COMPLETIONS OF RANK RINGS

BY DAVID HANDELMAN

In this note, we prove three results on regular rings possessing a rank function: (a) the completion of a *-regular rank ring is a regular Baer *-ring; (b) (a) is used to construct regular Baer * factors of type II_f with centre any complex subfield closed under conjugation; (c) the units of a unit-regular rank ring form a dense topological subgroup of the units of the completion. We also outline a proof that for suitable simple regular rings, all proper normal subgroups of the commutator subgroup of the group of units are central.

DEFINITIONS. All rings are associative, with 1 and are usually denoted R. A ring R is regular if for all r in R, there exists t in R (called a quasi-inverse for r) such that rtr = r. A rank function N on the regular ring R is a function $N: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying:

- (i) N(r) = 0 if and only if r = 0
- (ii) N(1) = 1
- (iii) $N(rs) \leq N(r), N(s)$
- (iv) if $e = e^2$, $f = f^2$, ef = fe = 0 then N(e+f) = N(e) + N(f).

For more details, see [4, 11, 5, 2, 3].

A regular ring possessing a rank function is called a rank ring. It follows from the above definitions that $N(r+s) \le N(r) + N(s)$; if $rR \cap sR = (0)$, then N(r) + N(s) = N(e) where eR = rR + sR. In particular the function d_N defined by $d_N(x, y) = N(x - y)$ is a metric on R, called the rank-metric associated with R. In the R-metric topology, R becomes a topological ring, and R is uniformly continuous ([11; Cap. 18]).

A ring is *-regular if it possesses an involution * such that every principal right ideal is generated by a projection (an element $p = p^* = p^2$). From ([10; Ex. p. 38]) a ring is *-regular if and only if

(A) it is regular and possesses an involution * such that $rr^* = 0$ implies r = 0.

A Baer (*) ring is a ring (with involution *) such that the right annihilator of any set is generated by an idempotent (projection). By ([10; Ex. p. 39]), a

Received by the editors June 3, 1975 and, in revised form, February 10, 1976.

regular ring is a Baer *-ring if and only if

(B) it is a *-regular Baer ring.

Following the theory of types in [10], we say a Baer *-ring R is a factor of type II_f if $xx^*=1$ implies $x^*x=1$, R has no minimal right annihilator ideals, and the centre of R is a domain. With regularity added, the collection of principal right ideals of R becomes an orthocomplemented modular irreducible complete lattice, hence ([9]) a continuous geometry, so by [11], R is a non-artinian right and left self-injective simple regular ring.

PROPOSITION 1. If R is a *-regular rank ring, then the completion of R with respect to any rank-metric is a Baer *-ring.

Proof. Let \bar{R} denote the completion of R with respect to d_N . \bar{R} is right and left self-injective regular (e.g. [2; Theorem 14]), so \bar{R} is a regular Baer ring. Choose r non-zero in R. If $r^*rt = 0$ for some t in R, then $0 = t^*r^*rt = (rt)^*rt$, whence rt = 0. Thus the right annihilator of r^*r equals that of r, so $r^*rR \approx rR$ (as right R-modules; in fact one has $Rr^*r = Rr$), so that $N(r^*r) = N(r)$. But $N(r^*r) \leq N(r^*)$, so $N(r^*) \geq N(r)$. By symmetry $N(r) \geq N(r^*)$, and thus $N(r) = N(r^*) = N(r^*r)$. Hence if (r_i) is a Cauchy sequence (with respect to d_N), then (r_i^*) is also Cauchy and this defines the extension of R to R. Suppose $(r_i^*)(r_i)$ is zero in R, then $\lim_{i\to\infty} N(r_i^*r_i) = 0$, so $\lim N(r_i) = 0$ and hence (r_i) is a null sequence (i.e. equals zero in R). Thus R satisfies (A), so is a *-regular Baer ring and from (B), it is a Baer *-ring.

 M_nR will denote the ring of $n \times n$ matrices with entries from R. Let F be a field. Consider the maps $M_{2^n}F \to M_{2^{n+1}}F$ given by

$$A \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$$
.

Suppose F has an involution #. Then # induces an involution * on the ring $R = \lim M_{2^n}F$; set $A^* = A^{\#t}$ (t of course indicates transpose) if A is a matrix. * is easily seen to be invariant under the diagonal maps, so R becomes a * -ring. R, being a union of regular rings is regular, but need not be * -regular.

LEMMA 2 ([9]). Let F be a field with an involution # such that for all integers n, for all subsets $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of F,

$$\sum s_i s_i^\# = 0$$
 implies all the s_i are 0.

