Suicide prevention: fact or fiction

SIR: We read the pessimistic letter of Michael et al (Journal, June 1992, 168, 867—868) in connection with the efficacy of suicide prevention. The importance of the question, especially in Hungary, forced us to think over this problem. To speak about suicide prevention in general terms is counterproductive. Being psychiatrists we cannot speak about the prevention of suicide of a person who has never been seen by a psychiatrist. The question is whether in everyday care we are able to treat high risk patients successfully or not. Primary depression, especially bipolar disorder, represents the highest suicide risk. Previously we demonstrated that under-referral and underdiagnosis of depression is the main cause of suicide in Hungary, since we found a significant negative correlation between suicide rate and the rate of treated depression across the 20 different regions of Hungary (Rihmer et al, 1990). On the other hand, it has been demonstrated that after an intensive postgraduate training programme for GPs on the diagnosis and treatment of depression, the suicide rate in the area served by trained GPs dropped significantly (Rutz et al, 1989). However, adequate antidepressant treatment can prevent only the suicide risk connected with the given depressive episode, while only adequate prophylactic treatment can provide long-term results in patients with recurrent mood disorders. Since more than 50% of those who kill themselves have primary, mostly recurrent, depressive illness (Arató et al, 1988) the correct acute and prophylactic treatment of these patients has particular relevance in suicide prevention.

At least six studies have proved the significant reduction in suicidal behaviour of bipolar depressive patients on prophylactic lithium therapy (see Goodwin & Jamison, 1990, pp. 237—239 for review). Investigating retrospectively the suicide behaviour of 36 (30 women and 6 men) out-patients with bipolar I (n = 19) and bipolar II (n = 17) affective disorder who were on prophylactic lithium therapy for at least two years (maximum: 18 years, mean: 7.2 years) we supported the role of prophylactic lithium therapy in preventing suicide in this patient population. Compared with the pre-lithium period (mean: 7.6 years, range: 0.3—18 years), during the lithium period (mean: 7.2 years, range: 2—18 years) there was a significant reduction not only in the number of suicidal patients, but also in the number of suicide attempts (15 patients and 25 attempts v. 1 patient and 2 attempts, P < 0.001).

We hope that lithium therapy is not just delaying the suicidal behaviour, so that patients who have not made an attempt for the past 18 years will not make one in the next 18 years either.
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Nutmeg or mabi bark?

SIR: Hassiotis & Taylor (Journal, September 1992, 161, 404–407) ascribe a psychotic state in a West Indian woman to the consumption of a large quantity of mabi bark tea. This, they state, is the first such case. They note that large amounts of nutmeg (Myristica fragrans) were consumed simultaneously, but this was not discussed as a cause of psychosis by the authors. This is interesting when one is dealing with a 'first'.

Myristicin comes from nutmeg tree oil. In 1576, Lobelius (O'Shea, 1979) wrote of poisoning by nutmeg in a pregnant woman who became intoxicated and 'ran wild into the forest'. The victim is more usually visually hallucinated, and severe de-personalisation is common. Incidentally, nutmeg has been advocated as an aphrodisiac.

Surely, nutmeg is a more likely cause of this woman's illness.
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A HUNDRED YEARS AGO

Retrospect of criminal anthropology. By Havelock Ellis. A Museum of Psychiatry and Criminology

The deeply interesting and instructive Museum of Criminal Anthropology, founded by Lacassagne in the noble university on the banks of the Rhone, is well known to all medical visitors to Lyons. It is now proposed by the Faculty of Medicine at Turin to establish a museum somewhat similar in character, though of wider scope, at the university with which Lombroso has so long been connected. All the material, so far as it can be collected, for the study of the causes, symptoms, and therapeutics of insanity and criminality will here be brought together. The medical man, the lawyer, and the philosopher will be able to examine the "palimpsests" of the asylum and the prison, the data concerning the aetiology of crime and mental perturbations, the geography of crime, etc., and the skeletons and brains of the insane and criminal will demonstrate the close connection between mental aberrations and corporal abnormalities. Such a museum must form a most valuable source of instruction in psychiatry, and it is to be hoped that the initiative of France and Italy may before long be followed in England. I may add that a Museum of Psychology - not of morbid psychology especially - was founded a few years since at Florence by Professor Mantegazza.
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