

# DIOPHANTINE APPROXIMATION ON HECKE GROUPS

by J. LEHNER

*Dedicated to Robert Rankin in admiration and respect*

**1. Introduction.** If  $\alpha$  is a real irrational number, there exist infinitely many reduced rational fractions  $p/q$  for which

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{\sqrt{5} q^2}, \quad (1.1)$$

and  $\sqrt{5}$  is the best constant possible. This result is due to A. Hurwitz. The following generalization was proposed in [2]. Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated fuchsian group acting on  $H^+$ , the upper half of the complex plane. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the limit set of  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of cusps (parabolic vertices). Assume  $\infty \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{P}$ , we have

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{k q^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

for infinitely many  $p/q \in \Gamma(\infty)$ , where  $k$  depends only on  $\Gamma$ . Attention centers on

$$h = h(\Gamma) = \sup k, \quad (1.3)$$

$k$  running over the set for which (1.2) holds. We call  $h$  the Hurwitz constant for  $\Gamma$ . When  $\Gamma = \Gamma(1)$ , the modular group, (1.2) reduces to (1.1) and  $h(\Gamma(1)) = \sqrt{5}$ . A proof of (1.2) when  $\Gamma$  is horocyclic (i.e.,  $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{R}$ , the real axis) was furnished by Rankin [4]; he also found upper and lower bounds for  $h$ . See also [3, pp. 334–5], where the theorem is proved for arbitrary finitely generated  $\Gamma$ .

In the present paper we consider the Hecke groups

$$G_q = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda_q \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

where

$$\lambda_q = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{q}, \quad q = 4, 5, \dots \quad (1.4)$$

These are horocyclic groups. It is our object to evaluate, or at least estimate

$$h(G_q) \equiv h_q. \quad (1.5)$$

The Hecke groups include  $G_3 = \Gamma(1)$ , for which  $h_3 = \sqrt{5}$  as stated above, and

$$G_\infty = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle,$$

a subgroup of  $\Gamma(1)$ , for which  $h_\infty = 2$ , as proved by W. T. Scott [6]—see also [4, p. 289]. We shall therefore confine our attention to  $q \geq 4$ .

*Glasgow Math. J.* **27** (1985) 117–127.

Our results are contained in the following theorems.

THEOREM 1.  $h_{2l} = 2, l = 2, 3, \dots$

THEOREM 2.  $2 \leq h_{2l-1} \leq \left[ \left( \frac{2}{\lambda-1} - \lambda \right)^2 + 4 \right]^{1/2},$

$$\lambda = \lambda_{2l-1}, \quad l = 4, 5, \dots,$$

$$2 \leq h_5 \leq 2.036.$$

**2. The lower bound.** We wish to show first that

$$h_q \geq 2, \quad q \geq 4 \tag{2.1}$$

as proved in [2]. Here we sketch the argument.

From now on we write

$$\lambda = \lambda_q, \quad G = G_q.$$

A fundamental region for  $G_q$  is the triangle

$$R_0 = \{z = x + iy : y > 0, |x| \leq \lambda/2, x^2 + y^2 \geq 1\}. \tag{2.2}$$

This may be regarded as a quadrilateral with  $i$  as one vertex, the sides being labelled 1, 2, 2', 1', starting with the left-hand vertical and proceeding counterclockwise. The sides 1, 1' are conjugate under  $G$ , and likewise 2, 2'. The set of cusps  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $G(\infty)$ , as  $\infty$  is the only cusp in  $R_0$ . The elliptic vertices are  $i, \rho = \exp \pi i/q$ , and  $-\bar{\rho}$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - G(\infty)$ . The vertical line  $L_\alpha = L := \{\alpha + iy, y > 0\}$  crosses infinitely many fundamental regions, say  $R_1, R_2, \dots$  in order. Let  $\mu_i = L \cap R_i$  be the directed segment of  $L$  lying in  $R_i$ . The transformation  $V_i^{-1} \in G$  maps  $R_i$  on  $R_0$  and  $\mu_i$  on the directed arc  $\mu'_i = V_i^{-1}\mu_i$  lying in  $R_0$ .

