

Systematic Review

Cite this article: Tsouloufi TK, Donnison LM, Smyth KL, Peters AR (2020). Development of a systematic mapping review protocol for the most recent evidence on ruminant infectious disease frequency and disease-associated mortality: Ethiopia as a case study. *Animal Health Research Reviews* **21**, 96–102. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1466252319000203>

Received: 14 May 2019

Revised: 9 October 2019

Accepted: 20 November 2019

First published online: 7 September 2020

Key words:

Cattle; infectious diseases; morbidity; small ruminants; sub-Saharan Africa; systematic map

Author for correspondence:

Theodora K. Tsouloufi,
Supporting Evidence Based Interventions, the
Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, the
University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush,
Midlothian, EH25 9RG, UK.
E-mail: theodora.tsouloufi@ed.ac.uk

Development of a systematic mapping review protocol for the most recent evidence on ruminant infectious disease frequency and disease-associated mortality: Ethiopia as a case study

Theodora K. Tsouloufi , Louise M. Donnison, Karen L. Smyth
and Andrew R. Peters

Supporting Evidence Based Interventions, the Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, the University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush, Midlothian, EH25 9RG, UK

Abstract

Almost 19% of the GDP of Ethiopia results from livestock production. Ruminants, in particular, form the majority of the national herd and are a critical source of income for smallholder farmers. Infectious diseases have been identified as a major cause of reduced livestock productivity in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs); therefore, a sound and comprehensive understanding of the relevant evidence would be beneficial in order to enable decision making on disease control policies. However, livestock disease data from sub-Saharan Africa is variable and disparate, which poses a challenge for evidence synthesis. This paper describes a protocol for a systematic mapping review of the recent available evidence on ruminant disease prevalence and associated mortality in Ethiopia. Literature sources will be identified using database search strategies. The titles, abstracts and, subsequently, full texts will be screened for inclusion based on predefined eligibility criteria. Specific data will be extracted and a preliminary qualitative assessment of the evidence will be performed using predefined indicators. The planned systematic map will be the first to provide a large-scale overview of the available ruminant disease evidence in Ethiopia; the final output will be an interactive dashboard tool to inform critical stakeholders in policy and research.

Introduction

Rationale

Ethiopia has the largest livestock population in Africa and the fifth largest cattle population in the world (Central Statistical Agency, 2017a, 2017b). Ethiopia's livestock subsector accounts for around 19% of the national GDP, representing a key contribution to the country's economy (Adem, 2019). Ruminants account for 80% of the national herd and contribute significantly to poverty reduction, with small ruminants playing a key role in improving the income status of female smallholder farmers (Shapiro *et al.*, 2017). However, current evidence suggests that ruminant production in Ethiopia exhibits constantly low productivity and profitability rates (Shapiro *et al.*, 2017). For example, between the years 2005 and 2015, the average mortality for cattle amounted to 7%, whilst for small ruminants it was 20% (Central Statistical Agency, 2017a, 2017b). Infectious diseases are a recognized and highly ranked cause of compromised livestock productivity in the country. In 2011, the economic impact of disease-associated losses was estimated to be US\$ 150 million (Berhanu, 2002). On these grounds, it is unsurprising that disease-associated livestock morbidity and mortality are currently a highly relevant topic for international policy and research.

Systematic evidence synthesis methodologies are well developed in the fields of medicine and social sciences, as can be seen from an increasing number of published reviews. These methodologies include a rigorous, objective, and transparent process to gather and collate evidence aiming to minimize biases (Campbell Collaboration, 2015; James *et al.*, 2016). Systematic reviews are designed to answer specific questions and often combine data from multiple studies to produce a single quantitative result using meta-analyses. However, there are instances where the poor quality of primary studies or the breadth of information covered does not allow for a systematic review synthesis and therefore, alternative methodologies have to be used. Systematic mapping is an evidence synthesis methodology that was first developed in social sciences and has been gradually adopted by other fields such as healthcare and environmental sciences, in order to identify potential research gaps to guide further primary research or subsets of evidence suitable for systematic reviews. Systematic mapping reviews

