Intestinal barrier dysfunction: implications for chronic inflammatory conditions of the bowel
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Abstract
The intestinal epithelium of adult humans acts as a differentially permeable barrier that separates the potentially harmful contents of the lumen from the underlying tissues. Any dysfunction of this boundary layer that disturbs the homeostatic equilibrium between the internal and external environments may initiate and sustain a biochemical cascade that results in inflammation of the intestine. Key to such dysfunction are genetic, microbial and other environmental factors that, singularly or in combination, result in chronic inflammation that is symptomatic of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). The aim of the present review is to assess the scientific evidence to support the hypothesis that defective transepithelial transport mechanisms and the heightened absorption of intact antigenic proinflammatory oligopeptides are important contributing factors in the pathogenesis of IBD.
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Introduction
The intestinal lumen contains a multitude of exogenous substances that include dietary antigens and micro-organisms, both commensal and sometimes pathogenic. The intestinal epithelium acts as interface and regulator, a selective barrier that separates the luminal contents from the underlying connective tissue of the host. The immune system safeguards the host from the translocation of harmful foreign substances and helps maintain the homeostatic balance between the internal and external environments of the intestinal tract(1). Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is a chronic condition that involves the disturbance of this balance.

IBD is comprised of a spectrum of disorders which include Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC), chronic remittent or progressive disorders characterised by non-specific inflammation and intestinal tissue damage. The pathogenesis of IBD involves complex dysregulated interactions between various factors, with genetic predisposition, the intestinal microbiota and innate and adaptive immune responses appearing to be key elements. While Khan et al.(2) suggest that the failure of at least one component of this triad is sufficient to trigger the inflammatory changes necessary for the induction of IBD, other researchers disagree, claiming that genetic dysfunction of the intestinal innate immune system is a key precursor of the disease(3–6). Gruber et al.(7) state that the pathogenesis of IBD, its onset and its recurrence, is most likely triggered by unknown environmental agents(7,8). Many researchers have implicated other factors, such as the dysfunction of intercellular transport mechanisms, for example, PepT1(9–11), together with factors involved in the exacerbation of IBD such as diet(12–15), cigarette smoking(16), stress(17), food additives(18) and microbial dysbioses(19). Although the course of the disease is variable, it commonly affects the intestinal mucosa and leads to both structural and functional impairment. In genetically susceptible individuals, a disturbed host–bacterial relationship leads to immunopathological changes in the mucosa that continue in chronic remitting–relapsing cycles(20).

CD is an inflammatory condition associated with increased intestinal permeability(19,20), indicating a disturbance of the epithelial barrier, and may affect one or multiple areas of the intestinal tract, from mouth to anus(21). It is unclear whether increased intestinal permeability precedes and contributes to intestinal inflammation(22) or is a result of the inflammatory process(23,24). CD has been described as being associated with Western societies that are ‘pathogen poor’, whereas in developing countries, cases of idiopathic IBD such as CD are rare(25). UC is an aggravated inflammatory response with
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accompanying ulceration of the colon, thought to result from the absorption of chemotactic bacterial peptides(26).

The intestinal tract of the mammalian neonate is permeable to large peptides and other molecules, allowing the absorption of intact immunoactive molecules from milk to supplement the immature immune system(27–31). The permeability of the intestinal epithelium to these larger molecules normally ceases in the post-weaned mammal. If such permeability continues it may be a possible trigger of IBD. The absorption of intact macromolecules from the healthy intestine remains a controversial issue, as there is little unequivocal in vivo evidence (other than antigen sampling) demonstrating this phenomenon(28). However, macromolecules, and indeed microbes, can be absorbed by the mucosal tissues via transport systems that predominantly involve the adaptive and innate immune responses of the intestinal mucosa and which are key to the absorption of proinflammatory proteins/peptides in IBD.

The aim of the present review is to assess the scientific evidence to support the hypothesis that defective transepithelial transport mechanisms and the increased absorption of antigenic proinflammatory oligopeptides are important contributing factors in the pathogenesis of IBD.

Disruption to the intestinal environment

**Epithelial barrier dysfunction**

The intestinal epithelium regulates the flow of nutrients, ions and water between the lumen and underlying tissues, limiting contact between the host and the intraluminal quantities of exogenous antigens and microbes. In a healthy subject, the transepithelial transport of small amounts of food- and microbial-antigens participates in the induction of a homeostatic immune response that allows immune tolerance to such antigens(33,34) preventing the internalisation of both pathogenic and commensal microbes(28). However, epithelial barrier dysfunction can lead to the entry of excessive dietary or microbial peptides and other molecules, allowing the diffusion of mostly cations and inert small molecules less than 600 Da such as water-soluble peptides(41). Increased paracellular permeability has been observed in IBD together with abnormal TJ structure and a down-regulation and redistribution of several TJ proteins or the subjacent adherens junctions protein(42,43). A variety of pathological conditions can increase paracellular permeability in which molecules of greater size can diffuse non-specifically across the intestinal epithelial layer(28). The involvement of proinflammatory cytokines in the pathophysiology of IBD is well recognised(44,45), and these cytokines are implicated in epithelial barrier dysfunction that leads to increased intestinal permeability along paracellular pathways(28). Both interferon-γ (IFN-γ) and TNF-α are elevated in the mucosa of IBD patients and contribute to a proinflammatory cascade that includes barrier disruption(46,47). Bruewer et al(48) have shown that the specificity of these mediators for the disruption of specific intercellular junctional proteins under inflammatory conditions is indicated, as the junctional proteins remained unaltered in non-inflamed areas of diseased tissue. Some of the mechanisms underlying the structural and functional modifications of TJ include the endocytosis of junctional proteins(49,50), epithelial apoptosis(51–53), reduced transcription of TJ proteins(54) and the activation of myosin light-chain kinase phosphorylation to promote cytoskeletal contraction(55). Menard et al(56) suggest that myosin light-chain kinase is a key molecule that stimulates the opening of TJ by phosphorylating the myosin light chains. Increased claudin-2 expression increases the number of pores that allow the paracellular movement of small molecules.

### Fig. 1. Potential mechanisms of enterocytic uptake of peptides.

1. **Paracellular**: increased permeability of tight junctions may permit the passage of peptides. 2. **Passive diffusion**: cell-penetrating peptides are capable of transporting peptides as cargo. 3. **Endocytosis**: followed by endosomal release of the peptides. 4. Carrier-mediated transport: transport via the intestinal peptide transporter PEPT1 (H+/di- and tri-peptide symporter). Inside the enterocyte peptides can be hydrolysed to their constituent amino acids (AA) and transported across the basolateral membrane by specific AA transporters. It is thought that the transport of peptides across the basolateral membrane is mediated through other transporters such as those suggested by Terada et al(282,320), Shepherd et al(324) and Irie et al(325).

---

**Paracellular transport**

The paracellular pathway involves structures joining adjacent intestinal epithelial cells and delineated by TJ, adherens junctions and desmosomes(28). The rate-limiting factor in the paracellular diffusion of molecules are the TJ, a network of transmembrane proteins (claudins(37), occludin(38), junctional adhesion molecule A(39), and tricellulin(40)) which control the TJ plasticity and permeability. TJ form pores that range in diameter between 0.4 and 0.9 nm to 5 and 6 nm in villi or crypts, respectively. Occludin interacts with the zona occludens proteins (ZO-1, ZO-2) that regulate the actomysin ring. TJ allow the diffusion of mostly cations and inert small molecules less than 600 Da such as water-soluble peptides(41).
Myosin light-chain kinase activation and occludin down-regulation facilitate paracellular transport that is characteristic of both UC and CD(56).

