The potential health benefits of legumes as a good source of dietary fibre
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Dietary fibre has been shown to have important health implications in the prevention of risks of chronic diseases. The objective of the present study was to determine the potential health benefits of legumes as a good source of dietary fibre. Six to ten local legumes were studied as follows: cowpeas, mung beans, pole sitao, chickpeas, green peas, groundnuts, pigeon peas, kidney beans, lima beans and soyabean. The following studies were conducted: (a) mineral availability, in vitro; (b) glycaemic index (GI) in non-diabetic and diabetic human subjects; (c) the cholesterol-lowering effect in human subjects with moderately raised serum cholesterol levels. The highest Fe availability among legumes was for lima beans (9·5 (SEM 0·1)) while for Zn and Ca, the highest availability was for kidney beans (49·3 (SEM 4·5)) and pigeon peas (75·1 (SEM 7·1)), respectively. Groundnuts have the lowest Fe (1·3 (SEM 1·1)), Zn (7·9 (SEM 1·3)) and Ca (14·6 (SEM 2·8)) availability. Legumes are low-GI foods (<55), ranging from 6 (chickpeas) to 13 (mung beans). Kidney beans showed significant reductions for both total (6 %) and LDL-cholesterol (9 %), and groundnuts for total cholesterol (7 %; \( P<0.05 \)). We conclude that mineral availability from legumes differs and may be attributed to their mineral content, mineral–mineral interaction and from their phytic and tannic acid content; legumes are considered low-GI foods and have shown potential hypocholesterolaemic effects. The above studies can be a scientific basis for considering legumes as functional foods.

Legumes: Functional foods: Dietary fibre

Dietary fibre has been shown to have important health implications in the prevention of risks of chronic diseases such as cancer, CVD and diabetes mellitus. It comes from the family of carbohydrates, an NSP, not digested in the small intestine but may be fermented in the colon into SCFA family of carbohydrates, an NSP, not digested in the small intestine but may be fermented in the colon into SCFA such as acetate, propionate and butyrate. SCFA contribute 6·3–8·4 kJ/g (1·5–2·0 kcal/g) dietary fibre (1). They enhance water absorption in the colon, and thus prevent constipation. Propionate has been shown to inhibit the activity of the enzyme hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA reductase, the limiting enzyme for cholesterol synthesis. Dietary fibre has the ability to bind with bile acids and prevents their reabsorption in the liver, and thus inhibit cholesterol synthesis (2). Butyrate enhances cell differentiation, thus preventing tumour formation in the colon (3). Dietary fibre’s viscous and fibrous structure can control the release of glucose with time in the blood, thus helping in the proper control and management of diabetes mellitus and obesity (4,5). The glycaemic index (GI), a classification of food based on the blood glucose response relative to a starchy food, for example, white bread, or a standard glucose solution, has been proposed as a therapeutic principle for diabetes mellitus by slowing carbohydrate absorption (4,5). Low-GI foods, for example, high-dietary fibre foods, have been shown to reduce postprandial blood glucose and insulin responses and improve the overall blood glucose and lipid concentrations in normal subjects and patients with diabetes mellitus (6–9). A previous study on dietary fibre and fermentability of legumes showed that legumes are good sources of dietary fibre (21–47 g/100 g sample), fermentable in the colon, and produce SCFA such as acetate, propionate and butyrate (10).

The general objective of the present study is to determine the potential health benefits of legumes as good sources of dietary fibre. The specific objectives are as follows: (a) to determine mineral availability in vitro from legumes; (b) to determine the GI of legumes from non-diabetic and diabetic human subjects; (c) to determine the cholesterol-lowering effect of legumes in human subjects with moderately raised serum cholesterol level. The utilisation of legumes as functional foods will not only solve the problem of micronutrient deficiencies and chronic diseases now prevailing in almost all countries but also encourage the industry and farmers to produce value-added or healthy products from legumes.

Materials and methods

Test foods

In the present study ten legumes were used as test foods: cowpeas (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.), mung beans (V. radiata (L.) R. Wilczek), pole sitao (V. unguiculata subsp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verde), chickpeas (Cicer arietinum), green peas (Pisum sativum L.), groundnuts (Arachis hypogaea L.), pigeon peas (Cajanus cajan), kidney beans (Phaseolus

Abbreviation: GI, glycaemic index.
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The proximate analysis, and analysis of dietary fibre and SCFA content of legumes were performed previously. Total Fe, Zn and Ca were analysed by the wet digestion method. A 0·1 g sample of freeze-dried test food was weighed and dissolved in 1 ml of 36 N-sulfuric acid (Analytical Reagent; Ajax Chemical, Auburn, NSW, Australia) and 3 ml of 30 % H2O2 (Analytical Reagent; Ajax Chemical), and made up to 50 ml volume with double deionised water. For Ca, the digested sample was made up to 50 ml volume with 10 mm-lanthanum chloride (Analytical Reagent, Asia Pacific Specialty Chemical Limited, Seven Hills, NSW, Australia). The resulting solution was read in an atomic absorption spec-

trophotometer (Buck Scientific, East Norwalk, CT, USA). Phytic acid using the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) method and tannic acids were also analysed from the test food.

