## Report of the Executive Director

Evron Kirkpatrick presented the Council with his Executive Director's report. (The Report of the Executive Director is printed in the Summer issue of $P S$.)

## IREX

The Council reviewed a letter from John S. Resheter, Jr., concerning the role and status of political science in the United States-Soviet exchange program. LaPalombara moved that: The Council requests the Executive Director to review the participation of American political scientists in Soviet-American Exchange Programs in an effort to insure greater and more effective participation.

The motion was approved without objection.
President Epstein thanked the members of the Council for their work during his year as President.

## 1979 Annual Business Meeting Minutes

Washington Hilton Hotel
Washington, D.C.
September 2, 1979
President Leon Epstein called the Meeting to order at 4:30 p.m.

## Nomination of Candidates

Professor Francis Rourke offered the following nominations for the APSA Nominating Committee:

President-Elect:
Vice Presidents:

Secretary:
Treasurer:
Council:

Charles E. Lindblom Lucius J. Barker Sidney Verba Dina A. Zinnes Roberta Sigel
James L. Sundquist Nazli Choucri
Milton C. Cummings, Jr. John Ferejohn
Francine R. Frankel Robert Jervis Malcolm E. Jewell Abraham F. Lowenthal Raymond Tanter
Professor Paul Thomas offered the following nominations for the Caucus for a New Political Science:

President-Elect:
Vice Presidents:

Secretary:
Treasurer:
Council:

Michael Parenti
Peter Bachrach
Dale Rogers Marshall Frances Fox Piven Philippa Strum Betty Glad Jo Freeman Martin Gruberg Marian Lief Palley Stephen Sachs Mulford Q. Sibley

Professor Jeanne Marie Col offered the following nominations for the Women's Caucus for Political Science:

President-Elect:
Vice-Presidents:

Secretary:
Treasurer:
Council:

Betty A. Nesvold
Lucius J. Barker
Dale Rogers Marshall Frances Fox Piven Philippa Strum Betty Glad Jo Freeman
Martin Gruberg Marian Lief Palley

## Constitutional Amendment for Mail Ballot on Business Meeting Resolutions

Professor Austin Ranney moved that the Business Meeting approve the following proposed Constitutional Amendment for Mail Ballot on Business Meeting Resolutions:

1. Amend Article VII, Section 1, as follows (words in the present constitution to be deleted are in parentheses; new words to be added or substituted are italicized):
"The membership of the Association duly assembled in the Annual Business Meeting or in a special meeting called (resolves) shall consider policy questions brought to it, and may vote to confirm, revise, or repeal the action of the Council, or any officer. (except in cases where mail ballots are required.) Whenever one-third or more of those present and voting at the Annual Business Meeting vote (in opposition to any policy question,) to repeal, revise, or substitute the judgment of the Meeting for an act or recommendation of the Council or of any officer, the question shall be submitted to the entire membership in a mailed, secret ballot under conditions prescribed by the Council and shall be determined by a majority of those voting by mail (.) on the question. One hundred members shall constitute a quorum (of the Association, and a majority vote of the members in attendance or voting by mail shal! control its decisions.) for the Annual Business Meeting. The Association shall meet annually at a time and place designated by the Council. The Council and the officers shall make every effort to acquaint the members with the business of the Association and with the issues involved in the agenda of the Annual Business Meeting or in a ballot by mail, and to provide sufficient time at business meetings for deliberations and (decisions) votes."
2. Amend Article VIII as follows (words in the present constitution to be deleted are in parentheses; new words to be added or substituted are italicized):
"Resolutions may be proposed by any member of the Association under conditions prescribed by the Council. All resolutions shall be referred to the Council for its recommendations before submission to the vote of the Association at its Annual Business Meeting. Notice of this provision shall be given to the members of the Association
in advance of the Annual Meeting. Whenever one-third or more of those present and voting at the Annual Business Meeting vote in (opposition to) support of any resolution, the question shall be submitted to the entire membership in a mailed secret ballot under conditions prescribed by the Council and shall be determined by a majority of those voting by mail(.) on the question.
Following discussion, a motion by Mr. Charles Lichenstein to call the previous question was approved by a show of hands vote.
The proposed Constitutional Amendment was approved by a show of hands vote. For: 102; Opposed: 60.

Constitutional Amendment to Provide for
Election of President-Elect in
Contests with Three or More Candidates
Professor Sarah Morehouse moved that the Business Meeting approve the following proposed Constitutional Amendment to Provide for Election of President-Elect in Contests with Three or More Candidates:

## Amend Article V, Section 1 as follows:

Add to the second sentence the italicized words: ". . . each contested election, except as specified below for the President-Elect shall be determined by a plurality.
After the end of the second sentence, add the following:
"The President-Elect shall be chosen by the above method only if there are two and only two nominees for the office. Should there be three or more nominees for President-Elect, ballots for that office shall be so designed as to enable members to designate their rankordered preferences by placing numbers beside the names of the nominees (" 1 " for first preference, " 2 " for second preference, and so on for each nominee). If no nominee receives at least fifty-percent-plus-one of the first preferences, other preferences shall be added from the first-preference ballots of each eliminated nominee according to the standard method of the alternative vote system, which shall be prescribed by the Council in advance of nominations, until one nominee receives at least fifty-percent-plusone of the aggregated preferences and is declared the winner."

Following discussion, Professor Heinz Eulau moved to call the previous question. The motion was approved without objection.
The proposed Constitutional Amendment was approved by a show of hands vote. For: 73; Opposed: 4.

## Resolution Establishing a Quota System for APSA Nominations

Professor Richard Brody, for the Council, moved that the following proposed resolution establishing a quota system for APSA nominations be defeated.