Probiotics are live bacteria which improve the health of the host beyond their inherent nutritional value(57). It has been hypothesised that probiotics have anti-inflammatory effects in human IBD and preserve intestinal epithelial integrity(38). A number of in vitro studies using epithelial monolayers have demonstrated that probiotics have improved epithelial barrier function following Escherichia coli infection or incubation with proinflammatory cytokines(59–62). Several in vivo studies have demonstrated that probiotic therapy may change the expression of TJ proteins(57,63) and decrease paracellular permeability by increasing the phosphorylation of TJ proteins, such as ZO-1, claudin-1, or occludin in dextran-sodium sulfate-induced colitis (acute model) mice(57,63,64) and IL-10⁻/⁻ (chronic model) mice(65,66). However, the underlying molecular mechanisms by which probiotics diminish paracellular permeability remain unclear(65).

**Transcellular transport**

The transcellular transport of large particles, including microbes, has been ascribed to M cells located in the follicle-associated epithelium of Peyer’s patches(28) and isolated lymphoid follicles in the distal part of the intestine(67,68). Dendritic cells may sample bacteria in the intestinal lumen by extending dendrites between adjacent epithelial cells(69).

Lipid-soluble oligopeptides may enter the enterocytes by passive diffusion where they are susceptible to hydrolytic degradation by cytosolic enzymes(41). As large polar molecules (for example, peptide fragments > 600 Da) cannot pass through the hydrophobic cell membrane of the enterocyte they may be captured by invagination of the apical membrane. Such vesicles normally fuse with lysosomes to form phagolysosomes, in which enzymic digestion of the macromolecules occurs. Only protein that escapes hydrolysis within these structures can be drawn through the enterocytes and cross the basolateral membrane.

The transcytosis of internalised vesicles may carry specifically bound ligands (receptor-mediated transcytosis), non-specifically adsorbed ligands (adsorptive transcytosis) or fluids (fluid-phase transcytosis) from the apical membrane across the cell to the basolateral membrane(70,71). Epithelial cells of the intestinal mucosa also sample large molecules greater than 600 Da in size (such as food antigens) by endocytosis at the apical membrane and transcytosis toward the lamina propria. Within the epithelial cell, proteins/peptides are digested in acidic and lysosomal compartments before being released as amino acids or partially degraded products at the basolateral pole of the enterocytes(28). Partially degraded food antigens in early endosomes bind to major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II molecules in an intracellular endocytotic compartment (MIIC). Inward invagination of MIIC compartments leads to the formation of exosomes, small membrane vesicles (40–90 nm) bearing MHC class II/peptide complexes at their surface(28). Antigen-loaded exosomes can then fuse with the basement membrane before being released into the extracellular medium to interact with local immune cells(72). Exosome-bound peptides are much more potent than free peptides to interact with dendritic cells and stimulate peptide presentation to T cells(73).

IgA is a dimeric protective mucosal immunoglobulin secreted into the intestinal lumen as secretory IgA (SIgA), and is the most representative immunoglobulin at the mucosal surface. The major role of SIgA in healthy subjects is to restrict potentially harmful food and microbial antigens from entering the intestinal epithelia(74). However, in some pathological conditions the abnormal apical-to-basal retrotransport of SIgA immune complexes can mediate the entry of noxious antigens into the intestinal epithelial cells(28). In CeD, an enteropathy induced by the abnormal activation of T cells by gluten-derived gliadin peptides, SIgA allows the transcytosis of IgA–gliadin immune complexes through the intestinal barrier via the transferrin receptor CD71 at the apical surface(75). In healthy individuals this receptor is confined to the basolateral membrane and gliadin peptides are taken up by non-specific endocytosis and almost exclusively degraded by the intestinal epithelia. In active CeD the retrotransport of IgA–gliadin immune complexes most likely triggers exacerbated adaptive and innate immune responses that result in mucosal lesions(28).

Significant quantities of IgG are also secreted at the mucosal surface which suggests a protective role. The transcytosis of IgG is mediated via the neonatal Fc receptor at the surface of intestinal epithelial cells in an acidic environment. At the basolateral side of the enterocyte the neutral pH induces the dissociation of IgG immune complexes from the receptor. Although the role of the neonatal Fc receptor in humans has not been established, it has been reported to mediate passive immunity in suckling rats from the maternal milk(76,77). Bacteria as well as food antigens can be transported as IgG immune complexes via neonatal Fc receptors, a mechanism that is most likely involved in the defence against intestinal pathogens(28), and a process that has been reported for commensal E. coli(78). However, the entry of bacteria or degraded bacterial components (for example, flagellin(79)) might precipitate an inappropriate immune response such as chronic inflammation(28).

The overexpression of CD23, the low-affinity receptor for IgE, has been detected on both the apical and basolateral membranes of patients with gastrointestinal diseases such as IgE-dependent bovine milk allergy and enteropathy, auto-immune enteropathy, CD and UC(80). Such an overexpression of CD23 at the apical surface of enterocytes can drive the transport of IgE–allergen immune complexes from the intestinal lumen (bypassing lysosomal degradation) to the lamina propria, which then triggers mast cell degranulation and the rapid onset of an allergic inflammatory response in subepithelial immunoreactive cells(28).

Soderholm et al.(25) were the first to demonstrate that protein-sized macromolecules can permeate, at an increased rate, the non-inflamed ileal mucosa of patients with CD. Although they had previously demonstrated that molecular leakage can be induced by TJ dysfunction in patients with CD(81), later studies indicated that the increased endosomal uptake of antigens was mediated by the proinflammatory cytokine TNF-α, which plays
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a pivotal role in CD pathogenesis. This demonstrates the importance of the transcellular route of antigen uptake in the barrier dysfunction of CD, and underlines the importance of immune–epithelial interaction in the development of mucosal inflammation, which suggests that anti-TNF-α therapy may produce positive effects in patients suffering from CD.

Another cytokine abundant in CD is IFN-γ. This cytokine is also thought to enhance the transcytosis of macromolecules and was first demonstrated by Terpend et al. in 1998 using 3H-labelled horseradish peroxidase in an in vitro intestinal epithelial model. This suggests that IFN-γ may enhance both paracellular and transcellular leakage.

Antigen transcytosis into endocytic cell compartments and finally into the cytosol is strongly enhanced in rapid antigen uptake into the cytosol enterocyte (RACE) cells of patients with CD and UC. This suggests that in the inflamed intestine both paracellular and transcellular transport pathways are increased and contribute to overstimulation of the local immune system. Such overstimulation creates a vicious cycle in which luminal antigens reach the lamina propria, interact with subepithelial immunoreactive cells, and drive the secretion of permeability-enhancing factors that further increase epithelial barrier dysfunction.

The microbiota

The host and intestinal microbiota are in a state of symbiotic mutualism, forming what Goodacre terms a ‘superorganism’. In the healthy human gut, the composition of the microbiome is unique and consists of hundreds to thousands of bacterial species in largely four different phyla: Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria, although they are not distributed uniformly in number, species or metabolic activity. Diet and age are known to influence the composition of the gut microbiome. Although the intestinal tract is colonised by large numbers of commensal bacteria, intestinal infections and the translocation of bacterial proinflammatory antigens (for example, lipopolysaccharide and peptidoglycan) that can provoke NF-κB-dependent immune responses are uncommon in healthy individuals. However, the development of IBD appears to be dependent on the presence of a commensal microbiota, as mice raised in germ-free environments fail to develop IBD. While the classic interpretation of IBD pathogenesis is a loss of mucosal tolerance to proinflammatory bacteria, someone with IBD is more likely to contract an intestinal infection because of a weakened mucosal barrier, resulting from a defective immune defence system.

An excessive immune response to bacteria inhabiting the intestinal lumen and their degradation products is a common feature of IBD. Despite recent progress in defining factors that exacerbate or ameliorate these diseases, their precise causes remain poorly defined. Nevertheless, there is broad agreement that luminal microbes are of particular relevance in the development of these pathologies. The composition of the microbiota is altered in IBD towards fewer anti-inflammatory and greater numbers of proinflammatory bacteria. The treatment of IBD is often accompanied by substantial changes in the composition of intestinal microbiota and related immunoglobulins. However, no single group of bacteria has been implicated to be uniquely causally related to these diseases. It has been suggested that a toxin, such as H2O2 produced by bacteria, might play a significant role in provoking intestinal inflammation. Although many studies have failed to link sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) and IBD, it has been proposed that SRB can exacerbate IBD by generating hydrogen sulfide, as well as depleting the production of beneficial butyrate. Although the work of Jia et al. demonstrated some changes in the number of SRB in IBD patients pre- and post-treatment, their data were not unequivocal.

