Marine mammal studies to address future challenges in conservation management
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During the 19th Century and much of the 20th Century, marine mammal studies, particularly of cetaceans, were based primarily upon dead animals—either the result of strandings or of catches as a direct product of human exploitation. The emphasis was on taxonomy and anatomy (see, for example, Cuvier, 1836; Rapp, 1837; Van Beneden & Gervais, 1880; Van Beneden, 1889; Beddard, 1900; True, 1904; Sliper, 1958). From around the 1950s, field studies of seal species were initiated in various parts of the world, particularly Europe, North America and Antarctica (for example, Laws, 1953, 1956a, b; Hewer, 1957; Bartholomew, 1959; Burns, 1965), but it was not until the 1970s that research on living cetaceans got underway (see, for example, projects reviewed in Winn & Olla, 1979; Payne, 1983; Pryor & Norris, 1991).

The cryptic nature of cetaceans at sea and perceived difficulties in species identification together contributed to the reluctance of biologists to turn their attention to studies at sea. Increasingly, however, technological advances have facilitated such research—examples include tags for telemetry and tracking studies; biopsy sampling for genetic and stable isotope, fatty acid and contaminant analyses; and both passive and active acoustic devices for recording and monitoring underwater behaviour (e.g. Boyd et al., 2010). Units are becoming smaller and less invasive; and locations of animals more precise as GPS tags develop further. Marine mammal species that spend much of their lives in deep oceans far from land have long provided a major challenge, but even some of these, for example members of the little known beaked whale family Ziphiidae, are now being investigated using clever tags integrating tracking devices, acoustic sensors, magnetometers, accelerometers and depth recorders (Johnson & Tyack, 2003; Tyack et al., 2011).

Much current monitoring and research on marine mammals aims to address conservation management issues, both those covered by existing law and directives, and emerging threats and pressures that remain to be addressed by policy-makers. At present the key policy drivers for conservation of marine mammals in Europe include the EU Habitats Directive and Marine Strategy Framework Directive, Regulation 812/2004 of the Common Fisheries Policy, OSPAR, and the Convention on Migratory Species (CMS). In some cases (e.g. CMS), agreements have led to the setting up of international organizations, such as ASCOBANS and ACCOBAMS, which work to implement policy, monitor its success and update it where necessary. Implementation of international and European agreements and directives is dependent on translation into national law, and effective delivery once this has taken place—and the extent to which this has been achieved varies widely across Europe. However, putting aside these issues of conservation governance, we may distinguish several reasons for monitoring, including:

(1) to determine status and spatio-temporal trends in status of populations of protected (and other) species;
(2) to measure the efficacy of existing conservation measures, including spatial instruments such as marine protected areas (MPAs), or mitigation measures for specific threats such as pinger deployment on gill nets;
(3) to determine impacts of specific threats; and
(4) to identify gaps and emerging issues that may require development of new conservation measures (i.e. a sentinel function).

Meeting these objectives in turn involves various types of data collection, using a range of methodologies:

(1) measuring abundance, distribution, movements and migrations, occupancy, habitat use and site fidelity. Relevant methodologies include dedicated and opportunistic sightings surveys, acoustic monitoring, photo-identification, strandings monitoring, and the various associated analytical and statistical tools;
(2) evaluating ecological (e.g. diet), life history (e.g. maturation, fecundity and mortality), health status (e.g. incidence of diseases, parasite loads, contaminant burdens and condition) and behavioural parameters; and deriving population level parameters based on individual level studies. Such studies can involve strandings monitoring, biopsies, tagging, passive acoustic monitoring and visual observation;
(3) direct threat monitoring, e.g. using on-board observers or camera systems on fishing vessels, acoustic monitoring around wind-farms, etc; and

(4) environmental monitoring: surveillance of the structure, function and quality of supporting habitat of marine mammal species.

Similarly, conservation-related research has several functions and involves deployment of a wide range of techniques:

(1) identifying appropriate management units (for which it may be necessary to find ways to distinguish similar species or identify populations or ‘stocks’ based on genetic or ecological evidence);

(2) providing background knowledge on physiology, immune function, life history, ecology and behaviour—needed, for example, to help understand habitat requirements (ecological niches) and how threats and pressures may impact on individuals and populations (e.g. what are the likely behavioural and physiological consequences of exposure to underwater noise? How does bioaccumulation of persistent organic pollutants affect immune function and reproduction?);

(3) developing methodologies and tools for data collection and analysis; and

(4) developing and testing specific threat mitigation measures.

