

BOUNDEDNESS OF SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS OF CALDERON TYPE, III

TAKAFUMI MURAI

§1. Introduction

In this paper we investigate the boundedness of Cauchy kernels. The Cauchy kernel associated with a locally integrable real-valued function $\theta(x)$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{C}[\theta](x, y) = (1 + i\theta(y)) / \{(x - y) + i(\theta(x) - \theta(y))\},$$

where $\Theta(x) = \int_0^x \theta(z) dz$. This kernel plays an important role in harmonic analysis on the graph $\{(x, \Theta(x)); x \in (-\infty, \infty)\}$. For $p > 1$ and a non-negative function $\omega(x)$, let L_{ω}^p denote the space of functions $f(x)$ with $\|f\|_{p\omega} = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p \omega(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty$. In the case $\omega(x) \equiv 1$, we write simply L^p and $\|\cdot\|_p$. We say that $\mathfrak{C}[\theta]$ is of type (p, ω) if, for any $f \in L_{\omega}^p$,

$$(2) \quad \mathfrak{C}[\theta]f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |x-y| < 1/\varepsilon} \mathfrak{C}[\theta](x, y)f(y) dy$$

exists almost everywhere (a.e.) and $\|\mathfrak{C}[\theta]\|_{p\omega} = \sup \{ \|\mathfrak{C}[\theta]f\|_{p\omega} / \|f\|_{p\omega}; 0 < \|f\|_{p\omega} < \infty \} < \infty$. We also write $\|\mathfrak{C}[\theta]\|_p$ in the case $\omega(x) \equiv 1$. We say that $\omega(x)$ satisfies the Muckenhoupt (A_p) condition if

$$(A_p) \quad \sup_I (m_I \omega) (m_I \omega^{-1/(p-1)})^{p-1} < \infty,$$

where "sup_I" denotes the supremum over all finite intervals I and $m_I \omega = (1/|I|) \int_I \omega(x) dx$ ($|I|$: the measure of I). It is well-known that Calderón-Zygmund kernels are of type (p, ω) if $\omega(x)$ satisfies (A_p) ([2]). We shall show that the analogous property is valid for some Cauchy kernels. We say that a locally integrable function $f(x)$ is of bounded mean oscillation if $\|f\|_{\text{BMO}} = \sup_I m_I |f - m_I f| < \infty$. The space BMO of functions of bounded mean oscillation, modulo constants, is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{BMO}}$. We show

Received June 17, 1983.

THEOREM 1. *If $\theta \in BMO$, then $\mathfrak{C}[\theta]$ is of type (p, ω) for any $\omega(x)$ with (A_p) .*

It is necessary to study whether “ $\theta \in BMO$ ” is a sharp condition for which $\mathfrak{C}[\theta]$ is of type (p, ω) . In this paper we work only with $p = 2$ and $\omega(x) \equiv 1$. Let us define the distance $d(\theta)$ between $\theta(x)$ and 0 by the supremum of $\|\mathfrak{C}[\theta + u] - \mathfrak{C}[0]\|_2$ over all real numbers u . As a response to this subject, we show

THEOREM 2. *$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} d(t\theta) = 0$ if and only if $\theta \in BMO$.*

§2. Notation and Lemmas

We use C for absolute constants. The value of C differs in general from one occasion to another. Let L^∞ denote the Banach space of functions $f(x)$ with norm $\|f\|_\infty = \text{ess. sup}_x |f(x)| < \infty$ and L^1_{loc} the totality of locally integrable functions. The maximal function of $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ is defined by $f^*(x) = \sup_{x \in I} m_I |f|$, where “ $\sup_{x \in I}$ ” is the supremum over all finite intervals I containing x . For a measurable set E in $(-\infty, \infty)$, $\chi_E(x)$ denotes the characteristic function of E . Given $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$ and a real number y , we put $\chi_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{(y)}(x) = \chi_\varepsilon^{(y)}(x) - \chi_\eta^{(y)}(x)$, where $\chi_s^{(y)}(x) = \chi_{[y-s, y+s]}(x)$ ($s = \varepsilon, \eta$). We write $\mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y) = \mathfrak{C}[\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{C}[0](x, y)$. For $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, we put $\mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(x) = \sup \{|\mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{(x)} f)(x)|; 0 < \varepsilon < \eta\}$. The norm $\|\mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]\|_{p, \omega}$ is defined analogously as $\|\mathfrak{C}[\theta]\|_{p, \omega}$. Here are some lemmas necessary for the proofs of our theorems.