While there is little disagreement that patients suffering from IBD have antibodies against several microbes and microbial antigens, a number of researchers suggest that dysbiosis of the intestinal microbiome is a causal factor of inflammation in IBD patients, without reference to the genetic predisposition of IBD patients. Whether the changes in intestinal microbiota observed in IBD are simply a consequence of chronic inflammation and its treatment, or are necessary determinants of initiation and/or perpetuation of pathogenesis, is still open to question. Microbiological investigations have so far failed to identify consistent alterations of microbiota composition in IBD patients relative to healthy controls. While the commensal microbiota are largely tolerated by the mucosa and ignored by the systemic immune systems of normal hosts, they are essential drivers of pathogenic mucosal and systemic inflammatory responses in genetically predisposed subjects.

Such dysbiosis, widely reported in connection with CD, is not solely the result of environmental effects, such as treatment history or diet. Complex interactions exist between the host genotype and the enteral microbial community. Such interactions may arise as a consequence of direct genetic effects on microbial composition, perhaps through altered Paneth cell function, or as a direct result of the pathogenic process. However, the question of whether dysbiosis contributes to CD pathogenesis or is an innocuous by-product remains to be established. Furthermore, how dysfunction or inflammation of the mucosal barrier can lead to dysbiosis is also unclear. However, other than in exceptional cases, it is unlikely that disease-associated dysbioses will satisfy all of the criteria that have been proposed to prove causality.
In a study of forty twin pairs focusing on disease incidence in genetically matched individuals that were concordant or discordant for either CD or UC, it was demonstrated that the microbiota of CD patients differed from those of healthy individuals, whereas the microbiota of patients with UC was similar to healthy controls\textsuperscript{(107)}. Also the microbial profiles of patients with CD, predominantly affecting the ileum, were different to those where the disease affected the colon. Although other studies have shown that the microbial profile of IBD patients differs in inflamed and non-inflamed states\textsuperscript{(122-124)}, the faecal microbiota cannot be differentiated between patients with the active disease and those in remission\textsuperscript{(107)}. In terms of microbial profile the disease phenotype was a more significant factor than genotype. Although significant differences in the microbial profile between inflamed and non-inflamed mucosal biopsy sites have been demonstrated, such differences varied so greatly between individuals that no obvious bacterial signature could be positively associated with the inflamed intestine\textsuperscript{(124)}. Although a dysbiosis is observed in IBD patients, relative to healthy controls, it may result from a disturbed intestinal environment rather than be the direct cause of disease. The complex mutualistic interaction between the microbiota and the host suggests that the relationship is bi-directional\textsuperscript{(125)} and that any observed inflammatory changes may be secondary to, rather than causative of, the disease process; this is a factor that must be considered in future studies\textsuperscript{(126)}.

It has previously been reported that a reduced abundance of \textit{Faecalibacterium prausnitzii}, a bacterium thought to exert anti-inflammatory effects\textsuperscript{(127)}, is common in ileal CD patients\textsuperscript{(108,128)}. However, \textit{F. prausnitzii} only represents one of many core members of the microbiota that are less abundant in this disease phenotype. This has led to the suggestion that the oral replenishment of \textit{Roseburia}, \textit{Alistipes}, \textit{Collinsella} and members of the Ruminococcaceae family may be beneficial\textsuperscript{(107)}.

In humans there are at least eighteen mucin-type glycoproteins; however, mucin 2 (MUC2) is the predominant component of the mucin layer in both the small and large intestines\textsuperscript{(129)}. When comparing the thickness of the mucus layer in patients suffering from IBD with healthy controls there is evidence that \textit{Streptococcus} is associated with CD (80 % of all bacteria) and that \textit{Lactobacillus} is associated with UC (90 % of all bacteria)\textsuperscript{(130,131)}. In a study by Joossens \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(132)} a faecal microbiota dysbiosis was identified in patients with CD that was characterised by a decreased presence of butyrate-producing bacteria in conjunction with mucin degradation; although the patients’ relatives possessed similarly enhanced mucin degradation they did not share the depleted butyrate-producing bacteria.

As the mucosal barrier is the primary defence of the host against intestinal bacteria the shift from normobiosis observed in relatives of CD patients to the dysbiosis seen in CD patients might be an intermediate step towards CD and disease-associated dysbioses\textsuperscript{(132)}. Although Joossens \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(132)} did not study the overall butyrate-producing or mucin degradation capacity of the microbiota in this cohort, there was a functional overlap between dysbiosis in patients with CD and their unaffected relatives at risk. Quigley emphasises the importance of this study suggesting that it indicates a role of the microbiota in CD that is independent of the genetic background and diet, features that the CD patients would have shared with their unaffected relatives\textsuperscript{(125)}. However, Quigley fails to mention the finding of Joossens \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{(132)} that the CD patients exhibited mucin degradation, a fact that weakens the argument that the microbiota in CD are independent of the genetic background and diet.

**The immune system**

Epithelial innate immunity includes pattern recognition receptors on the intestinal surfaces such as Toll-like receptors and nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain (NOD)-containing molecules. Recognition of bacteria by the vertebrate innate immune systems relies upon the detection of invariant molecules by specialised receptors. The view is now emerging that activation of both Toll-like receptors and NOD by different bacterial agonists is important in an inflammatory response\textsuperscript{(135)}. It appears that NOD1 and NOD2 receptors detect the peptidoglycan components of bacterial cell walls, and the nucleotide-binding domain, leucine-rich (NLR) proteins ifap and Naip detect bacterial flagellin\textsuperscript{(134,135)}. NOD1 specifically senses diaminopimelic acid-containing muramyl peptides\textsuperscript{(136,137)} and NOD2 detects muramyl dipeptide (MDP)\textsuperscript{(138,139)}, a motif found in almost all bacteria. \textit{Nod1} and \textit{Nod2} mutations have been associated with IBD in human subjects\textsuperscript{(140)}, with \textit{Nod2} being identified as the first susceptibility gene for CD\textsuperscript{(141,142)}. It is hypothesised that any impairment to NOD2 function in innate immune responses to bacterial peptides, such as a dysfunctional PepT1 peptide transporter, may lead to defective sensing of bacterial proinflammatory peptides, abnormal bacterial survival and chronic inflammation of the intestinal mucosa\textsuperscript{(7)}. The question of whether a defective PepT1 mechanism is a causative factor for the disease pathogenesis or severity of the IBD has yet to be determined\textsuperscript{(7)}.

Constitutive and inducible antimicrobial peptides such as defensins and cathelicidins interact with secreted mucins and play an important role in intestinal defence\textsuperscript{(143,144)}. Antimicrobial peptides, predominantly the defensins in mammals, possess a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity. Antimicrobial peptides are hydrophobic peptides possessing positively charged domains that can interact with and disrupt cell membranes causing cell lysis, which leads to the efflux of ions and nutrients. In the small intestine, the most abundant constitutive defensins are the \(\alpha\)-defensins HD5 and HD6 found in the Paneth cells. Following stimulation of the pattern recognition receptors by bacterial products (for example, lipopolysaccharide, which activates Toll-like receptor-4, and MDP, which activates NOD2\textsuperscript{(145)}) defensins are released into the lumen. In comparison with vertebrate cell membranes, bacterial cells possess high concentrations of negatively charged phospholipids, which defensins selectively and preferentially bind to\textsuperscript{(146)}. Together with antimicrobial lysozymes, Paneth cells are key to intestinal defence.

