# ENUMERATION OF INDICES OF GIVEN ALTITUDE AND POTENCY 

by H. MINC<br>(Received 29th December 1958)

Indices of the free logarithmetic $\mathcal{L}$ correspond to bifurcating root-trees (cf. (4)), to Evans' non-associative numbers (3) and to Etherington's partitive numbers (2). The free commutative logarithmetic $\mathfrak{L}_{c}$ is the homomorph of $\mathfrak{L}$ determined by the congruence relation $P+Q \sim Q+P$. Formulæ for $a_{\delta}$ and $p_{a}$, i.e. the numbers of indices of $\mathcal{L}$ of a given potency* $\delta$ and the number of indices of a given altitude $a$ respectively, were given by Etherington (1), who also gave corresponding formulæ for commutative indices of $\mathcal{L}_{c}$. Other enumeration formulæ are contained in (5).

The problem of enumeration of indices of $\mathcal{L}$ of given potency $\delta(\delta>1)$ and given altitude $a\left(\alpha+1 \leqslant \delta \leqslant 2^{a}\right.$, cf. (1), p. 157) is essentially one of finding the number of partitions of a sequence of $\delta$ objects according to the following rules (cf. (2)):
(1) At the first stage the sequence of $\delta$ objects is partitioned so that the first $\kappa$ objects are in the left subsequence and the remaining $\delta-\kappa$ objects in the right subsequence.
(2) At stage $\nu$ all subsequences which do not consist of single elements are again partitioned into a left subsequence and a right subsequence.
(3) There are $\alpha$ stages. After stage $\alpha$ all subsequences consist of single elements.

The corresponding problem for indices of $\mathfrak{L}_{c}$ is equivalent to the enumeration of partitions of an unordered set of $\delta$ identical objects according to similar rules.

As there is an index of potency 1 and altitude 0 we may say that a set of a single element can be partitioned at stage 0 .

Let $p(a, \delta)$ denote the number of indices of altitude $a$ and potency $\delta$. Obviously $p(0,1)=1$. If $a \geqslant 1$, any index $X$ of altitude $a$ and potency $\delta$ is the sum of its left sub-index $X^{\prime}$ and its right sub-index $X^{\prime \prime}$, i.e. $X=X^{\prime}+X^{\prime \prime}$. We can obtain all required indices by :
(1) Letting sub-index $X^{\prime}$ run through all indices of altitude $a-1$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$ through all indices of altitude less than $\alpha-1$ and potency $\delta-\delta_{X^{\prime}}$ (where $\delta_{X^{\prime}}$ denotes the potency of $X^{\prime}$ ). There are

$$
{ }_{d=a}^{\delta-1}\left\{p(a-1, d){ }_{a=0}^{a-2} p(a, \delta-d)\right\}
$$

such indices;
(2) as in (1) but interchanging the roles of $X^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$; and
(3) if $\delta-a \geqslant a$, letting $X^{\prime}$ run through all indices of altitude $a-1$ and

[^0]potency $d(d=a, a+1, \ldots, \delta-a)$, and $X^{\prime \prime}$ through all indices of altitude $a-1$. and potency $\delta-d$. There are
$$
{ }_{d=a}^{\delta-a} p(\alpha-1, d) p(\alpha-1, \delta-d)
$$
of these.
Hence
$$
p(a, \delta)={ }_{d=a}^{\delta} \bar{\Sigma}^{1}\left\{p(a-1, d)\left({ }_{a=0}^{a-2} 2 p(a, \delta-d)+p(a-1, \delta-d)\right)\right\}
$$
where $p(x, y)=0$ whenever $x+1>y$ or $y>2^{x}$.
Denote the number of commutative indices of $\mathcal{L}_{c}$ of altitude $a$ and potency $\delta$ by $q(\alpha, \delta)$. Then $q(0,1)=1$. If $\alpha \geqslant 1$ and $X=X^{\prime}+X^{\prime \prime}$ is an index of altitude $a$ and potency $\delta$, we obtain all such non-congruent indices by :
(1) letting $X^{\prime}$ run through all indices of $\mathscr{L}_{c}$ of altitude $\alpha-1$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$ through all indices of altitude less than $a-1$ and of potency $\delta-\delta_{X^{\prime}}$. There are
$$
\sum_{d=a}^{8-1}\left\{q(a-1, d) \sum_{a=0}^{a-2} q(a, \delta-d)\right\}
$$
such indices; and
(2) (a) if $\delta$ is odd and $\frac{1}{2}(\delta-1) \geqslant a$, letting $X^{\prime}$ run through all indices of $\mathfrak{L}_{c}$ of altitude $\alpha-1$ and potency $d\left(d=\alpha, \alpha+1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}(\delta-1)\right)$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$ through all indices of altitude $a-1$ and potency $\delta-d$. There are
of these.
$$
\sum_{d=a}^{\frac{1}{(\delta-1)}} q(a-1 ; d) q(\alpha-1, \delta-d)
$$
(b) if $\delta$ is even and $\frac{1}{2} \delta-1 \geqslant a$
(i) letting $X^{\prime}$ run through all indices of $\mathcal{L}_{c}$ of altitude $\alpha-1$ and potency $d\left(d=a, a+1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2} \delta-1\right)$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$ through all indices of altitude $\alpha-1$ and potency $\delta-d$. There are
$$
{ }_{d=a}^{\sum_{d}^{\delta}-1} q(\alpha-1, d) q(a-1, \delta-d)
$$
of these ; and
(ii) letting both $X^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime \prime}$ run through all indices of $\mathfrak{L}_{c}$ of altitude $a-1$ and potency $\frac{1}{2} \delta$ but taking only one index from each thus obtained pair of congruent indices except when $X^{\prime} \sim X^{\prime \prime}$. There are
$$
\frac{1}{2} q\left(\alpha-1, \frac{1}{2} \delta\right)\left\{q\left(\alpha-1, \frac{1}{2} \delta\right)+1\right\}
$$
of these.
Thus
$$
q(\alpha, \delta)=\sum_{d=a}^{\delta-1}\left\{q(a-1, d) \sum_{a=0}^{a-2} q(a, \delta-d)\right\}+Q(a, \delta)
$$
where
\[

Q(a, \delta)=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{d=}(\delta-1) \\
\sum_{d=a}^{2}
\end{array}(a-1, d) q(a-1, \delta-d), \text { if } \delta \text { is odd, } \\
\sum_{d=a}^{\frac{1}{2}-1} q(a-1, d) q(a-1, \delta-d) \\
\quad+\frac{1}{2} q\left(a-1, \frac{1}{2} \delta\right)\left\{q\left(a-1, \frac{1}{2} \delta\right)+1\right\}, \text { if } \delta \text { is even. }
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

We calculate

| $a$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\delta$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| $p(a, \delta)$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 20 | 40 | 68 | 94 | 114 | 116 | 94 | 60 | 28 | 8 | 1 |
| $q(\alpha, \delta)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
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[^0]:    * Potency, representing the number of free knots in a tree, was called degree by Etherington and length by Evans.