LEMMA 3 (The Calderón-Zygmund decomposition: Stein [8, p. 17]). *Let $f \in L^1$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then there exists a sequence $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of mutually disjoint finite intervals such that, with $J = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty J_k$,*

$$(3) \quad |J| \leq C\|f\|_1/\lambda, \quad m_{J_k} |f| \leq 2\lambda \quad (k \geq 1), \quad |f(x)| \leq \lambda \quad \text{a.e. in } J^c.$$

LEMMA 4 (John-Nirenberg [5]). *Let $r \geq 1$, $f \in BMO$ and I be a finite interval. Then $m_I |f - m_I f|^r \leq C^r \Gamma(r+1) \|f\|_{BMO}^r$.*

LEMMA 5 (Coifman-Fefferman [2]). *If $\omega(x)$ satisfies (A_p) , then there exist $1 < q < p$ and a constant B_1 such that, for any $f \in L^q_\omega$, $\|f^*\|_{q, \omega} \leq B_1 \|f\|_{q, \omega}$.*

LEMMA 6 (Coifman-Fefferman [2]). *If $\omega(x)$ satisfies (A_p) , then there exist two constants $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ and $B_2 \geq 1$ such that, for any finite interval I and a set E in I , $\omega(E)/\omega(I) \leq B_2 (\omega(E)/\omega(I))^\gamma$, where $\omega(F) = \int_F \omega(x) dx$ ($F \subset (-\infty, \infty)$).*

LEMMA 7 (Murai [6]). For a real-valued function $\theta(x)$ in L^∞ , we put

$$(4) \quad \mathfrak{R}[\theta](x, y) = \frac{1}{x - y} \exp \left\{ -i \frac{\theta(x) - \theta(y)}{x - y} \right\}.$$

Then there exists an absolute constant $N \geq 2$ such that, for any $r > 1$, $\|\mathfrak{R}^*[\theta]\|_r \leq \{Cr/(r - 1)\}\rho(\|\theta\|_\infty)$, where $\rho(t) = (1 + t)^N$ ($t \geq 0$).

LEMMA 8. For a real-valued function $\theta(x)$ in L^∞ and a real number u , we put

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{F}_n[\theta, u](x, y) \\ &= \left\{ \frac{\theta(x) - \theta(y)}{x - y} \right\}^n / \{(x - y) + i(\theta(x) - \theta(y) + u(x - y))\} \\ & \hspace{15em} (n = 0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any $r > 1$, $\|\mathfrak{F}_n^*[\theta, u]\|_r \leq \{Cr/(r - 1)\}\|\theta\|_\infty^n \rho(\|\theta\|_\infty)$ ($n = 0, 1$).

Proof. We choose an infinitely differentiable function $\psi_n(x)$ so that $\psi_n(x) = x^n$ ($|x| \leq 1$) and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}_n(t)| \rho(t) dt < \infty$, where $\hat{\psi}_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-itx} \psi_n(x) dx$.

We have

$$1/\{(x - y) + i(\theta(x) - \theta(y) + u(x - y))\} = \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{R}[s\theta](x, y) e^{-s(1+iu)} ds$$

