Sugarman makes much of the value of patient choice in competition as the best mechanism for improving care. Practitioners working outside of the National Health Service make a point. I did not take Mynors-Wallis to be criticising his charm. Accompanied this observation. They captured the very essence of his eye, and the perfectly expressive, wry, lopsided grin, which we saved his kidneys for somebody!’ The receiver, my friend remarked, philosophical as ever, ‘At least Denis rang the hospital the following day. The accident victim went by, perilously close, either side.

When we encountered a policeman directing traffic around a major road accident, a badly injured motorcyclist. Denis stopped the car and insisted inordinate length of time before the ambulance finally arrived, which at first had seemed all but moribund. CPR on an all-but-moribund youth as cars went by, perilously close, either side.

Typically, wanting to know the outcome of our efforts, Denis rang the hospital the following day. The accident victim was deep in a coma and not expected to recover. Putting down the receiver, my friend remarked, philosophical as ever, ‘At least we saved his kidneys for somebody!’

I shall long remember the near-mischievous twinkle in his eye, and the perfectly expressive, wry, lopsided grin, which accompanied this observation. They captured the very essence of his charm.

Anecdote about Denis

May I please add a personal note to your obituary of Dr Denis Murphy? Denis returned to Britain at about the same time as I returned from Australia. Before our eventual careers took shape, we tended to follow each other around south London experiencing what Denis often referred to as ‘A plague of locums,’ and became friends.

A biological psychiatrist in those days, his attachment to general medicine was keen, as shown by an incident in Dublin one night when I happened to be visiting. We had taken his mother to a fine performance of Wilde’s A Woman of No Importance. It was dark and raining on the return to Terenure when we encountered a policeman directing traffic around a badly injured motorcyclist. Denis stopped the car and insisted on offering his (and my) services. There followed, for an inordinate length of time before the ambulance finally arrived, the improbable scene of two sodden psychiatrists attempting mouth-to-mouth CPR on an all-but-moribund youth as cars went by, perilously close, either side.

Typically, wanting to know the outcome of our efforts, Denis rang the hospital the following day. The accident victim was deep in a coma and not expected to recover. Putting down the receiver, my friend remarked, philosophical as ever, ‘At least we saved his kidneys for somebody!’

I shall long remember the near-mischievous twinkle in his eye, and the perfectly expressive, wry, lopsided grin, which accompanied this observation. They captured the very essence of his charm.

1 Hollis P. Dr Denis Murphy. Psychiatrist 2012; 36. 198.
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Royal College examination fees surplus

At the current level of membership examination fees at the Royal College of Psychiatrists, a resident in psychiatry who passes every part first time pays a total of £2136.1 These costs are intended to cover only expenses rather than to generate profit, a message which many of us have accepted and disseminated.2 We were therefore disappointed to learn recently that in 2010–2011, the College made a profit of approximately £1.5 million from exam fees. Some residents are understandably asking for candidates to receive a refund, as agreed for which there is historical precedent.3

We have another suggestion for how this money could be put to good use for the benefit of residents, the College and psychiatry as a whole. The College’s annual International Congress currently receives about £70,000 from pharmaceutical companies and other organisations in return for exhibition space (personal communication with the College’s Conference Office). Such relationships are undesirable because drug company information affects prescribing.4 Most doctors do acknowledge this to be true of their colleagues, although the majority believe they are themselves, of course, unaffected.5 Further, and particularly pertinent to psychiatry, is the effect that drug company relationships may have on our patients’ confidence in our treatment recommendations. Many detained patients are suspicious that psychiatrists’ prescribing is motivated by connections with the pharmaceutical industry rather than a genuine intention to improve mental health. We acknowledge the College’s considerable progress in the right direction over the issue, but it is problematic to deny such allegations while promotional materials continue to be welcome at our annual congress.

If the surplus from examination fees were used to subsidise the International Congress, it would be possible to have no commercial exhibitor fees for at least 10 years, by which time alternative arrangements could be made. Furthermore, some of the surplus could be ‘given back’ in the form of bursaries for residents to attend the conference. This course of action would allow the College to lead by positive example, while providing wider benefits for UK psychiatrists and our patients.

1 MRCPsych Examinations Calendar 2012: http://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/pdf/MRCPsych%20Examinations%20Calendar%202012%20130212.pdf
College response: In 2010, the College made a surplus of £759,861 from examination fees after allowing for expenses and a 15% transfer to a development fund. In 2011, the excess was £603,678.

The College has always stated that it does not set out to make a profit from examinations. These surpluses have come about from an underestimate of the number of candidates sitting the examinations. At a recent Council meeting the issue was discussed and it was agreed that examination fees for next year will be frozen, with a 15% reduction for affiliates and trainees registered with the College.

The International Congress received £73,580 in sponsorship in 2010 and £67,444 in 2011; £16,836 in 2010 and £14,410 in 2011 came from the pharmaceutical industry.

The issue of what should be done with the money from the examinations needs careful thought and the idea of funding the Congress is an interesting one. Another suggestion is to use it to develop an online revision course for MRCPsych and this is currently being considered. We welcome trainees' input to the debate and the views of the Psychiatric Trainees' Committee will be very important in influencing the final decision.

Wendy Burn Dean, Royal College of Psychiatrists.
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