## THE $C^{1}$-INVARIANCE OF THE GODBILLON-VEY MAP IN ANALYTICAL $K$-THEORY
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1. Introduction. An action $\alpha$ of a discrete group $\Gamma$ on the circle $S^{1}$ as orientation preserving $C^{\infty}$-diffeomorphisms gives rise to a foliation on the homotopy quotient $S^{1} \Gamma$, and its Godbillon-Vey invariant is, by definition, a cohomology class of $S^{1} \Gamma([\mathbf{1}])$. This cohomology class naturally defines an additive map from the geometric $K$-group $K^{0}\left(S^{1}, \Gamma\right)$ into $\mathbf{C}$, through the Chern character from $K^{0}\left(S^{1}, \Gamma\right)$ to $H_{*}\left(S^{1} \Gamma ; \mathbf{Q}\right)$.

Using cyclic cohomology, Connes constructed in [2] an additive map, $G V(\alpha)$, which we shall call the Godbillon-Vey map, from the $K_{0}$-group of the reduced crossed product $C^{*}$-algebra $C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes{ }_{\alpha} \Gamma$ into $\mathbf{C}$. He showed that $G V(\alpha)$ agrees with the geometric Godbillon-Vey invariant through the index map $\mu$ from $K^{0}\left(S^{1}, \Gamma\right)$ to $K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \not \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$. In order to define $K^{0}\left(S^{1}, \Gamma\right)$ and $\mu$, Connes considered $C^{\infty}$-actions in [2]. However, a close examination of his construction shows that the map $G V(\alpha)$ itself can be defined for an action $\alpha$ of $\Gamma$ on $S^{1}$ as orientation preserving $C^{2}$-diffeomorphisms.
Raby showed in [5] that, if two codimension one $C^{\infty}$-foliations are $C^{1}$-diffeomorphic, then their geometric Godbillon-Vey invariants coincide. By this fact, together with Connes's description mentioned above, when an action is of class $C^{\infty}$, the $C^{1}$-invariance of the Godbillon-Vey map would follow from the Baum-Connes conjecture that the index map $\mu$ is always an isomorphism. Unfortunately, so far we do not know whether this conjecture is true for all actions of discrete groups on $S^{1}$. Therefore it is desirable to show the $C^{1}$-invariance of the Godbillon-Vey map directly in the analytical framework.

In the present work, we will show that if two $C^{2}$-actions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of a discrete group $\Gamma$ are conjugate to each other by a $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$, then the associated maps $G V(\alpha)$ and $G V(\beta)$ coincide, via the canonical isomorphism between $K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ and $K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma\right)$ derived from $\varphi$ (Theorem 4).

It should be noted that even in the case of $C^{\infty}$-conjugation, and of $C^{\infty}$-actions, the invariance of the Godbillon-Vey map is not obvious. For one thing, the construction of the Godbillon-Vey map uses a specified volume form on $S^{1}$, which is not necessarily invariant under even a $C^{\infty}$-diffeomorphism giving a conjugation between two actions. It is as

[^0]a consequence of the theorem that the Godbillon-Vey map is independent of the choice of volume form on $S^{1}$.
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2. The Godbillon-Vey map. In this section we give an explicit construction of the Godbillon-Vey map.

Let $\alpha$ be an action of a group $\Gamma$ on $S^{1}$ as orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of class $C^{2}$. For $g \in \Gamma$, denote by $\alpha_{g}$ the corresponding $C^{2}$-diffeomorphism. Let $d x$ be the canonical volume form on $S^{1}$. Define the Jacobian $J(g)$ of $\alpha_{g}$ by

$$
\alpha_{g}^{*}(d x)=J(g) d x
$$

and put $l(g)=\log J(g)$. The function $g \mapsto l(g)$ is a group 1-cocycle on $\Gamma$ with values in the space $C^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ of $C^{1}$-functions, where we consider the action of $\Gamma$ on the right defined by $f \cdot g=\alpha_{g}^{*}(f)$. More precisely, we have the relation

$$
l(g h)=\alpha_{h}^{*} l(g)+l(h) .
$$

Put

$$
\omega_{\alpha}(g, h)=d l(g h) l(h)-l(g h) d l(h) .
$$

Lemma 1. The function $\omega_{\alpha}$ is a group 2-cocycle on $\Gamma$ with values in the space $\Omega^{1}$ of continuous 1-forms on $S^{1}$. Moreover, $\omega_{\alpha}$ is normalized in the sense that if one of $g, h$, and $g h$ is equal to the neutral element $e \in \Gamma$, then $\omega_{\alpha}(g, h)=0$.
The proof is immediate by a routine computation. Following [1], we shall call $\omega_{\alpha}$ the Thurston cocycle of $\alpha$.