and

$$\psi_n \left\{ \frac{\theta(x) - \theta(y)}{(x - y)\|\theta\|_\infty} \right\} = C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}_n(t) \exp \left\{ it \frac{\theta(x) - \theta(y)}{(x - y)\|\theta\|_\infty} \right\} dt.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{F}_n[\theta, u](x, y) \\ &= \|\theta\|_\infty^n \psi_n \left\{ \frac{\theta(x) - \theta(y)}{(x - y)\|\theta\|_\infty} \right\} / \{(x - y) + i(\theta(x) - \theta(y) + u(x - y))\} \\ &= C \|\theta\|_\infty^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}_n(t) dt \int_0^\infty \mathfrak{R}[s\theta - t\theta/\|\theta\|_\infty](x, y) e^{-s(1+iu)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 7, $\rho(s + t) \leq \rho(s)\rho(t)$ and $\rho(st) \leq \rho(s)\rho(t)$, we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{F}_n^*[\theta, u]\|_r &\leq C \|\theta\|_\infty^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}_n(t)| dt \int_0^\infty \|\mathfrak{R}^*[s\theta - t\theta/\|\theta\|_\infty]\|_r e^{-s} ds \\ &\leq \{Cr/(r - 1)\} \|\theta\|_\infty^n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}_n(t)| dt \int_0^\infty \rho(s\|\theta\|_\infty + |t|) e^{-s} ds \\ &\leq \left\{ [Cr/(r - 1)] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}_n(t)| \rho(t) dt \int_0^\infty \rho(s) e^{-s} ds \right\} \|\theta\|_\infty^n \rho(\|\theta\|_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

§3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\theta \in \text{BMO}$ and let $\omega(x)$ satisfy (A_p) . Since $\mathfrak{C}[0]$ is of type (p, ω) ([2]), it is sufficient to show that $\mathfrak{D}[\theta]$ is of type (p, ω) . To do this we show that $\mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]$ is of type (p, ω) ; once this is known, a standard argument easily shows that (2) exists a.e. for any $f \in L^p_\omega$, and hence the (p, ω) -ness of $\mathfrak{D}[\theta]$ follows. For $s \geq 1$, we define

$$(7) \quad \Lambda_s(f)(x) = (f^s)^*(x)^{1/s}, \quad \Gamma_s(f)(x) = \sup_{x \in I} \{m_r(|\theta - m_r \theta| |f|)^s\}^{1/s}.$$

Note that $f^*(x) \leq \Lambda_1(f)(x)$ and $\Gamma_1(f)(x) \leq \Gamma_s(f)(x)$ ($s \geq 1$). We choose r so that $1 < r < p/q$, where q is the number associated with p in Lemma 5.

Given $f \in L^p_\omega$ with compact support, we now prove the following good λ inequality:

$$(8) \quad \omega(x; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(x) > 2\lambda, \mathcal{E}(x) \leq \delta\lambda) \leq 4^{-p}\omega(x; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(x) > \lambda) \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

where $\mathcal{E}(x) = \rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}})\{\Gamma_r(f)(x) + \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}\Lambda_r(f)(x)\}$ and a constant δ is determined later. Given $\lambda > 0$, we put

$$(9) \quad U(\lambda) = \{x; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(x) > \lambda\}, \quad \sigma(\lambda) = \omega(U(\lambda)).$$

Then we can write $U(\lambda) = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty I_k$ with a sequence $\mathfrak{M}(\lambda) = \{I_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of mutually disjoint finite open intervals. To prove (8), it is sufficient to show that, for any $I \in \mathfrak{M}(\lambda)$,

$$(10) \quad |x \in I; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(x) > 2\lambda, \mathcal{E}(x) \leq \delta\lambda| \leq B_3|I|,$$

where $B_3 = (4^p B_2)^{-1/r}$ (r, B_2 : the constants in Lemma 6); once this is known, Lemma 6 gives $\omega(x \in I; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(x) > 2\lambda, \mathcal{E}(x) \leq \delta\lambda) \leq 4^{-p}\omega(I)$ ($I \in \mathfrak{M}(\lambda)$), and hence adding in $I \in \mathfrak{M}(\lambda)$, we obtain (8).

