skills specific to liaison nursing and their unique role in general hospitals. Our recent survey at Chelsea and Westminster Hospital of the provision of psychosocial assessments to A&E patients presenting with suicidal thoughts or behaviours showed that 90% received full assessment by the liaison team or duty psychiatrist, with plans for further action communicated to their general practitioner (or community mental health team). This level of service was achieved with a liaison nursing team managing 85% of out-of-hours clients without medical input, with implications not only for 4-hour targets but also for the European Working Time Directive on junior doctors’ working hours. Any further threats to liaison services run counter to the government’s efforts to tackle suicide targets, to address the psychological needs of patients with cancer, HIV, neurological disorders, cardiovascular disease and diabetes, and its obligation to uphold employment law.


*Alexandra Pitman Senior House Officer in Psychiatry, South Kensington and Chelsea Mental Health Centre, London SW10 9NG, email: alexandra.pitman@imperial.ac.uk*

*Catalan* Consultant Psychiatrist, Psychological Medicine Unit, South Kensington and Chelsea Mental Health Centre, London SW10 9NG

### Regional specialist registrar training day – our experience

As training day coordinators for the North-West Higher Training Scheme in Adult Psychiatry, we read with interest the article by Dr Ogden (Psychiatric Bulletin, August 2006, 30, 301–312) on developing a regional specialist registrar day. We would like to report on similar training days that have been an integral part of the North-West Higher Training Scheme for the past 5 years. Our training days are similar in most aspects to the Merseyside ones, but with some important differences.

We have 10 training days per year with full support of the local specialist training subcommittee. Unlike the Merseyside specialist registrar training days, the venues for our day are rotated regularly, as our scheme covers a wide geographical area. Pharmaceutical companies sponsor the venue and catering, and the speakers give their time and expertise for free. Although the majority of the speakers come from the north-west, we have been able to secure others from further afield.

Attendance at the training days is mandatory and the average attendance is around 75%.

The topics covered during the training days include a broad range of core clinical, managerial and personal development skills; for example, our next training day is on court room skills, with trainees giving expert evidence and undergoing cross examination by a barrister in a mock courtroom.

Similar to Dr Ogden’s experience in Merseyside, the training days have helped in improving communication and in fostering a sense of community among the specialist registrars.

*Raghu Paranthaman Specialist Registrar, Belmont Day Hospital, Royal Bolton Hospital, Bolton BL4 0JR, email: rparantham@gmail.com, Fauzii Dib Alam Specialist Registrar, Royal Preston Hospital, Preston PR2 9HT*

### Blood glucose testing

The results reported by Dr Tarrant (Psychiatric Bulletin, August 2006, 30, 286–288) on blood glucose testing for adults prescribed atypical antipsychotics are far more impressive than we obtained when we audited prescribing on acute psychiatric wards in four health districts in the West Midlands in 2004. Adherence to blood glucose testing ranged from 8 to 47% between these units for patients on atypical antipsychotics. These rates are poorer even when allowing for an unwillingness of some patients with acute illness to agree to blood tests (Hodgson & Adeyemo, 2004).

In 2004 we carried out a survey of 181 consultant psychiatrists working across the West Midlands and found that only 52% undertook blood glucose monitoring and only 29.6% believed that psychiatrists should monitor the physical health of their patients. This survey underlined the tension between primary and secondary care over physical health monitoring for those with serious mental illness. The recent guidelines (National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence, 2006) for the management of bipolar disorder recommend an annual physical health review in primary care. However, while a patient is in hospital it is difficult to justify any lack of monitoring of physical health given that psychiatry is a medical specialty. Abrogation of responsibility for physical evaluation of patients has implications for the profession as a whole. Acknowledgement of this responsibility is reflected in the College’s requirement that candidates perform a physical examination in the both parts of the Membership examination. However, consultant psychiatrists are unlikely to maintain these skills, which is a compelling argument for basing the physical healthcare of those with serious mental illness in primary care.

Doctors should manage doctors

I completely agree with Dr Palin’s assertions (Psychiatric Bulletin, September 2006, 30, 353) that ‘psychiatrists should be selected for management roles on merit rather than simply because they are a doctor’ and that psychiatrists should aspire to ‘provide clinical leadership to all clinicians working within mental health services’ – not just the doctors.

The main point of the paper by Griffiths & Readhead (Psychiatric Bulletin, June 2006, 30, 201–203) to which Dr Palin refers was to identify the problems confounding those aspirations – lack of clarity in roles assigned to medical directors and managers, lack of sessional time and support to do a good job, and lack of clarity in the capabilities and training required. Even those with outstanding qualities for leadership may falter under such conditions.

The inference of Dr Palin from my summary of the Kerr/Haslam Report (Psychiatric Bulletin, June 2006, 30, 204–206) is the opposite of my own. The report concluded that one of the reasons psychiatrists got away with abusing patients for so long was because consultants were ‘all powerful’. Dr Palin fears that in promoting medical management the College may be sustaining the idea of ‘all powerful consultants’ having a right to key leadership positions irrespective of merit. Not at all, it was the absence of powerful well trained medical managers in the 1970s and ‘80s that allowed a powerful and well trained consultant body to block scrutiny of consultant practice unless there was undeniable evidence of malpractice.

Psychiatrists will only gain credibility as leaders of other professions when they are managing their own profession well. As the Chief Medical Officer’s recent review of medical regulation confirms that is as yet far from sorted (Department of Health, 2006). He endorses the need for powerful managers, who are doctors themselves and therefore capable of sensitively managing performance of doctors. Uni-professional line management is usual in healthcare systems so that highly specialist workers can be understood and supported in the finer nuances of improving clinical practice by individuals with similar training and experience. Confidence in recognising and tackling unsatisfactory practice early in specialist areas is essential.

The College initiative in appointing a Vice-President to engage medical directors and managers in divisional and national networks, and with the College through a central Medical Director Executive, is proceeding along the lines Dr Palin seems to support (see Psychiatric Bulletin, September 2006, 30, 355–356). Collaboration with chief executives and the National Institute for Mental Health in England is seen to be the right approach for developing leadership roles and training for doctors along with other professions.

Redefining the role of medical director for the future is regarded as a fundamental first step to making things happen. Any medical director (or head of psychiatry in a trust without a medical director who is a psychiatrist) who has not yet received an invitation to a workshop on this subject please contact me.

Peter Kennedy  Vice-President (Medical Management), Royal College of Psychiatrists, 17 Belgrave Square, London, email: peter@kennedy89.freeserve.co.uk