Most dietary protein is completely digested and absorbed as amino acids, dipeptides or tripeptides. However, some proteins are resistant to both the acidic pH of the stomach and enzyme proteolysis\textsuperscript{(147)}, such that large immunogenic peptides or intact
proteins may reach the small intestine\(^{149}\). For example, β-lactoglobulin, a major bovine milk allergen, and gluten/ gliadins, a major factor underlying CeD, are both partially resistant to digestive enzymes\(^{20}\). The ineffective digestion of gliadins which are high in proline content produce large irreducible immunogenic peptides that may activate the lamina propria CD4\(^+\) T cells in coeliac patients\(^{149,150}\). The risk of developing food allergies from incomplete protein digestion has been reported in mice given antiulcer medication known to impair protein digestion where even low doses of ovalbumin resulted in the development of IgE-mediated food allergy\(^{151}\). Such observations indicate that immunogenic proteins and peptides present in the lumen may serve as potential candidates for intestinal absorption and immune stimulation\(^{20}\).

Intestinal alkaline phosphatase (IAP) is expressed throughout the gastrointestinal tract and has an essential role in intestinal homeostasis through interactions with the microbiota resident in the gut\(^{152}\). IAP appears to have four major interactions in the cell wall of Gram-negative bacteria, the presence of which in the blood can stimulate a strong inflammatory response; (b) the regulation of bicarbonate secretion and increasing the pH distal to the stomach; (c) modulation of long-chain fatty acid absorption; and (d) the regulation of the microbial ecosystem within the gut by forming a complex relationship between microbiota, diet and the intestinal mucosal surface, and the translocation of microbes across the gut wall\(^{152,153}\). IAP also dephosphorylates other pro-inflammatory ligands released from damaged cells such as extracellular nucleotides, for example, ATP. The detoxification of such ligands is essential in the prevention of inflammatory conditions such as IBD. IAP is reported as having a protective effect byameliorating inflammation from increased permeability of the intestinal endothelium that results from vascular endothelial growth factor affecting the pericellular TJ. Such abnormal intestinal permeability can be partially reduced by IAP down-regulating vascular endothelial growth factor expression and regulating specific TJ proteins for example, claudin-2\(^{154}\). Compared with normal subjects, epithelial IAP mRNA expression is reduced in patients with UC and CD\(^{155}\) and is especially marked in severe cases of CeD\(^{156}\). Animal studies have shown that orally administered IAP may reduce inflammation by down-regulating the immune response, specifically reducing pro-inflammatory cytokines, for example, TNF-α\(^{152,157}\). In an uncontrolled trial by Lukas et al.,\(^{158}\) IAP was administered intraduodenally daily over a period of 7 d to patients with UC and was associated with short-term improvement in disease activity scores and clinical effects. The gastrointestinal administration of IAP appears to ameliorate both gut inflammation and favours intestinal tissue regeneration, whereas enteral and systemic administration of IAP attenuates systemic inflammation only\(^{153}\).

### Genetic disruption

IBD is a multigenic disease where an increased number of inherited risk alleles is associated with an increased risk of developing the disease, earlier onset and greater severity (for example, fibrostenotic or fistulising symptoms and/or the necessity for surgical intervention\(^{159,160}\)). However, genetic susceptibility does not necessitate the development of the disease, as studies with monozygous twins discordant for IBD suggest that diverse environmental interactions (for example, diet and gastrointestinal tract microbiome) also play a role in the development of this disease\(^{107,108,161}\). Using ordinal regression analysis, Weersma et al.\(^{159}\) reported that individuals with six CD-associated risk alleles (OR 7-56) were unlikely to develop the condition whereas individuals with seven risk alleles (OR 25-6) were much more likely to develop CD. The genetic associations with IBD have been extensively reviewed by a number of researchers\(^{162-169}\). Genome-wide association studies have resulted in the rapid discovery of susceptibility genes with over 163 IBD genes associated with these diseases\(^{170-172}\). Model-selection analysis has indicated that 110 of the 163 susceptibility loci are associated with both CD and UC, with thirty being specific to CD and twenty-three specific to UC\(^{171}\). More recently thirty-six loci specific to CD\(^{173}\) and hundred specific to UC have been reported\(^{172}\). These loci encode genes which are involved in a number of homeostatic systems, the disruption of which provide a mechanistic description of IBD. Dysfunctional genes that are implicated in epithelial barrier function, bacterial recognition and adaptive immune response are given Table 1.

### Table 1. Dysfunctional genes implicated in epithelial barrier function, bacterial recognition and adaptive immune response*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crohn's disease</th>
<th>Ulcerative colitis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Epithelial barrier function</strong></td>
<td><strong>MDR1, MALB1, CDH1, HNF4A</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBD5, DLG5, ITLN1, XBP1</td>
<td>IL23R, JAK2, STAT3, HLA region, IL10, MST1, PTPN2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial recognition</strong></td>
<td><strong>IL10, IL10RA, IL18R, C1orf106, SP110, ORMDL3, XBP1, MUC19, MUC2, CUL2, PTPN22</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOD1, NOD2, CARD9, TLR4, ATG16L1, IRGM, LRRK2</td>
<td>IL23R, JAK2, STAT3, HLA region, IL10, MST1, PTPN2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adaptive immune response</strong></td>
<td><strong>IL23R, JAK2, STAT3, HLA region, IL10, MST1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL23R, JAK2, STAT3, HLA region, IL10, MST1</td>
<td><strong>PTPN2</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*IBD5, inflammatory bowel disease 5; DLG5, discosoma discis large homolog; ITLN1, intelectin-1; XBP1, X-box binding protein 1; MDR1, multidrug resistance protein 1; MALB1, Mal region B mutants; CDH1, cadherin 1; HNF4A, hepatocyte nuclear factor 4a; NOD1 and 2, nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain; CARD9, caspase recruitment domain-containing protein 9; TLR4, Toll-like receptor 4; ATG16L1, autophagy-related protein 16-1; IRGM, immunity-related GTPase family M protein; LRRK2, leucine-rich repeat kinase 2; IL23R, IL23 receptor; JAK2, Janus kinase 2; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; HLA, human leucocyte antigen; MST1, macrophage stimulating 1; PTPN2, protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 2. An additional sixteen genes and loci are implicated in inflammatory bowel disease after Graham & Xavier\(^{165}\). RNF186 (ring finger protein 188); SP110 (nuclear body protein 110); SP140 (nuclear body protein 140); MST1 (macrophage stimulating 1 (hepatocyte growth factor-like)); FUT2 (fucosyltransferase); SLC22A4 (solute carrier family); GSDMB (gastrointestinal B); CRMD2 (orosomucoid like 3); TNFAIP3 (TNF-induced protein 3); SLCA5B (solute carrier family); IL1RA (IL1 receptor α); IL1RAP (IL18 receptor accessory protein); MUC19 (mucin 19); CUL2 (cullin 2); PTPN22 (protein tyrosine phosphatase, non-receptor type 22); C1orf106 (chromosome 1 open reading frame 106).
implicated in IBD suggest connections between cellular metabolism, inflammation and mucosal microbial communities (see notes for Table 1)\(^{164}\). A comprehensive review of SNP with either susceptibility or protective effects in IBD has recently been published\(^{165}\). It appears there is significant overlap in genes associated with autoimmune and autoinflammatory diseases that indicate common immunological mechanisms and unique disease-specific pathways which lead to the complex pathophysiology of IBD\(^ {164}\). A number of the susceptibility genes identified with IBD (for example, \(ATG16L1\)) are common variants with high prevalence in the healthy population\(^ {159}\). Indeed many of the SNP implicated in IBD by genome-wide association studies are not independently causative of the disease phenotype; they exist as linked disequilibrium with as yet to be discovered variants that are functional\(^ {164}\). In the future, genetic screening for IBD-related SNP, combined with an assessment of the intestinal microbiome and other environmental factors (for example, diet), might allow clinicians to identify patients at risk of IBD and improve differential diagnosis and optimise treatment efficacy of the disease\(^ {165,175}\).