Let $I = (a, b) \in \mathfrak{M}(\lambda)$. If $\mathcal{E}(x) > \delta\lambda$ in I , nothing is to be proved. Assuming that $\mathcal{E}(\xi) \leq \delta\lambda$ for some $\xi \in I$, we prove

$$(11) \quad |x \in I; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(x) > 2\lambda| \leq B_3|I|.$$

We have, with $\chi(x) = \chi_I(x)$ ($\tilde{I} = (a - 3|I|, a + 3|I|)$),

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & |x \in I; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(x) > 2\lambda| \\ & \leq |x \in I; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta](\chi f)(x) > \lambda/2| + |x \in I; \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta](\chi^c f)(x) > 3\lambda/2| \\ & \quad (= P_1 + P_2, \text{ say}). \end{aligned}$$

First we estimate P_1 . Let $I^* = (a - 4|I|, a + 4|I|)$. We have, with

$$g(x) = (\theta(x) - m_{I^*}\theta)\chi_{I^*}(x) \text{ and } G(x) = \int_0^x g(z) dz.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y) \\ (13) \quad &= i\left\{g(y) - \frac{G(x) - G(y)}{x - y}\right\} / \{(x - y) + i(G(x) - G(y) + m_{I^*}\theta(x - y))\} \\ &\hspace{15em} (x \in I, y \in \tilde{I}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|g\|_1 \leq C\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}|I|$, the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition shows that there exists a sequence $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of mutually disjoint finite intervals such that, with $J = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty J_k$,

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} |J| &\leq (B_3/4)|I|, \quad m_{J_k}|g| \leq C\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \quad (k \geq 1) \\ |g(x)| &\leq C\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \quad \text{a.e. in } J^c. \end{aligned}$$

We put $h(x) = g(x)$ ($x \in I_* - (\bigcup_{k \in \Lambda} J_k)$), $h(x) = m_{J_k}g$ ($x \in J_k, k \in \Lambda$) and $h(x) = 0$ ($x \in I_*^c$), where $\Lambda = \{k; J_k \subset I^*\}$ and I_* is the smallest interval containing $\bigcup_{k \in \Lambda} J_k$. We may assume $I_* \supset \tilde{I}$, adding small intervals if necessary. Put $H(x) = \int_a^x h(z) dz$ (d : a point in $J - I$). Then we have, for any $x \in I, y \in \tilde{I}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad \mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y) &= i\mathfrak{F}_0[h, m_{I^*}\theta](x, y)g(y) - i\mathfrak{F}_1[h, m_{I^*}\theta](x, y) \\ &\quad + i\{\mathfrak{F}_0[g, m_{I^*}\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{F}_0[h, m_{I^*}\theta](x, y)\}g(y) \\ &\quad - i\left\{\frac{G(x) - G(y)}{x - y} - \frac{H(x) - H(y)}{x - y}\right\}\mathfrak{F}_0[g, m_{I^*}\theta](x, y) \\ &\quad - i\left\{\frac{H(x) - H(y)}{x - y}\right\}\{\mathfrak{F}_0[g, m_{I^*}\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{F}_0[h, m_{I^*}\theta](x, y)\} \\ &\quad (= \mathfrak{D}_1(x, y) + \mathfrak{D}_2(x, y) + \dots + \mathfrak{D}_s(x, y), \text{ say}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|h\|_\infty \leq C\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}$, Lemma 8 shows that

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} |x \in I; \mathfrak{D}_1^*(\chi f)(x) > \lambda/10| &\leq |x; \mathfrak{F}_0^*[h, m_{I^*}\theta](g\chi f)(x) > \lambda/10| \\ &\leq \{C\|\mathfrak{F}_0^*[h, m_{I^*}\theta]\|_r \|g\chi f\|_r/\lambda\}^r \\ &\leq \{[Cr/(r - 1)]\rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}})\Gamma_r(f)(\xi)/\lambda\}^r |I| \leq \{C\delta r/(r - 1)\}^r |I|. \end{aligned}$$

