

## A NOTE ON NILPOTENT JORDAN RINGS

BY  
WALLACE S. MARTINDALE, III

ABSTRACT. Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free associative ring with involution. It is shown that if the set  $S$  of symmetric elements is nilpotent as a Jordan ring then  $R$  is nilpotent.

Let  $R$  be an associative ring with involution which is 2-torsion free. The set  $S$  of symmetric elements is closed under the Jordan product  $x \circ y = xy + yx$  and the set  $K$  of skew elements is closed under  $[x, y] = xy - yx$ . We define  $S^{(1)} = S$ ,  $S^{(k+1)} = S^{(k)} \circ S$  and say that  $S$  is Jordan nilpotent (of degree  $n$ ) if  $S^{(n)} = 0$  (with  $n$  minimal). This definition is equivalent to the condition that there exists an  $m$  such that any Jordan product of  $m$  elements of  $S$ , no matter how associated, is equal to 0 (see, e.g., [2], p. 18, Theorem 2.4). In this note we prove the following result.

**THEOREM.** *If  $S$  is Jordan nilpotent of degree  $n$  then  $R$  is nilpotent of degree  $\leq 3 \cdot 5^{n-1}$ .*

Our interest in this matter stems from the following question posed in [1] (p. 195, Question 5.4):

Let  $G$  be a finite group of Jordan automorphisms of a ring such that  $R$  has no additive  $G$ -torsion. If the Jordan ring  $R^G$  of fixed elements is Jordan nilpotent, must  $R$  be nilpotent as an associative ring?

The question in general remains open and appears difficult. However, our theorem does answer in the affirmative a special case of the question, namely when  $R$  is a ring with involution  $*$  and  $G = \{1, *\}$ . Here, of course,  $R^G$  coincides with the symmetric elements  $S$ . We have subsequently learned from I. P. Shestakov that O. N. Smirnov (Novosibirsk) in a paper submitted to the Siberian Math J., has in fact proved more general results, still in the special case  $G = \{1, *\}$ :

(i) If  $R$  is associative and  $S$  is solvable (i.e.,  $S^{[n]} = 0$  where  $S^{[k+1]} = S^{[k]} \circ S^{[k]}$ ) then  $R$  is nilpotent.

(ii) If  $R$  is alternative and  $S$  is solvable, then  $R$  is solvable.

---

Received by the editors December 9, 1985, and, in final revised form, June 30, 1987.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 16A68.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1987.

(iii) If  $R$  is alternative and  $S$  is nilpotent, then  $R$  is nilpotent if  $S$  generates  $R$  but in general  $R$  need not be nilpotent.

Shestakov has also pointed out the following results of A. P. Semjonov (Jakustsk), which have been submitted for publication:

If  $R$  is a Jordan algebra and  $G$  is a finite group of automorphisms of  $R$  then:

- (i)  $R^G$  P.I. implies  $R$  P.I.
- (ii)  $R^G$  nil of bounded index implies  $R$  nil of bounded index.
- (iii)  $R^G$  solvable and  $\text{char. } R = 0$  implies  $R$  solvable.

Before proceeding to the proof of the theorem we make some remarks and fix some notation.

First we indicate those properties of  $S$  and  $K$  which are needed for the theorem, namely,  $S$  and  $K$  are additive subgroups of  $R$  such that

- (1)  $S \circ S \subseteq S$ .
- (2)  $S$  is closed under tetrads  $\{x_1x_2x_3x_4\} = x_1x_2x_3x_4 + x_4x_3x_2x_1$ .
- (3)  $[S, K] \subseteq S$ .
- (4)  $2K^3 \subseteq S + SK + KS$  (just consider  $2abc = (abc - cba) + c(b \circ a) - (c \circ a)b + a(c \circ b)$  for  $a, b, c \in K$ ).
- (5)  $2R \subseteq S + K$ .

Furthermore, since  $R$  may be localized at the powers of 2 in view of  $R$  being 2-torsion free, we may assume without loss of generality that 2 is a bijection on  $R$  and accordingly we may replace (4) and (5) by

- (4)'  $K^3 \subseteq S + SK + KS$ .
- (5)'  $R = S + K$ .

We will also find it useful to define the following sets:

$$P_r \text{ (resp. } Q_r) = \text{the span of products of elements of } S \cup K$$

which contain at least  $r$  factors from  $S$  (resp., whose first  $r$  factors lie in  $S$ ).

$$U_{k,p} \text{ (resp. } V_{k,p}) = \text{the span of products of elements of } S^{(k)}$$

which contain at least  $p$  factors from  $S^{(k+1)}$  (resp., whose first  $p$  factors lie in  $S^{(k+1)}$ ).

LEMMA.  $(S^{(k)})^{5m} \subseteq (S^{(k+1)})^m R$ .

PROOF. For  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in S^{(k)}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 &= x_1 \circ \{x_2x_3x_4x_5\} - x_2x_3x_4x_5x_1 - x_5x_4x_3x_2x_1 - x_1x_5x_4x_3x_2 \\ &\equiv -3x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 \pmod{U_{k,1}} \end{aligned}$$

where (2) was invoked and repeated use was made of  $st \equiv -ts \pmod{S^{(k+1)}}$  for  $s \in S^{(k)}$ ,  $t \in S$ . Therefore  $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5 \in U_{k,1}$ , i.e.,  $(S^{(k)})^5 \subseteq U_{k,1}$ , and consequently  $(S^{(k)})^{5m} \subseteq U_{k,m}$ . Repeated use of the relation  $st \equiv -ts \pmod{S^{(k+1)}}$ ,  $s \in S^{(k)}$ ,  $t \in S^{(k+1)}$  shows that  $U_{k,m} \subseteq V_{k,m} \subseteq (S^{(k+1)})^m R$  and the lemma is proved.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We are given that  $S^{(n)} = 0$ . Iteration of the lemma then yields  $S^{(n-k)5^k} \subseteq S^{(n)}R = 0$ , and so for  $k = n - 1$  we have

$$(6) \quad S^p = 0, p = 5^{n-1}.$$

Since  $R = S + K$  it suffices to show that  $x_1x_2 \dots x_{3p} = 0$  where each  $x_i \in S \cup K$ . Now (4)' assures us that  $x_1x_2 \dots x_{3p} \in P_p$ , and after repeated application of  $as \equiv sa \pmod{S}$ ,  $s \in S$ ,  $a \in K$  we see that  $x_1x_2 \dots x_{3p} \in Q_p$ . Therefore (6) forces  $R^{3p} = 0$  and the proof is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. Wallace S. Martindale, III and Susan Montgomery, *Fixed elements of Jordan automorphisms of associative rings*, Pac. J. Math. **72** (1977), pp. 181-196.
2. Richard D. Schafer, *An introduction to nonassociative algebras*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.

UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS  
AMHERST, MA 01003  
U.S.A.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON  
LONDON WC1E 6BT