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1. Introduction. The braid group on $n$ strings $B_{n}$ has a presentation as a group with generators $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ and relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}, \quad \text { if } \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \text { and }|i-j|>1 \\
\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}, \quad \text { for } \quad 1 \leq i<n-1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $0<m<n$, then we will think of $B_{m}$ as being the subgroup of $B_{n}$ generated by $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m-1}$. If ( $\alpha, n$ ) is an $n$-braid, then its closure will be denoted by $\hat{\alpha}$. Markov's theorem [1] says that if two braids have the same closures, then they are equivalent under repetitions of the following two operations;
$M_{1}$ : replace $(\alpha, n)$ by $(\beta, n)$ where $\beta$ is a conjugate of $\alpha$.
$M_{2}$ : replace ( $\alpha, n$ ) by ( $\alpha \sigma_{n}^{ \pm 1}, n+1$ ) (or vice versa).
The algebraic link problem is to determine whether two braids are equivalent under the Markov "moves". The $M_{1}$ equivalence problem (i.e. the conjugacy problem in $B_{n}$ ) has been solved [2]. In Section 2 we give an algorithm which determines whether a braid $\gamma$ in $B_{n}$ can be written in the form $\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{ \pm 1}$, or, more generally, in the form $\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{ \pm 1} \beta$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $B_{n-1}$. Braids conjugate to such braids are called reducible. This thus gives a partial answer to question 6 of [1, p. 216].

A braid $\gamma$ in $B_{n}$ is called composite if it can be represented by a word $U\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right) V\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right)$ for some $0<i<n-1$. In Section 3 we give an algorithm which determines whether a braid is composite. Notẹ that if $\gamma$ is a composite braid and $U$ and $V$ represent non-trivial knots, then $\hat{\gamma}$ is a composite knot.
2. The algorithm. Let $\pi_{n}: B_{n} \rightarrow S_{n}$ be the permutation representation of $B_{n}$ onto the symmetric group. Let $P_{n}$, the group of pure braids, be the kernel of $\pi_{n}$. We will think of braids as "geometric braids" [1], where the composition $\alpha \beta$ consists of placing $\beta$ on top of $\alpha$. (See Figure 1.) For each subset $I \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ there is a map $\varphi_{1}: B_{n} \rightarrow B_{n}$ which consists of taking a braid $\alpha$ in $B_{n}$ and for each $i \in I$ pulling out the string which ends up in the $i$ th position after doing $\alpha$, and putting it back in as a straight string to the right of all the other strings (and then relabelling the strings accordingly). We have by [3]:

Lemma 2.1. Let $I \subset\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in B_{n}$. Then $\varphi_{l}(\alpha \beta)=\varphi_{l}(\alpha) \varphi_{l}(\beta)$, where $J=\pi\left(\beta^{-1}\right)(I)$.

The next result shows that a braid of the form $\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{ \pm 1} \beta$ can be recovered from its images under the functions $\varphi_{i}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\gamma=\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \dot{P}_{n-1}$ and $\epsilon$ is an odd integer, be a braid in $B_{n \prime}$. Let $\gamma_{1}=\varphi_{n \prime}(\gamma), \gamma_{2}=\varphi_{n-1}(\gamma), \gamma_{21}=\varphi_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ and $\gamma_{12}=\varphi_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$. Let $\gamma_{3}=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{12}^{-1} \gamma_{1}$. Then $\gamma_{1}=\varphi_{n-1}(\alpha) \beta, \gamma_{2}=\alpha \varphi_{n-1}(\beta), \gamma_{12}=\gamma_{21}=\varphi_{n-1}(\alpha) \varphi_{n-1}(\beta), \gamma_{3}=\alpha \beta$ and

$$
\gamma=\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \gamma_{12} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{3}
$$