We have analogously

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} |x \in I; \mathfrak{D}_2^*(\chi f)(x) > \lambda/10| &\leq \{C\|\mathfrak{F}_1^*[h, m_{I^*}\theta]\|_r \|\chi f\|_r/\lambda\}^r \\ &\leq \{[Cr/(r - 1)]\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}\rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}})A_r(f)(\xi)/\lambda\}^r |I| \leq \{C\delta r/(r - 1)\}^r |I|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $J^* = \bigcup_{k \in \Lambda} J_k^*$, where J_k^* is the open interval with the same midpoint as J_k and length $2|J_k|$. Then $|J^*| \leq (B_3/2)|I|$. We have, for any $x \in I - J^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{D}_3^*(\chi f)(x) &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{I}_0[g, m_{r^*}\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{I}_0[h, m_{r^*}\theta](x, y)| |(g\chi f)(y)| dy \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left| \int_y^x (g(z) - h(z)) dz \right| / (x - y)^2 \right\} |(g\chi f)(y)| dy \\
&\leq \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}} \int_{J_k} \left\{ \left(\int_{J_k} |g(z) - h(z)| dz \right) / (x - y)^2 \right\} |(g\chi f)(y)| dy \\
&\leq \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}} |J_k| \int_{J_k} |(g\chi f)(y)| / (x - y)^2 dy (= \mathfrak{E}(x), \text{ say}).
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{I-J^*} \mathfrak{E}(x) dx \leq C \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \|g\chi f\|_1 \leq C\rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}) \Gamma_1(f)(\xi) |I| \leq C\delta\lambda |I|,$$

we have

$$(18) \quad |x \in I - J^*; \mathfrak{D}_3^*(\chi f)(x) > \lambda/10| \leq C\delta |I|.$$

Since

$$\mathfrak{D}_4^*(\chi f)(x) \leq \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{A}} |J_k| \int_{J_k} |(\chi f)(x)| / (x - y)^2 dy \quad (x \in I - J^*),$$

we have

$$(19) \quad |x \in I - J^*; \mathfrak{D}_4^*(\chi f)(x) > \lambda/10| \leq (10/\lambda)C \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} f^*(\xi) |I| \leq C\delta |I|.$$

In the same manner as \mathfrak{D}_3^* , we have

$$(20) \quad |x \in I - J^*; \mathfrak{D}_5^*(\chi f)(x) > \lambda/10| \leq C\delta |I|.$$