Study 1: Determination of mineral availability, in vitro

Fe, Zn and Ca availability was determined following the method of Trinidad et al. This method simulated the amount of mineral released that can be potentially absorbed in the small intestine and colon.

Study 2: Glycaemic index of legumes

In the study eight legumes were used as test foods: cowpeas, mung beans, pole sitao, chickpeas, green peas, groundnuts, pigeon peas and kidney beans. The test foods were prepared at the Nutrient Availability Section, Food and Nutrition Research Institute, Department of Science and Technology. Legumes were soaked in water overnight, boiled the next day (time of cooking ranged from 20 to 45 min), cooled over-

night and fed to subjects after warming them in a microwave oven. The control (standard) food was white bread prepared in a bread maker (Regal Kitchen Pro Collection, KCTNO4584, made in China, serviced in USA) following the formulation of Wolever et al. as follows: 334 g flour (Wooden Spoon All Purpose Flour; Pilimico Mauri Food Corporation, Kwalan Cove, Iligan City, Philippines), 4 g salt, 5 g yeast, 7 g sucrose and 330 ml water per 250 g carbohydrate loaf. Crust ends were not used for the test meals.

Study participants. Non-diabetic (n 7) and diabetic (n 6) human subjects (type 2, non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus) were physically examined by a medical doctor and eval-

uated by an endocrinologist on the basis of the following criteria: non-diabetics: BMI 20–30 kg/m2 (WHO criteria), fasting blood glucose 4–7 mmol/l, aged 35–60 years, no physical defect and non-smokers; diabetics: BMI 20–30 kg/m2, fasting blood glucose 7·5–11·0 mmol/l, aged 35–60 years, no intake of drugs, no complications and non-

smokers. Each subject was interviewed for physical activity and was asked to fill up a 3 d food intake recall form. Subjects with common food intake (pattern) and physical activity were included in the study. The participants’ inclusion in the study was also based on fasting serum uric acid not greater than 405 μmol/l. The diabetic participants were managed through dietary consultations and advice.

Protocol of the study. Using a randomised cross-over design, the control and test foods were fed in random order on separate occasions after an overnight fast. The control and test foods contained 50 g available carbohydrates. The participants were told to fast overnight (10–12 h) before the start of the study. Feeding of white bread and test foods were repeated twice.

Blood samples approximately of 0·3–0·4 ml were collected by finger pricked before and after feeding in a 4 mm diameter and 10 cm long capillary tubing (PYREX; Corning, Inc., Corning, NY, USA) and sealed (Jockel Seal Sticks Cement, catalogue no. 2454 W15; AH Thomas, Philadelphia, PA, USA). For non-diabetic participants, samples were collected at 0 h and every 15 min after feeding for 1 h and every 30 min for the next 1 h while for diabetics, samples were collected at 0 h and at 30 min interval after feeding for a period of 3 h. The serum was separated from the blood using a refriger-

ated centrifuge after all the blood was collected (Expender Centrifuge; Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany), and analysed for glucose levels on the same day using a clinical chemistry analyser (ARTAX Menarini Diagnostics, Firenze, Italy) after calibration with the glucose standard (Glucolix Reagent 1; Menarini Diagnostics, Firenze, Italy). The area under the glucose response curve for each food, ignoring area below the fasting level, was calculated geometrically. The GI of each food was expressed as the percentage of the mean glucose response of the test food divided by the standard food taken by the same subject and was determined by the following formula:

\[
GI = \frac{(IAUC of the test food \times 100)}{(IAUC of the standard food)};
\]

where IAUC is the incremental area under the glucose response curve.

Study 3: Cholesterol-lowering effect in human subjects with moderately raised serum cholesterol levels

In the study six legumes were used, as follows: mung beans, chickpeas, green peas, groundnuts, pigeon peas and kidney beans. The test foods were prepared as described above.