LEMMA 1. For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - G(\infty)$  there exist infinitely many  $j$ , and corresponding points  $t'_j$  on  $\mu'_j$ , such that

$$\text{Im } t'_j > 1. \tag{2.3}$$

*Proof.* Consider the sequence of  $\mu'_i$ . Each  $\mu'_i$  cuts two sides of  $R_0$ , say  $k_i, k_{i+1}$  in that order. Denote the conjugate of  $k$  by  $k'$  and set  $(k')' = k$ . Then  $\mu'_{i+1}$  cuts  $k'_{i+1}, k_{i+2}$ . Suppose  $k_i, k_{i+1}$  are not consecutive sides of  $R_0$ ; since  $\mu'_i$  (when extended) is orthogonal to  $\mathbb{R}$ , this means  $(k_i, k_{i+1}) = (1, 2'), (2, 1'), (1, 1')$  or their reverses. Then it is clear there is a  $t'_i$  on  $\mu'_i$  satisfying (2.3). Hence if the lemma is untrue we must assume the sequence of  $k$ 's is eventually  $k, l; l', k'; k, l; \dots$ , where  $(k, l) = (1, 2), (2', 1')$ , or their reverses. In the first case, for example, this would mean that infinitely many sides of fundamental regions issue from the elliptic vertex  $-\bar{\rho}$ . This is impossible.

Having proved the lemma, we now write  $t_j = V_j t'_j \in L$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Thus  $t_j = \alpha + iy_j, j > 0$ . Let  $V_j^{-1} = (q'_j, -p'_j; q_j, -p_j)$ . Then

$$\text{Im } t'_j = \frac{y_j}{(q_j \alpha - p_j)^2 + q_j^2 y_j^2} > 1.$$

Since the arithmetic mean is not less than the geometric mean, we get

$$y_j > (q_j\alpha - p_j)^2 + q_j^2 y_j^2 \geq 2 |q_j\alpha - p_j| q_j y_j.$$

Hence

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{p_j}{q_j} \right| < \frac{1}{2q_j^2} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

which implies (2.1).

**3. Approximation by  $\lambda$ -fractions.** One of the standard ways of proving Hurwitz’s theorem (1.1) is to use regular continued fractions. One first shows that if (1.1) is satisfied by any rational number  $P/Q$  in lowest terms, then  $P/Q$  must be a convergent in the expansion of  $\alpha$  as a regular continued fraction. The problem is thus reduced to studying the approximation of  $\alpha$  by its convergents.

We follow a similar route. For a given  $\lambda = \lambda_q, q \geq 4$ , a  $\lambda$ -fraction ( $\lambda$  CF) has been developed by D. Rosen [5]. A  $\lambda$  CF is a finite or infinite continued fraction

$$[r_0\lambda, \varepsilon_1/r_1\lambda, \dots] = r_0\lambda + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{r_1\lambda + \dots}, \tag{3.1}$$

where the integers  $r_i, i \geq 1$ , are positive and  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ . If the fraction is finite, we can write

$$[r_0\lambda, \varepsilon_1/r_1\lambda, \dots, \varepsilon_n/r_n\lambda] = S^{\varepsilon_0} T S^{-\varepsilon_1 r_1} \dots S^{-\varepsilon_n r_n} T(\infty),$$

where  $S = (1, \lambda : 0, 1), T = (0, -1 : 1, 0)$  are the generators of  $G$ . It follows that every finite  $\lambda$  CF has a value that is a cusp of  $G$  (belongs to  $G(\infty)$ ) and conversely. An infinite convergent  $\lambda$  CF, then, converges to a point in  $\mathbb{R} - G(\infty)$ .