Then $R = \lim_{n \to \infty} M_{2^n} F$ is a *-regular ring.

Proof. The * is as defined above. Since R is regular, we need only verify condition (A). If $B = (b_{ij})$ is a non-zero matrix in M_{2} F, then trace $(BB^*) = \sum b_{ij}b_{ij}^{\#} \neq 0$, so BB^* is not zero.

Now $R = \lim M_{2^n}F$ is a rank ring $(N(x) = \operatorname{rank} x/2^n)$ if x belongs to $M_{2^n}F$). According to [5; p. 718], Alexander has shown that the centre of the completion \overline{R} , is F. We prove this result for all fields of characteristic 0, and thereby obtain type II_f Baer *-regular factors with centre the rationals or the rationals with $\sqrt{-1}$ adjoined.

PROPOSITION 3. Let F be a field of characteristic 0, and let A be an $n \times n$ matrix with entries in F, satisfying

(1)
$$\inf_{\beta \in F} \operatorname{rank}(A - \beta I) \ge n/2.$$

Then there exists B in M_nF such that

$$(2) rank(AB - BA) \ge n/4.$$

Proof. The n^2 entries of A generate a finitely generated field over \mathbb{Q} , so we may assume F is a subfield of the complex numbers, \mathbb{C} .

Case 1. $F = \mathbb{C}$. Obviously, (1) and (2) are invariant under change of base, so we may assume A is in Jordan Normal form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where A_1 is diagonal of size n_1 , and A_2 is of size n_2 and a (matrix) direct sum of blocks of the form (α) :

$$(\alpha):\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & & \\ & \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

For each block of the form (α) , of size m, pick m-1 distinct, non-zero complex numbers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{m-1}$. Denoting CD-DC by |C, D|,

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & & & \\ & \lambda & 1 & 0 & & \\ & & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & 0 & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ a_1 & 0 & & & 0 \\ & a_2 & 0 & & \\ & & a_3 & 0 & \\ & & & \ddots & 0 \\ & 0 & & & \ddots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is diagonal and all its non-zero entries are a_1 , a_2-a_1 , a_3-a_2 , etc., so the commutant E is invertible. Taking a direct sum of suitable size matrices with $\{a_i\}$ below the diagonal, we obtain a matrix B_2 of size n_2 such that rank $(A_2B_2-B_2A_2)=n_2$.

4

Now by (1), the multiplicity of any eigen value of A_1 must be not greater than n/2. Hence there exist at least $t = (\frac{1}{2})(n_1 - (n/2))$ pairs of eigen values of A_1 , each pair consisting of two distinct eigen values. (If $n_1 < n/2$, the statement is meaningless but true). Now consider, if $\lambda \neq \mu$, λ , $\mu \in F$,

$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right| = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda - \mu \\ \mu - \lambda & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By rearranging the eigen values of A_1 into pairs of distinct elements, and taking B_1 to be a suitable direct sum of copies of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and [0], we obtain a $B_1 \in M_{n_1}F$ such that

$$rank(A_1B_1 - B_1A_1) = max\{0, 2((\frac{1}{2})(n_1 - (n/2)))\}.$$

If
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, we see

$$rank(AB - BA) = max\{0, n_1 - (n/2)\} + n_2$$

$$= max\{n_2, n - (n/2)\} \quad (as \ n_1 + n_2 = n)$$

$$= max\{n_2, (n/2)\} \ge n/2.$$

Now let F be any subfield of \mathbb{C} . We show A satisfies (1) as an element of $M_n\mathbb{C}$. Let \overline{F} denote the algebraic closure of F in \mathbb{C} . If $\beta \in \mathbb{C} - \overline{F}$, rank $(A - \beta I) = n$, since the eigen values of A lie in \overline{F} . Suppose $\beta \in \overline{F} - F$; then $F[\beta]$ is finite-dimensional over F, and there exists an automorphism of \overline{F} fixing F and sending β to a distinct root of the same polynomial that β satisfies, γ . Obviously rank $(A - \beta I) = \operatorname{rank}(A - \gamma I)$. If $\operatorname{rank}(A - \beta I) < n/2$, then β and thus γ are both eigen values of A of multiplicity greater than n/2; so A would have too many eigen values! So A satisfies (1) as an element of $M_n\mathbb{C}$. Similarly, if $F \subset \mathbb{R}$, then A satisfies (1) as a matrix in $M_n\mathbb{R}$.

