Treatment of severe accidental hypothermia with intermittent hemodialysis
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ABSTRACT
The optimal management of moderate-to-severe hypothermia with hemodynamic instability remains unclear. Although cardiopulmonary bypass offers the most rapid rate of rewarming and has been suggested as the method of choice in the presence of circulatory arrest, there is no evidence to support the use of this highly invasive technique over other rewarming modalities in the absence of circulatory collapse. We report the successful treatment of hemodynamically unstable hypothermia with conventional hemodialysis in a patient with normal renal function, after initial efforts of rewarming using conventional strategies had failed. This case report and review of the literature highlights the advantages and the challenges of using hemodialysis in this setting, and suggests a potential role for hemodialysis in the routine management of moderate-to-severe hypothermia in the absence of circulatory arrest.

RÉSUMÉ
La prise en charge optimale de l’hypothermie modérée à grave en cas d’instabilité hémodynamique demeure incertaine. Bien que la circulation extracorporelle offre le taux le plus rapide de réchauffement et qu’elle ait été considérée comme la méthode privilégiée en cas d’arrêt circulatoire, aucune preuve ne soutient l’utilisation de cette technique extrêmement invasive plutôt que d’autres méthodes de réchauffement en l’absence de collapsus circulatoire. Nous présentons un cas de prise en charge efficace d’une hypothermie avec instabilité hémodynamique à l’aide d’une hémodialyse classique chez un patient dont la fonction rénale est normale, après avoir utilisé sans succès les techniques habituelles de réchauffement. Ce rapport de cas et la revue de la littérature mettent en évidence les avantages et les défis liés à l’utilisation de l’hémodialyse dans ce contexte et suggèrent que cette méthode pourrait jouer un rôle dans la prise en charge habituelle de l’hypothermie modérée à grave en l’absence d’arrêt circulatoire.

Introduction
Accidental hypothermia is an unintentional decline in core body temperature to below 35°C, and is divided into categories of mild (32–35°C), moderate (28–32°C) and severe (< 28°C). Approximately 600 people die of hypothermia each year in the United States. Mortality rates because of severe hypothermia vary between 12% and 80% in published series, depending on age, comorbidities, intoxication, cause of hypothermia and delays in treatment. There is still variability in the management of moderate-to-severe hypothermia. Several case series have suggested that the rate of rewarming is an important prognostic factor in severe hypothermia, with an in-hospital rewarming...
time of longer than 12 hours being associated with higher mortality. Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) provides the most rapid rewarming rate and, if available, is the method of choice in patients with circulatory collapse. However, there is little data that demonstrates its superiority over other modalities in patients without circulatory collapse. Moreover, CPB is restricted to specialized centres, is highly invasive and carries with it a high risk of complications. Intermittent hemodialysis is an attractive alternative modality for active core rewarming given its wide availability, rapid rewarming rate and its ability to manage associated metabolic derangements. Despite its potential advantages, there have been no trials examining its efficacy. We found only 2 reports on the use of intermittent hemodialysis in hypothermic patients without renal failure. We describe a case of severe hypothermia in a patient without renal failure who was treated with intermittent hemodialysis after initial failed attempts with active external and core rewarming. This case highlights the advantages and challenges of using hemodialysis in treating hypothermia.

Case report

A 65-year-old homeless man was found lying prone wearing light clothing in a downtown park at 7:30 am on Mar. 30, 2007. The overnight temperature had ranged between 2°C and –1°C. During the previous evening, the patient had been treated for a laceration and intoxication in the emergency department (ED).

Paramedics found the patient responsive but confused. His Glasgow Coma Scale score was 12, his pulse was 70 beats/min, his blood pressure (BP) was 116/77 mm Hg and his respiratory rate was 16 breaths/min. He was transported to hospital where his first recorded rectal temperature at 8:40 am was 28.9°C; minutes later, his lowest recorded temperature was 26°C.

Initial adjusted laboratory investigations revealed the following levels: pH 7.27, bicarbonate 18 mmol/L, sodium 145 mmol/L, potassium 2.4 mmol/L, creatinine 60 µmol/L, urea 7.4 mmol/L, hemoglobin 154 g/L, platelet count 216 × 10^9/L, white cell count 13.5 × 10^9/L, creatine kinase 530 U/L and myoglobin 21.9 nmol/L (normal < 4.8 nmol/L). His ethanol level was 60 mmol/L, and the remainder of his toxicology screen was negative. Serial electrocardiograms revealed sinus bradycardia with Osborn waves, QRS widening and nonspecific ST segment changes. A computed tomography scan of the head showed chronic atrophy but no acute changes.

The patient was intubated and ventilated. Active external and core rewarming strategies were initiated, including warming blankets, continuous bladder irrigation, intravenous (IV) fluids warmed to 39–41°C and warmed humidified oxygen at a temperature of 39°C. The patient developed hypotension 70 minutes after arrival at the ED, with a BP of 44/25 mm Hg, measured by automated cuff, and a mean arterial pressure (MAP) of 31 mm Hg. At 1.5 hours after his admission to the ED, his BP was 83/59 mm Hg by arterial line, with a MAP of 66.3 mm Hg. He received 7 L of warmed crystalloid fluid and multiple doses of phenylephrine, epinephrine and norepinephrine infusions. He had 2 episodes of core temperature after-drop: his temperature dropped from 28.9°C to 27.3°C at 1.5 hours after presentation and from 31.4°C to 30.6°C at just under 6 hours after presentation (Fig. 1). Consequently, 6 hours after presentation his core temperature had only risen by 1.7°C (Fig. 1). He continued to be hypotensive, requiring a norepinephrine infusion of 2–13 µg/min, and 7 hours after arrival, he suffered a nadir BP of 65/40 mm Hg with a MAP of 40 mm Hg. His hypotension was exacerbated by episodes of intermittent ventricular tachycardia. Cardiopulmonary bypass was unavailable. More invasive active rewarming such as warm peritoneal and pleural lavage were considered, but given its immediate availability, the decision was made to initiate hemodialysis.

