+1})$ and $h(\xi) > K\psi|\xi|_L^\nu$ with $K > 0$, $|\xi|_L = d(\xi, 0)$ and $\nu > -2$. If $1 < p < \frac{Q+\nu}{Q-2}$, then $u \equiv 0$ in R^{2n+1} .

Proof We take a cut-off function $\phi_R(\rho) = \phi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)$, where $\rho = |\xi|_L$, $R > 0$ and ϕ satisfies:

- $\phi \in C^\infty[0, +\infty)$, $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$;
- $\phi \equiv 1$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and $\phi \equiv 0$ on $[1, +\infty)$;
- $-\frac{C}{R} \leq \frac{\partial\phi_R}{\partial\rho} \leq 0$ and $\left|\frac{\partial^2\phi_R}{\partial\rho^2}\right| \leq \frac{C}{R^2}$ for some constant $C > 0$.

Denote

$$(5.2) \quad I_R = \int_{R^{2n+1}} h(\xi)u^p \phi_R^q d\xi, \text{ with } \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

Observe that $I_R \geq 0$. Moreover, by using (5.1) and the assumptions on ϕ_R ,

$$I_R \leq - \int_{B_L(0,R)} \Delta_L u \phi_R^q d\xi.$$

Hence an integration by parts yields

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} I_R &\leq - \int_{\partial B_L(0,R)} \phi_R^q \nabla_L u \cdot \nu_L d\Sigma + \int_{B_L(0,R)} \nabla_L u \cdot \nabla_L \phi_R^q d\xi \\ &= - \int_{\partial B_L(0,R)} \phi_R^q \nabla_L u \cdot \nu_L d\Sigma + \int_{\partial B_L(0,R)} u \cdot \nabla_L \phi_R^q \cdot \nu_L d\Sigma - \int_{B_L(0,R)} u \Delta_L \phi_R^q d\xi \\ &= \int_{\partial B_L(0,R)} q u \phi_R^{q-1} \phi_R' \nabla_L \rho \cdot \nu_L d\Sigma - \int_{B_L(0,R)} u \Delta_L \phi_R^q d\xi \\ &= - \int_{B_L(0,R)} u \Delta_L \phi_R^q d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_L(\xi) = \sigma(\xi)\nu(\xi)$ and $\nu(\xi)$ is the normal to $\partial\Omega$, $d\Sigma$ denotes the $2n$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. On the other hand, in view of (2.7),

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_L \phi_R^q &= \psi \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi_R^q}{\partial \rho^2} + \frac{Q-1}{\rho} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi_R^q}{\partial \rho} \right) \\ &= \psi \left[q(q-1)\phi_R^{q-2}(\phi_R')^2 + q\phi_R^{q-1}\phi_R'' + \frac{Q-1}{\rho} \cdot q\phi_R^{q-1}\phi_R' \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get, using the assumptions on ϕ_R and denoting by $\Omega_R = B_L(0, R) \setminus B_L(0, \frac{R}{2})$,

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} I_R &\leq - \int_{\Omega_R} u \psi \left(q\phi_R^{q-1}\phi_R'' + \frac{Q-1}{\rho} \cdot q\phi_R^{q-1}\phi_R' \right) d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{\Omega_R} u \psi \phi_R^{q-1} d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R^2} \left[\int_{\Omega_R} \psi u^p \rho^\nu \phi_R^{(q-1)p} d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\int_{\Omega_R} \psi \rho^{-\frac{q}{p}\nu} d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Hölder inequality.

Picking $R > 0$ sufficiently large in Ω_R , and noting that h satisfy $h > K\psi|\xi|_L^\nu$, we have

$$(5.6) \quad I_R \leq C \left[\int_{\Omega_R} hu^p \phi_R^q d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} R^{\frac{Q}{p} - \frac{\nu}{p} - 2},$$

and this implies

$$(5.7) \quad I_R^{1 - \frac{1}{p}} \leq CR^{\frac{Q}{p} - \frac{\nu}{p} - 2}.$$

Hence, if $1 < p < \frac{Q+\nu}{Q-2}$, letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$(5.8) \quad I = \int_{R^{2n+1}} hu^p d\xi = 0.$$

This yields $u \equiv 0$ for ρ large, since h is strictly positive outside of a set of measure zero and u is a priori nonnegative.

The claim follows now by the Strong Maximum Principle (see Theorem 3.1). In fact, choose $\bar{R} > 0$ in such a way that, for $\rho \geq \bar{R}$, $h > 0$. Then $u \equiv 0$ on the complementary of $B_L(0, \bar{R})$, as we proved. Hence, u satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u &\geq 0, \Delta_L u \leq 0, \text{ in } B_L(0, \bar{R} + \delta), \\ u &\equiv 0, \text{ for } \bar{R} \leq \rho \leq \bar{R} + \delta, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, since u is not strictly positive, u has to be identically zero.

