The discovery, biodiversity and conservation of Mabu forest—the largest medium-altitude rainforest in southern Africa
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Abstract The montane inselbergs of northern Mozambique have been comparatively little-studied, yet recent surveys have shown they have a rich biodiversity with numerous endemic species. Here we present the main findings from a series of scientific expeditions to one of these inselbergs, Mt Mabu, and discuss the conservation implications. Comprehensive species lists of plants, birds, mammals and butterflies are presented. The most significant result was the discovery of a c. 7,880 ha block of undisturbed rainforest, most of it at medium altitude (900–1,400 m), a forest type that is not well represented elsewhere. It is possibly the largest continuous block of this forest type in southern Africa. To date, 10 new species (plants, mammals, reptiles and butterflies) have been confirmed from Mt Mabu, even though sampling effort for most taxonomic groups has been low. The species assemblages indicate a relatively long period of isolation and many species found are at the southern limit of their range. Conservationists are now faced with the challenge of how best to protect Mt Mabu and similar mountains in northern Mozambique, and various ways that this could be done are discussed.
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Introduction

Across large parts of northern Mozambique (the region north of the Zambezi River) the landscape is dominated by scattered granitic inselbergs, many of which rise above 1,500 m and support moist evergreen forest or rainforest on their slopes. These inselbergs form a poorly-known archipelago of isolated rock and forest islands, usually surrounded by woodland. However, with the exception of the Namuli massif (Timberlake et al., 2009), these massifs and mountains have attracted comparatively little biological attention (Branch, 2011).

In contrast, similar mountains in southern Malawi, many < 200 km away, have been better studied, particularly the large massif of Mt Mulanje, which covers an area of c. 650 km² and rises to > 3,000 m, the second highest mountain range in southern Africa (Chapman, 1995;
Conservation of the forests and biodiversity on Mt Mulanje was bolstered by the creation of a trust fund under the Mulanje Mountain Conservation Trust, an NGO that has now gained significant experience in the implementation of conservation action and in public engagement (Wisborg & Jumbe, 2010).

Given the experience and knowledge of Mulanje Mountain Conservation Trust and others on Mt Mulanje, and the lack of such knowledge and conservation action on similar massifs in adjacent parts of northern Mozambique, a project was developed to explore the Mozambican mountains (Fig. 1) and to enhance their conservation by linking experience and knowledge in Malawi and Mozambique. This project, Monitoring and Managing Biodiversity Loss in South-east Africa’s Montane Ecosystems, was a collaborative venture between the Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew and BirdLife International in the UK, the Instituto de Investigação Agrária de Moçambique and the Natural History Museum in Mozambique, and Mulanje Mountain Conservation Trust and the Forest Research Institute in Malawi. It was funded under the UK Government’s Darwin Initiative from 2006 to 2009 (Smith & Bayliss, 2009). Here we outline the main findings on Mt Mabu, especially for the forest areas, and provide species lists of plants, birds, mammals, reptiles, amphibians and butterflies. We also outline the main threats to this ecosystem and discuss how the conservation of Mabu and similar mountains in northern Mozambique, which form what is effectively an archipelago of islands, could be achieved.

Discovery of Mt Mabu

During the establishment phase of the Darwin project, satellite imagery from Google Earth (2013) was used in the selection of massifs or inselbergs in northern Mozambique that are higher than 1,500 m but relatively close to Mt Mulanje. The sites selected were Mts Chiperone, Namuli, Cucutea, Inago and Mabu in Mozambique, and Mcheshe Mountain adjacent to Mulanje in southern Malawi (Fig. 1). As Mt Mabu was identified (by JB) using Google Earth, it has sometimes been referred to as the Google Forest. It has more recently been called the Butterfly Forest because of the butterfly hill-topping phenomena that occurs on the summit at certain times of the year (Bright, 2012).

Once selected, reconnaissance visits were organized to each site to assess ecological status and accessibility. The first visit to Mt Mabu was in December 2005 by JB, CS, E. Hermann and HP. The approach began from the abandoned Cha Madal Tea Estate on the south-eastern slopes. During this reconnaissance an expanse of rainforest was seen beyond the peak extending to the horizon. Several days were spent exploring and recording forest biodiversity, especially birds, butterflies and plants (Spottiswoode et al., 2008), and the forest was noted to be in excellent condition. Satellite imagery of the area was later used to determine forest extent in greater detail, suggesting that it may be the largest continuous tract of mid-altitude (900–1,400 m) rainforest (White, 1983) remaining in southern Africa.