A temporary, double-lumen hemodialysis catheter was inserted into the right internal jugular vein. The patient developed a brief episode of ventricular tachycardia following guidewire insertion. Intermittent hemodialysis was initiated with the following dialysate parameters: temperature 36°C, potassium 4.0 mmol/L, bicarbonate 40 mmol/L, flow 500 mL/min and blood flow 200 mL/min. The patient’s predialysis temperature was 30.6°C and after 1 hour
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it rose to 33°C. Thirty minutes after dialysis began, the patient’s BP dropped to 73/44 and he experienced a left-sided clonic seizure that was treated with IV lorazepam; the cause of the patient’s seizure was not clear. The patient’s pre- and postseizure blood glucose levels were 7.2 mmol/L and 6.6 mmol/L, respectively, and his serum sodium level directly after the seizure was 146 mmol/L. His filter and tubing clotted, and hemodialysis was temporarily stopped.

Because of the temporal relationship between the elevated rate of rewarming and the development of hypotension and seizure, hemodialysis was reinitiated at a dialysate temperature of 35°C to slow the rewarming rate, and raised back to 36°C 1 hour later, though it remained unclear whether the rewarming rate had any role in the patient’s adverse events. The potassium bath was increased to 5.0 mEq/L. He received norepinephrine at 13 µg/min throughout hemodialysis. Upon reinitiation of hemodialysis, his temperature rose 3°C with an average rate of rise of 2.1°C/h (Fig. 1). This rewarming rate is consistent with previous reports (Table 1). Importantly, there were no further incidents of core temperature after-drop during hemodialysis. Although CPB can achieve more rapid rewarming rates of 7–12°C/h, the relative benefits and harms of such rapid rewarming rates have not been definitively established. Moreover, CPB is considerably more invasive than hemodialysis, requiring 2 large bore cannula and surgical cutdown or sternotomy. Cardiopulmonary bypass requires the expertise of a cardiac surgeon and access to a perfusionist, and a hemodialysis catheter can usually be inserted by emergency physicians, intensivists and internists, avoiding delays in initiating treatment. Because of the increasing availability of hemodialysis in smaller communities, clinicians may find the use of locally available hemodialysis to be advantageous to often long transfers to tertiary care centres for CPB in the immediate management of hypothermia.

Other potential advantages of hemodialysis over CPB include ability to correct electrolyte and acid-base disturbances that often complicate hypothermia even in the absence of renal failure. A further advantage is that heparin can often be successfully replaced by normal saline infusion or other local anticoagulants in hemodialysis to avoid the use of systemic anticoagulation in situations of trauma or hemorrhage that may complicate accidental hypothermia. There are times, however, that systemic anticoagulation is necessary for effective hemodialysis, and in our

### Table 1. Reports of hemodialysis for the management of hypothermia without circulatory arrest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Renal status;</th>
<th>Patient age, yr</th>
<th>Lowest temperature, °C</th>
<th>Initial rewarming strategy</th>
<th>Mode of HD</th>
<th>Rewarming rate, °C/h</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal renal function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernandez et al.</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>Warmed IVFs, warmed blankets, warm inspired air, warmed IVFs</td>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carr et al.</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>Warmed IVFs</td>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Komatsu et al.</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>Convective air warmer, warmed IVFs</td>
<td>CVVH</td>
<td>Slow (not specified)</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spooner and Hassani</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>Heated blankets, warmed IVFs</td>
<td>CVVH</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owda and Osama</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>Peritoneal dialysis</td>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yokoyama et al.</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>Heated blankets, warmed IVFs</td>
<td>IHD</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CVVH = continuous veno-venous hemofiltration; HD = hemodialysis; IHD = intermittent hemodialysis; IVF = intravenous fluid.
case there was evidence of clotting in the hemodialysis filter despite the use of systemic heparin.

Our case highlights the challenges of using hemodialysis to treat hypothermia. Our patient developed nonsustained ventricular tachycardia with guidewire insertion, likely because of the greater cardiac irritability associated with hypothermia. A femoral approach may have avoided this. Hemodialysis may also result in hemodynamic instability that temporarily worsens, as seen in this case. Whether this was because of the hemodialysis procedure itself or vasodilation caused by the rapidity of rewarming during the first hour is unclear. However, the hemodialysis could be continued with an increase in vasopressor support.

A PubMed search revealed minimal reported use of hemodialysis to treat accidental hypothermia (Table 1). We found 6 reported cases of patients who were treated with hemodialysis for hypothermia,9,13,14,16-18 of whom 2 had renal failure.16,18 Of the 4 with normal renal function, 2 were treated with intermittent hemodialysis13,14 and 2 with continuous hemodialysis.9,17 In contrast, peritoneal dialysis has been more widely used, based on more than 40 published reports.19 Hemodialysis may be a more effective rewarming method when compared with peritoneal dialysis, as hemodialysis has been successfully used in peritoneal dialysis failures.13,16 Whether continuous hemodialysis is superior to intermittent hemodialysis requires further study.

Conclusion

Intermittent hemodialysis appears to achieve a relatively rapid and consistent rewarming rate without a core temperature after-drop, and is less invasive and more readily available than CPB. Future studies should compare directly the relative benefits and risks of intermittent hemodialysis with CPB. In the meantime, intermittent hemodialysis should be considered as an alternative to CPB for the treatment of severe hypothermia without circulatory arrest, even in the absence of renal failure.
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