Consequently, we have, by (16), \dots , (20) and $|J^*| \leq (B_3/2)|I|$,

$$(21) \quad P_1 \leq \{C\delta r/(r-1) + C\delta + B_3/2\} |I|.$$

Next we estimate P_2 . Let $x \in I$. Since $\mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f(a) \leq \lambda$, we have, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{(x)} \chi^c f)(x)| &\leq |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{(x)} \chi^c f)(x) - \mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{(a)} \chi^c f)(a)| \\
&\quad + |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\varepsilon, \eta}^{(a)} \chi^c f)(a)| \\
(22) \quad &\leq |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\varepsilon}^{(x)} \chi^c f)(x) - \mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\varepsilon}^{(a)} \chi^c f)(a)| \\
&\quad + |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\eta}^{(x)} \chi^c f)(x) - \mathfrak{D}[\theta](\chi_{\eta}^{(a)} \chi^c f)(a)| + \lambda \\
&\quad (= Q_{\varepsilon} + Q_{\eta} + \lambda, \text{ say}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $V_1 = (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)^c \cap (a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon)^c$, $V_2 = (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)^c \setminus (a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon)^c$ and $V_3 = (a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon)^c \setminus (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)^c$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_\varepsilon &\leq \int_{V_1} |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{D}[\theta](a, y)| |(\chi^\varepsilon f)(y)| dy \\
 (23) \quad &+ \int_{V_2} |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y)| |(\chi^\varepsilon f)(y)| dy + \int_{V_3} |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](a, y)| |(\chi^\varepsilon f)(y)| dy \\
 & (= Q_{\varepsilon_1} + Q_{\varepsilon_2} + Q_{\varepsilon_3}, \text{ say}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (24) \quad Q_{\varepsilon_1} &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{D}[\theta](a, y)| |(\chi^\varepsilon f)(y)| dy \\
 &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{D}[\theta](a, y)| |(\partial \chi_k f)(y)| dy,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial \chi_k(y)$ denotes the characteristic function of $\tilde{I}_k - \tilde{I}_{k-1}$ and \tilde{I}_ℓ is the open interval with midpoint a and length $2^\ell |\tilde{I}|$ ($\ell \geq 0$). Let $\tilde{\theta}_k(y) = (\theta(y) - m_{I_k} \theta) \chi_{I_k}(y)$ ($k \geq 1$). Then we have, for any $x \in I$, $y \in \tilde{I}_k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{D}[\theta](a, y) \\
 &= i \left[\left\{ \tilde{\theta}_k(y) - \frac{1}{x-y} \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right\} / \{(x-y) + i(\Theta(x) - \Theta(y))\} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \left\{ \tilde{\theta}_k(y) - \frac{1}{a-y} \int_y^a \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right\} / \{(a-y) + i(\Theta(a) - \Theta(y))\} \right] \\
 &= i \tilde{\theta}_k(y) \left\{ \frac{1}{(x-y) + i(\Theta(x) - \Theta(y))} - \frac{1}{(a-y) + i(\Theta(a) - \Theta(y))} \right\} \\
 &\quad - i \left\{ \frac{1}{x-y} \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right\} \left\{ \frac{1}{(x-y) + i(\Theta(x) - \Theta(y))} \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \frac{1}{(a-y) + i(\Theta(a) - \Theta(y))} \right\} \\
 &\quad - i \left\{ \frac{1}{x-y} \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz - \frac{1}{a-y} \int_y^a \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right\} / \\
 &\quad \quad \quad \{(a-y) + i(\Theta(a) - \Theta(y))\} \\
 (25) \quad &= \tilde{\theta}_k(y) \left\{ \frac{\Theta(x) - \Theta(y)}{x-y} - \frac{\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)}{a-y} \right\} / \\
 &\quad \left\{ (x-y) \left(1 + i \frac{\Theta(x) - \Theta(y)}{x-y} \right) \left(1 + i \frac{\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)}{a-y} \right) \right\} \\
 &\quad - i \tilde{\theta}_k(y) (x-a) / \left\{ (x-y)(a-y) \left(1 + i \frac{\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)}{a-y} \right) \right\} \\
 &\quad - \left\{ \frac{1}{x-y} \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right\} \left\{ \frac{\Theta(x) - \Theta(y)}{x-y} - \frac{\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)}{a-y} \right\} / \\
 &\quad \left\{ (x-y) \left(1 + i \frac{\Theta(x) - \Theta(y)}{x-y} \right) \left(1 + i \frac{\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)}{a-y} \right) \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + i \left\{ \frac{1}{x-y} \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right\} (x-a) / \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left\{ (x-y)(a-y) \left(1 + i \frac{\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)}{a-y} \right) \right\} \\
& - i \left\{ \frac{1}{x-y} \int_a^x \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right\} / \{ (a-y) + i(\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)) \} \\
& + i \left\{ \frac{(x-a)}{(x-y)(a-y)} \int_y^a \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right\} / \{ (a-y) + i(\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $|m_{I_\ell} \theta - m_{I_{\ell-1}} \theta| \leq C \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}$ ($\ell \geq 1$). Since

$$\int_{\tilde{I}} |\tilde{\theta}_k(z)| dz \leq \int_I |\theta(z) - m_{I_0} \theta| dz + |m_{I_0} \theta - m_{I_k} \theta| |\tilde{I}| \leq Ck \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} |\tilde{I}|,$$

we have, for any $x \in I$, $y \in \tilde{I}_k - \tilde{I}_{k-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad & \left| \frac{\Theta(x) - \Theta(y)}{x-y} - \frac{\Theta(a) - \Theta(y)}{a-y} \right| \\
& = \left| \frac{1}{x-y} \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz - \frac{1}{a-y} \int_y^a \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right| \\
& = \left| \frac{1}{x-y} \int_a^x \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz - \frac{(x-a)}{(x-y)(a-y)} \int_y^a \tilde{\theta}_k(z) dz \right| \\
& \leq (C/|\tilde{I}_k|) \int_I |\tilde{\theta}_k(z)| dz + (C2^{-k}/|\tilde{I}_k|) \int_{I_k} |\tilde{\theta}_k(z)| dz \leq C \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} k2^{-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (25) and (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathfrak{D}[\theta](x, y) - \mathfrak{D}[\theta](a, y)| \\
& \leq C\rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}) \{ |\tilde{\theta}_k(y)| + \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \} k2^{-k}/|\tilde{I}_k| \quad (x \in I, y \in \tilde{I}_k - \tilde{I}_{k-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon_1} & \leq C\rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k2^{-k}/|\tilde{I}_k|) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{ |\tilde{\theta}_k(y)| + \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \} |\partial \chi_k f(y)| dy \\
& \leq C\rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}) \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k2^{-k} \right\} \{ \Gamma_1(f)(\xi) + \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} f^*(\xi) \} \leq C\mathcal{E}(\xi) \leq C\delta\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon < 2|I|$, then $\mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon_2} = \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon_3} = 0$. If $\varepsilon \geq 2|I|$, then we have, with $\tilde{\theta}_v(y) = (\theta(y) - m_v \theta) \chi_v(y)$ ($V = (a - \varepsilon, b)$),