Findings

Forest extent

As a result of the initial visits to Mabu, an unsupervised classification of forest extent was produced using a Landsat 7 ETM+ image (reference S-37-15-2000, 30 m resolution) from 2000, viewed through very near infra-red filters (Spottiswoode et al., 2008). This suggested a possible total forest extent of 5,000–7,000 ha, excluding the adjacent abandoned tea plantations. In October 2008 a more accurate draft land-cover map was created based on an unsupervised classification (maximum likelihood algorithm applied to a 6-band stack image) of a Landsat ETM+ image with 30 m resolution from July 2005. In the field this map was checked for accuracy of the classified vegetation types and a final vegetation map developed using the same Landsat image with radiometric and geometric correction. The following broad land-cover types were identified: moist forest, woodland, agricultural land, rock and bare ground. Based on this initial interpretation it was calculated that there are 6,937.4 ha of moist forest in planimetric view, largely above 1,000 m (Fig. 2), although this figure is an underestimate as much of the forest is on steep slopes. Assuming that the forest between 1,000 and 1,400 m is on a 30° slope, and areas below 1,000 m and above 1,400 m are on a 15° slope, slope correction factors (simple tangent values) were applied (Timberlake et al., 2012), giving a total estimated forest extent of 7,880 ha (Table 1).

Forest carbon storage

There is significant forest carbon stored in the forests on Mt Mabu. Carbon conversion values for particular forest types were used following those developed by Willcock et al. (2012) for the Eastern Arc Mountains in Tanzania. These values are more accurate than those available through the IPCC Tier 1 look-up values (GOFC-GOLD, 2008) for forest type and have 95% confidence intervals. The total above-ground live carbon value of the moist forest area is estimated to be 2,053,767 Mg (2.05 Tg). The total carbon storage value including above-ground live vegetation, litter layer, coarse woody debris, below-ground live matter, and soil carbon is estimated to be c. 3,634,539 Mg (3.6 Tg) for the rainforest area only. Following the carbon storage values presented in Willcock et al. (2012), if the total forest area was converted to bushland with scattered crops (117.8 Mg ha⁻¹) a value of 0.9 Tg of carbon would be lost, a loss of 2.7 Tg of carbon...
into the atmosphere. Likewise if the area was converted to woodland with scattered crops (183.3 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)) the value would be 1.4 Tg, a loss of 2.2 Tg of carbon.

**Biodiversity**

To date c. 20% of the forest has been at least partially surveyed biologically (Fig. 2), and it is envisaged that a significantly greater number of species will be found with further investigation. The majority of the biological study centred on the vicinity of the original survey camp (Fig. 2), an area that incorporates the main Mt Mabu summit and the immediate surrounding forest. A description of the main vegetation types and the various animal species found is given below. Checklists are provided in Supplementary Tables S1–S5 and Timberlake et al. (2012).
**Vegetation and Plants (Supplementary Table S1)**

Above 1,000 m altitude the majority of Mt Mabu is covered in rainforest; below this is woodland characterized by *Pterocarpus angolensis* and *Syzygium cordatum*, with overgrown tea *Camellia sinensis* plantations on the south-eastern side (Timberlake et al., 2012). On the drier western and northern slopes, which were not visited, forest only appears to start at 1,400 m, extending down to 1,200 m along drainage lines and in gullies. The rainforest is of two broad types (Dowsett-Lemaire & Dowsett, 2009): medium-altitude rainforest at 950–1,400 m (c. 5,270 ha) and moister Afromontane rainforest at 1,350–1,400 m up to 1,650 m (c. 1,010 ha). Medium-altitude forest is characterized by 40–50 m tall trees of *Strombosia scheffleri, Newtonia buchananii, Chrysophyllum gorunosanum* and *Maranthes goetzeniana*, with occasional scattered figs (*Ficus* spp.). Sub-canopy trees include *Drypetes gerrardii, Funetumia africana, Garcinia kingaensis, Diopsyrus abyssinica* and *Rawsonia lucida* and a number of Rubiaceae such as *Heinsenia diervilleoides* and *Tricalysia pallens*. The main canopy liana is *Millettia lasiantha*. Large clumps of the bamboo *Oreoembos buchwaldii* are found on drier slopes and in gullies. In Afromontane forest the canopy is lower at 20–25 m, down to 10–15 m at its upper limit. Typical tree species at lower altitudes include *Olea capensis* and *Podocarpus latifolius*, with *Tabernaemontana stapfiana, Garcinia kingaensis, Myrianthus holstii* and *Synsepalmu nuelli* in the sub-canopy, and *Rapanea melanophloeos, Aphloia theiformis, Faurea racemosa, Macaranga capensis, Prunus africana* and *Syzygium guineense* afromontanum become more common at higher altitudes.