Case 2. $F = \mathbb{R}$. As A satisfies (1) as an element of $M_n\mathbb{C}$ (by the preceding paragraph), there exists B = C + iD, $C, D \in M_n\mathbb{R}$ such that AB - BA = AC - CA + i(AD - DA) has rank not less than n/2, hence either AC - CA, or AD - DA has rank not less than n/4.

Case 3. Any $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Either F is dense in \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , so with the Euclidean metric, M_nF is dense in the corresponding matrix ring. By the paragraph preceding Case 2, and Case 1 or Case 2, there exists B in $M_n\mathbb{C}$ or $M_n\mathbb{R}$ such that $t = \operatorname{rank}(AB - BA) \ge n/4$. Let J denote the matrix with 1's in the (i, i) position for $i \le n - t$, and 0's elsewhere. There exist matrices $U, V \in M_n \mathbb{C}$ or $M_n\mathbb{R}$ such that U(AB - BA)V + J = I. By the density, there exist sequences $\{U_p\}$, $\{B_p\}$, $\{V_p\}$ of matrices over F converging to U, B, V respectively, so $\{U_p(AB_p - B_pA)V_p + J\}$ converges to I. As the determinant is continuous, there

exists an integer q such that $U_q(AB_q-B_qA)V_q+J$ is invertible. Then $\operatorname{rank}(AB_q-B_qA)\geq \operatorname{rank}(U_q(AB_q-B_qA)V_q)\geq n$ -rank $J=n-(n-t)=t\geq n/4$. So $B_q\in M_nF$ is the desired B.

A slight adjustment to the proof of Case 1 above shows, if $F \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ but $F \not\subset \mathbb{R}$, then there exists B in $M_n F$ such that AB - BA is invertible.

THEOREM 4. Let F be a field of characteristic 0, and define $R = \text{Lim } M_2 r$; then the centre of the completion of R in its rank metric is F.

Proof. The completion, \bar{R} , is simple [3; Theorem 4.5], so the centre of \bar{R} is a field containing F. Let t be central, but not in F. We may find r in R such that $N(t-r)<\frac{1}{8}$. For all β in F, $t-\beta$ is central and non-zero, whence is a unit, so $N(t-\beta)=1$. Now $t-\beta=(t-r)+(r-\beta)$. We thus have $N(r-\beta)>\frac{7}{8}$ for all β in F. Regarded as a matrix in some M_nF , r satisfies (1) of the preceding proposition, so there exists s in R with $N(rs-sr)\geq \frac{1}{4}$. But

$$(t-r)s - s(t-r) = -(rs - sr)$$

as t is central, so

$$N(rs-sr) = N((t-r)s-s(t-r)) \le 2N(t-r) < \frac{1}{4}$$

a contradiction.

COROLLARY 5. Let F be a field that is either formally real or is a subfield of the complex numbers closed under complex conjugation. Then the completion of Lim $M_{2^n}F$ is a regular Baer * factor of type II_f with centre F.

The method of proof of Theorem 4 suggests the following invariant for \bar{R} . Let R be a regular ring with a rank function N, whose centre is a field F. R satisfies property $p_{\delta,k}$ $(0 < \delta \le \frac{1}{2}; 0 < k \le 1)$ if

- (a) \bar{R} is simple
- (b) for all $\varepsilon > 0$, for all r in R satisfying

(1)
$$\inf_{\beta \in F} N(r - \beta) \ge 1 - \delta$$

there exists a in R, depending on ε such that

(2)
$$N(ar-ra) > k-\varepsilon.$$

PROPOSITION 6. Let R be a regular ring with a rank function N, and centre F, a field. If R satisfies $p_{\delta,k}$ for some (δ, k) , then the centre of \bar{R} is F and \bar{R} satisfies $p_{\delta,k}$. Conversely, if the centre of \bar{R} is F and \bar{R} satisfies $p_{\delta,k}$, then R satisfies $p_{\delta,k}$.

Proof. Suppose R satisfies $p_{\delta,k}$. To prove the centre of \bar{R} is F, simply mimic the proof of Theorem 4. Given \bar{r} in \bar{R} with

$$\inf_{\lambda\in F}\bar{N}(\bar{r}-\lambda)>1-\delta,$$

we may find r in R with $N(r-\bar{r}) < \varepsilon/3$ and $Inf_{\lambda \in F} N(r-\lambda) > 1-\delta$. There exists a in R such that $N(ar-ra) > k-\varepsilon/3$. Then

$$\bar{N}(a\bar{r}-\bar{r}a)>k-\varepsilon.$$

Conversely, if the centre of \overline{R} is F and in \overline{R} , r satisfies (1), then in satisfies it in R, and we may approximate the 'a' in (2) by an element of R.