Proof. The first three equalities are easily seen from Figure 1. We thus have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{3} & =\gamma_{2} \gamma_{12}^{-1} \gamma_{1} \\
& =\left(\alpha \varphi_{n-1}(\beta)\right)\left(\varphi_{n-1}(\alpha) \varphi_{n-1}(\beta)\right)^{-1}\left(\varphi_{n-1}(\alpha) \beta\right)=\alpha \beta
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1.
Note that $\varphi_{n-1}(\alpha)$ and $\varphi_{n-1}(\beta)$ are both in the subgroup of $B_{n}$ generated by $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-3}$ and so commute with $\sigma_{n-1}$. We now have
$\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \gamma_{12} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{3}=$

$$
(\alpha \beta)\left(\varphi_{n-1}(\alpha) \beta\right)^{-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon}\left(\varphi_{n-1}(\alpha) \varphi_{n-1}(\beta)\right)\left(\alpha \varphi_{n-1}(\beta)\right)^{-1}(\alpha \beta)=\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \beta=\gamma
$$

Corollary 2.3. Let $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{(n-1)!}\right\}$ be a set of coset representatives for $P_{n-1}$ in $B_{n-1}$. If $\delta=\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in B_{n-1}$ and $\epsilon= \pm 1$ then there are $1 \leq i, j \leq(n-1)$ ! such that if we let $\gamma=y_{i} \delta y_{j}, \gamma_{1}=\varphi_{n}(\gamma), \gamma_{2}=\varphi_{n-1}(\gamma), \gamma_{21}=\varphi_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{2}\right), \gamma_{12}=\varphi_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right), \gamma_{3}=$ $\gamma_{2} \gamma_{12}^{-1} \gamma_{1}$, then $\gamma=\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \gamma_{12} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{3}$.

Proof. Suppose that the cosets $y_{i}, y_{j}$ represent the cosets of $\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}$ respectively. Then $y_{i} \alpha$ and $\beta y_{j}$ are both in $P_{n-1}$ and the result now follows from Lemma 2.2.

We now describe our algorithm for deciding if a braid has the form $\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in B_{n-1}$. This is based on the above results and the fact that the word problem is solved in $B_{n}$.

Step 1. Find a set $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{(n-1)!}\right\}$ of coset representatives for $P_{n-1}$ in $B_{n-1}$.
Do Steps $2(i, j)$ for each choice of $i, j=1, \ldots,(n-1)$ !. If $\delta$ has the form $\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in B_{n-1}$, then we shall succeed in showing this for some value of $i$ and $j$, by Corollary 2.3. If not, then $\delta$ does not have this form.

Step $2(i, j)$. Let $\gamma_{i, j}=y_{i} \delta y_{j}$. Calculate $\gamma_{1}=\varphi_{n}\left(\gamma_{i, j}\right), \gamma_{2}=\varphi_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i, j}\right), \gamma_{12}=\varphi_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$, $\gamma_{3}=\gamma_{2} \gamma_{12}^{-1} \gamma_{1}$ and $\tau(\epsilon)=\gamma_{3} \gamma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \gamma_{12} \gamma_{2}^{-1} \gamma_{3}$. Here we calculate the braids $\varphi_{n}\left(\gamma_{i, j}\right)$, $\varphi_{n-1}\left(\gamma_{i, j}\right)$, etc. using Proposition 2.3 of [3]. Apply the solution of the work problem found in [2] or [1] to determine whether the words $\tau(\epsilon)$ and $\gamma_{i, j}$ are equal for $\epsilon= \pm 1$.

