

ON FUNCTIONS AND EQUATIONS IN DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES

by SERGIU RUDEANU
(Received 15th July 1967)

Summary. In [1], R. L. Goodstein has extended some well-known theorems on functions and equations in a Boolean algebra to the case of a distributive lattice L with 0 and 1. The purpose of this paper is to prove that most of Goodstein's theorems, as well as some additional results, are still valid in the case when L is not required to have least and greatest elements.

Throughout this paper, we shall always assume that $\langle L, \cup, \cdot \rangle$ is a distributive lattice.

The definition of a lattice function of n variables is as follows:

1. The elements $a, b, c, \dots, A, B, C, \dots$ of L are lattice functions.
2. The functions ε_i , defined by

$$\varepsilon_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in L \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (1)$$

are lattice functions.

3. If $f, g: L^n \rightarrow L$ are lattice functions, then the functions $f \cup g$ and fg , defined by

$$(f \cup g)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cup g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in L, \quad (2)$$

$$(fg)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in L, \quad (3)$$

are lattice functions.

Lemma 1. *The inequality*

$$a \cup bx \leq c \cup dx \quad (4)$$

is equivalent to the following system of inequalities:

$$a \leq c \cup d, \quad (5)$$

$$bx \leq c \cup d, \quad (6)$$

$$a \leq c \cup x. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Since $c \cup dx = (c \cup d)(c \cup x)$, the inequality (4) is equivalent to the system consisting of (5), (6), (7) and $bx \leq c \cup x$; but the last inequality is identically satisfied.

E.M.S.—D

Lemma 2. *The inequality (4) is identically satisfied if and only if*

$$a \leq c \tag{8}$$

and

$$b \leq c \cup d. \tag{9}$$

Proof. If (4) is identically satisfied so are the relations (6) and (7). Taking $x = b$ in (6) and $x = c$ in (7), we get (9) and (8), respectively. Conversely, the relations (8) and (9) imply that $a \cup bx \leq c \cup (c \cup d)x = c \cup dx$ for all $x \in L$.

Lemma 3. *The equation*

$$a \cup bx = c \cup dx \tag{10}$$

is identically satisfied if and only if

$$a = c \tag{11}$$

and

$$a \cup b = c \cup d. \tag{12}$$

Proof. The identity (10) holds if and only if $a \leq c$, $b \leq c \cup d$, $c \leq a$, $d \leq a \cup b$, by Lemma 2. These inequalities imply, in turn, $a = c$ and

$$a \cup b = c \cup b \leq c \cup d = a \cup d \leq a \cup b.$$

Conversely, (11) and (12) imply

$$a \cup bx = a \cup (a \cup b)x = c \cup (c \cup d)x = c \cup dx.$$

We come now to the study of lattice functions. It was proved in [1] that every lattice function can be written in the form $f(x) = A \cup Bx$, where $A \leq B$. Let $g(x) = C \cup Dx$, where $C \leq D$, be another lattice function. Lemma 2 shows that the inequality $f(x) \leq g(x)$ is identically satisfied if and only if $A \leq C$ and $B \leq D$. Hence $f = g$ if and only if $A = C$ and $B = D$.

For every $n+1$ elements $a, x_1, \dots, x_n \in L$ and for every n indices $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ equal to 0 or 1, let us put

$$ax_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} = \begin{cases} ax_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} & \text{if } \alpha_{i_1} = \dots = \alpha_{i_m} = 1, \text{ the other } \alpha_j = 0; \\ a, & \text{if all } \alpha_j = 0. \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

The above results can be generalized as follows.

Theorem 1. *Every lattice function $f:L^n \rightarrow L$ can uniquely be written in the canonical form*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} F(i_1, \dots, i_n)x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}, \tag{14}$$

where $F(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ are elements of L such that

$$i_1 \leq j_1, \dots, i_n \leq j_n \text{ imply } F(i_1, \dots, i_n) \leq F(j_1, \dots, j_n). \tag{15}$$

Theorem 2. *Let (14) and*

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} G(i_1, \dots, i_n)x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \tag{16}$$

be the canonical forms of the functions f and g . The inequality $f \leq g$, that is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad \forall x_1, \dots, x_n \in L \tag{17}$$

holds if and only if

$$F(i_1, \dots, i_n) \leq G(i_1, \dots, i_n) \quad \forall i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0, 1\}. \tag{18}$$

Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. For $n = 1$, the theorems were proved before. The next step of the inductive proof is carried out as follows.