Above the forest, at 1,600–1,700 m, rounded granite peaks support scattered patches of montane shrubland surrounded by clumps of the sedge *Coleochloa setifera* and the grass *Danthoniopsis* sp. This shrubland comprises stunted trees of *Rapanea melanophloeos* along with *Syzygium cordatum, Aphloia theiformis, Maytenus acumina* and the shrubs *Aeollanthus buchnerianus* and *Tetradenia riparia*. In more exposed areas the dominant low shrub is *Aeschynomene nodulosa* along with *Kotschyia recurvifolia.*

Some plant species of particular conservation interest were found, and two new species (the mistletoe *Helixanthera schizocalyx* and a shrub *Vepris* sp. nov.) However, some species previously thought to be endemic to other mountains, such as the orchid *Polystachya songaniensis*, previously known only from Mts Mulanje and Zomba in Malawi, and the bulbous herb *Dianella ensifolia* previously known only from the Chimanimani Mountains, were also found.

**Birds (Supplementary Table S2)**

A total of 126 bird species, including 18 Afromontane endemic or near-endemic species, have been recorded from Mabu (Spottiswoode et al., 2008; Dowsett-Lemaire, 2010). Some of these occur only above 1,350–1,400 m; e.g. Rameron pigeon *Columba arquatrix*, bar-tailed trogon *Apaloderma vitatuum*, starred robin *Pogonocichla stellata*, Swnynerton’s robin *Swynnertonia swynnertoni*, Namuli apalis *Apalis (thoracica) lynes*, dapple-throat *Modulatrix orostreuthus* and Cape batis *Batis capensis dimeropa*. The list includes seven species on the IUCN Red List (IUCN, 2013): southern banded snake eagle *Circaetus fuscicolatus* (Near Threatened) occurs in small numbers and mainly below 1,000 m; spotted ground thrush *Zoothera guttata* (Endangered, Mabu and Namuli being the only likely breeding locations in Mozambique) is apparently rare; Cholo alethe *Alethe choloensis* (Endangered) is common, especially above 1,200 m, and Mulanje is one of the two most important areas for the conservation of the species; Gunning’s akalat *Sheppardia gunningi* (Near Threatened), with an important population at 400–1,350 m, although it occurs in the tea forest; Swnynerton’s robin (Vulnerable) occurs commonly above 1,350 m, and this population partly bridges the gap in its recorded distribution between those of eastern Zimbabwe/southern Mozambique and central Tanzania; Namuli apalis (Near Threatened) hitherto thought to be endemic to Namuli (where it is common) is rare and found only above 1,400 m but this discovery suggests the species may also be present on adjacent mountains; dapple-throat (Vulnerable), for which Mabu represents a small range.

**Table 1** The area of forest cover (ha) by altitudinal class, with percentage of total forest cover, area corrected for slope (see text for details), associated above ground live carbon storage, and total carbon storage (above ground live carbon, litter, coarse woody debris, below ground live carbon and soil carbon; Willcock et al., 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Planimetric forest area (ha)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Forest area with slope correction</th>
<th>Above ground live carbon storage (Mg ha⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total forest carbon storage (Mg ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1,000 m (lowland forest)</td>
<td>1,454.28</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>1.600 × 206.7 = 330,720</td>
<td>1.600 × 386.5 = 618,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000–1,400 m (sub-montane forest)</td>
<td>5,210.5</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>5,270</td>
<td>5,270 × 283.2 = 1,492,464</td>
<td>5,270 × 490.2 = 2,583,354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1,400 m (montane forest)</td>
<td>919.50</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>1.010 × 228.3 = 230,583</td>
<td>1.010 × 428.5 = 432,785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total forest cover (ha)</td>
<td>7,584.28</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7,880</td>
<td>2,053,767</td>
<td>3,634,539</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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extension to the south-west (from Namuli), is rare and found only above 1,400 m. Thus Mabu’s extensive forest cover is an important refuge for several rare and threatened bird species in this part of Africa.