For $f^{0}, f^{1}, f^{2} \in C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, put

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}, f^{2}\right)=\sum_{g_{0} g_{1} g_{2}=e} \int_{S^{1}} f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \alpha_{\left(g_{0} g_{1}\right)^{-1}}^{*}\left(f_{g_{2}}^{2}\right) \omega_{\alpha}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)
$$

to get a cyclic 2-cocycle on $C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$. We study the cocycle $\tau_{\alpha}$. For $f^{0}, f^{1} \in C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, put

$$
\tau_{2}\left(f^{0}, f^{l}\right)=\sum_{g_{0} g_{1}=e} \int_{S^{1}} f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{l}\right) d l\left(g_{1}\right)
$$

Since $l$ is a normalized 1 -cocycle, $\tau_{2}$ is a cyclic 1-cocycle. For any $f^{l} \in C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, there exists a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|\tau_{2}\left(f^{0}, f^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq C\left\|f^{0}\right\|_{A}
$$

for all $f^{0} \in C_{c}\left(S^{1} \not \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, where $\left\|\|_{A}\right.$ is the $C^{*}$-norm on the reduced crossed product $A=C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$. This enables us to define a densely defined linear map $\delta: A \rightarrow A^{*}$ by

$$
\delta\left(f^{l}\right)\left(f^{0}\right)=\tau_{2}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}\right)
$$

Since $\tau_{2}$ is a cyclic cocycle, $\delta$ is a closable derivation ([2, Lemma 4]). Modifying the proof of [2, Lemma 2], we get the following.

Lemma 2. Let $B$ be a Banach space endowed with an $A$-bimodule structure. Let $\delta: A \rightarrow B$ be a densely defined closed derivation. Then the domain of $\delta$ is stable under the holomorphic functional calculus.

Now let $\left(\sigma_{t}\right)$ be the modular automorphism group of the state on $A$ associated to the 1 -form $d x$. Then $\left(\sigma_{t}\right)$ preserves $A$. Let $D_{\alpha}$ be the generator of $\left(\sigma_{t}\right)$. We have the relations
(a) $D_{\alpha}(f)=0 \quad$ for $f \in C\left(S^{1}\right)$,
(b) $D_{\alpha}\left(U_{g}\right)=U_{g} l(g)$ for $g \in \Gamma$.

By a straightforward computation, we get the next lemma.
Lemma 3. For $f^{0}, f^{1}, f^{2} \in C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, we have

$$
\tau_{\alpha}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}, f^{2}\right)=\tau_{2}\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{2}\right) f^{0}, f^{1}\right)-\tau_{2}\left(f^{0} D_{\alpha}\left(f^{1}\right), f^{2}\right)
$$

Let $B$ be the direct sum $A \oplus A^{*}$ of Banach spaces with $A$-bimodule structure given by

$$
a\left(a_{1} \oplus \varphi\right) b=\left(a a_{1} b\right) \oplus(a \varphi b)
$$

Define an unbounded operator $\delta^{\prime}: A \rightarrow B$ by

$$
\delta^{\prime}(a)=\left(D_{\alpha}(a), \delta(a)\right)
$$

for $a \in C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, where $\delta$ is the derivation associated to $\tau_{2}$ constructed above. Then $\delta^{\prime}$ is a closable derivation. Let $\mathscr{B}$ be the domain of the closure $\bar{\delta}^{\prime}$ of $\delta^{\prime}$, equipped with the graph norm associated to $\delta^{\prime}$. Then $\mathscr{B}$ is a Banach algebra embedded in $A$ as a dense subalgebra, stable under the holomorphic functional calculus by Lemma 2. Lemma 3 says that the cyclic 2 -cocycle $\tau_{\alpha}$ is continuous with respect to the graph norm on $C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ induced from that on $\mathscr{B}$. Therefore $\tau_{\alpha}$ extends to a cyclic 2-cocycle on $\mathscr{B}$. Since $K_{0}(\mathscr{B}) \cong K_{0}(A)$, we obtain a map

$$
G V(\alpha): K_{0}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}
$$

by [2, Theorem 7]. We call $G V(\alpha)$ the Godbillon-Vey map associated to the action $\alpha$.

For the use in the later sections, let us study the algebra $\mathscr{B}$ more thoroughly.

Let $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ be the restriction of $\delta^{\prime}$ to $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$. Then $\delta^{\prime \prime}$ is also clos-
able. Let $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ be the domain of the closure of $\delta^{\prime \prime}$. The algebra $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}$ is the completion of $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ with respect to the graph norm. Obviously, $\mathscr{B}^{\prime} \subset \mathscr{B}$, and this inclusion is continuous. Since $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ is dense in $C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes{ }_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ with respect to the inductive limit topology on $C_{C}\left(S^{1} \not{ }_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, actually we have $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}=\mathscr{B}$. Thus, $\mathscr{B}$ is the completion of $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ with respect to the graph norm given by $\delta^{\prime}$.

