

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

ERRATUM

Why they shared: recovering early arguments for sharing social scientific data – ERRATUM

Emily Hauptmann

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0269889720000204, Published online by Cambridge University Press, 15 March 2021

Keywords: Data sharing; University of Michigan; Institute for Social Research (ISR); Interuniversity Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR); Angus Campbell; Warren Miller; social science history; political science, erratum

Cambridge University Press would like to apologise for the following error in above article (Hauptmann, 2020).

The paragraph at the end of page 102 should have read:

The second example comes from long-time staff member Erik Austin's recent account of the early years of ICPR. According to Austin, the prevailing attitude towards data sharing in the midtwentieth century was an unfavorable mix of hostility and incomprehension.

"Scientific data from all disciplines were jealously guarded by their developers/creators as private resources ..."

Reference

Hauptmann, E. 2020. "Why they shared: recovering early arguments for sharing social scientific data". Science in Context. 33, 101–119

Cite this article: Hauptmann, Emily. 2021. "Why they Shared: Recovering Early Arguments for Sharing Social Scientific Data – ERRATUM," Science in Context 34:187. doi:10.1017/S0269889721000107