Mammals (Supplementary Table S3)

Small mammals were opportunistically surveyed over several visits; 19 species were collected over 1,000–1,300 m comprising four species of rodents, three shrews and 12 species of bat. The four rodent species are tropical forest specialists and, except for *Grammomys dolichurus*, are also associated with tropical forest and woodlands. The main rodent collected was the soft-furred mouse *Praomys delectorum*. Although the lesser pouched rat *Beamys hindei,* and *Lophuromys aqilus* in the widespread *Lophuromys flavopunctatus*, leading to IUCN Red List categories of Least Concern, it is likely that these southern populations will prove to be distinct (Musser & Carleton, 2005). Shrew species on Mt Mabu (*Crocidura luna* and *Crocidura olivieri*) are also associated with tropical forest and woodlands.

The bat assemblage is similar to that found on nearby mountains such as Mt Mulanje and is dominated by species of Rhinolophidae and Hipposideridae. A single specimen of an unidentified *Kerivoula* was collected; it seems to be distinct from the two recognized Southern African species, *K. argentata* and *K. lanosa*. One new species of horseshoe bat, *Rhinolophus mabuensis* (Taylor et al., 2012), was collected and was also found on neighbouring Mt Inago (Bayliss et al., 2010; Monadjem et al., 2010).

Information on larger mammals was also recorded opportunistically and with the knowledge of a local hunter (Dowsett-Lemaire & Dowsett, 2009). The blue monkey *Cercopithecus albogularis* is common within the forest and is hunted by the local community using bow and arrows, and Grant’s bush baby *Galagoides* (*zanzibaricus*) *granti* was heard calling at night. Forest antelopes such as blue duiker *Cephalophus monticola*, bushbuck *Tragelaphus scriptus* and klipspringer *Heterohyrax brucei* are occasionally encountered. Buffalo *Syncerus caffer* and elephant *Loxodonta africana* were historically common in the forest although they have not been seen in recent years.

Reptiles and Amphibians (Supplementary Table S4)

Collections of herpetofauna recorded seven amphibian and 15 reptile species (nine lizards and six snakes), although most collecting has not been at optimum times.

Three new reptiles have been discovered including a forest viper *Atheris mabuensis* (Branch & Bayliss, 2009), the southernmost record of the genus; a chameleon, *Nadzikambia baylissi*, belonging to a genus previously thought to be endemic to Mt Mulanje (Branch & Tolley, 2010; Branch, 2011), and a new species of pygmy chameleon (*Rhampoleon* sp. nov) that awaits description (W.R. Branch et al., unpubl. data). Probable new species include an unusual large-scaled bush snake (*Philothamnus* cf. *carinatus*), and a tree snake (*Dipsadoboa* sp.). The taxonomic status of other species is also currently under investigation, including the status of a rare burrowing skink (*Melanoseps* sp.) and two cryptic leaf-litter frogs (*Arthroleptis* sp.). Many of the species recorded are at the southernmost limits of their ranges and have affinities to groups from the north and west.

Butterflies (Supplementary Table S5)

Butterflies on Mt Mabu have been studied in eight visits, and in various seasons (Congdon & Bamptons, 2009; Congdon et al., 2010; Timberlake et al., 2012), with a total of 203 taxa recorded. The expected total is likely to be c. 250 species, similar to the butterfly fauna of neighbouring mountains such as Mt Mulanje. The phenomenon known as hilltopping (Shields, 1967) was observed on Mt Mabu; hundreds of butterflies of many families gather en masse throughout October and November during 10.30–11.30 on the summit (Bright, 2012).

Four of these are new species (*Baliochila* sp. nov; *Cymothoe* sp. nov, R. Van Velsen et al., unpubl. data; *Epamera* sp. nov., J. Bayliss et al., unpubl. data; *Leptomyrina* (Gonatymyrina) sp. nov.), and there are three new subspecies (*Papilio pelodurus* ssp. nov; *Baliochila woodi* ssp. nov; *Neocoenyra bioculata* ssp. nov), and 35 new records for Mozambique (Congdon et al., 2010). Several of the new species have also been caught on neighbouring mountains, such as the new *Cymothoe* (Mt Namuli, Mt Inago), the *Epamera* (first caught on Mt Namuli) and *Leptomyrina* (Mt Namuli, Mt Inago).