Besides our $\mathscr{B}$, there might exist a dense Banach subalgebra $\mathscr{B}_{2}$ of $A$ which is stable under the holomorphic functional calculus and contains $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ as a dense subalgebra, and on which

$$
\left.\tau_{\alpha}\right|_{C_{c}^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)
$$

extends to a cyclic cocycle $\tau^{\prime}$. Then $\tau^{\prime}$ also induces an additive map

$$
\tau_{*}^{\prime}: K_{0}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{C} .
$$

However, we do not know whether $\tau_{*}^{\prime}$ coincides with $G V(\alpha)$, because there are no relations between $\mathscr{B}$ and $\mathscr{B}_{2}$, in general. (Notice that we are dealing with unbounded operators.) For this reason, when we talk about the Godbillon-Vey map, we will keep in mind the algebra $\mathscr{B}$ constructed above as the domain.
3. $C^{1}$-conjugation. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be actions of a group $\Gamma$ on $S^{1}$ as orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of class $C^{2}$. Assume that $\alpha, \beta$ are conjugate to each other by a $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism $\varphi$ of $S^{1}$; that is, for any $g \in \Gamma$,

$$
\varphi^{-1} \beta_{g} \varphi=\alpha_{g}
$$

The diffeomorphism $\varphi^{-1}$ induces an isomorphism $\Phi$ of $C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ onto $C\left(S^{1}\right) \not \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$ in an obvious way. Consequently we have an isomorphism

$$
\Phi_{*}: K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma\right) .
$$

Our main result is the following.
ThEOREM 4. In the above situation, we have the relation

$$
G V(\beta) \circ \Phi_{*}=G V(\alpha)
$$

Proof. We will prove Theorem 4 in a sequence of lemmata. To begin with, we study the 2 -cocycle $\tau_{\beta} \circ \Phi$ which is associated to the group 2-cocycle $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{*} \omega_{\beta}$.

Since $\varphi$ is of class $C^{1}$, the pullback $\varphi^{*}(d x)$ of $d x$ by $\varphi$ is defined, and $\varphi^{*}(d x)=k d x$ for some nowhere-vanishing continuous function $k$. For simplicity, assume that $\varphi$ is orientation preserving. Then $k$ is positive.

By easy computations,

$$
\varphi^{*}\left(l^{\prime}(g)\right)=\log \left(\alpha_{g}^{*} k / k\right)+l(g)
$$

for all $g \in \Gamma$, where $l, l^{\prime}$ are the logarithms of the Jacobians of $\alpha, \beta$, respectively. The above formula says, in particular, that ( $\alpha_{g}^{*} k / k$ ) is a $C^{1}$-function. Let

$$
K(g)=\log \left(\alpha_{g}^{*} k / k\right)
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{*}\left(\omega_{\beta}(g, h)\right)-\omega_{\alpha}(g, h) \\
& =d K(g h) K(h)-K(g h) d K(h)+d l(g h) K(h)-K(g h) d l(h) \\
& +d K(g h) l(h)-l(g h) d K(h)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $g, h \in \Gamma$, put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{1}(g, h)=d K(g h) K(h)-K(g h) d K(h), \\
& p_{2}(g, h)=d l(g h) K(h)-K(g h) d l(h), \text { and } \\
& p_{3}(g, h)=d K(g h) l(h)-l(g h) d K(h) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $p_{1}, p_{2}$, and $p_{3}$ are $\Omega^{1}$-valued normalized 2-cocycles on $\Gamma$.
For $g \in \Gamma$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1}(g) & =\log \left(k \alpha_{g}^{*} k\right) d K(g), \\
\sigma_{2}(g) & =\log \left(k \alpha_{g}^{*} k\right) d l(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ are $\Omega^{1}$-valued normalized 1-cochains on $\Gamma$. Let $\partial^{*}$ be the coboundary operator of the cochain complex $C^{*}\left(\Gamma ; \Omega^{1}\right)$ of the group $\Gamma$ with coefficients in the right $\Gamma$-module $\Omega^{1}$. By straightforward computations, we get the next lemma.