In the  $\lambda$  CF (3.1) define

$$\begin{aligned} P_{-1} &= 1, & P_0 &= r_0\lambda, & Q_{-1} &= 0, & Q_0 &= 1, \\ P_n &= r_n\lambda P_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n P_{n-2}, & Q_n &= r_n\lambda Q_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}, & n &\geq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Then we derive the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned} [r_0\lambda, \varepsilon_1/r_1\lambda, \dots, \varepsilon_n/r_n\lambda] &= P_n/Q_n \\ P_n Q_{n-1} - Q_n P_{n-1} &= (-1)^{n-1} \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

If  $\alpha$  is represented by the convergent  $\lambda$  CF (3.1), we have

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n/Q_n.$$

Defining the “tail” of (3.1) by

$$\alpha_n = [r_n\lambda, \varepsilon_{n+1}/r_{n+1}\lambda, \dots] \quad (n \geq 0),$$

we get

$$\alpha = \left[ r_0\lambda, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{r_{n-1}\lambda}, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha_n} \right]. \tag{3.4}$$

Now this is a finite  $\lambda$  CF whose value is  $P_n/Q_n$ . Using (3.2) we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{\alpha_n P_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n P_{n-2}}{\alpha_n Q_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}} \quad (n \geq 1) \tag{3.5}$$

$$\alpha - \frac{P_{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1} \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n}{m_{n-1} Q_{n-1}^2} \tag{3.6}$$

with

$$m_{n-1}(\alpha) \equiv m_{n-1} = \alpha_n + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}/Q_{n-1} \\ = \left[ r_n \lambda, \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{r_{n+1} \lambda}, \dots \right] + \varepsilon_n / \left[ r_{n-1} \lambda, \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{r_{n-2} \lambda}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_2}{r_1 \lambda} \right]. \tag{3.7}$$

Rosen calls (3.1) *reduced* if certain conditions on  $r_i$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  are fulfilled; these will be given later. He establishes the following properties of reduced  $\lambda$  CF.

(3.8) *An infinite reduced  $\lambda$  CF converges.*

(3.9) *Every real number  $\alpha$  can be expanded uniquely by the “nearest integer algorithm” in a reduced  $\lambda$  CF. If the fraction is infinite, it converges to  $\alpha$ .*

(3.10) *In a reduced  $\lambda$  CF we have  $Q_n \geq 1$ ,  $Q_n$  is non-decreasing, and  $Q_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

We denote the expansion of  $\alpha$  in a reduced  $\lambda$  CF by  $\lambda$  CF $\alpha$ .

REMARK. The  $\lambda$  CF is a type of semi-regular continued fraction, but as the above shows, it possesses properties beyond those of the general semi-regular continued fraction.

We are now ready to prove the following result.

THEOREM 3. *For  $P/Q \in G(\infty)$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} - G(\infty)$  let*

$$|\alpha - P/Q| < 1/2Q^2. \tag{3.11}$$

Then  $P/Q$  is a convergent of the reduced  $\lambda$  CF $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Write

$$\alpha - \frac{P}{Q} = \frac{\varepsilon \theta}{Q^2}, \quad 0 < \theta < \frac{1}{2}, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

Expand  $P/Q$  in a reduced  $\lambda$  CF:

$$P/Q = [r_0 \lambda, \varepsilon_1/r_1 \lambda, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-1}/r_{n-1} \lambda]; \tag{3.12}$$

the fraction is finite because  $P/Q \in G(\infty)$ . Call the convergents  $P_i/Q_i$ , so that

$$\frac{P}{Q} = \frac{P_{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}}.$$

Next define  $\omega$  by

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{n-1}\omega + \varepsilon_n P_{n-2}}{Q_{n-1}\omega + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}}, \tag{3.13}$$

where we have introduced  $\varepsilon_n$  by

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_n (-1)^{n-1}.$$

Then using (3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\varepsilon\theta}{Q_{n-1}^2} &= \alpha - \frac{P}{Q} = \frac{P_{n-1}\omega + \varepsilon_n P_{n-2}}{Q_{n-1}\omega + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}} - \frac{P_{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_n \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1} (-1)^{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}(Q_{n-1}\omega + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2})}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\theta = \frac{Q_{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}\omega + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}}.$$

Hence

$$\omega = \frac{Q_{n-1} - \theta \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}}{\theta Q_{n-1}} > 0,$$

because  $Q_{n-1} \geq Q_{n-2}$  by (3.10)— $P_{n-1}/Q_{n-1}$  is a reduced  $\lambda$  CF—and  $0 < \theta < 1/2$ ,  $\varepsilon_n = \pm 1$ .