The function f can be written in the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f'(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \cup f''(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n, \tag{19}$$

where f' and f'' are lattice functions satisfying the identity

$$f'(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \leq f''(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}). \tag{20}$$

In view of the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$F'(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \leq F''(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \quad \forall i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}, \tag{21}$$

where F' and F'' are the coefficients of the canonical forms of the functions f' and f'' , respectively.

It follows that the function f can be written in the form (14), with

$$F(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 0) = F'(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1})$$

and

$$F(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}, 1) = F''(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}).$$

By the inductive hypothesis, both F' and F'' have the property (15); taking into account (21), we see that the constants F have the property (15) too.

Furthermore, let $g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g'(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \cup g''(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})x_n$, where $g' \leq g''$, be another lattice function. The inequality $f \leq g$ holds identically if and only if the inequalities $f' \leq g'$ and $f'' \leq g''$ hold identically, i.e. if and only if

$$F'(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \leq G'(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \text{ and } F''(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \leq G''(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \\ \forall i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}. \text{ This means that the relation (17) is equivalent to (18).}$$

Hence we deduce the uniqueness of the representation (14), which we state separately, thus completing the proof:

Corollary 1. *The identity $f = g$ holds if and only if*

$$F(i_1, \dots, i_n) = G(i_1, \dots, i_n) \quad \forall i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0, 1\}, \tag{22}$$

where F and G are the coefficients occurring in the canonical forms of the functions f and g , respectively.

Theorem 2 and Corollary 1 generalize the so-called “ verification theorem ” due to Löwenheim [4]. Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 below are also generalizations of a well-known result on Boolean functions.

Let us now determine the canonical forms of the functions $f \cup g$ and fg , defined by (2) and (3), respectively.

Theorem 3. *Let (14) and (16) be the canonical forms of the functions f and g , respectively. Then*

$$(f \cup g)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} [F(i_1, \dots, i_n) \cup G(i_1, \dots, i_n)]x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \tag{23}$$

and

$$(fg)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} F(i_1, \dots, i_n)G(i_1, \dots, i_n)x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n} \tag{24}$$

are the canonical forms of the functions $f \cup g$ and fg , respectively.

Proof. Relation (23) results immediately from (14) and (16). Since $ax^i x^j = ax^{i \cup j}$, it follows also that

$$(fg)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \left[\bigcup_{j \cup k = i} F(j_1, \dots, j_n)G(k_1, \dots, k_n) \right] x_1^{i_1} \dots x_n^{i_n}, \tag{25}$$

where $\bigcup_{j \cup k = i}$ means that the join is extended over those indices

$$j_1, \dots, j_n, i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{0, 1\} \text{ which satisfy } j_1 \cup k_1 = i_1, \dots, j_n \cup k_n = i_n.$$

Since both F and G have the property (15), it follows that

$$\bigcup_{j \cup k = i} F(j_1, \dots, j_n)G(k_1, \dots, k_n) = F(i_1, \dots, i_n)G(i_1, \dots, i_n) \tag{26}$$

and hence (25) reduces to (24).

Since the constants $F \cup G$, as well as the constants FG , have obviously the property (15), it follows that (23) and (24) are actually the canonical forms of $f \cup g$ and fg , respectively.

The above theorems can be applied to the study of lattice equations.

As was remarked in [1], any equation $A = B$ is equivalent to the inequality $A \cup B \leq AB$. Hence we shall focus our attention on inequalities of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq g(x_1, \dots, x_n). \tag{27}$$

We begin with the following

Lemma 4. *The inequality*

$$f(x) \leq g(x) \tag{28}$$

is solvable if and only if the relation

$$F(0) \leq G(1) \tag{29}$$

holds. If this condition is fulfilled, then an element $x \in L$ is a solution of (28) if and only if

$$F(1)x \leq G(1) \tag{30}$$

and

$$F(0) \leq G(0) \cup x. \tag{31}$$

Proof. The result follows immediately from Lemma 1 and Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. *If x' and x'' satisfy the inequality (28) and $x \in [x', x'']$, i.e.*

$$x'x'' \leq x \leq x' \cup x'', \tag{32}$$

then x is also a solution of (28).

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4 that $F(1)x' \leq G(1)$ and $F(1)x'' \leq G(1)$; hence $F(1)x \leq F(1)(x' \cup x'') \leq G(1)$. The inequality (31) is proved similarly. Therefore x satisfies (28), again by Lemma 4.