Lemma 5. We have the relations $\partial^{*} \sigma_{1}=p_{1}$ and $\partial{ }^{*} \sigma_{2}=p_{2}$.
For $g \in \Gamma$, let $\sigma_{3}(g)$ be the distribution on $S^{1}$ defined by

$$
\left\langle\sigma_{3}(g), f\right\rangle=\int_{S^{1}} \log \left(k \alpha_{g}^{*} k\right) d(l(g) f)
$$

for $f \in C^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$. Obviously $\sigma_{3}(g)=0$ if $g=e$.
Remark. To define $\sigma_{3}(g)$ we used the fact that $l(g)$ is of class $C^{1}$.
Let $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ denote the dual of $C^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ with respect to the $C^{1}$-topology. A right $\Gamma$-action on $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ is defined by

$$
\langle T \cdot g, f\rangle=\left\langle T, \alpha_{g-1}^{*}(f)\right\rangle
$$

for $T \in \mathscr{E}^{\prime}, f \in C^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$, and $g \in \Gamma$. Let $C^{*}\left(\Gamma ; \mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)$ be the cochain complex of $\Gamma$ with coefficients in $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$, and let $\partial^{*}$ be its coboundary operator. The canonical inclusion $\Omega^{1} \subset \mathscr{E}^{\prime}$ induces an inclusion of cochain complexes,

$$
C^{*}\left(\Gamma ; \Omega^{1}\right) \subset C^{*}\left(\Gamma, \mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Lemma 6. In $C^{2}\left(\Gamma ; \mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)$ we have $\partial^{*} \sigma_{3}=p_{3}$. In particular,

$$
\partial^{*} \sigma_{3} \in C^{2}\left(\Gamma ; \Omega^{1}\right)
$$

Proof. By definition,

$$
\left(\partial * \sigma_{3}\right)(g, h)=\sigma_{3}(h)-\sigma_{3}(g h)+\sigma_{3}(g) \cdot h .
$$

Let $f \in C^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ be fixed. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\sigma_{3}(h), f\right\rangle=\int_{S^{1}} \log \left(k \alpha_{h}^{*} k\right) d(f l(h)), \\
& \left\langle\sigma_{3}(g h), f\right\rangle=\int_{S^{1}} \log \left(k \alpha_{g h}^{*} k\right) d(f l(g h)),
\end{aligned}
$$

and, furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sigma_{3}(g) \cdot h, f\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sigma_{3}(g), \alpha_{h-1}^{*} f\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{S^{1}} \log \left(\alpha_{h}^{*} k\left(\alpha_{g h}^{*} k\right)\right) d(f(l(g h)-l(h))) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these equations the conclusion follows.
By Lemmas 5 and 6 , we know that in $C^{2}\left(\Gamma ; \mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)$ we have the relation

$$
\varphi^{*} \omega_{\beta}-\omega_{\alpha}=\partial^{*}\left(\sigma_{1}+\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{3}\right) .
$$

Using the cochains $\sigma_{j}$, we construct cyclic cochains.
Lemma 7. The $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$-valued 1 -cochain $\sigma_{j}(j=1,2,3)$ enjoys the following relation:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j}(g) \cdot g^{-1}=-\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j}\left(g^{-1}\right)
$$

for all $g \in \Gamma$. In particular, $\sigma_{j}(e)=0$.
Proof. We give a proof for $\sigma_{1}$. Let $f \in C^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\sigma_{1}(g) \cdot g^{-1}, f\right\rangle & =\left\langle\sigma_{1}(g), \alpha_{g}^{*}(f)\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{S^{\prime}} \log \left(k \alpha_{g}^{*} k\right) \alpha_{g}^{*}(f) d K(g) \\
& =\int_{S^{1}} \alpha_{g}^{*}\left\{\log \left(\left(\alpha_{g-1}^{*} k\right) k\right) f \alpha_{g-1}^{*}(d K(g))\right\} \\
& =-\int_{S^{\prime}} \log \left(k \alpha_{g-1}^{*} k\right) f d K\left(g^{-1}\right) \\
& =-\left\langle\sigma_{1}\left(g^{-1}\right), f\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we get relations for $\sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$.
Remark. If $p$ is a normalized 1 -cocycle with values in $\mathscr{E}^{\prime}$, then the relation stated in Lemma 7 is automatic.

Lemma 8. Let $p \in C^{\prime}\left(\Gamma ; \mathscr{E}^{\prime}\right)$. Suppose that

$$
p(g) \cdot g^{-1}=-p\left(g^{-1}\right) \text { for all } g \in \Gamma .
$$

Then the following formula defines a cyclic 1-cochain $\tau$ :

$$
\tau\left(f^{0}, f^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{g h=e}\left\langle p(h), f_{g}^{0} \alpha_{g-1}^{*}\left(f_{h}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle
$$