Study participants. The study participants were selected based on the following criteria: moderately raised serum cholesterol level (200–239 mg cholesterol per 100 g serum based on WHO criteria); aged 30–60 years, no drug intake for cholesterol-lowering and no complications. They were interviewed to obtain data on their usual 3 d food intake, physical activity and smoking habits. The total number of study participants in the study was twenty (eighteen females and two males).

Protocol of the study. The study was conducted in a 22-week period (5·5 months), consisting of six 2-week experimental periods, each experimental period separated by a 2-week washout period for a total of five washout periods. The test foods contained 50 g available carbohydrates.
Study participants served as their own control. The study participants were made to fast overnight (10–12 h fasting) before the study. They were weighed, their blood pressure measured and a sample of blood from their forearm vein was taken. The study participants were given the test foods to consume every day to ensure compliance of the participants, except on Fridays when three test foods were given to include Saturday and Sunday intakes. They recorded their respective food intakes for the duration of the experimental study. On day 15, blood was drawn from the participants after an overnight fast. Blood samples were taken into plain glass tubes from the forearm vein, left to clot at room temperature, centrifuged, and the serum separated. Total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol and TAG were measured in a clinical chemistry analyser (ARTAX; Menarini Diagnostic, Florence, Italy) against standards (cholesterol, cholesterol standard, 2000 mg/l; HDL-cholesterol, cholesterol standard, 500 mg/l; TAG, glycerol standard, 2000 mg/l; all Sentinel CH, Milan, Italy). The amount of LDL was estimated from the formula used by Wolever et al.\(^{(10)}\) as follows:

\[
\text{LDL} = ((\text{total cholesterol} - \text{HDL}) - \text{TAG})/2.2.
\]

The study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the National Human Ethics Committee, Philippine Council for Health Research and Development, Department of Science and Technology, Metro Manila, Philippines. Voluntary written consent forms were obtained from the study participants.

### Statistical analysis

The sample size was chosen to achieve 80 % power at the 5 % level of significance. Differences between test foods and biomarkers were determined by two-way repeated-measures ANOVA and Duncan’s multiple-range test, and correlation coefficients were determined to relate GI and the different nutrients present in the test foods using SAS (SAS Institute, Inc., Gary, NC, USA).

#### Results

**Study 1: Determination of mineral availability, in vitro**

Table 1 shows the total mineral, phytic acid and tannic acid content of legumes. Among the legumes, soyabeans, pole sitao, cowpeas and mung beans are the best sources of Fe while pole sitao, groundnuts and cowpeas are the best sources of Zn. Soyabeans, kidney beans, chickpeas and pigeon peas are the best sources of Ca. A previous study showed that the best source of dietary fibre among legumes was soyabeans (46.9 g per 100 g sample) followed by pole sitao (35 g per 100 g sample) and cowpeas (34 g per 100 g sample).\(^{(10)}\) Soyabeans have the highest phytic acid content followed by cowpeas, mung beans and groundnuts. Tannic acid is highest in pigeon peas followed by pole sitao and cowpeas. Dialysable mineral as a percentage of total mineral content of legumes is used as a measure of mineral availability. Fe availability is significantly greater from lima beans (Table 2; \(P<0.05\)), while for Zn availability, kidney beans and lima beans (\(P<0.05\)). Ca availability is significantly greater from pigeon peas, green peas and pole sitao (\(P<0.05\)).