We obtain a curious result on the density of the units of R in those of \bar{R} .

A ring is unit-regular ([1]) if for all x in R there exists a unit u such that xux = x. Unit-regularity is equivalent to the cancellation law for finitely generated projective modules, over regular rings ([6]). It is conjectured that all rank rings are unit-regular. If F is a field, $\lim M_2 F$ is easily seen to be unit-regular.

Proposition 7 ([8; Proposition 8]). A regular ring R is unit-regular if and only if

for all a, b in R satisfying aR + bR = R, there exists t in R such that a + bt in a unit.

For the ring R, we denote the group of units by R.

PROPOSITION 8. Let R be a rank ring. Then the units of R form a topological group (in the relative rank-metric topology), and if R is unit-regular, the group of units of R is dense in that of \overline{R} .

Proof. If u, v are units, then $u^{-1} - v^{-1} = u^{-1}(v - u)v^{-1}$, so $N(u^{-1} - v^{-1}) = N(u - v)$ (since N(u) = N(v) = 1). Thus $u \mapsto u^{-1}$ is continuous. Now R is a topological ring, so multiplication is continuous; thus R is a topological group.

Now suppose R is unit-regular. Choose a unit u in \overline{R} . Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists r in R such that $\overline{N}(r-u) < \varepsilon$. Let s be any element such that $rR \oplus sR = R$. Then N(r) + N(s) = 1, so $N(s) < \varepsilon$. By unit-regularity, there exists t in R such that r + st is a unit; as $N(r + st - r) \le N(s) < \varepsilon$, we have $N(r + st - u) < 2\varepsilon$. Thus any unit in \overline{R} can be approximated by units of R.

If $R = \text{Lim } M_{2^n}F$ or its completion, the commutator subgroup is dense in R. Presumably, this phenomenon holds for any simple right and left self-injective ring.

For $R = \text{Lim } M_{2^n}F$, the only closed normal subgroups of R and the only normal subgroups of the commutator are central. These results can be extended by following the first part of the proof of Theorem D of [10; p. 137]:

THEOREM. Let R be a simple regular ring satisfying

- (i) the comparability axiom [3]
- (ii) there exist integers $n \ge 2m \ge 6$ such that $R \simeq M_m S \simeq M_n T$ for some rings S and T
- (iii) xy = 1 implies yx = 1.

Then all proper normal subgroups of the commutator subgroup of R are central.

Outline of proof. By [3, Corollary 3.15], (i) and (iii) guarantee the existence of a unique rank function on R and (ii) is used as in [10; p. 137–140] to show the prospective normal subgroup contains all transvections with respect to a specific set of n^2 matrix units. Then [7, Theorem II.4] guarantees the normal subgroup contains all of the commutator.

In particular, this applies to all simple right and left self-injective rings that are not artinian.

I would like to thank the referee for his useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- 1. G. Ehrlich, Unit-regular rings, Portugal. Math. 27 (1968) 209-212.
- 2. K. R. Goodearl, Simple regular rings and rank functions. Math. Ann. 214 (1975) 267-287.
- 3. and D. Handelman, Simple self-injective rings, Comm. Algebra 3 (9) (1975) 797-834.
- 4. I. Halperin, Complemented modular lattices, p. 51-64 of Lattice Theory, G. Birkhoff, Editor; AMS Publications (1961).
 - 5. —, Regular rank rings, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965) 709-719.
 - 6. D. Handelman, Perspectivity and cancellation in regular rings, J. of Algebra (to appear).
- 7. —, K_1 of noncommutative von Neumann regular rings, J. of Pure and App. Algebra 8 (1976) 105-118.
- 8. M. Henriksen, On a class of regular rings that are elementary divisor rings, Arch. Math. 34 (1973) 133-141.
- 9. I. Kaplansky, Any orthocomplemented complete modular lattice is a continuous geometry, Ann. Math. 15 (1955) 650-685.
 - 10. —, Rings of Operators, Benjamin (1968) New York.
 - 11. J. von Neumann, Continuous Geometry, Princeton 25 (1960).
- 12. Y. Utumi, On continuous regular rings and semi-simple self-injective rings, Canad. J. Math. 12 (1960) 597-605.

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS
MCGILL UNIVERSITY
P.O. BOX 6070, STN. A
MONTREAL, P.Q. CANADA H3C 3G1