for $f^{0}, f^{1} \in C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$.
Proof. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(f^{1}, f^{0}\right) & =\sum\left\langle p(h), f_{g}^{\prime} \alpha_{g-1}^{*}\left(f_{h}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle p(h), \alpha_{g-1}^{*}\left(\alpha_{g}^{*}\left(f_{g}^{\prime}\right) f_{h}^{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\sum\left\langle p(h) \cdot h^{-1}, f_{h}^{0} \alpha_{n-1}^{*}\left(f_{g}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =-\tau\left(f^{0}, f^{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $p$ be as in Lemma 8. Then $C=\partial^{*} p$ is a normalized 2-cocycle. In fact, as

$$
c(g, h)=p(h)-p(g h)+p(g) \cdot h,
$$

if $g h=e$, then

$$
c(g, h)=p\left(g^{-1}\right)+p(g) \cdot g^{-1}=0,
$$

and if $g=e$ or $h=e, c(g, h)=0$ is obvious.
For $f^{0}, f^{1}, f^{2} \in C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, let

$$
\left.\psi^{\prime}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}, f^{2}\right)=\sum_{g_{0} g_{1} g_{2}=e}\left\langle c\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right), f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{\prime}\right) \alpha_{\left(g_{0} g_{1}\right)}^{*}\right)\left(f_{g_{2}}^{2}\right)\right\rangle
$$

Then we have the following.
Lemma 9. The functional $\psi^{\prime}$ is a cyclic 2-cocycle, and $\psi^{\prime}=b \tau$, where $b$ is the Hochschild coboundary.

Proof. The first statement is a modification of Lemma 1 of [2, p. 86]. The second statement follows from a routine computation.

Let us return to the proof of Theorem 4. By Lemmas 5, 6, 7, and 9, we know that on $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ there exists a cyclic cochain $\psi$ such that

$$
\varphi^{*} \tau_{\beta}-\tau_{\alpha}=b \psi
$$

To complete the proof, it remains to prove that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{*} \tau_{\beta}, \tau_{\alpha}, b \psi$, and $\psi$ extend to cyclic cochains on a dense subalgebra stable under the holomorphic functional calculus, on which we have the relation

$$
\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{*} \tau_{\beta}-\tau_{\alpha}=b \psi
$$

For $f^{0}, f^{1} \in C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, put

$$
\tau_{1}\left(f^{0}, f^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{g_{0} g_{1}=e} \int_{S^{1}} f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1}\right) d K\left(g_{1}\right)
$$

Similarly, define $\tau_{2}$ by

$$
\tau_{2}\left(f^{0}, f^{l}\right)=\sum_{g_{0} g_{1}=e} \int_{S^{1}} f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1}\right) d l\left(g_{1}\right)
$$

Then $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ are 1-traces in Connes's terminology [2, Definition 3]. In other words, $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$ give rise to densely defined closed derivations $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ from $A$ into $A^{*}$, respectively.

Let $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$ be the cyclic 1-cochains associated to $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$, and $\sigma_{3}$ respectively, by Lemma 8 . By brute force we get the next lemma.

Lemma 10. On $C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ we have the relations
(1) $C_{1}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}\right)=\tau_{1}\left((\log k) f^{0}, f^{1}\right)+\tau_{1}\left(f^{0},(\log k) f^{1}\right)$,
(2) $C_{2}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}\right)=\tau_{2}\left((\log k) f^{0}, f^{1}\right)+\tau_{2}\left(f^{0},(\log k) f^{1}\right)$.

Let $D$ be the inner derivation of $A$ defined by $\log k \in A$.
Lemma 11. On $C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, we have
(1) $b C_{1}=i_{D} \tau_{1}$, and
(2) $b C_{2}=i_{D} \tau_{2}$.

Proof. By the definition of the contraction $i_{D}([2, \mathrm{p} .91])$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(i_{D} \tau_{j}\right)\left(f^{0}, f^{1}, f^{2}\right) \\
& =\tau_{j}\left(D\left(f^{2}\right) f^{0}, f^{1}\right)-\tau_{j}\left(f^{0} D\left(f^{1}\right), f^{2}\right) \quad(j=1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

The relations follow by somewhat tedious computations.
Remark. In [2], to define the contraction $i_{D} \pi$, Connes assumed that $\pi$ is invariant under the automorphism group generated by $D$. What is precisely needed there is that

$$
\pi\left(D X^{0}, X^{1}\right)+\pi\left(X^{0}, D X^{1}\right)=0
$$

In our situation, obviously our cocycles $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ have this property with respect to the derivation $D$.