© The Author(s), 2020. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

share the rigorous approach of a systematic review, by aiming to provide a broad overview of a research area but without involving an evidence synthesis component or meta-analysis (Dicks *et al.*, 2014). It should be noted that in contrast to systematic reviews, evidence quality is optionally assessed in the context of a systematic map (Haddaway and Pullin, 2014). This methodology informs policy makers, researchers, and practitioners of the best available evidence; hence, evidence-based decision-making is promoted and a more effective allocation of resources and prioritization of interventions is thus enabled (Bates *et al.*, 2007). A variety of terms, including *evidence map*, *scoping review* and *systematic map*, are currently widely used under the evidence mapping review methodology (Grant and Booth, 2009; Gough *et al.*, 2019). A number of scoping reviews has been published in the field of veterinary science and healthcare (Pham *et al.*, 2014; Wadell *et al.*, 2016; Rose *et al.*, 2017). However, there is currently a dearth of scoping reviews in the area of tropical veterinary health.

According to Alonso *et al.* (2016), 25–40% of the published literature on specific livestock diseases in sub-Saharan Africa was of poor quality and difficult to summarize due to the diversity in study designs. Specifically, a multi-stakeholder interest in Ethiopia's livestock subsector has generated a considerable number of research initiatives in recent years, resulting in an increasing volume of evidence, which is of variable quality. Improvements in animal health data visibility and quality have been identified as key actions to increase livestock productivity in LMICs. Therefore, the application of systematic map methodology could be a valuable policy tool for LMICs, as disparate evidence poses a challenge for evidence-based decision-making.

Considering the above, the aim of the present protocol is to document the methodology that will be followed for the reviewing and mapping of the recently available evidence on ruminant infectious disease frequency and disease-associated mortality in Ethiopia. The systematic map will be the first large-scale overview of ruminant infectious disease frequency and mortality in Ethiopia and will provide a much-needed insight into the main diseases that affect livestock productivity in this country. The protocol also details the features of an interactive dashboard tool, which will be the final output and will provide a user-friendly web interface to make the evidence accessible for interested stakeholders.

Objectives

The main objectives of the systematic mapping review are: (a) to offer a broad overview of the recent available evidence on ruminant infectious disease frequency and disease-associated mortality in Ethiopia and (b) to identify any current knowledge clusters and gaps in this field. This map aims to provide an evidence base to inform livestock policy or research decisions in the Ethiopian livestock subsector and to highlight any potential need for primary research in specific knowledge areas.

Methods

Considering the lack of guidelines or standards for systematic maps in the field of Veterinary Medicine, the present protocol has been designed and written in accordance with the respective guidelines proposed by the Collaboration for Environmental Evidence (CEE) (CEE, 2018) and the PRISMA-P statement (Moher *et al.*, 2015). These guidelines were applied with slight modifications, in order to fit better in the veterinary context. It

should be noted that the CEE Evidence Syntheses guidelines and standards have been developed based on established methodologies in health sciences and have been tested through practice (Higgins and Green, 2009).

Review research questions

The primary research question that formed the basis for the systematic map was:

- What is the most recent available evidence on ruminant infectious disease frequency and disease-associated mortality in Ethiopia?

A set of secondary research questions were formulated following the Population, Outcome (PO) scheme, in order to guide the literature searches and the data extraction. All infectious diseases that are currently known to affect animal productivity, including those that cause mortality or fertility losses, and are known to be endemic or emerging in Ethiopia will be considered for the review. The formulated questions, in particular for the ruminant population owned by smallholder farmers (i.e. cattle, sheep, and goats) in Ethiopia, are:

- What is the current state and distribution of evidence on naturally occurring, production-limiting, infectious diseases?
- What is the incidence and/or prevalence of naturally occurring, production-limiting, infectious diseases?
- What is the mortality associated with naturally occurring, production-limiting, infectious diseases?

The key elements for the research questions are presented in Table 1 in reference to the PO scheme.

Disease selection

In order to follow a standardized approach for the literature searches, a disease reference list was produced based on a well-established textbook in the field of Tropical Veterinary Medicine (Seifert, 1996) and on expert opinions (ARP, TKT). The diseases that will be eventually included in the searches are presented in Table 2. East Coast Fever, Rift Valley fever, and Nairobi Sheep Disease were purposely excluded from the searches, as confirmed cases have not so far been reported in Ethiopia (Seyoum and Teshome, 2017). Abattoir data will also be sourced for specific diseases, which are diagnosed post mortem. All reported pathogen co-infections will be recorded separately.