$$\begin{aligned}
(28) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\varepsilon_2} & = \int_{V_2} \left\{ \tilde{\theta}_v(y) - \frac{1}{x-y} \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}_v(z) dz \right\} / \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \{ (x-y) + i(\Theta(x) - \Theta(y)) \} |(\chi^c f)(y)| dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (C\|V\|) \int_{V_2} \{|\tilde{\theta}_V(y)| + \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}\} |(\chi^c f)(y)| \, dy \\ &\leq C\{\Gamma_1(f)(\xi) + \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} f^*(\xi)\} \leq C\mathcal{E}(\xi) \leq C\delta\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We have analogously $Q_{\varepsilon_3} \leq C\delta\lambda$. Consequently, $Q_\varepsilon \leq C\delta\lambda$. In the same manner, we have $Q_\eta \leq C\delta\lambda$. Since $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$ are arbitrary, we have, by (22),

$$(29) \quad \mathfrak{D}^*[\theta](\chi^c f)(x) \leq (1 + C\delta)\lambda \quad (x \in I).$$

Using (21) and (29), we choose δ so small that $P_1 \leq B_\delta|I|$ and $P_2 = 0$. Then we have (11) according to (12). Hence we obtain (10). This completes the proof of (8).

Now we deduce the (p, ω) -ness of $\mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]$ from (8). By Lemma 4, we have, with a constant $D_1 \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_r(f)(x) &= \sup_{x \in I} \left\{ (1/|I|) \int_I (|\theta(y) - m_I \theta| |f(y)|)^r \, dy \right\}^{1/r} \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in I} \left\{ (1/|I|) \int_I |\theta(y) - m_I \theta|^{p r / (p - q r)} \, dy \right\}^{(p - q r) / p r} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ (1/|I|) \int_I |f(y)|^{p/q} \, dy \right\}^{q/p} \leq D_1 \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} A_{p/q}(f)(x), \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mathcal{E}(x) \leq CD_1 \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}) A_{p/q}(f)(x)$. We have, by Lemma 5,

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{E}\|_{p\omega} &\leq CD_1 \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}) \|(f^{p/q})^*\|_{q\omega}^{q/p} \\ &\leq CD_1 B_1 \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}) \|(f^{p/q})\|_{q\omega}^{q/p} = CD_1 B_1 \|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}) \|f\|_{p\omega}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\kappa(\lambda) = \omega(x; \mathcal{E}(x) > \lambda)$ ($\lambda > 0$). Then (8) shows that

$$(31) \quad \sigma(2\lambda) \leq \kappa(\delta\lambda) + 4^{-p}\sigma(\lambda) \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 12 in [7], we can easily verify that the following formal calculus holds true.

Integrating each quantity in (31) by $\lambda^{p-1}d\lambda$ from 0 to infinity, we have, with a constant D_2 ,

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1}\sigma(\lambda) \, d\lambda \leq (C/\delta)^p \int_0^\infty \lambda^{p-1}\kappa(\lambda) \, d\lambda \leq \{(D_2/\delta)\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}})\|f\|_{p\omega}\}^p.$$

This shows that $\|\mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]f\|_{p\omega}/\|f\|_{p\omega} \leq (D_2/\delta)\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}})$. Taking the supremum over all $f \in L^p_\omega$ with compact support, we have

$$(32) \quad \|\mathfrak{D}^*[\theta]\|_{p\omega} \leq (D_2/\delta)\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}} \rho(\|\theta\|_{\text{BMO}}).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