Lemma 12. We have the relation

$$
b C_{3}=i_{D_{\alpha}} \tau_{1} \quad \text { on } C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right) .
$$

Proof. Let us first check that

$$
\tau_{1}\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{0}\right), f^{1}\right)+\tau_{1}\left(f^{0}, D_{\alpha}\left(f^{1}\right)\right)=0
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1}\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{0}\right), f^{1}\right) & =\tau_{1}\left(\sum_{g} f_{g}^{0} \alpha_{g-1}^{*}(l(g)) U_{g}, f^{1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{g_{0} g_{1}=c} \int_{S^{1}} f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(l\left(g_{0}\right)\right) \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1}\right) d K\left(g_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\tau_{1}\left(f^{0}, D_{\alpha}\left(f^{1}\right)\right)=\sum_{g_{0} g_{1}=e} \int_{S^{1}} f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1} \alpha_{g_{1}-1}^{*}\left(l\left(g_{1}\right)\right)\right) d K\left(g_{1}\right) .
$$

Since $\alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(l\left(g_{0}\right)\right)=-l\left(g_{1}\right)$, we see that

$$
\tau_{1}\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{0}\right), f^{1}\right)+\tau_{1}\left(f^{0}, D_{\alpha}\left(f^{1}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Now the relation $i_{D_{4}} \tau_{1}=b C_{3}$ follows by straightforward computation.
Let us study the cochain $C_{3}$ more carefully. Let $\tau$ be the transverse fundamental class for a transformation group ( $S^{1}, \Gamma, \alpha$ ). By definition, for $f^{0}, f^{\prime} \in C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ we have

$$
\tau\left(f^{0}, f^{-1}\right)=\sum_{g_{0} g_{1}=e} \int_{S^{1}} f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(d f_{g_{1}}^{1}\right)
$$

Lemma 13. For $f^{0}, f^{1} \in C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, we have the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}\right) & =C_{2}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}\right)+\tau\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{1}\right) \log k, f^{0}\right) \\
& +\tau\left(D_{\alpha}\left(\log k \cdot f^{1}\right), f^{0}\right)-\tau\left(D_{\alpha}\left(\log k \cdot f^{0}\right), f^{1}\right) \\
& -\tau\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{0}\right) \log k, f^{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{3}\left(f^{0}, f^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{g_{0} g_{1}=e} \int_{S^{\prime}} d\left(f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1}\right) l\left(g_{1}\right)\right)\left(\log k+\log \alpha_{g_{1}}^{*}(k)\right) \\
& =\sum \int\left\{d\left(f_{g_{0}}^{0}\right) \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1}\right) l\left(g_{1}\right)\right. \\
& +f_{g_{0}}^{0} d\left(\alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{\left.g_{1}\right)}^{1}\right) l\left(g_{1}\right)\right\}\left(\log k\left(\alpha_{g_{1}}^{*} k\right)\right) \\
& +\sum \int f_{g_{0}}^{0} \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{l}\right) d l\left(g_{1}\right) \log \left(k\left(\alpha_{g_{1}}^{*} k\right)\right) \\
& =\sum \int d\left(f_{g_{0}}^{0}\right) \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1} \alpha_{g_{0}}^{*}\left(l\left(g_{1}\right)\right) \log \alpha_{g_{0}}^{*} k\right) \\
& \left.+\sum \int d\left(f_{g_{0}}^{0}\right) \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1} \alpha_{g_{0}}^{*} l\left(g_{1}\right)\right) \log k\right) \\
& +\sum \int f_{g_{0}}^{0}\left(d \alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1}\right)\right) l\left(g_{1}\right) \log k \\
& +\sum \int f_{g_{0}}^{0} l\left(g_{1}\right) \log \left(\alpha_{g_{1}}^{*} k\right) d\left(\alpha_{g_{0}-1}^{*}\left(f_{g_{1}}^{1}\right)\right) \\
& +C_{2}\left(f^{0} . f^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\tau\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{1}\right) \log k, f^{0}\right)+\tau\left((\log k) D_{\alpha}\left(f^{1}\right), f^{0}\right) \\
& -\tau\left(\log k\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{0}\right)\right), f^{1}\right)-\tau\left(D_{\alpha}\left(f^{0}\right) \log k, f^{\prime}\right) \\
& +C_{2}\left(f^{0}, f^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as was to be shown.
On $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes{ }_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$, let us consider unbounded derivations $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$, $\delta: A \rightarrow A^{*}$ associated to $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau$, respectively. These derivations are closable, because $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau$ are cyclic. Notice that $D_{\alpha}$ restricted to $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ is also closable. Let $\bar{\delta}_{1}, \bar{\delta}_{2}, \bar{\delta}$, and $\bar{D}_{\alpha}$ be the closure of

$$
\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta, \quad \text { and } \quad D_{\left.\alpha\right|_{C^{\prime}}\left(S^{\prime} x_{a} \mid\right)}
$$

respectively. Consider the direct sum of Banach spaces $B=A \oplus A^{*} \oplus$ $A^{*} \oplus A^{*}$, equipped with the $A$-bimodule structure

$$
a\left(a_{0} \oplus \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{1} \oplus \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{2} \oplus \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{3}\right) b=\left(a a_{0} b\right) \oplus\left(a \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{1} b\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus\left(a \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{3} b\right) .
$$