Eligibility criteria

Studies that report on the incidence and/or prevalence or mortality of the aforementioned diseases affecting Ethiopian ruminants (cattle, sheep or goats) will be considered for inclusion, following the PO structure of the research questions as discussed above. As the systematic map will focus on natural infections, only observational studies with random or non-random sampling methodology will be considered for inclusion. Thus, clinical trials and other types of experimental studies (i.e. all *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies, which do not present cases of natural infections), as well as case series or case-control studies will be excluded. Additional exclusion criteria will apply for preliminary or pilot studies, studies that report on aggregated livestock data, as well

Table 1. Key elements of the research questions as presented in the PO acronym

PO scheme	Question elements
Population	Ruminants (cattle, sheep, and goats) owned by Ethiopian smallholders
Outcome	Distribution of evidence, disease incidence/prevalence, disease-associated mortality

Table 2. List of production-limiting, infectious diseases that affect ruminants in Ethiopia [Tropical Veterinary Medicine (Seifert HSH, Tropical Animal Health, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996) and experts' opinion (ARP, TKT)]

List of ruminant infectious diseases in Ethiopia
Anthrax
Babesiosis
Blackleg
Bluetongue
Bovine anaplasmosis
Bovine genital campylobacteriosis
Bovine spongiform encephalopathy
Bovine tuberculosis
Bovine viral diarrhoea (BVD)
Brucellosis
Caseous lymphadenitis
Chlamydiosis
Coenurosis
Contagious agalactia
Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP)
Contagious caprine pleuropneumonia (CCPP)
Contagious ecthyma (Orf disease)
Cowdriosis
Cryptosporidiosis
Cysticercosis
Dermatophilosis
Dermatophytosis
Echinococcosis
Enterotoxaemia
Enzootic bovine leukosis
Fasciolosis
Foot and mouth disease (FMD)
Haemorrhagic septicaemia
Infectious bovine rhinotracheitis/infectious pustular vulvovaginitis (IBR/IPV)
Infectious necrotic hepatitis
Infestation with ticks, fleas, lice, mange, mites
Leptospirosis
Listeriosis

*(Continued)***Table 2.** *(Continued.)*

List of ruminant infectious diseases in Ethiopia
Lumpy skin disease
Malignant catarrhal fever
Nematodiasis
Neosporosis
Ovine epididymitis
Paratuberculosis
Pasteurellosis
Peste des petits ruminants (PPR)
Q fever (Coxiellosis)
Rabies
Salmonellosis
Schmallenberg
Scrapie
Sheep and goat pox
Small ruminant lentivirus infections (Caprine arthritis/encephalitis, Maedi-Visna)
Small ruminant tuberculosis
Theileriosis
Toxoplasmosis
Trichomonosis
Trypanosomosis

as studies that cover non-infectious diseases or other conditions (e.g. drought), which can potentially affect animal productivity or cause mortality. Studies that report on other causes of ruminant mortality other than infectious diseases will also be excluded. If there are more than one report of a single study's results, the preferred piece of evidence for inclusion will adhere to the following hierarchy: (1) peer-reviewed publication, (2) peer-reviewed conference abstract, (3) non-peer-reviewed publication, (4) report, and (5) non-peer-reviewed conference abstract. Finally, the systematic literature reviews and meta-analysis studies will be considered for inclusion, provided that the data from the original studies have been made available.

Similar inclusion criteria will apply to searches on grey literature (i.e. published or unpublished pieces of evidence not subject to a peer-review process). In order to assess the consistency of the interpretation of these eligibility criteria between reviewers, the application of these criteria will be piloted in a random 5% subset of studies. In the event of a discrepancy, discussion will be initiated until a satisfactory level of agreement is reached or alternatively, a third reviewer will be assigned to facilitate consensus.

Searches will include studies published from 1 January 2010 onwards, as only recent evidence is intended to be presented. Due to time and resource constraints, only papers written exclusively in the English language will be considered for inclusion in the systematic map; we acknowledge this as a limitation of the present approach, as pieces of evidence written in Amharic, the official working language in Ethiopia, will be omitted. However, although this is expected to affect a small subset of studies, it can be a point for further consideration in future iterations.