We expand  $\omega$  in a reduced  $\lambda$  CF

$$\omega = [r_n \lambda, \varepsilon_{n+1}/r_{n+1} \lambda, \dots]. \tag{3.14}$$

Here  $r_n = \{\omega/\lambda\} =$  nearest integer to  $\omega/\lambda$ . Since

$$\omega = \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{\varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}}{Q_{n-1}} > 2 - 1 = 1 > \frac{\lambda}{2},$$

it follows that  $r_n \geq 1$ .

We have two expressions for  $\alpha$ :

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{n-1}\omega + \varepsilon_n P_{n-2}}{Q_{n-1}\omega + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}} = \frac{P_{n-1}\alpha_n + \varepsilon_n P_{n-2}}{Q_{n-1}\alpha_n + \varepsilon_n Q_{n-2}};$$

see (3.13), (3.5). Hence  $\omega = \alpha_n$  and by (3.4), (3.14),

$$\alpha = \left[ r_0 \lambda, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{r_1 \lambda}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{r_{n-1} \lambda}, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\alpha_n} \right] = \left[ r_0 \lambda, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{r_{n-1} \lambda}, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{r_n \lambda}, \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{r_{n+1} \lambda}, \dots \right].$$

It follows from (3.12) that

$$\frac{P}{Q} = \frac{P_{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}} = \left[ r_0 \lambda, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{r_1 \lambda}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}}{r_{n-1} \lambda} \right],$$

and so  $P/Q$  is a convergent of  $\alpha$ .

4. Theorem 3 permits us to confine our considerations to approximations of  $\alpha$  by the convergents of its reduced  $\lambda$  CF. By (3.6) we have

$$\left| \alpha - \frac{P_{n-1}}{Q_{n-1}} \right| = \frac{1}{m_{n-1}Q_{n-1}^2},$$

$m_{n-1} \equiv m_{n-1}(\alpha)$  being given by (3.7). Let

$$M(\alpha) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{n-1}(\alpha); \tag{4.1}$$

then we have

$$h_q = \inf_{\alpha} M(\alpha), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R} - G_q(\infty).$$

In this section we treat the case  $q=2l$ , where  $l \geq 2$ . To prove Theorem 1, it is obviously sufficient to exhibit one  $\alpha$ , say  $\alpha_0$ , for which

$$M(\alpha_0) \leq 2, \tag{4.2}$$

for this would imply  $h_q \leq 2$  and we already know  $h_q \geq 2$  from (2.1).

At this point we introduce the definition of a *reduced*  $\lambda$  CF when  $q = 2l$ . Let

$$s = [(q-3)/2] = l - 2 \geq 0.$$

(4.3) The fraction  $[r_0\lambda, \varepsilon_1/r_1\lambda, \dots]$  is said to be reduced ([5, p. 555]) if the inequality  $r_i\lambda + \varepsilon_{i+1} < 1$  is satisfied for no more than  $s$  consecutive values of  $i$ , say  $i = j, j+1, \dots, j+s-1; j \geq 1$ .

This inequality is equivalent to  $r_i = 1, \varepsilon_{i+1} = -1$ .