Define a densely defined map $\delta_{0}: A \rightarrow B$ by

$$
\delta^{\prime}(a)=\bar{D}_{\alpha}(a) \oplus \bar{\delta}(a) \oplus \bar{\delta}_{1}(a) \oplus \bar{\delta}_{2}(a) .
$$

Then $\delta^{\prime}$ is a closable derivation, since $\bar{D}_{\alpha}, \bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}_{1}$, and $\bar{\delta}_{2}$ are closed. Let $\delta_{0}$ be the closure of

$$
\left.\delta^{\prime}\right|_{C_{d}^{\prime}\left(S^{\prime} x_{a} I \prime\right.}
$$

Then, by Lemma 2, the domain of $\delta_{0}$ is a Banach algebra $\mathscr{B}$ stable under the holomorphic functional calculus. The algebra $\mathscr{B}$ is the completion of $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ with respect to the graph norm $|||\cdot|||$ associated to $\delta_{0}$.
Let us check that $C_{3}$ on $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ is continuous. By Lemmas 10 and 13 , for $x^{0}, x^{1} \in C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|C_{3}\left(x^{0}, x^{1}\right)\right| & \leqq\|\log k\|_{A}\left\|X^{0}\right\|_{A}\left\|\delta_{1}\left(x^{1}\right)\right\|_{A^{*}} \\
& +2\|\log k\|_{A}\left\|D_{\alpha}\left(x^{1}\right)\right\|_{A}\left\|\delta\left(x^{0}\right)\right\|_{A} \\
& +2\|\log k\|_{A}\left\|D_{\alpha}\left(x^{0}\right)\right\|_{A}\left\|\delta\left(x^{1}\right)\right\|_{A} \\
& \leqq 5\|\log k\|_{A}\left\|\left|x^{0}\| \|\right|\right\| x^{1}\| \| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This says that $C_{3}$ is continuous as a function of two variables with respect to $|||\cdot|||$.

Similarly, the cyclic cochains $\tau_{\alpha}, C_{1}, C_{2}, b C_{1}, b C_{2}$, and $b C_{3}$ are continuous. Consequently, $\Phi^{*} \tau_{\beta}$ is also continuous. Therefore all these cyclic cochains extend to cyclic cochains on $\mathscr{B}$, and satisfy

$$
\Phi^{*} \tau_{\beta}-\tau_{\alpha}=b\left(C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{3}\right)
$$

on $\mathscr{B}$.
It remains to analyze the cocycle $\Phi^{*} \tau_{\beta}$. Since $\Phi$ is an isomorphism from
$C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ onto $C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma$, the image $\Phi(\mathscr{B})$ of $\mathscr{B}$ is stable under the holomorphic functional calculus. We have to show that $\tau_{\beta}$ on $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma\right)$ extends to a cocycle on $\Phi(\mathscr{B})$. Let $\mathscr{B}_{\beta}$ be the Banach algebra constructed in Section 1 for the transformation group ( $S^{1}, \Gamma, \beta$ ). We compare $\mathscr{B}_{\beta}$ with $\Phi(\mathscr{B})$. Since $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ is a $C^{1}$-diffeomorphism, obviously

$$
\Phi\left(C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)\right)=C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma\right)
$$

Let $\delta_{\alpha}, \delta_{\beta}$ be the closed derivation associated to the transverse fundamental classes on $C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right), C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma\right)$, respectively.

Lemma 14. We have $\Phi\left(\operatorname{Dom}\left(\delta_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Dom}\left(\delta_{\beta}\right)$.
Proof. By a straightforward computation, we see that

$$
\delta_{\beta}(\Phi(a))(x)=\delta_{\alpha}(a)\left(\Phi^{-1}(x)\right)
$$

for all $a \in C_{c}^{1}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ and all $x \in C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\beta} \Gamma\right)$. From this, we find

$$
\left\|\delta_{\beta}(\Phi(a))\right\|=\left\|\delta_{\alpha}(a)\right\|,
$$

since $\Phi$ is an isometry. The conclusion follows immediately.
Let $D_{\beta}$ be the generator of the modular automorphism group for the state on $C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes{ }_{\beta} \Gamma$ associated to the 1-form $d x$.