Biogeographical considerations

The high number of endemic species discovered on Mt Mabu and surrounding mountains suggests a long period of isolation and ancient linkages with the north. Within most taxonomic groups there is evidence of a significant influence from mountains to the north (Tanzania) and to the west (Malawi), such as the Eastern Arc Mountains and Moreau’s Tanganyika–Nyasa Montane Chain, with the greater influence from the latter. This is particularly evident in the butterfly fauna (Congdon et al., 2010; R. Van Velsen et al., unpubl. data). Many species and
genera collected are at the southernmost limits of their range, such as the long-tailed pouched rat, the new bush viper, the new pygmy chameleon (*Rhampholeon* sp. nov.), burrowing skink (*Melanocephus* sp.), and the new montane *Cymothoe* butterfly.

The terrestrial small mammal fauna of these isolated relic montane forests of northern Mozambique forms an important southern refuge biogeographically, linked with the montane forests of central Malawi and eastern Africa (Kenya, Uganda, Tanzania, Democratic Republic of Congo), and that are not listed in the southern African subregion as defined by Skinner & Chimimba (2005); i.e. occurring south of the Zambezi River.

Within the herpetofauna a number of species are shared with adjacent Mt Mulanje. Closely-related chameleons occur on both mountains, with *Nadzikambia mlanjensis* and *Rhampholeon platyceps* on Mt Mulanje and the sister taxa *N. baylissi* and *Rhampholeon* sp. nov. on Mt Mabu (Branch & Tolley, 2010). No forest viper (*Atheris* sp.) has been recorded from Mt Mulanje but *A. mabuensis* is now known from both Mt Mabu and Mt Namuli (Branch & Bayliss, 2009).

Afromontane birds found on Mabu currently total 18 species, which is relatively low in comparison with neighbouring mountains (Mt Mulanje has 31). This is probably because of the limited extent of montane grassland and shrubland on Mabu, hence species such as the blue swallow *Hirundo atrocaudalis* cannot occur, and the areas covered by Afromontane forest (as opposed to mid-altitude forest) are relatively small.

Rainforests generally contain few endemic plants in this part of Africa, most species being very local in occurrence but distributed in forests over a large part of the region. Some records show that Mabu’s montane flora has similarities to that on Mt Mulanje (the orchid *P. songaniensis*), but some are of species previously only recorded from the Chimanimani and other mountains along the Mozambique/Zimbabwe border (the herbs *Cryptostephanus vansonii* and *D. ensifolia*), whereas others show links to mountains in southern Tanzania and northern Malawi (the herbs *Crotonogynopsis usambarica, Mimulopsis arborescens* and the parasite *Viscum cylindricum*).

As the number of endemic species discovered in northern Mozambique increases, so does the case for the recognition of a new montane ecoregion. The evidence outlined here suggests that this region is biogeographically distinct from the species assemblages on neighbouring mountain ranges such as the Eastern Arc Mountains in southern Tanzania (Burgess et al., 2006, 2007).

**Discussion**

The greatest threat to forest biodiversity on Mt Mabu is from encroachment of slash and burn agriculture around the edges of the forest block, especially from the north-east to south-east, and from bushmeat hunting using gin-traps. The present level of hunting is thought to be so high that populations of some species such as the crested guineafowl *Guttera pucherani* (Dowsett-Lemaire, 2010) could become locally extinct.

Although there are few trees of commercial interest, logging activities are increasing in the surrounding woodlands and neighbouring mountains, and the future of the surrounding Cha Madal tea estate will play a crucial role in the conservation of the forest as this will determine land use and employment opportunities in the immediate vicinity. The tea plantations are currently not commercially viable because of the type of tea grown (China hybrid) but there could be a future in the extraction of Camellia oil from the tea tree seeds. Because of its close proximity a link with the tea industry in Malawi could prove commercially viable.

Hitherto, because little was known about Mt Mabu and its forest beyond the local area, it was free from outside exploitation, despite Mozambique’s booming economy. The recent scientific expeditions to Mt Mabu have given rise to extensive media coverage, and also to a desire (within Mozambique and in the wider community) to conserve such an important habitat. The diversity of endemic species raises the profile of the area, and more discoveries of new species are expected.