Interestingly Hu et al.\(^ {176}\) generated mice in which the \(PepT1\) gene was disrupted by the insertion of a \(lacZ\) reporter gene under the control of the endogenous \(PepT1\) promoter. Although the \(PepT1\)-null mice lacked expression of \(PepT1\) protein in the intestine and kidney tissues in which this peptide transporter is normally expressed, the \(PepT1\)-deficient mice were found to be viable, fertile, grew to normal size and weight, and were without any obvious abnormalities\(^ {176}\). Other environmental factors

With the sudden emergence and dramatic increase in IBD during the last century\(^ {177}\), a variety of environmental factors has been implicated with the onset of IBD, including: food storage in refrigerators, smoking, the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and infections\(^ {178,179}\). Diet and food additives have long been suspected as major factors in IBD pathogenesis\(^ {172}\) and in this context two new fields of study have emerged: nutrigenetics, which recognises the effect of genetic variation on nutrient requirements, and nutrigenomics, which describes the impact of nutrient regulation of gene expression\(^ {162}\). Although Qin\(^ {163}\) suggests a multitude of possible dietary factors affecting IBD, he singles out saccharin and/or sucralose to be a key causative factor in the disease. Proposing a unified hypothesis regarding the aetiology for IBD, Qin suggests that saccharin inhibits both the activity of \(\beta\)-glucuronidase itself as well as the growth of \(\beta\)-glucuronidase-positive bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract which are necessary for the deconjugation of biliary bilirubin\(^ {180}\), that in turn leads to damage of the protective mucus layer and the underlying gut tissue by the poorly inactivated digestive proteases\(^ {181}\) (the bacteria–protease–mucus–barrier hypothesis)\(^ {161}\).

Peptide permeability

**Peptide transporters**

The principal transporter for the absorption of di- and tripeptides arising from the digestion of both exogenous and endogenous proteins in the intestinal lumen is the high-capacity, low-affinity \(PepT1\) protein\(^ {20}\). Peptide transporters are integral membrane proteins that mediate the cellular uptake of di- and tripeptides. In vertebrates there are two peptide transporter proteins: \(PepT1\) expressed predominantly in brush-border membranes of the small intestine and \(PepT2\) in the kidney and lung. Although \(PepT1\) is highly expressed in the small intestine\(^ {182}\) there is little or no expression in the healthy colon\(^ {183}\). These transport proteins operate as electrogenic proton/peptide symporters with a broad substrate specificity, possibly transporting 400 dipeptides and 8000 tripeptides composed of \(\alpha\)-amino acids\(^ {184}\), but not free amino acids or peptides with more than three amino acid residues\(^ {185,186}\). The electrochemical gradient across the apical enterocyte membrane is dependent upon the Na-proton exchanger NHE3 and allows the absorption of di- and tripeptides against a concentration gradient, enabling higher intracellular than extracellular peptide concentrations\(^ {187,188}\).

Transport is enantio-selective and involves a variable proton-to-substrate stoichiometry for the uptake of neutral and mono- or polyvalently charged peptides. The peptide transporter proteins can also transport many therapeutic drugs (for example, \(\beta\)-lactam antibiotics, selected angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and peptidase inhibitors) and thereby determine their bioavailability and pharmacokinetics\(^ {184}\). In addition, \(PepT1\) has an important role in the innate immune response to bacteria by mediating the transepithelial transport of bacterial antigens\(^ {65}\). Microbial peptides imported by \(PepT1\), for example MDP, induce NOD2-dependent activation of the NF-\(\kappa\)B pathway\(^ {77}\) with submucosal macrophages that in turn release proinflammatory cytokines, for example, IL-8 and the monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1)\(^ {189,190}\). \(PepT1\) polymorphisms in the \(SLC15A1\) gene have been associated with IBD\(^ {191}\) and NOD2 polymorphisms with CD\(^ {190}\). The clinical relevance of intestinal uptake in disease has recently been reviewed by Freeman\(^ {192}\).

Despite the comprehensive analysis of the structure and functions of \(PepT1\), with many hundreds of publications over the last 40 years, its overall importance in amino acid absorption from the gastrointestinal tract is still largely unknown\(^ {193}\). Using mice lacking \(PepT1\) (\(PepT1\)\(^ {-/-}\)) the extent that \(PepT1\) deletion is compensated for by changes in expression and function of the amino acid transporters in intestinal epithelial cells and the role of the transporter in amino acid absorption and metabolism have been characterised\(^ {193}\). The intragastric administration of \(^ {15}\)N-labelled proteins and the concomitant analysis of plasma and tissue amino acid levels have indicated that the role of \(PepT1\) in the overall intestinal amino acid absorption is negligible when low amounts of protein are ingested. However, under conditions of a high protein load reaching the intestine, the maximum rate of hydrolysis in the lumen or at the brush-border membrane may be reached, leading to di- and tripeptides becoming available for \(PepT1\) transport\(^ {193}\). Nassl et al.\(^ {193}\) suggested that when a high-protein diet is administered to \(PepT1\)\(^ {-/-}\) mice it may induce changes in body amino acid homeostasis that resemble a state of amino acid imbalance, with amino acids that are related to the urea cycle being over-represented. This is suggestive of an altered hepatic detoxification capacity in animals deficient in \(PepT1\).
Not all researchers share the same view; for example, the enteropathogenic \( E. \) coli (EPEC), a food-borne pathogen implicated in the pathophysiology of infantile diarrhoea(194), may also induce PepT1 expression in colonocytes(195). Nguyen et al.(195) demonstrated that: EPEC transcriptionally induces functional PepT1 expression in the lipid rafts (LR) of colonocytes; that it induces PepT1 expression by intimately attaching to host cell membranes through LR, that the transcription factor Cdx2 is crucial for EPEC-induced PepT1 expression; and that PepT1 which are associated with LR have a role in bacterial–epithelial interaction and bacteria-induced intestinal inflammation. It is proposed that EPEC is a causal factor of human colonic PepT1 expression, activating signalling molecules within the LRs, resulting from changes in conformation and/or composition of LR, and consequently reducing the binding affinity of EPEC for LR. PepT1 appears to attenuate EPEC-triggered proinflammatory responses in intestinal epithelial cells, and therefore colonic PepT1 expression might be a host protective mechanism that modulates bacterial–epithelial interaction and inflammatory responses to pathogens(195), a finding that is in line with that of other researchers(26,196–199).

Apically expressed colonic PepT1 may be a host defence mechanism via its ability to modulate bacterial–epithelial interactions and colonic inflammation(200). Colonic PepT1 expressed in IBD may absorb small proinflammatory peptides derived from bacterial peptidoglycans (for example, N-formylmethionylleucyl-phenylalanine (fMLP)(196), MDP (197) and L-Ala-D-Glu-meso-diaminopimelic acid (Tri-DAP)(198)) that interact with NOD-like receptors and determine the activation level of inflammatory pathways such as the NF-kB and MAPK(198). These pathways lead to proinflammatory cytokine/chemokine production and the subsequent migration of neutrophils into regions of inflammation and bacterial infection(20,199).

Dalmasso et al.(11) used Tri-DAP to induce inflammation in human colonic HT29.C1.19A cells. Similar to fMLP and MDP, Tri-DAP is a natural peptide released during peptidoglycan degradation of Gram-negative bacteria, a bacterial tripeptide that may pass through the PepT1 transporter. Although it is still unclear if the peptide induces PepT1 expression in colonocytes, this suggests that bacterial products might induce or regulate colonic PepT1 expression(200), and that once PepT1 is expressed in the colon in IBD, then PepT1 could then be involved in the transport of bacterial peptides that aggravate inflammation. However, the studies of Dalmasso et al.(11) did indicate that colonic epithelia only respond to peptidoglycan motifs such as Tri-DAP when such products are present in the cytosol and most importantly that colonocytes fail to transport Tri-DAP or are inert to Tri-DAP under normal physiological conditions when PepT1 is not expressed in the colon.

Although the peptide/histidine transporters PhT1 and PhT2 have been found in the villous epithelia of the human small intestine(201), their relevance in the absorption of peptides and peptidomimetics has not been established(20). However, it appears that neither of these transporters is involved in the absorption of the proinflammatory peptide fMLP(202).