If $p = \frac{Q+\nu}{Q-2}$, then (5.7) implies that I is finite and that the right hand side of (5.5) tends to zero when R goes to infinity. This shows $I = 0$ and we conclude as above. ■

Our next Liouville-type results concern the case where D are half spaces. Let us start with the following:

Lemma 5.1 *Let $D \subset R^{2n+1}$ be a domain with smooth boundary ∂D . Assume that $\eta \in C^2(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ satisfies*

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{cases} \eta \geq 0, \Delta_L \eta \geq 0 & \text{in } D \\ \eta = 0, & \text{on } \partial D \end{cases}$$

and let $u \in C^2(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ be a solution of

$$(5.10) \quad u \geq 0, \Delta_L u + g(\xi)u^\alpha \leq 0 \text{ in } D, \alpha > 1$$

with $g > 0$ in D and $g \in C(\bar{D})$. If $\Omega_R = (B_L(0, R) \setminus B_L(0, \frac{R}{2})) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ for some $R > 0$, then

$$I_R = \int_D g u^\alpha \phi_R^\beta \eta^p d\xi, \quad p \geq 1,$$

with β such that $\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1$, satisfies the following estimate:

$$(5.11) \quad I_R \leq I_R^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \left\{ \frac{C}{R^2} \left[\int_{\Omega_R} \eta^p g^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}} + \frac{C}{R} \left[\int_{\Omega_R} \eta^{p-\beta} |\nabla_L \eta \cdot \nabla_L \rho|^\beta g^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}} d\xi \right]^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \right\}$$

Theorem 5.2 Let $D = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} : x_1 > 0\}$. For $\tau \geq 0$, $\varphi(\xi) \geq C|\xi|_L^\nu$ with $\nu > -1$ and $\varphi \in C(\bar{D})$. Assume one of the following conditions holds :

- (1) $\frac{Q + \nu - 1}{Q - 2} \leq \tau + 2$ and $1 < \alpha < \frac{Q + \nu - 1}{Q - 2}$;
- (2) $\frac{Q + \nu - 1}{Q - 2} > \tau + 2$ and $1 < \alpha \leq \frac{Q + \nu + \tau + 1}{Q - 1}$.

Then the only nonnegative solution $u \in C^2(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ of

$$(5.12) \quad \Delta_L u + x_1^\tau \varphi(\xi) u^\alpha \leq 0 \text{ in } D$$

is $u \equiv 0$.

Theorem 5.3 Let $D = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} : t > 0\}$. Assume $1 < \alpha < \frac{Q+2k+\nu}{Q+2k-2}$ and $\varphi(\xi) \geq C|\xi|_L^\nu$ with $\nu > -2$ and $\varphi \in C(\bar{D})$. Then the only nonnegative solution $u \in C^2(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ of

$$(5.13) \quad \Delta_L u + \varphi(\xi) u^\alpha \leq 0 \text{ in } D$$

is $u \equiv 0$.

Theorem 5.4 Let $D = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} : t > 0\}$. Assume one of the following hypotheses holds:

- (1) $n \geq 3$ and $1 < \alpha < \frac{Q - 2k}{Q - 2k - 2}$;
- (2) $n < 3$ and $1 < \alpha < \frac{Q + 4k}{Q + 2k - 2}$.

Then the only nonnegative solution $u \in C^2(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ of

$$(5.14) \quad \Delta_L u + t u^\alpha \leq 0 \text{ in } D$$

is $u \equiv 0$.

The proofs of these results follow by arguments parallel to those used in [3].

References

- [1] R. Beals, B. Gaveau and P. Greiner, *Uniforms hypoelliptic Green's functions*. J. Math. Pures Appl. **77**(1998), 209–248.
- [2] I. Birindelli, I. Capuzzo Dolcetta and A. Cutri, *Liouville theorems for semilinear equations on the Heisenberg group*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **14**(1997), 295–308.
- [3] ———, *Indefinite semi-linear equations on the Heisenberg group: a priori bounds and existence*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **23**(1998), 1123–1157.
- [4] I. Birindelli and A. Cutri, *A semilinear problem for the Heisenberg Laplacian*. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **94**(1995), 137–153.
- [5] I. Birindelli and J. Prajapat, *Nonlinear Liouville theorems in the Heisenberg group via the moving plane method*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **24**(1999), 1875–1890.
- [6] J. M. Bony, *Principe du Maximum, inégalité de Harnack et unicité du problème de Cauchy pour les opérateurs elliptiques dégénérés*. Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble **19**(1969), 277–304.
- [7] G. B. Folland, *A fundamental solution for a subelliptic operator*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79**(1973), 373–376.
- [8] N. Garofalo and D. Vassilev, *Symmetry properties of positive entire solutions of Yamabe-type equations on groups of Heisenberg type*. Duke Math. J. **106**(2001), 411–448.
- [9] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Grundlehren Math. Wiss 224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [10] P. C. Greiner, *A fundamental solution for a nonelliptic partial differential operator*. Can. J. Math. **31**(1979), 1107–1120.
- [11] L. Hörmander, *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*. Acta Math. **119**(1967), 147–171.
- [12] D. S. Jerison and J. M. Lee, *The Yamabe problem on CR manifolds*. J. Differential Geom. **25**(1987), 167–197.
- [13] O. A. Oleinik and E. V. Radkevič, *Second order equations with nonnegative characteristic form*. Plenum Press, New York, 1973.
- [14] H. Zhang, P. Niu and X. Luo, *Mean value theorem and Pohozaev type identities of generalized Greiner operator and their applications*. J. Partial Differential Equations **14**(2001), 220–234.

*Department of Applied Mathematics
Northwestern Polytechnical University
Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710072
P.R. China*

*Department of Mathematics
and Physics Science
Nanhua University
Hengyang, Hunan, 421200
P.R. China*

*Department of Applied Mathematics
Northwestern Polytechnical University
Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710072
P.R. China*