The European Cetacean Society (ECS) held two recent conferences with themes that take a long-term view of marine mammal research and conservation. In March 2010, the ECS addressed the theme ‘Marine mammal populations: challenges for conservation in the next decade’ at its 24th Annual Conference in Stralsund, Germany; and in March 2011 at the 25th Annual Conference in Cadiz, Spain, its theme was ‘Long-term datasets on marine mammals: learning from the past to manage the future’.

Several of the papers in this special issue of the Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom provide examples of how marine mammal scientists are using different methods to monitor population size and demography, examine ecological relationships, and record responses to human activities. Some of these methods are novel, but tried and tested traditional approaches such as direct observation and stomach contents analysis continue to be used, along with refinements where appropriate. These provide useful ecological information and insights into effects of climate change, fisheries interactions and other stressors—and remain essential in poorly studied areas. The studies also illustrate how research on one topic can provide insights into another, as in the case of diet and habitat use.

Molecular genetics has been applied widely in recent years in attempts to reveal population structure and to develop management units (Evans & Teilmann, 2009) or to clarify in attempts to reveal population structure and to develop management units—and remain essential in poorly studied areas. The paper by Galatius et al. (2012) describe postcranial skeletal characteristics, which can be used to distinguish two species of the genus Sotalia. Although originally used mainly to determine trophic position, stable isotope analyses are now increasingly used as a tool to make inferences on migrations and the existence of ecological stocks. Based on analyses of stable nitrogen and carbon isotopes, Meissner et al. (2012) found geographical differences in diet of striped dolphins Stenella coeruleoalba between the Atlantic and the Mediterranean but no clear differences were apparent between the five Mediterranean areas studied. In addition, results are interpreted as providing possible evidence of changes in maternal feeding behaviour as calves approach weaning, as well as confirming expected ontogenic changes in the size (and trophic level) of prey consumed.

Whether to provide baseline information or to evaluate effects of specific threats and pressures, measuring abundance and detecting trends therein is a fundamental component of assessment of population status. De Oliveira et al. (2012) provided the first effective population size estimate for the South American sea lions Otaria flavescens living along the Peruvian coast. Following the strongest El Niño event in history, the effective population size was found to be still above widely accepted critical levels. However, higher abundance levels may be required for the population to withstand the more frequent and stronger El Niño events that climate models are predicting for the future. This will undoubtedly be a conservation challenge for the future as calls for culling, due to perceived fisheries interactions, intensify.

The most widespread tools for studies of distribution and abundance have been visual observations, mainly through dedicated effort-related surveys from land, sea or air. In north-west Ireland, Anderwald et al. (2012) have conducted land-based watches on nine cetacean and two seal species that occur regularly in Broadhaven Bay, County Mayo, in order to establish patterns of seasonal and spatial occurrence. They found some niche separation between species with bottlenose dolphins Tursiops truncatus using the innermost part of the bay whereas minke whales Balaenoptera acutorostrata and common dolphins Delphinus delphis showed spatial overlap.

In south-west England, Leeney et al. (2012) documented patterns of distribution and relative abundance of basking sharks Cetorhinus maximus, sunfish Mola mola and eight species of cetaceans around Cornwall and the Isles of Scilly, from ferry trips and aerial surveys over a two year period. Some useful information on distribution and distribution changes can also be gained from analysis of incidental sightings and strandings, as Pikesley et al. (2012) show using data collected between 1991 and 2008 from Cornwall in south-west England. They found significant decreases over
this period in bottlenose dolphin sightings and pod size, but an increase in minke whale sightings and harbour porpoise sightings and strandings.

As we move towards a better understanding of future conservation challenges, new tools and techniques will be required. Autonomous acoustic data loggers, such as T-PODs, are among those undergoing a rapid growth in their applications at this time, for example to record species occurrence in the vicinity of potential threats such as wind farms. However, Elliott et al. (2012) offered a cautionary note, demonstrating that their use could benefit from further optimization. Specifically, they employed filters customized to match the click characteristics of bottlenose dolphins living in Doubtful Sound, New Zealand. In comparison with the manner in which T-PODs have been set in some other studies, the custom settings here led to between 8 and 33 times as many detections. This indicates clearly that studies elsewhere using T-PODs and other such devices will benefit from similar optimization.