The multidrug resistance 1 gene (MDR1), which encodes for the membrane-bound efflux transporter P-glycoprotein 170 (P-gp), has been associated with IBD and thought to protect the intestinal epithelium from the uptake of endogenous and exogenous toxins by transporting drugs and xenobiotics into the lumen(7,203). Although several SNP of this gene have been reported, its relevance to the pathogenesis of IBD varies across ethnic groups(204–207).

**Epithelial barrier dysfunction, peptides and Crohn’s disease**

The studies of Cadwell et al.(5) have demonstrated that a common enteric viral pathogen, norovirus, can induce a mutation in the CD susceptibility gene \( \text{Agf}16 \text{LI} \), producing intestinal pathologies in mice. These pathologies, activated by virus-plus-susceptibility gene interaction that mimic aspects of CD, were dependent on IFN-\( \gamma \) and TNF-\( \alpha \), and were preventable by treatment with broad-spectrum antibiotics(5). Sabbah et al.(208) have demonstrated that NOD2 can also function as a cytoplasmic viral pattern recognition receptor that can sense viral single-stranded RNA and activate IFN production. As a result it has been suggested that the CD-associated gene NOD2 may recognise viral RNA in addition to bacterial peptidoglycan and raises the possibility that a viral infection can interact with CD susceptibility genes(5). Both Garrett et al.(209) and Cadwell et al.(5) give clear insight into the complex interaction between gene and pathogen, which individually may display only poor association with disease incidence and severity. In animal models with induced pathology, reproducing the full disease may require combinations of specific alleles of multiple genes with certain environmental agents. Not all patients with CD present with identical symptoms or pathologies; the disease varies with time and also with age, sex, ethnicity, temporal trends and geographical distributions(177,210).

Some therapeutic interventions may alleviate symptoms of one patient but not in others(5). Such complex IBD diseases may represent a combinatory confluence of pathological responses, each with overlapping but non-identical genetic and environmental causes and therefore require different therapeutic responses(5).

In CD, a transcription factor-4-mediated defect in Paneth cell differentiation has been linked to a specific absence of the \( \alpha \)-defensins, especially in patients with \( \text{Nod}2 \) mutations(6). Consequently, the deficient mucosal barrier allows luminal microbes to invade the mucosa and trigger a secondary inflammatory response(225).

The up-regulated expression of PepT1 in patients with IBD(221) and \( \text{Nod}2 \) mutations associated with \( \text{CI} \)(218) may result from defective sensing of bacterial peptidoglycan-derived peptides such as MDP (a NOD2 agonist)(218). As a result of \( \text{Nod}2 \) mutations, which result in a NOD2 deficiency, a loss of microbial surveillance and the unmonitored import of microbial proinflammatory peptides may be caused that contribute to the onset of CD.

The expression of PepT1 in the colon of patients with UC or CD may be a response to the absorption of chemotactic bacterial di- and tripeptides that cause an aggravated inflammation/immune response(8,20). The expression of PepT1 in human colonocytes has also been linked to leptin, an adipocyte-secreted hormone. High concentrations of leptin were found in
inflamed colonic mucosa which in turn triggered the colonic expression of PepT1 via the cAMP response element-binding (CREB) and Cdx2 transcription factors. Such increased expression of colonic PepT1 may thus enhance the uptake of the small bacterial di- and tripeptides that perpetuate intestinal inflammation. Such findings may provide important new insights into the mechanisms of intestinal inflammation and its treatment (212).

Although the mechanism of colonic PepT1 expression in IBD remains unknown, it has been suggested that its expression is most probably induced at a transcriptional level, where specific transcriptional regulation by signalling pathway(s) may be activated (195, 211, 213, 214). However, Vavricka et al. (199) demonstrated both in vitro (in human colonic Caco2/bbe monolayers) and in vivo (in mouse intestine), that TNF-α and IFN-γ increased the activity and the total and apical membrane protein expression of PepT1 protein in a concentration- and time-dependent fashion. As no changes in PepT1 mRNA were observed, it may be concluded that the increased PepT1 activity and expression were post-transcriptionally regulated (199). Current research into the expression of PepT1 transporters in the colon of patients with some form of IBD suggests that the presence of PepT1 in the colon is due to the bacterial load of the colon being higher than that of the ileum, and that such a high bacterial load creates a concomitantly high luminal concentration of bacterial peptides, which PepT1 transporters absorb, thus stimulating an exaggerated proinflammatory immune response.

It has also been suggested that PepT1 expression is normally restricted to the small intestine because the concentrations of bacterial di- and tripeptides are much lower in the small intestine than in the colon and that the human small intestine contains only low numbers of prokaryotes (99). However, this statement can be challenged in light of the evidence that the numbers of bacteria present in the distal ileum may be as high as 10^9/ml of digesta (215). Although less than the densities reported by Whitman et al. for the large intestine (10^{11}–10^{12}/ml digesta (216)), they are in sufficient numbers that the presence of substantial quantities of bacterial di- and tripeptides in the ileum cannot be discounted, and are not evidential as to the lack of inflammation in the healthy human small intestine. Ingersol et al. (99) suggest that the presence of colonic PepT1 transporters is solely due to the higher numbers of bacteria in the large bowel as the profile of PepT1 expression along the normal human digestive tract is such that bacterial peptides have little access to PepT1 and minimises the intracellular uptake of bacterial peptides. As PepT1 expression is altered in patients with IBD and commensal bacteria colonising the human colon produce significant amounts of proinflammatory di- and tripeptides, the transport of the peptides by PepT1 may lead to an increased intracellular accumulation of prokaryotic peptides that trigger downstream proinflammatory effects (99).

Epithelial barrier dysfunction, peptides and ulcerative colitis

In experiments with conventionally raised T-bet−/− × Rag2−/− UC (TRUC) is associated with altered colonic barrier function, elevated TNF-α levels and dysfunctional dendritic cells. Both the T-bet-deficient genetic background and the microbiota are required for disease initiation (217). Once the disease is established, the microbiota from the afflicted mice are vertically transmissible and cause intestinal inflammation in wild-type mice. TRUC is transmissible to wild-type hosts when they are cross-fostered or co-housed with TRUC mice. In a later paper, Garrett et al. (209) demonstrated that the presence of Proteus mirabilis and Klebsiella pneumoniae contributes to disease pathogenesis of colitis in TRUC mice and that TRUC-derived strains, in conjunction with an endogenous microbial community, incite colitis in wild-type mice (209). Their results may provide mechanistic insights about how intestinal microbial communities, working in concert with specific colitogenic agents, contribute to the initiation and perpetuation of IBD in susceptible human hosts, and provide the foundation for proof-of-concept tests of preventative or therapeutic measures (209).

Dietary protein is, to the host, foreign protein that may contain peptides that would trigger an immune response if exposed to the immune system in the enterocyte/lamina propria/bloodstream. The bacterial di- and tripeptides fMLP and MDP have been found to elicit an immune response; therefore it is probably safe to assume that there are other hydrolysis-resistant dietary peptides which could also elicit a similar response. Therefore any leakage of the apical/basolateral membranes would also elicit a similar response that results in inflammation. A high intake of dairy products or low dietary fibre intake has been reported to be associated with the relapse of patients with UC (218–221). However, more recently Jowett et al. (14) detected no association between the intake of milk or dairy products and relapse of UC, neither did they find any protective effect from increased dietary fibre. However, they did find that the consumption of meat (particularly red meat and processed meat), protein, and alcohol were linked to increased relapse in patients with UC (14). Speculation that the high sulfur or sulfate compounds in many of these foods was the trigger associated with the relapse of UC has led to a number of studies (13, 222) not least of which were those of Marquet et al. (108), Shatalin et al. (102) and Jia et al. (91) that highlighted the toxicity of hydrogen sulfide, possibly mediated through the impaired utilisation of butyrate in colonocytes (223). Carbohydrates have also been reported to result in colonic inflammation (224) and promote UC in some individuals (222). Although enteral feeding to control dietary intake has been effective in the treatment of CD, it is ineffective in UC (225).