**Study 2: Glycaemic index of legumes**

The BMI of the non-diabetic subjects was found to be 25.0 (SD 0.6) kg/m\(^2\); for the diabetics, BMI was 26.8 (SD 1.2) kg/m\(^2\). There were no significant differences between the non-diabetic and diabetic subjects for age and BMI. There were significant differences observed between subjects for the fasting blood glucose; that of diabetic subjects (7.5–8.5 mmol/l glucose) was significantly greater than that of the normal subjects (4.6–6.0 mmol/l glucose; \(P<0.05\)). The initial blood glucose obtained from the non-diabetic participants for all test foods did not exceed 6.0 mmol/l. All participants were able to consume all test foods (white bread twice, test foods twice). Table 3 shows the GI of the test foods. The GI of the test foods were adjusted to 0.7 to obtain GI value for the same food on the glucose scale. Local legumes are considered low-GI foods (GI < 55) (Table 3). Significant differences in GI were observed in non-diabetic and diabetic participants for the following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>Mean: 10.6 ± 0.1, SEM: 0.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Mean: 10.7 ± 0.2, SEM: 0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Mean: 11.3 ± 0.4, SEM: 0.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Mean: 7.5 ± 0.3, SEM: 0.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 7.3 ± 0.3, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Mean: 7.9 ± 0.5, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>Mean: 8.7 ± 0.3, SEM: 0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 8.0 ± 0.4, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 8.4 ± 0.5, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>Mean: 16.4 ± 0.3, SEM: 0.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>Mean: 20.9 ± 0.2, SEM: 0.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Mean: 27.3 ± 0.9, SEM: 0.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>Mean: 20.0 ± 0.1, SEM: 0.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>Mean: 51.7 ± 0.4, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 33.1 ± 0.5, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 54.7 ± 0.7, SEM: 0.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>Mean: 54.8 ± 1.0, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 56.2 ± 0.8, SEM: 1.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 51.7 ± 0.9, SEM: 1.0 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 75.5 ± 1.2, SEM: 1.0 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>Mean: 542.4 ± 0.8, SEM: 10.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>Mean: 488.6 ± 0.8, SEM: 0.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 433.7 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 278.9 ± 0.2, SEM: 0.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Mean: 308.5 ± 0.8, SEM: 3.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 342.4 ± 0.6, SEM: 5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 433.7 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 342.4 ± 0.6, SEM: 5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 342.4 ± 0.6, SEM: 5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 721.1 ± 0.8, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytic acid</td>
<td>Mean: 368.8 ± 0.8, SEM: 6.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 269.8 ± 1.6, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 522.6 ± 4.3, SEM: 0.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>Mean: 72.1 ± 0.8, SEM: 2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Mean: 81.1 ± 0.8, SEM: 2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Mean: 312.6 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 368.8 ± 0.8, SEM: 6.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 312.6 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 312.6 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 368.8 ± 0.8, SEM: 6.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tannic acid</td>
<td>Mean: 10.4 ± 0.8, SEM: 0.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>Mean: 368.8 ± 0.8, SEM: 6.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 72.1 ± 0.8, SEM: 2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Mean: 312.6 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 368.8 ± 0.8, SEM: 6.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 312.6 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 368.8 ± 0.8, SEM: 6.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>Mean: 312.6 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 312.6 ± 0.8, SEM: 4.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>Mean: 368.8 ± 0.8, SEM: 6.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\)-\(h\): Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (\(P<0.05\)).

\(a\)-\(d\): Mean values for minerals within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (\(P<0.05\)).

\(a\): The mineral, phytic acid and tannic acid contents of legumes were analysed as part of the mineral availability, in vitro study.
legumes: cowpeas, mung beans, pole sitao and kidney beans (Table 3; \( P \leq 0.05 \)).

**Study 3: Cholesterol-lowering effect in human subjects with moderately raised serum cholesterol levels**

There was a decreasing trend in both total and LDL-cholesterol among study participants fed with legumes for 14 d (Table 4). However, only kidney beans gave significant decreases for both total (6 %) and LDL-cholesterol (9 %) within 14 d (Table 4). Similar results were observed for TAG (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Mineral availability from legumes differed and may be attributed to the mineral content, mineral–mineral interaction, and previous studies on dietary fibre and GI of foods (4,5,15). The delay in the glycaemic responses of legumes similar to previous studies on dietary fibre and GI of foods (4,5,15). The increasing levels of dietary fibre, viscosity, cooking, particle size, form of food and starch structure may all be attributed to slower nutrient absorption and delayed transit time (22–24). Considering the dietary fibre present in whole foods, insoluble fibre was related more strongly to the GI than soluble fibre content (23). The insoluble fibre content of legumes was significantly greater than that of soluble fibre in the present study (23). On the other hand, most of the legumes studied contain protein in the range of 20–28 g per 100 g (10). According to several

**Table 2. Mineral availability in vitro from legumes\(^*\)**

*(Mean values with their standard errors)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral availability in vitro from legumes (mg/mg)</th>
<th>Percentage of total mineral content</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Ca</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cowpeas (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mung beans (V. radiata (L.) R. Wilczek)</td>
<td>1.9±2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>46.9±y</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole sitao (V. unguiculata subsp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verde)</td>
<td>3.8±y</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>16.7±y</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickpeas (Cicer arietinum)</td>
<td>4.1±y</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>30.6±y</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundnuts (Arachis hypogaea L.)</td>
<td>1.3±2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.9±y</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeon peas (Cajanus cajan)</td>
<td>2.7±2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>31.9±y</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.)</td>
<td>5.7±2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>49.3±x</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima beans (Phaseolus lunatus)</td>
<td>9.5±x</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>47.4±y</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans (Glycine soja)</td>
<td>8.2±x</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>21.8±y</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{\text{a,b}} \) Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (\( P \leq 0.05 \)).