In order to detect range shifts it is important to have a good knowledge about migration patterns and the normal range of movements. Another way of looking at movements is to consider site fidelity, which is examined in two papers. Baracho-Neto et al. (2012) showed very low levels in humpback whales Megaptera novaeangliae, with 96% of 841 photo-identified animals in Bahía, Brazil, seen only once over a ten-year period, the remainder being seen just twice, and mainly within the same season. Cribb et al. (2012), on the other hand, found that of 56 photo-identified spinner dolphins Stenella longirostris in Moon Reef, Fiji Islands, 70% were re-sighted on two or more occasions over a two-year period, suggesting that the studied reef area forms a regular resting habitat for the local population.

Photo-identification (photo ID) has also been used to investigate the social structure of a small (24 animals), declining resident bottlenose dolphin community in the Sado Estuary, Portugal. Augusto et al. (2012) found a fission–fusion society here but one that seemed to have more stability than in other bottlenose dolphin communities studied. Associations between individuals were in the form of a pattern of casual acquaintances, where individuals associate for a period of time, disassociate and then may reassociate again, though with no evidence for segregation by age-class or sex. The authors concluded that a combination of demographic characteristics and a stable and productive environment had led to a decrease in competition between individuals.

Male sperm whales Physeter macrocephalus are well known to undergo extensive migrations into high latitudes. Again, photo ID has proven to be a useful tool, in this case to establish links for individual whales travelling between tropical breeding grounds and temperate and polar regions. Steiner et al. (2012) in a review of several North Atlantic catalogues found six matches between the Azores and northern Norway, as well as more local matches within Norway (Andenes to Tromsø), and between Andenes and a stranding in western Ireland.

Whereas first population estimates are important, regular assessments over the longer term are invaluable, especially in areas designated as MPAs. Unfortunately, this has not been the case for the Golfo San José MPA in northern Patagonia, Argentina, where components of the local ecosystem except for the southern right whale (Eubalaena australis) for which it was created in 1975 have received little attention. In the first survey in 30 years, Coscarella et al. (2012) detected a potential shift in distribution as well as a likely decline in both abundance and group size in the bottlenose dolphins living in and around the MPA. This highlighted not only the population as a possible conservation concern, but also provides a challenge for appropriate MPA management in the future.

Olley et al. (2012) report on nearly one-and-a-half centuries of beaked whale strandings on the Falkland Islands and South Georgia in the South Atlantic Ocean. Although absolute numbers are limited to just 38 records across seven species, three species were found to appear in the record on at least three occasions only relatively recently: Arnoux’s beaked whales (Berardius arnuxii Duvernay, 1851) in 1965; Andrew’s beaked whales (M. bowdoini Andrews, 1908) in 1981; and Gray’s beaked whale (M. grayi van Haast, 1876) in 1987. This suggests that distributions of these species may be changing, perhaps in response to changes in water temperature and/or prey distributions.

Most studies on habitat use are based upon sightings data. Weir et al. (2012) used sightings and statistical modelling to investigate differences in habitat preferences of several cetacean species off West Africa in the eastern tropical Atlantic, demonstrating differences in the niches occupied. Habitat preferences of most species were primarily related to sea surface temperature, but water depth was the most important determinant of the presence of Risso’s dolphin Grampus griseus, and seabed slope was the key variable for striped dolphin.

Two contributions show how studies on diet can provide insights into habitat preferences. Botta et al. (2012) describe interspecific differences in isotope ratios between several cetacean species in Brazil. Observed between-species differences in δ13C values reflect different habitat preferences: δ13C values were highest in coastal feeders such as bottlenose dolphin, and lowest in the offshore species, Fraser’s dolphin Lagenodelphis hosei. Ponnampalam et al. (2012) describe stomach contents of several dolphin species in the Sea of Oman and Arabian Sea. Knowledge about the ecology of the prey species allows inferences to be made on the feeding habitats of the cetaceans. Thus, the Indo-Pacific humpback dolphin Sousa chinensis fed mainly in shallow coastal areas while spinner dolphins fed mainly on vertically migrating mesopelagic prey. Bottlenose dolphins, on the other hand, were seen to have foraged in a range of habitats.