Large numbers of peptides have been isolated from both milk (226, 228) and meat (229, 232) and the possible existence of small proinflammatory peptides similar to the previously identified proinflammatory bacterial peptides cannot be excluded. Recently, Chatterton et al. (232) reviewed the anti-inflammatory mechanisms of milk proteins that assist in the prevention of a severe form of intestinal inflammation known as necrotising enterocolitis which is associated with a high mortality in neonates. In this review, the authors commented that although raw human milk contains many anti-inflammatory proteins (for example, immunoglobulins
that chelate bacterial and viral proinflammatory antigens), bovine milk may have much fewer anti-inflammatory components due to digestive proteolysis and pasteurisation\(^{233}\). Various growth factors present in both human and bovine milk have been reported as having anti-inflammatory properties\(^{15,233}\). Transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) was reported by Letterio et al.\(^{243}\) to have anti-inflammatory properties, which were later attributed to the modulation of inflammatory responses\(^{179}\). TGF-β regulates the differentiation of T helper 17 cells (Th17-cells) which maintain intestinal barrier integrity and produce the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10\(^{235}\). Heparin-binding epidermal growth factor-like growth factor was found to attenuate bacterial binding to the intestinal mucosa\(^{237,280}\), repress the cytokine-induced activation of NF-kB and release of proinflammatory cytokines\(^{237,280}\).

The hypothesis that dietary proteins and their hydrolysates contain peptides that may affect mucin secretion has been studied by a number of researchers\(^{250,242}\). The casomorphins, a family of bioactive peptides derived from milk β-casein, are opioid agonists known to affect the secretion of mucin, a protective response that also stimulates the production of epidermal growth factor, that in turn promotes epithelial cell proliferation\(^{243}\). The effect of various opioid-acting casomorphins on mucin secretion has been reported by a number of researchers\(^{241,240}\) who found that the intraluminal administration of β-casomorphin-7 provoked a 500% increase (over the controls) in the secretion of mucin. β-Casomorphin-7 seems unique in this respect as little or no increase in mucin secretion was observed from any of the other opioid peptides tested. Milk-borne opioid receptor ligands have been extensively reviewed by Clare & Swaisgood\(^{245}\). Zoghibi et al.\(^{246}\) reported that β-casomorphin-7 increased the expression of MUC2 and may contribute significantly to mucin production through a direct effect on intestinal goblet cells and the activation of β-opioid receptors. Because intestinal mucins are an integral part of epithelial barrier function, dietary supplements containing β-casomorphin-7 are worthy of investigation for their potential to improve intestinal protection in IBD.

Secretory mucin MUC2 is the predominant structural component of the mucus layer and is abundantly expressed by goblet cells in the colon\(^{247,248}\). MUC2 synthesis is decreased in both human and animal models of IBD\(^{249,250}\), and the expression of MUC2 is considered as a phenotypic marker, which can be inversely correlated with the severity of inflammatory conditions, leads to the impaired differentiation and a consequent decrease in goblet cell function, known to be associated with increased susceptibility to bacterial infection/inflammation\(^{259}\). A target for future IBD therapies may be to strengthen the mucous barrier through the up-regulation/down-regulation of MUC genes, the manipulation of post-transcriptional processing, or targeting the mucin molecule itself\(^{252}\).

### Epithelial barrier dysfunction, peptides and coeliac disease

CeD is a chronic immune-mediated disorder that primarily affects the mucosa of the small intestine. The condition is a food antigen-triggered autoimmune disorder that involves an immune response (both innate and adaptive) following exposure to dietary gluten-containing foods in genetically predisposed individuals. The pathogenesis of CeD involves a triad of predisposing genes, gluten and other environmental factors. The inception of CeD follows the deamination of gliadins, monomeric proteins contained in gluten, by tissue transglaminase. Gliadin immunogenic fragments, resistant to endopeptidases, then bind to the chemokine CXCR3 present on the luminal surface of the enterocytes. Zonulin, one of the transmembrane proteins that regulate epithelial barrier permeability, may then be released from the TJ as a result of gliadin binding to CXCR3\(^{262}\). The MyD88 adapter protein-dependent release of zonulin, highly expressed in CeD, results in the disassembly of the TJ and a subsequent increase in intestinal permeability\(^{263}\) and activation of antigen-presenting cells (for example, macrophages, dendritic cells and B cells). These cells in turn display the gliadin peptides and interact with gluten-specific CD4+ T cells in the lamina propria. CD4+ T cells release inflammatory cytokines (for example, IFN-γ and IL-15) that facilitate the transformation of intraepithelial lymphocytes into cytotoxic CD8+ T cells that kill the intestinal epithelial cells. The cumulative effect of this inflammatory cascade is the manifestation of villous atrophy and crypt hyperplasia\(^{264}\). Inflammation of the intestinal epithelium consequent to the gliadin assault on the enterocytes may then exacerbate intestinal barrier dysfunction leading to the increased passage of antigens involved in the pathogenesis of CeD. In genetically predisposed individuals (those carrying the HLA-DQ2 or less commonly HLA-DQ8 haplotype) environmental factors, such as rotavirus infection, toxins or Fe-deficiency anaemia, are thought to initiate the development of CeD\(^{280}\). It is interesting to note that constitutive abnormalities in intestinal permeability are not the hallmarks of food allergy; increased epithelial permeability is more the consequence of immunological changes producing villous atrophy, rather than the cause of food sensitisation\(^{205}\).

For example, significant increases in the trans-epithelial transport of horseradish peroxidase (about 44 kDa) are observed in children with active cows’ milk allergy, which
return to normal levels following a cows’ milk-free diet\(^{(28)}\). However, increases in epithelial permeability are the cause of a self-perpetuating cycle that maintains allergic inflammation\(^{(20)}\). A number of non-dietary therapies are currently under investigation that target specific aspects of CeD pathogenesis including intraluminal agents, immunomodulators and vaccination\(^{(207,208)}\). Lar佐zide acetate (AT-1001), a peptide derived from cholera toxin, is thought to regulate intestinal paracellular permeability by inhibiting the disassembly of intestinal TJ. However, current clinical trials with lar佐zide have not demonstrated any decrease in intestinal permeability in CeD patients taking the drug, although decreased tissue transglutaminase IgA levels and improved clinical symptoms were observed\(^{(269,270)}\). Other proposed treatments include: reduced gluten exposure by genetic modification of the cereal grains containing gluten\(^{(271,272)}\); or using co-polymeric binders of gluten\(^{(273)}\); pre-digestion of gluten before intestinal epithelial cell uptake using prolyl-endopeptidase\(^{(274,275)}\), transglutaminase inhibitors or the blockade of HLA-DQ2/DQ8\(^{(276–278)}\); and immune tolerance induction\(^{(279,280)}\).

### Peptide permeability: is it the cause or consequence of intestinal disorders?

That intestinal barrier dysfunction is the primary cause of intestinal disorders, such as food allergy, CeD and IBD, involving the transformation of antigenic tolerance into antigenic sensitisation following the excessive absorption of antigens, is a longstanding hypothesis to explain the pathogenesis of these diseases\(^{(28)}\). However, in food allergy, constitutive abnormality in intestinal permeability is unrecorded, and permeability to horseradish peroxidase in infants with active bovine milk allergy returns to normal following treatment with a milk-free diet\(^{(281)}\). Infection might be the triggering factor in CeD where inflammation increases intestinal permeability to gliadin peptides and thus immune responses in susceptible individuals. Rotavirus infection is associated with a higher risk of CeD in early childhood\(^{(282)}\). Increased permeability to intact gliadin peptides observed in active CeD\(^{(283)}\) ceases in most coeliac patients once treated with a gluten-free diet.