Preliminary scoping exercise

A preliminary scoping literature search was performed in September 2018 using Google Scholar, in order to gauge the volume of the available evidence, to identify common study designs, to test search terms and develop search strings, as well as to formulate the research questions. Two reviewers performed the scoping exercise using the predefined eligibility criteria and then the search terms were re-formatted and re-trialed until a consensus was achieved.

Information sources

A variety of electronic databases will be searched, in order to comprehensively cover the relevant published and grey literature. For published literature, the databases MEDLINE, Google Scholar (first 500), Scopus (Elsevier), CAB direct, and Web of Science (Thomson Reuters) will be searched, as these have been reported to cover the most of the veterinary literature (Grindlay *et al.*, 2012). In order to address the geographical context of the systematic map, the database African Journals Online, which includes the abstracts from more than 200 African journals and links to the full text of more than 80 titles, will also be searched (Rosenberg, 2005). For reasons of comprehensiveness, forwards and backwards citation tracking will be performed for each full-text, research paper included, in order to identify additional publications that will not have been retrieved during the initial searches.

Concerning grey literature, searches will include the research output repositories hosted by specialist institutions. This includes the International Livestock Research Institute (<https://www.ilri.org/>), the Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) (<https://www.cgiar.org/>), the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO, <http://www.fao.org>), the United States Agency for International Development (USAID, <https://www.usaid.gov/>), and World Organization for Animal Health (OIE, <http://www.oie.int/>). The selection of these institutions was based on their long-standing association with the Ethiopian livestock subsector. Additionally, the electronic repository of the Addis Ababa University (<http://etd.aau.edu.et/>) will be searched, as well as the databases Networked Digital Library of Theses and Dissertations, GrayLit network and Google Scholar; the latter have previously been identified as comprehensive sources for grey literature (Haddaway *et al.*, 2015).

Search strategy

Search strings in the form of (Population) AND Ethiopia AND (Outcome) (as termed in Table 1) have been developed according to the results of the preliminary scoping exercise and the suggestions made by specialist librarians within the University of Edinburgh (Supplement 1). The aforementioned search strings will be adapted for each database searched, in order to maximize the results retrieved.

Study records

Data management

All the references (titles, abstracts, and full-texts) identified through the searches will be managed with the online reference manager software Endnote (version X8.0.1 for Windows, Thomson Reuters, New York, USA). A separate EndNote file will initially be

created for each database searched; all the files will then be merged into a single file and duplicates will automatically be removed. For each search conducted, the search term, number of hits, database, and search date will be recorded to enable a rerun of each search.

Study screening and selection process

The study screening process will be conducted in two stages, according to the CEE guidelines (CEE, 2018) and by applying the defined eligibility criteria. In the first stage, all titles and abstracts will be prospectively evaluated by two independent reviewers (TKT, LMD) in terms of their relevance to the research questions. Studies, where the title and abstracts meet the eligibility criteria, will be evaluated in their full length for inclusion. At the beginning of each stage, the agreement between the two reviewers will be assessed in a random 5% subset of papers with the use of the κ statistic and in order to ensure consistency and common understanding of the eligibility criteria. If κ values indicate less than substantial agreement between the reviewers ($\kappa < 0.5$), the discrepancies will be resolved in discussion until consensus is reached; if consensus is not reached, a third senior reviewer will be assigned to resolve the discrepancies.

Data extraction process and items

From each study considered for inclusion in the systematic map, two reviewers will independently extract selected quantitative and qualitative data (meta-data) into spreadsheet forms. Each spreadsheet will be populated with specific item categories and will represent a studied disease. The data extraction tool will include items such as the year of publication, the year that the study was conducted, the state, area, ecosystem and production system of the study, the study design the total sample size used, the studied ruminant species and their ages, the type of sample and the diagnostic method used, as well as the case definition and the statistical method used. In addition, data on herd prevalence/incidence, individual prevalence/incidence, and mortality will also be extracted. Although the reporting of results does not normally fall into the scope of a systematic map, this information will be used to explore the recorded ranges. A specific coding strategy will be followed for the above data items, in order to ensure the consistency in data extraction and to facilitate data harmonization (Table 3). Primary data made available from published systematic reviews and meta-analyses will be separately extracted and will subsequently be added to the main data. The data extraction tool will be first piloted between the reviewers in a random sample of 10 included studies, in order to ensure consistency in extraction and any discrepancies will be resolved either by consensus or a third senior reviewer.