Let us now associate with each lattice function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, the lattice functions

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_m; i_{m+1}, \dots, i_n) = \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_m} F(i_1, \dots, i_m, i_{m+1}, \dots, i_n)x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m}. \quad (33)$$

Theorem 4. *The inequality (27) is solvable if and only if relation*

$$F(0, \dots, 0) \leq G(1, \dots, 1) \quad (34)$$

holds. If this condition is fulfilled, then a vector $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L^n$ is a solution of (27) if and only if it satisfies the relations

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \leq G(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0, 1, \dots, 1) \cup x_k \quad (35)$$

and

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0)x_k \leq G(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \quad (36)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. For $n = 1$, Theorem 4 reduces to Lemma 4. The proof is easily completed by induction.

Corollary 3. *If the condition (34) is fulfilled, then every vector $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in L^n$ satisfying*

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \leq x_k \leq G(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 1, 1, \dots, 1) \quad (37)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is a solution of (27).

Theorem 4 can be specialized in the case when the lattice L is *biresiduated*, i.e. when it is residuated with respect to the meet and join operations. In other words, this means that for every two elements $a, b \in L$, there exists an element $a:b \in L$ and an element $a::b \in L$ such that $bx \leq a$ if and only if $x \leq a:b$, and $a \leq b \cup x$ if and only if $a::b \leq x$. Boolean algebras and totally ordered sets with 0 and 1 are examples of biresiduated lattices; the Cartesian product $L_1 \times L_2$ of two biresiduated lattices L_1 and L_2 is also biresiduated.

Theorem 5. *Assume the lattice L is biresiduated. If the condition (34) is fulfilled, then the solutions of the inequality (27) are given by*

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0, 0, \dots, 0) :: G(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 0, 1, \dots, 1) \leq x_k \leq G(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 1, 1, \dots, 1) : F(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \quad (38)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. The result follows immediately from Theorem 4.

Theorem 5 generalizes a result proved by M. Gotō [2] for the two-element Boolean algebra and by the present author [5], [6] for arbitrary Boolean algebras; see also V. N. Grebenščikov [3].

The next theorem refers again to the general case of an arbitrary distributive lattice; it generalizes a theorem on Boolean functions which goes back to A. N. Whitehead [7].

Theorem 6. *Every lattice function $f:L^n \rightarrow L$ maps L^n onto the interval*

$$[F(0, \dots, 0), F(1, \dots, 1)].$$

Proof (essentially given in [1]). Let c be an element satisfying

$$F(0, \dots, 0) \leq c \leq G(1, \dots, 1); \quad (39)$$

we have to prove that the equation $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = c$, which is equivalent to the inequality

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \cup c \leq f(x_1, \dots, x_n)c, \quad (40)$$

is solvable. Taking into account Theorem 3, we see that the condition (34) for the inequality (40) becomes $F(0, \dots, 0) \cup c \leq F(1, \dots, 1)c$ and it is satisfied, because (39) implies that $F(0, \dots, 0) \cup c = c = F(1, \dots, 1)c$.

Conversely, it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$F(0, \dots, 0) \leq f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq \bigcup_{i_1, \dots, i_n} F(i_1, \dots, i_n) = F(1, \dots, 1).$$

Assume now that the lattice L has least and greatest elements and denote them by 0 and 1, respectively. Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem *D* of [1], we see that the coefficients $F(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ occurring in the canonical form of a lattice function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are simply $F(i_1, \dots, i_n) = f(i_1, \dots, i_n)$. Hence the theorems proved in Sections 1-2 of [1] are particular cases of our results.

REFERENCES

- (1) R. L. GOODSTEIN, The Solution of Equations in a Lattice, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*, Sect. A, **67** (1966/67), 231-242.
- (2) M. GOTŌ, On the General Solution of a Logical Algebraic Equation with Many Unknowns (in Japanese), *Bull. Electr. Lab.* **20** (1956), 81-87.
- (3) V. N. GREBENŠČIKOV, Coalitions of Systems of Equations of a Boolean Algebra and their Solution (in Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **141** (1961), 1317-1319.
- (4) L. LÖWENHEIM, Über die Auflösung von Gleichungen im logischen Gebietkalkul. *Math. Ann.* **68** (1910), 169-207.
- (5) S. RUDEANU, Boolean Equations and their Applications to the Study of Bridge Circuits. II. (in Romanian). *Com. Acad. R.P. Romine* **12** (1961), 611-618.
- (6) S. RUDEANU, Remarks on Motinori Gotō's Papers on Boolean Equations, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **10** (1965), 311-317.
- (7) A. N. WHITEHEAD, *A Treatise on Universal Algebra, With Applications* (Cambridge, 1898).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
EMINESCU STR. 47,
BUCHAREST 9, RUMANIA