The hypothesis that a primary defect is the cause of intestinal barrier dysfunction in patients with IBD arises from observations of hyperpermeability in CD patients before phenotypic symptoms arise and an increased permeability in the healthy relatives of IBD patients\(^{(222)}\). A genetic susceptibility to CD has been indicated by genome-wide association studies where a number of distinct genomic loci are involved in the maintenance of epithelial barrier integrity\(^{(8,284)}\). Variants of the myosin IXB (myo 9B) gene, that codes for the myosin IX motor protein, have been associated with IBD\(^{(285,286)}\) and CeD\(^{(287)}\), and may suggest a primary defect in intestinal permeability in these diseases\(^{(288)}\). Foster & Zheng\(^{(299)}\) demonstrated that the inflammatory cytokine IFN-γ increases intestinal PepT1 expression, which in turn mediates the absorption of the proinflammatory bacterial peptide fMLP\(^{(288)}\). They demonstrated that cephalixin inhibits fMLP transport across cultured intestinal monolayers, which partially attenuates polymorphonuclear leucocyte-induced intestinal hyperpermeability. From their data it may be concluded that the use of pharmacological PepT1 substrates such as cephalixin may represent a novel means of preserving intestinal barrier integrity\(^{(199)}\).

### Current therapy

Steroids remain the conventional treatment in acute inflammation of CD\(^{(289)}\). The two main immunosuppressant drugs are azathioprine and methotrexate\(^{(289)}\). Unfortunately, current anti-inflammatory therapy remains unsatisfactory due to substantial side effects and uncontrolled relapses\(^{(25)}\). Treatment with antibiotics are only effective in limited situations probably due to the modification of commensal microbiota\(^{(25)}\). Probiotics can modify luminal microbiota to suppress pathogens by producing inhibitory substances including H2O2, organic acids and bacteriocins, substances which inhibit both the proliferation of pathogens, toxin production and bacterial metabolism\(^{(2)}\).

Although the treatment of colitis in human patients with *Lactobacillus plantarum* has yet to be established, it has been reported to be effective in the treatment and prevention of colitis in *B10*–*c* mice\(^{(12,148,201)}\) by modulating the apical junctional complex proteins and PepT1-mediated transepithelial transport\(^{(293)}\). Probiotic bacteria like *E. coli* Nissle 1917 can induce antimicrobial peptides\(^{(292,293)}\) mediated by a specific flagellin\(^{(294)}\). It has been shown to be as effective as mesalazine in maintaining remission in UC\(^{(295)}\) although its effectiveness is much lower in the treatment of CD.

Since the advent of anti-TNF-α agents in the mid-1990s the treatment of IBD has changed significantly. Potent anti-inflammatory medications for the treatment of IBD have emerged. Infliximab has proved effective for the induction and maintenance of remission in CD and UC that leads to mucosal healing, the reduction of hospitalisations and surgeries, the closure of intestinal fistula and the improvement of patients’ quality of life\(^{(296)}\). Infliximab is a chimeric anti-TNF-α antibody that binds to proinflammatory TNF-α and induces complement activation and the apoptosis of inflammatory cells\(^{(295)}\). However, the high cost of treatment and the uncertainty of long-term safety have led to limited clinical use. A number of toxicity issues related to anti-TNF-α therapies have been reported including cases of heart failure and mycobacterial infections with the possible development of autoimmune disease, lymphomas and neurological disorders\(^{(297–300)}\).

Many researchers report that although antibiotics and some probiotics may alleviate some of the symptoms of IBD they do not reduce inflammation completely\(^{(198,222,301–304)}\). Surely if the action of bacterial di- and tripeptides were the sole cause of inflammation, antibiotics would be expected to remove such inflammation completely. However, this is not the case and would indicate that bacterial di- and tripeptides are not the only cause of inflammation in IBD.

As PepT1 is up-regulated in IBD, and absorbs proinflammatory peptides such as fMLP (in UC) and is linked to NOD receptors, it is a worthy target for IBD therapeutics. Although a number of researchers have characterised novel high-affinity inhibitors for PepT1 and their use for the delivery of...
peptidomimetic drugs (305–308), few have explored the application of PepT1 inhibitors in the treatment of IBD. However, a number of peptides have been identified as having PepT1-mediated anti-inflammatory activity in animal models and these include Lys-Pro-Val (309) and Lys-Pro-Tyr (310) peptides and the peptidomimetic drug cephalxin (109). In the future such enteral peptidomimetic drugs and nutritional oligopeptides may offer patients with IBD an alternative to conventional treatments.

IL-6, released by activated submucosal macrophages and CD4+ T cells, is a key factor in the uncontrolled inflammatory process of IBD and antibodies against this cytokine have shown promise in phase I and II clinical trials (311, 312). In view of the severe side effects associated with TNF-α therapy there is a need to identify alternative IBD therapeutic strategies that do not entirely block cytokine responses. The application of the blocking protein sgp130 selectively inhibits IL-6 trans-signalling without affecting signals mediated via membrane-bound IL-6R and Mitsuyama et al. (313) have suggested that the use of this strategy in inflammatory disease will lead to fewer side effects.

Recently exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) has been shown to be more effective than corticosteroids in achieving mucosal healing for paediatric CD sufferers (314). Navas-López et al. (314) found that EEN administered for 6–8 weeks was effective in decreasing mucosal inflammation and inducing clinical remission. Although several studies have demonstrated the efficacy of EEN as a maintenance therapy, the long-term effectiveness of EEN has not been investigated fully. If EEN is an effective therapy for the maintenance of remission, it may reduce the use of steroids and immunosuppressive drugs together with reducing the serious adverse events associated with these medications (315). In their study of the long-term administration of enteral aminosalicylates, Konno et al. (315) reduced the rate of intestinal surgery in paediatric CD. However, there are conflicting views on the efficacy of EEN and concomitant infliximab therapy. While Hirai et al. (316) found that cumulative remission was considerably higher in patients receiving EEN than a non-EEN control, Yamamoto et al. (317) found similar remission rates for both groups. In response, Chiba et al. (318) pointed out a number of flaws in the previous studies and showed that the efficacy of EEN during infliximab maintenance therapy is dependent upon when infliximab is first administered. They demonstrated that although there is little benefit for patients with short disease duration, there were substantial benefits for patients of long disease duration (318). Research into the effectiveness of EEN therapy for maintaining remission in both child and adult CD sufferers requires long-term, multisite randomised controlled trials.

Conclusion

There can be little doubt that deleterious proinflammatory di- and tripeptides can cross the intestinal epithelia barrier in relatively small amounts (from a nutritional perspective) and produce an immune response that may result in inflammation. Furthermore, it can also be assumed that inflammation can induce further leakage of the intestinal epithelial barrier. Whereas the intestinal absorption of the bacterial peptides fMLP, MDP and Tri-DAP, via PepT1, cause an inflammatory response there is no reason to believe that these are the only small peptides that can be absorbed via the PepT1 transporter. Other food-borne di- and tripeptides may also resist acid hydrolysis and enzymic proteolysis, causing an immune response that leads to inflammation in IBD. Although a number of researchers have highlighted the potential of toxic food-derived peptides (319, 320), the incidence of IBD even in the antigen-poor Western societies is small in comparison with the number of healthy individuals exposed to the same food antigens and must be considered evident that in normal healthy subjects the transport of both small di- and tripeptides and/or macromolecules is (other than in antigen sampling) below the threshold necessary to cause an inflammatory disease. Other than in disease states, digestive processes both effectively and efficiently degrade dietary proteins so that only a few intact molecules come into contact with antibody molecules and any resultant complexes are unlikely to be absorbed (321).

The present review raises a number of questions that warrant further research. If the main cause of the IBD inflammation is faulty peptide transporters can these be inhibited or attenuated without any detrimental effects to the patients’ nutritional uptake from the intestinal tract? The work of Hu et al. (376) with mice devoid of a functional PepT1 transporter indicates that this may be the case. As β-casomorphin-7 is known to increase the secretion of mucin in the small intestine (241), can this small peptide be utilised therapeutically to increase mucin protection in intestinal tissues denuded of mucus, such as in UC?
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