**Conservation recommendations**

A number of factors currently favour the conservation of the area: the local paramount chiefs, the provincial government, and the national government are all in favour of protection and conservation; the surrounding human population is currently low; the forest is in good condition; the discovery of new species has raised the conservation justification for its protection; and access to the area is poor, which has so far favoured its protection.

To address current and future threats there is a need for a strategic conservation management plan, supported by government and local communities. In 2009 the Government of Mozambique agreed to protect the forest but as yet it has not been gazetted as a protected area. However an initiative towards registering Mabu forest as an area for conservation and nature-based tourism use only, by the NGO Justiça Ambiental, has started. The model for the conservation of Mt Mabu has not yet been determined but potential models include those of the Mulanje Mountain Conservation Trust in Malawi (Wisborg and Jumbe, 2010), the Amani Nature Reserve (2013) and Udzungwa Mountains National Park (2013), both in the Eastern Arc Mountains of Tanzania, and Gorongosa National Park in Mozambique (2013).

The communities around Mt Mabu have a vested interest in the ecosystem services that originate in the forest. Justiça
Ambiental and Fauna and Flora International, supported by the Critical Ecosystem Partnership Fund (CEPF, 2013), are working closely together to establish a community-based organization to manage potential nature-based tourism activities. Although there are few large charismatic animals to attract this sort of tourism, Mt Mabu is particularly important for rare birds, and it supports a variety of endemic and restricted range species. Specialist bird or rainforest tours could have a limited potential for income generation, although probably insufficient to fund a conservation programme. Mabu’s forest is under potential threat from commercial logging and clearance for local agriculture. Measures need to be taken to protect it from these and similar threats such as unsustainable levels of hunting and wildfires.

The development of low-impact tourism, managed in part by local communities, may be the most appropriate land use for the massif. This would require a programme for training and licensing local guides (educating the guides in local history, knowledge of the forest and its plants and animals, and an ability to communicate this for ecotourism) and a base accessible by road, ideally on the old Cha Madal tea estate on the south-east slopes of the mountain from which access to the mountain is easily gained.

Another reason for the protection of the forest on Mt Mabu is mitigation of climate change at a local level. Mabu’s wet forest currently locks up a considerable quantity of carbon (3.6 Tg in total) that would be released if the forest is destroyed (Table 1). The forest area could also be entered under a carbon accreditation scheme. Bottled mineral water could be a commercially viable venture for Mabu (water from the largest rainforest in southern Africa).

Research

Despite a limited amount of field research, many new species have recently been discovered on Mt Mabu (Bayliss, 2008; Branch & Bayliss, 2009; Timberlake et al., 2009, 2012; Branch & Tolley, 2010; Congdon et al., 2010; Monadjem et al., 2010a; Harris et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2012; J. Bayliss et al., unpubl. data; W.R. Branch et al., unpubl. data; R. Van Velsen et al., unpubl. data; R. Van Velsen et al., unpubl. data) and neighbouring inselbergs (Bayliss et al., 2010; Fishpool & Bayliss, 2010; Staude et al., 2011; Savel & Bayliss, 2012). The forest is particularly important for rare bird species. Further research should focus on taxonomic groups that have not been surveyed in sufficient detail, such as primates, small mammals, herpetofauna and invertebrates. A more detailed plant survey would increase the number of new plant records, particularly once the northern and western sides of the mountain are explored. In addition, the factors determining forest extent require investigation.

More broadly, a comparative study is needed of the high altitude inselbergs (>1500 m) across northern Mozambique and southern Malawi, and those further afield in southern Tanzania and eastern Zimbabwe, focusing on the distribution ranges of endemic species. These mountain ranges are of similar age and our findings suggest that they share similar biological assemblages. On this basis it may be appropriate to consider the recognition of a new montane ecoregion based on the south-central African montane inselbergs, possibly following a similar delimitation approach used for the Eastern Arc Mountains (Platts et al., 2011).

The reason Mt Mabu remained unfamiliar to the outside world, and largely undisturbed, lay in its remoteness and inaccessibility. Now that Mozambique is receiving increasing foreign investment and subsequent development, Mabu’s forest is under potential threat from commercial logging and clearance for local agriculture. Measures need to be taken to protect it from these and similar threats such as unsustainable levels of hunting and wildfires.
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