Be it resolved by the American Political Science Association in its Annual Business Meeting that the Nominations Committee shall henceforth be directed to secure a fair proportion of members on the National Council based on the geographic, sex, ethnic and institutional backgrounds of the membership of the Association and to insure that the Council is representative in this manner, the Nominations Committee is directed to base its nominations on such a quota system.
The motion to defeat the resolution was unanimously approved by a voice vote.

## Resolution Creating a

Committee on the Status of
Native Americans in the Profession
Professor Frank Sorauf, for the Council, moved that the following proposed resolution creating a Committee on the Status of Native Americans in the Profession be defeated.

Be it resolved by the American Political Science Association in its Annual Business Meeting that the National Council of the Association be directed to create a Committee on the Status of Native Americans in the Profession and further, that it be directed to appoint a chairperson and members and to provide the committee with such assistance as it may request and be within its authority to grant.
The motion to defeat the resolution was unanimously approved by a voice vote.

## Certification of Nominations

Professor Frank Sorauf, for the Election Committee, certified the following nominations to the Business Meeting:

CERTIFIED:
APSA Nominating Committee
President-Elect:
Vice Presidents:

Secretary:
Treasurer:
Council:
Charles E. Lindblom
Lucius J. Barker Sidney Verba Dina A. Zinnes Roberta Sigel
James L. Sundquist
Nazli Choucri
Milton C. Cummings, Jr.
John Ferejohn
Francine R. Frankel
Robert Jervis
Malcolm E. Jewell
Abraham F. Lowenthal Raymond Tanter
CERTIFIED:
Women's Caucus for Political Science

President-Elect:
Vice President:
Secretary:
Treasurer:
Council:
Betty A. Nesvold
Dale Rogers Marshall Philippa Strum Betty Glad
Jo Freeman Martin Gruberg
Marian Lief Palley
CERTIFIED PENDING CONSENT:
Caucus for a New Political Science
President-Elect:
Michael Parenti

Vice Presidents:<br>Secretary:<br>Treasurer:<br>Council:<br>Peter Bachrach<br>Dale Rogers Marshall<br>Frances Fox Piven Philippa Strum<br>Betty Glad<br>Jo Freeman<br>Martin Gruberg<br>Marian Lief Palley<br>Stephen Sachs<br>Mulford Q. Sibley

CERTIFIED PENDING CONSENT:
Women's Caucus for Political Science
Vice Presidents:
Lucius J. Barker Frances Fox Piven

## Report of the Treasurer

President Epstein informed the Meeting that the Report of the Treasurer will be printed in the Fall issue of $P S$.
The 1979 Annual Business Meeting was adjourned.

## Project 87 Conference on the Role of Congress in the American Constitutional System

Project 87 will sponsor a conference on the role of Congress in the American constitutional system. The conference will be held over a three-day period in Washington, D.C. in midJanuary, 1981. It will involve political scientists, historians, legal scholars and public officials, and seek to further understanding of the development of Congress as an institution and its current problems and prospects.
The conference will be organized around the following five panels: (1) Congressional Careers: 19th and 20th Century Patterns and Trends; (2) Electoral Pressures and Voting Behavior: 19th and 20th Century Patterns and Trends; (3) Leadership of Congress: Role of Party and President in 19th and 20th Centuries;
(4) Congress as an Institution: Policy Making and Performance in 19th and 20th Centuries; (5) Congress and Change: Challenge and Adaptation in 19th and 20 th Centuries.
All those interested in participating are invited to submit brief proposals for papers to be presented in connection with one of the above panels. Expenses will be paid by Project 87 and persons who attend the conference will be expected to participate in all panel sessions. The sponsors will give preference to paper proposals that provide synthetic analysis of basic themes identified by particular panel topics, though highly focused, discrete pieces of research will also be given consideration. Please send proposals or inquiries to Joseph Cooper, School of Social Sciences, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77001, and Samuel Kernell, Department of Political Science, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093.

## AAUP and CAUT Censure Lists

The Committee on Professional Ethics and Academic Freedom wishes to bring to the attention of Association members the censure lists of the American Association of University Professors and the Canadian Association of University Teachers. The AAUP list with dates of censuring is published with the permission of the AAUP Bulletin. The CAUT censure list is published with the consent of the Canadian Association of University Teachers and is presented to advise APSA members considering Canadian offers of appointment of institutions in Canada where conditions of academic freedom are not satisfactory.

## AAUP Censure List

## Alabama

Alabama State University (1962), December, 1961, pp. 303-309.

Troy State University (1969), September, 1968, pp. 298-305.

## Arizona

Arizona State University (1976), April, 1976, pp. 55-69.

## Arkansas

College of the Ozarks (censure on governing board $^{1}$ ) (1964), December, 1963, pp. 352-359.
Southern Arkansas University (1971), March, 1971, pp. 40-49.
Phillips County Community College (1978), May, 1978, pp. 93-98.

## California

University of California (censure on governing board $^{2}$ ) (1972), September, 1971, pp. 382-420.

## Colorado

Colorado School of Mines (1973), March, 1973, pp. 73-79.

## Georgia

Armstrong State College (1972), March, 1972, pp. 69-77.

## Illinois

McKendree College (1973), March, 1973, pp. 83-92.

[^0]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Censure was voted specifically on the Board of Trustees, and not on the institution's administrative officers.
    ${ }^{2}$ Censure was voted specifically on the Regents of the University of California for action by the Regents with respect to a case which occurred at the University of California, Los Angeles. Censure was not directed against the local or central administrative officers.