We shall define  $\alpha_0$  as a (pure) *periodic*  $\lambda$  CF. The infinite  $\lambda$  CF  $[r_0\lambda, \varepsilon_1/r_1\lambda, \dots]$  is periodic if for some integer  $p \geq 1$  (the period) and  $\nu \geq 0$  we have

$$r_{\nu+p} = r_{\nu}, \quad \varepsilon_{\nu+p+1} = \varepsilon_{\nu+1}. \tag{4.4}$$

We shall write the periodic fraction as

$$\left[ r_0\lambda, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{r_1\lambda}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_{p-1}}{r_{p-1}\lambda}, \frac{\varepsilon_p}{r_0\lambda}, \frac{\varepsilon_1}{r_1\lambda}, \dots \right] = \left[ \overline{r_0\lambda, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_{p-1}}{r_{p-1}\lambda}}; \frac{\varepsilon_p}{r_0\lambda} \right]. \tag{4.5}$$

Note that it is necessary to exhibit the term  $\varepsilon_p/r_0\lambda$ , since (4.4) does not distinguish between  $\varepsilon_p = 1$  and  $\varepsilon_p = -1$ . The tails of a periodic  $\lambda$  CF are also periodic:

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_p = \alpha_{2p} = \dots \tag{4.6}$$

Now consider the periodic  $\lambda$  CF

$$\alpha_0 = \left[ \overline{2\lambda, -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \dots, -\frac{1}{\lambda}}; \frac{-1}{2\lambda} \right] = \alpha_{s+1} = \dots \tag{4.7}$$

of period  $s + 1$ . This fraction satisfies (4.3) and so is reduced. By (3.8) it converges. Along

with  $\alpha_0$  we introduce the reduced  $\lambda$  CF

$$\alpha_1 \equiv \beta_0 = \left[ \lambda, \overline{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}, \dots, -\frac{1}{2\lambda}; -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right] = \beta_{s+1} = \dots, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\alpha_0 = 2\lambda - 1/\beta_0. \tag{4.9}$$

Let

$$\alpha'_n = \left[ r_n\lambda, \frac{\varepsilon_n}{r_{n-1}\lambda}, \dots, \frac{\varepsilon_2}{r_1\lambda} \right], \tag{4.10}$$

so that by (3.7)

$$m_{n-1} = \alpha_n + \varepsilon_n/\alpha'_{n-1}. \tag{4.11}$$

In the present case, with  $p = s + 1$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} m_{np-1} &= \alpha_{np} + \varepsilon_{np}/\alpha'_{np-1} = \alpha_0 - 1/\alpha'_{np-1}, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{np-1} &= \alpha_0 - 1/\beta_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_0 - 2\lambda = 2(\alpha_0 - \lambda), \end{aligned}$$

using (4.9).

We shall prove that

$$\alpha_0 = \lambda + 1, \tag{4.12}$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{np-1} = 2.$$

On the other hand, if  $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,

$$m_{j-1} = \alpha_j - 1/\alpha'_{j-1} < \alpha_j < \lambda < 2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{np-1}.$$

Hence (4.2) follows, and with it, Theorem 1.

It remains to prove (4.12). From (3.5) and (4.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_0 &= \frac{P_s\beta_0 - P_{s-1}}{Q_s\beta_0 - Q_{s-1}}, \\ Q_s\beta_0^2 - (Q_{s-1} + P_s)\beta_0 + P_{s-1} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

$P_i/Q_i$  being the convergents of  $\beta_0$ . The value of  $Q_i$  can be found in [1, p. 7] or is easily checked by induction:

$$Q_i = \frac{\sin(i+1)\pi/q}{\sin \pi/q} \quad (i \leq s-1),$$

so ( $s = l - 2$ )

$$Q_{s-1} = \frac{\sin((l-2)\pi/2l)}{\sin \pi/2l} = \frac{\cos \pi/l}{\sin \pi/2l} \quad (l \geq 2),$$

$$Q_{s-2} = \frac{\sin((l-3)\pi/2l)}{\sin \pi/2l} = \frac{\cos 3\pi/2l}{\sin \pi/2l}.$$