Lemma 15. We have the relation

$$
\Phi^{-1} \circ D_{\beta} \circ \Phi=D_{\alpha}+D
$$

where $D$ is the inner derivation determined by

$$
\log k \in C\left(S^{1}\right) \not \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma .
$$

Proof. Since $\boldsymbol{\varphi}^{*}(d x)=k d x$, the conclusion follows (cf. [4] ).
Lemma 15 , together with 14 , says that $\Phi(\mathscr{B}) \subset \mathscr{B}_{\beta}$, and that this inclusion is continuous. Therefore the extension $\tau_{\beta}^{\prime}$ of $\tau_{\beta}$ to $\Phi(\mathscr{B})$ coincides with the restriction of the extension $\bar{\tau}_{\beta}$ of $\tau_{\beta}$ to $\mathscr{B}_{\beta}$. Hence the additive map defined by the pairing with $\tau_{\beta}^{\prime}$ from $K_{0}(\Phi(\mathscr{B}))$ to $\mathbf{C}$ is just equal to $G V(\beta)$.

Let $\mathscr{B}_{\alpha}$ be the domain of the Godbillon-Vey map $G V(\alpha)$. Then by the definition of $\mathscr{B}$, we see that $\mathscr{B} \subset \mathscr{B}_{\alpha}$, and that this inclusion is continuous. Hence the extension of $\tau_{\alpha}$ to $\mathscr{B}$ gives us the same map as $G V(\alpha)$.

Now we know that on the Banach algebra $\mathscr{B}$ the two cyclic cocycles $\Phi^{*} \tau_{\beta}$ and $\tau_{\alpha}$ are cohomologous. Consequently, they define the same map from $K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$ to $\mathbf{C}$.

That is the end of the proof of Theorem 4.
The proof of Theorem 4 given above shows also that the definition of the Godbillon-Vey map is independent of the choice of a volume form
of class $C^{2}$ on $S^{1}$, used to get the Jacobians of the diffeomorphisms. This corresponds to the fact that the Godbillon-Vey class in geometry is independent of the choices of certain differential forms involved in the definition.

Finally, let us emphasize that the stability of the Godbillon-Vey map under $C^{2}$-conjugation is not quite as simple as one might expect, because we are dealing with unbounded operators.
4. Example. We consider the following example.

The group $S L_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$ faithfully acts on the space of oriented lines through 0 in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, which we identify with the circle $S^{1}$, Call this action $\alpha$.

Proposition 16. The Godbillon-Vey map GV( $\alpha$ ) associated to $\alpha$ is the zero map.

Before giving a proof, let us make an observation. Since the Thurston cocycle $\omega_{\alpha}$ is zero in $H^{2}\left(S L_{2}(\mathbf{Z}), \Omega^{1}\right)$, one might think that Proposition 16 is trivial. If a 1-cochain $p$ with $\partial^{*} p=\omega_{\alpha}$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 8 , we get a cyclic 1 -cochain $\psi$ such that $b \psi=\tau_{\alpha}$ on $C_{c}\left(S^{1} \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma\right)$. Then it is really a trouble that we do not know whether the relation $b \psi=\tau_{\alpha}$ holds on a suitable subalgebra which has the same $K$-theory as $C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes{ }_{\alpha} S L_{2}(\mathbf{Z})$. As Connes pointed out in [2, p. 26], a cyclic cocycle associated to a group cocycle does not necessarily give rise to an $n$-trace. Thus Proposition 16 is never trivial. Something that goes below the surface is required.

Proof of Proposition 16. By the six-term exact sequence obtained in [3] we see that the canonical map

$$
K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}_{4}\right) \oplus K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}_{6}\right) \rightarrow K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} S L^{2}(\mathbf{Z})\right)
$$

is surjective. Therefore it suffices to show that $G V(\alpha)$ is null on both $K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes{ }_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}_{4}\right)$ and $K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes{ }_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}_{6}\right)$.

Consider

$$
G V(\alpha): K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}_{4}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C} .
$$

It is known that the action $\alpha$ of $\mathbf{Z}_{4}$ is smoothly conjugate to rational rotations. More precisely, there exist an action

$$
\alpha^{\prime}: \mathbf{Z}_{4} \rightarrow S O(2)
$$

and $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}_{+}^{\infty}\left(S^{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\alpha_{g}^{\prime}=\varphi \circ \alpha_{g} \circ \varphi^{-1}
$$

for all $g \in \mathbf{Z}_{4}$. Since $\alpha_{g}^{\prime}$ is linear, it is obvious that $G V\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$. Therefore, by Theorem 4,

$$
G V(\alpha): K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}_{4}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}
$$

is the null map.
Similarly,

$$
G V(\alpha): K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}_{6}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}
$$

is also null. Consequently,

$$
G V(\alpha)=0 \quad \text { on } K_{0}\left(C\left(S^{1}\right) \rtimes_{\alpha} S L_{2}(\mathbf{Z})\right) .
$$
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