§4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let $\theta \in \text{BMO}$. Then we have, by (32), $d(t\theta) = O(t)$ ($t \rightarrow 0$), and hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} d(t\theta) = 0$. Suppose that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} d(t\theta) = 0$. We choose $s > 0$ so that $d(s\theta) \leq \tau$, where τ is determined later. Given a finite interval I , we denote by w its midpoint. Put $\bar{\theta}(x) = s\theta(x)$, $\tilde{\theta}(x) = \bar{\theta}(x) - m_I \bar{\theta}$ and

$$(33) \quad \mathfrak{G}(x, y) = \{\tilde{\theta}(x) - \tilde{\theta}(y)\} / \left\{ (x - y) + i \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}(z) dz \right\}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{G}(x, y)/i$ is the sum of $\mathfrak{D}[\tilde{\theta}](x, y)$ and the dual kernel of $\overline{\mathfrak{D}[\tilde{\theta}]}(x, y)$, we have $\|\mathfrak{G}\|_2 \leq 2\tau$. We have, for almost all x in I ,

$$\begin{aligned} |I| \{\bar{\theta}(x) - m_I \bar{\theta}\} &= \int_I \{\tilde{\theta}(x) - \tilde{\theta}(y)\} dy \\ &= \int_I \mathfrak{G}(x, y) \left\{ (x - y) + i \int_y^x \tilde{\theta}(z) dz \right\} dy \\ &= (x - w) \mathfrak{G} \chi_I(x) - \mathfrak{G} \{(\cdot - w) \chi_I\}(x) \\ &\quad + i \left\{ \int_w^x \tilde{\theta}(z) dz \right\} \mathfrak{G} \chi_I(x) - i \mathfrak{G} \left\{ \left(\int_w^x \tilde{\theta}(z) dz \right) \chi_I \right\}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |I| \int_I |\bar{\theta}(x) - m_I \bar{\theta}| dx &\leq \left\{ \int_I (x - w)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \|\mathfrak{G} \chi_I\|_2 + \sqrt{|I|} \|\mathfrak{G} \{(\cdot - w) \chi_I\}\|_2 \\ (34) \quad &+ \left[\int_I \left\{ \int_w^x \tilde{\theta}(z) dz \right\}^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \|\mathfrak{G} \chi_I\|_2 + \sqrt{|I|} \left\| \mathfrak{G} \left\{ \left(\int_w^x \tilde{\theta}(z) dz \right) \chi_I \right\} \right\|_2 \\ &\leq C \left\{ 1 + (1/|I|) \int_I |\tilde{\theta}(z)| dz \right\} \|\mathfrak{G}\|_2 |I|^2 \\ &\leq C\tau \left\{ 1 + (1/|I|) \int_I |\bar{\theta}(z) - m_I \bar{\theta}| dz \right\} |I|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose τ so that $C\tau \leq 1/2$. Then $(1/|I|) \int_I |\bar{\theta}(x) - m_I \bar{\theta}| dx \leq 1/2$. Taking the supremum over all finite intervals I , we obtain $\|\bar{\theta}\|_{\text{BMO}} \leq 1/2$, which shows $\theta \in \text{BMO}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. P. Calderón, Cauchy integrals on Lipschitz curves and related operators, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **74** (1977), 1324–1327.
- [2] R. R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, Studia Math., **LI** (1974), 241–250.

- [3] R. R. Coifman, A. McIntosh and Y. Meyer, L'intégrale de Cauchy définit un opérateur borné sur L^2 pour les courbe lipschitziennes, *Ann. of Math.*, **116** (1982), 361–387.
- [4] R. R. Coifman, R. Rochberg and G. Weiss, Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables, *Ann. of Math.*, **103** (1976), 611–635.
- [5] F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **14** (1961), 415–426.
- [6] T. Murai, Boundedness of singular integral operators of Calderón type, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **59-8** (1983), 364–367.
- [7] ———, Boundedness of singular integral operators of Calderón type (II), Preprint series No. 1. *Coll. of Gen. Education, Nagoya University*, 1983.
- [8] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.

*Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Nagoya University
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464
Japan*