By induction from (3.2),

$$P_i = Q_{i+1} \quad (i \leq s - 2).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P_{s-1} &= \lambda P_{s-2} - P_{s-3} = \lambda Q_{s-1} - Q_{s-2} = Q_s - \lambda Q_{s-1}, \\ P_s &= 2\lambda P_{s-1} - P_{s-2} = 2\lambda(Q_s - \lambda Q_{s-1}) - Q_{s-1} \\ &= 2\lambda Q_s - (2\lambda^2 + 1)Q_{s-1}, \\ Q_{s-1} + P_s &= 2\lambda(Q_s - \lambda Q_{s-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We shall shortly see that  $Q_s \neq 0$ . Substituting these values in (4.3) and dividing by  $Q_s$ , we get

$$\beta_0^2 - 2\lambda \left(1 - \lambda \frac{Q_{s-1}}{Q_s}\right) \beta_0 + 1 - \lambda \frac{Q_{s-1}}{Q_s} = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

Now with  $\zeta = \exp(\pi i/2l)$ ,  $\lambda = \zeta + \zeta^{-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_s = 2\lambda Q_{s-1} - Q_{s-2} &= \frac{2(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})(\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}) - (\zeta^3 + \zeta^{-3})}{2 \sin \pi/2l} \\ &= \frac{\lambda(\lambda^2 - 1)}{2 \sin \pi/2l} \neq 0, \\ \frac{Q_{s-1}}{Q_s} &= \frac{\lambda^2 - 2}{\lambda(\lambda^2 - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.14) becomes

$$\beta_0^2 - \frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1} \beta_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - 1} = 0,$$

the roots of which are  $\beta_0 = 1/(\lambda - 1)$ ,  $1/(\lambda + 1)$ . This gives  $\alpha_0 = \lambda + 1$ ,  $\lambda - 1$ . However, from the definition (4.7),  $\alpha_0 > 2\lambda - 1 > \lambda - 1$ , and so we have proved (4.12). Theorem 1 is now established.

**5.** We turn now to the case  $q$  odd,  $q > 5$ :

$$q = 2l - 1, \quad l \geq 4; \quad s = l - 2.$$

There are additional conditions besides (4.3) in the definition of an infinite reduced  $\lambda$  CF when  $q$  is odd.



(5.1) If  $r_i\lambda + \varepsilon_{i+1} < 1$  for  $i = j, j + 1, \dots, j + s - 1$ , then  $r_{j+s} \geq 2$ .

(5.2) If  $(B(s), -1/2\lambda, -1/B(s))$  occurs in the expansion, the succeeding sign is plus. Here

$$B(n) = \left( \lambda, -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \dots, -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right)$$

with  $n$  partial quotients.

One way to avoid these rather complicated conditions is to use fractions with less than the maximum allowable number of consecutive sequences  $r_i\lambda + \varepsilon_{i+1} < 1$ . For example, let

$$\alpha_0 = \left[ \overline{\lambda, -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \dots, -\frac{1}{\lambda}}; \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n}{Q_n} \tag{5.3}$$

of period  $s$ , in which there are  $s - 1$  consecutive sequences. Then

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha_s = \frac{P_{s-1}\alpha_0 + P_{s-2}}{Q_{s-1}\alpha_0 + Q_{s-2}}.$$

A calculation quite similar to that of Section 4 yields

$$\alpha_0^2 + \left( \lambda - \frac{2}{\lambda - 1} \right) \alpha_0 - 1 = 0, \tag{5.4}$$

where we have used

$$Q_{s-1} = \frac{\sin(s\pi/(2l-1))}{\sin(\pi/(2l-1))} = \frac{\cos(3\pi/2(2l-1))}{\sin(\pi/(2l-1))} \neq 0.$$