Lopes et al. (2012) describe the feeding habits of Guiana dolphins, Sotalia guianensis, showing differences between the diet in estuarine waters and that elsewhere. Although dolphins mainly took locally abundant prey, the majority were not target species of fisheries.

A review by Brown et al. (2012) of dietary data on seals collected in the UK over twenty years revealed both temporal (e.g. seasonal) and regional differences in diet composition, as well as highlighting differences between grey seals Halichoerus grypus and harbour seals Phoca vitulina. The former species showed finer-scale spatial variation in diet as well as differences in the patterns of seasonal variation. The key conclusion is that future assessments of the impact of seal populations should not be based on past or localized estimates of diet.

Life history studies provide important information on population level responses. Oisinga et al. (2012) showed that the pupping season in harbour seals advanced by approximately one month over 35 years. This change in phenology is likely to be an adaptive response to local environmental change, possibly related to global climate change.
With regard to long-term data sets on marine mammals, and especially cetaceans, few can match strandings records. Although there are well-known biases in studies based on strandings, they provide an essential record of causes of mortality and how they differ between species and vary over time, as well as access to a range of other life history data. Fruet et al. (2012) present an almost 40-year record of bottlenose dolphin mortality along part of the Brazilian coast. They noted that 43% of the 188 animals stranded during this period were determined to have been by-caught and that the overall mortality was seasonal and synchronous with fishing efforts. While juvenile males constituted the bulk of the predominantly male by-catch mortalities, adults represented 75% of all the by-caught females. When incorporated into a potential biological removal (PBR) analysis, these levels of fishing-related mortality were found to be unsustainable. Bornatowski et al. (2012) analysed more than 200 cetacean carcasses from the Abrolhos Bank in eastern Brazil and found that more than 20% of both humpback whales and other species, had evidence of shark bites suggesting they are important predators and scavengers. This was confirmed by photographic evidence from living animals.

Acoustics represents another means to investigate marine mammal ecology and behaviour. Gannier et al. (2012), for example, studied echolocation clicks and creaks of more than fifty sperm whales during 156 complete foraging dives in the north-west Mediterranean. They found that larger whales tended to dive longer at greater depths and emitted more creaks during a dive. Creak rates were highest earlier in the day then decreased, and were linked to shorter foraging phases and time spent at the surface.

As we move into the future, we can expect human use of the marine environment to only increase. One of the pressures marine mammals face is that of escalating vessel traffic. Papape et al. (2012) demonstrated this clearly with their reports of the effects of vessel traffic on bottlenose dolphin in southern Italy. Disruption of behaviours was found to be common, especially within 200 m. Moreover, original behaviours were seldom resumed following the departure of the boats, implying that long-term consequences may be present. However, it seems at least that leisure boats are not a threat to the hearing of bottlenose dolphins. David (2012) used a theoretical approach to determine the onset of hearing impairment, in terms of both temporary (TTS) and permanent threshold shifts (PTS) in this species. After extrapolating broadband boat noise levels, an equal-energy model predicted that exposure for eight hours at just 3.3 m would be needed to induce TTS, with PTS being even more unlikely.

Morteo et al. (2012) also assessed human–bottlenose dolphin interactions, although in their case they focused on fisheries interactions, in the western Gulf of Mexico. Through a spatial analysis based upon line transect data, they found non-random and mostly mutually exclusive distributions of dolphins and fisheries. The dolphins only interacted with gillnets (28.6% of vessels and 22.6% of fishing gear), with resident individuals (N = 23) generally trying to avoid humans, probably as a consequence of negative reinforcement caused by aggression fromfishers. This combination indicates that artisanal fisheries in this area are influencing dolphin distribution and activities, while the risks of intentional interactions with gillnet fisheries are likely to be much higher in a relatively small number of individuals.

Pressures upon the marine environment throughout the world continue to escalate, including overfishing of many stocks of fish and shellfish, increased levels of vessel traffic, noise pollution from seismic exploration conducted in areas hitherto relatively untouched (such as West Greenland, Central and South America, the African sub-continent and parts of Asia), the introduction of new contaminants, and the often unclear consequences of climate change. And yet, even in regions like northern Europe where pressures are particularly intense (HELCOM, 2009; OSPAR, 2010), our knowledge about the status and trends of populations of most marine mammal species remains very poor (Evans, 2011). An important challenge for the coming decades is to find new ways to investigate especially the more inaccessible species.
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