Remark. The above algorithm can easily be modified to detect words of the form (a) $\alpha \sigma_{n-1}^{\epsilon} \beta$ for any odd $\epsilon$ where $\alpha, \beta \in B_{n-1}$; or (b) $\alpha \sigma_{i}^{\epsilon} \beta$ for any odd $\epsilon, 0<i<n$, and words $\alpha, \beta$ not involving $\sigma_{i}$.
3. The algorithm for composite braids. If $m<n$, then we will think of $B_{m}$, as being a subgroup of $B_{n}$. If $n>i+j-1$, then we let $\Pi_{i}: B_{j} \rightarrow B_{n}$ be the monomorphism determined by $\Pi_{i}\left(\sigma_{k}\right)=\sigma_{k+i-1}$, for all $k=1, \ldots, j-1$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\gamma=U\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right) V\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right)$ for some $0<i<n-1$ be a composite braid where $U, V$ are pure braids. Let $\gamma_{1}=\varphi_{\{i+2 \ldots \ldots n\}}(\gamma), \gamma_{2}=\varphi_{\{1 \ldots ., i\}}(\gamma)$. Then $\gamma_{1}=U\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right), \Pi_{i+1}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)=V\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right)$ and $\gamma=\gamma_{1} \Pi_{i+1}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{1}=\varphi_{\{i+2 \ldots, n\}}(\gamma) & =\varphi_{\{i+2 \ldots, n\}}\left(U\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right)\right) \varphi_{\{i+2 \ldots, n\}}\left(V\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& =U\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right) ; \\
\gamma_{2}=\varphi_{\{1 \ldots, i\}}(\gamma) & =\varphi_{\{1 \ldots \ldots i\}}\left(U\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right)\right) \varphi_{\{1 \ldots, i\}}\left(V\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& =\Pi_{i+1}^{-1}\left(V\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As in 2.3 above we now obtain
Corollary 3.2. Let $0<i<n-1$ and let $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{(i+1)!}\right\}$ be a set of coset representatives for $P_{i+1}$ in $B_{i+1}$ and let $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{(n-i)}\right\}$ be a set of coset representatives for $\Pi_{i+1}\left(P_{n-i}\right)$ in $\Pi_{i+1}\left(B_{n-i}\right)$. Suppose that $\gamma=U\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right) V\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right)$. Then there are $j, k$ such that if $\delta=y_{j} \gamma z_{k}, \delta_{1}=\varphi_{\{i+2 \ldots, n\}}(\delta)$, and $\delta_{2}=\varphi_{\{1 \ldots, i\}}(\delta)$, then $\delta_{1}=$ $U\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}\right), \Pi_{i+1}\left(\delta_{2}\right)=V\left(\sigma_{i+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}\right)$ and $\delta=\delta_{1} \Pi_{i+1}\left(\delta_{2}\right)$.

We now describe our algorithm for deciding if a braid is composite. Do Step 1(i) for each $0<i<n-1$.

Step $1(i)$. Find a set $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{(i+1)!}\right\}$ of coset representatives for $P_{i+1}$ in $B_{i+1}$ and a set $\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{(n-i)}\right\}$ of coset representatives for $\Pi_{i+1}\left(P_{n-i}\right)$ in $\Pi_{i+1}\left(B_{n-i}\right)$.

For fixed $i$ do Steps $2(k, j)$ for each $0<k \leq(i+1)$ ! and each $0<j \leq(n-i)$ !. If $\gamma$ is composite, then we shall succeed for some values of $i, k, j$, by Corollary 3.2. If we do not succeed at all, then $\gamma$ is not composite.

Step $2(k, j)$. Let $\delta_{k, j}=y_{k} \gamma z_{j}$. Calculate $\delta_{1}=\varphi_{\{i+2 \ldots \ldots,\}}\left(\delta_{k, j}\right)$, and $\delta_{2}=\varphi_{\{1 \ldots \ldots j}\left(\delta_{k, j}\right)$. Again we calculate the braids $\varphi_{(i+2 \ldots, n)}\left(\delta_{k . j}\right)$, etc. using Proposition 2.3 of [3]. Apply the solution of the word problem found in [2] or [1] to determine whether the words $\delta_{1} \Pi_{i+1}\left(\delta_{2}\right)$ and $\delta_{k . j}$ are equal.
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