Continuing,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_{ns-1} &= \left[ \lambda, -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \dots, -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{\lambda}, -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] \\ &\rightarrow \left[ \overline{\lambda, -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \dots, -\frac{1}{\lambda}}; \frac{1}{\lambda} \right] = \alpha_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$m_{ns-1} \rightarrow \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha_0}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now

$$(\alpha_0 + 1/\alpha_0)^2 = (\alpha_0 - \bar{\alpha}_0)^2 = (\alpha_0 + \bar{\alpha}_0)^2 - 4\alpha_0\bar{\alpha}_0 = \left( \frac{2}{\lambda - 1} - \lambda \right)^2 + 4,$$

$\bar{\alpha}_0$  being the other root of (5.4). So we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{ns-1} = M_q,$$

where

$$M_q = \alpha_0 + \frac{1}{\alpha_0} = \left[ \left( \frac{2}{\lambda - 1} - \lambda \right)^2 + 4 \right]^{1/2}. \quad (5.5)$$

Again, for  $j \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{s}$ ,  $m_{j-1} = \alpha_j - 1/\alpha'_{j-1} < \alpha_j < 2 < M_q$ . For  $j = ns - 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{j-1} &= m_{ns-2} = \alpha_{ns-1} - 1/\alpha'_{ns-2} \\ &= \lambda + \frac{1}{\alpha_s} + \alpha'_{ns-1} - \lambda \rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha_0} + \alpha_0 = M_q. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$h_{2l-1} \leq M(\alpha_0) \leq M_q, \quad (5.6)$$

as asserted in Theorem 2. For example,  $M_7 = 2 \cdot 12$ . We observe that  $M_q \rightarrow 2$  as  $q \rightarrow \infty$ .

The final case,  $q=5(l=3)$ , can be treated in the same way, the result being  $M_5 = 2 \cdot 57$ . We can get a better result, however, by taking

$$\alpha_0 = \left[ \lambda, -\frac{1}{2\lambda}, -\frac{1}{2\lambda}; -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right]$$

of period 3, which is reduced. The equation for  $\alpha_0$  is

$$\alpha_0^2 - \lambda\alpha_0 + \frac{2\lambda - 1}{5} = 0, \quad (5.7)$$

where we have replaced the rational functions of  $\lambda$  that occur by polynomials, using  $\lambda^2 - \lambda - 1 = 0$ ,  $\lambda = \lambda_5 = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ . The roots of (5.7) are (1.264, 0.354),  $\alpha_0$  being equal to the larger one. Now

$$\begin{aligned} m_{3n} &= \alpha_{3n+1} - \frac{1}{\alpha'_{3n}} = \alpha_1 - \frac{1}{\alpha_0} = \frac{1}{\lambda - \alpha_0} - \frac{1}{\alpha_0}, \\ m_{3n+1} &= \alpha_{3n+2} - \frac{1}{\alpha'_{3n+1}} \rightarrow \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{\alpha_2}, \alpha_2 = 2\lambda - \frac{1}{\alpha_0}, \\ m_{3n+2} &= \alpha_{3n+3} - \frac{1}{\alpha'_{3n+2}} \rightarrow \alpha_0 - \frac{1}{\alpha_1} = 2\alpha_0 - \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Calculation shows that the largest of the right members is

$$\lim m_{3n+1} \approx 2 \cdot 036,$$

which gives the upper bound for  $h_5$  in Theorem 2.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Guillet et M. Aubert, *Propriétés des Polynômes Electrosphériques*, Memorial des Sciences Mathématiques, Fasc. 107.

2. J. Lehner. A diophantine property of Fuchsian groups, *Pacific J. Math.* **2** (1952), 327–333.
3. J. Lehner. *Discontinuous groups and automorphic functions*, Surveys No. 8 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1964).
4. R. A. Rankin. Diophantine approximation and horocyclic groups, *Canad. J. Math.* **9** (1957), 277–290.
5. D. Rosen. A class of continued fractions associated with certain properly discontinuous groups, *Duke Math. J.* **21** (1954), 549–562.
6. W. J. Scott. Approximation to real irrationals by certain classes of rational fractions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **46** (1940), 124–129.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY  
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540  
U.S.A.