\( ^{\text{a,b}} \) Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (\( P \leq 0.05 \)).

*Mineral availability in vitro estimates the mineral released from food for potential absorption in the small intestine and colon.

**Table 3. Glycaemic index (GI) of local legumes in non-diabetic and diabetic participants\(^*\)**

*(Mean values with their standard errors)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glycaemic index (GI) of local legumes in non-diabetic and diabetic participants (Mean values with their standard errors)</th>
<th>Non-diabetic (( n ) 7)</th>
<th>Diabetic (( n ) 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cowpeas (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.)</td>
<td>1.4±y</td>
<td>7.8±y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mung beans (V. radiata (L.) R. Wilczek)</td>
<td>15.4±y</td>
<td>11.4±y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pole sitao (V. unguiculata subsp. sesquipedalis (L.) Verde)</td>
<td>9.5±x</td>
<td>6.9±x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickpeas (Cicer arietinum)</td>
<td>6.5±x</td>
<td>5.5±x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green peas (Pisum sativum L.)</td>
<td>2.9±x</td>
<td>5.5±x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundnuts (Arachis hypogaea L.)</td>
<td>7.6±x</td>
<td>7.6±x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeon peas (Cajanus cajan)</td>
<td>9.6±x</td>
<td>7.4±b,c,x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.)</td>
<td>13.6±x</td>
<td>9.6±b,y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^{\text{a,b,c,x}} \) Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (\( P \leq 0.05 \)).

\( ^{\text{a,b,c,x}} \) Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different (\( P \leq 0.05 \)).

* The GI of the different legumes were calculated from the glucose response of the food ingested in diabetic and non-diabetic participants by dividing the incremental area under the curve (IAUC) of the legume by the IAUC of standard glucose multiplied by 100.
investigators, 20–30 g dietary protein increased insulin responses sufficiently and reduced glycaemic responses especially in individuals with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus(25,26). The protein content of the test foods may have played a significant role in the low GI of some legumes tested. The fat content of legumes ranged from 0·2 to 5·8 g per 100 g and may not affect the glycaemic responses of the study participants from the foods ingested(10). A sufficient amount of fat in food (23 g fat/kg) can cause an early (0–90 min) decrease in glucose response but does not affect the overall glucose response to food(25). The differences in glucose responses between the two groups (non-diabetics and diabetics) may be due to rates of digestion and absorption in relation to the food ingested.

Legumes have been shown to be hypercholesterolaemic foods, for example, kidney beans and groundnuts. Studies on mixed legumes suggested that their consumption lowers LDL-cholesterol by partially interrupting the enterohepatic circulation of bile acids and increases cholesterol saturation of bile by increasing the secretion of cholesterol(27). Consumption of pinto beans showed decreases in both LDL- and HDL-cholesterol without affecting serum TAG, VLDL-cholesterol, or glucose(28). Epidemiological studies revealed significant inverse relationships between legume intake and the risk of CVD and CHD. The hypocholesterolaemic property of dietary fibre in legumes is associated with the water-soluble fraction of fibre which is fermentable in the colon, for example, galactomannans, uronic acid, glucomannans and galacturonic acids. However, various water-soluble fibres may differ in their ability to reduce serum cholesterol(29,30). The Lipid Research Clinics Coronary Primary Prevention Trial predicted that for every 1 % decrease in serum cholesterol concentration, there is a decreased risk of CHD of 2 %(31). There was no significant increase or decrease in HDL-cholesterol levels of all study participants. The concentration of serum HDL-cholesterol is affected by alcohol intake and BMI(32). However, all study participants were not alcohol drinkers and BMI was not significantly different between participants during the duration of the experimental period. The study on cholesterol-lowering effects was a short-term (acute) study. A longer-term nutrition intervention study may give a more conclusive result.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion: (a) mineral availability from legumes studied differed and may be attributed to the mineral content, mineral–mineral interaction, and the presence of phytic and tannic acids; (b) legumes are considered to be low-GI foods (GI < 55); (c) some legumes have shown hypocholesterolaemic effects. The study may have a significant role in the proper control and management of chronic diseases, for example, obesity, diabetes mellitus, cancer and CVD, and may also contribute in decreasing the prevalence of Fe, Zn and Ca deficiency. The study can be a scientific basis for considering legumes as functional foods or functional food ingredients to supplement rice, bread and other food products. The utilisation of legumes as functional foods will also encourage the industry and farmers to produce value-added or healthy products from legumes as well as increase their production.
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