Study quality assessment

As previously mentioned, quality assessment is not typically a part of a systematic mapping review; however, as study quality is an important criterion in stakeholder decision-making, a preliminary, semi-quantitative assessment will be carried out based on defined criteria. In systematic reviews, quality criteria checklists are generally applied according to the study design (e.g. separate checklists for randomized control trials, cohort studies, prevalence studies, etc.). For the purposes of this systematic mapping review, the quality indicators proposed by The Joanna Briggs Institute Prevalence Critical Appraisal Tool (Munn *et al.*, 2014, Table 4), which are

Table 3. Data extraction tool with coding categories to be used in the systematic map.

Category	Description	Coding
Study characteristics		
REFERENCE	First author's name	Free text
YEAR_PUBLICATION	Year that study was published	Number
YEAR_DATA_OBTAINED	Year that study was conducted	Number
START_DATE	Year that study started	Number
END_DATE	Year that study ended	Number
STATE	State of Ethiopia that study was conducted	Addis Ababa
		Afar
		Amhara
		Benishangul-Gumuz
		Dire Dawa
		Gambela
		Harari
		Oromia
		Somali
		Southern Nations, Nationalities and People's Region
Tigray		
AREA	Area of state that study was conducted	Free text
ECOSYSTEM	Ecosystem that study was conducted	Highland
		Midland
		Lowland
PRODUCTION_SYSTEM	Production system that animals under study were reared	Peri-urban
		Mixed crop
		Pastoralist
STUDY_DESIGN	Study design used	Free text
SAMPLING_METHOD	Method used for selecting the study subjects	Randomized
		Non-randomized
SAMPLE_SIZE	Number of subjects included in the study	Number
SAMPLE_TYPE	Type of sample used	Free text
DIAGNOSTIC_TEST	Diagnostic test used	Free text
CASE_DEFINITION	The definition of disease cases according to the authors	Free text

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued.)

Category	Description	Coding
STATISTICAL_ANALYSIS	Statistical method used to analyse the research data	Free text
Population characteristics		
SPECIES	Species studied	Cattle
		Goats
		Sheep
		Small ruminants
AGE	Age in years of animals studied	Number
Outcome characteristics		
HERD_PREVALENCE	Proportion (percentage) of herds tested positive for the disease to herds sampled	Number
INDIVIDUAL_PREVALENCE	Proportion (percentage) of animals tested positive for the disease to animals sampled	Number
HERD_INCIDENCE	Incidence rate/risk of herds tested positive for the disease	Number
INDIVIDUAL_INCIDENCE	Incidence rate/risk of animals tested positive for the disease	Number
HERD_MORTALITY	Mortality rate/risk of herds with animals dying due to disease	Number
INDIVIDUAL_MORTALITY	Mortality rate/risk of animals dying due to disease	Number

tailored to prevalent studies, were considered appropriate. These quality criteria address issues around sample size, statistical analysis, and reliable case diagnosis, and, because they are generic, they can be applied to studies with various scopes. Disease cases were defined as 'confirmed', 'suspected', or 'inconclusive', based on the diagnostic test used (Supplementary 2, World Organization for Animal Health, 2012). Slight topic-specific modifications were made where necessary, and a numerical scale will be introduced for the respective answer options at a later stage, in order to enhance the objectivity of the assessment. Studies will eventually be ranked on a three-point scale of 'high', 'moderate', or 'low' quality, based on the sums of score. The quality assessment form will first be piloted between the reviewers (TKT, LMD) in a random 5% subset of studies, in order to ensure the clarity and the consistency in assessment. Discrepancies will be resolved by consensus or a third

Table 4. Quality criteria to be employed for the assessment of the studies (modified from The Joanna Briggs Institute Prevalence Critical Appraisal Tool, The Adelaide University, Munn *et al.*, 2014)

Criterion	Quality assessment term applied
1. Was the sample representative of the target population?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
2. Were study participants recruited in an appropriate way?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
3. Was the sample size adequate?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
4. Were the study subjects and setting described in detail?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
5. Is the data analysis conducted with sufficient coverage of the identified sample?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
6. Were objective, standard criteria used for the measurement of the condition?	Confirmed case (OIE standards ^a and experts' opinion, annex III) Suspected case (OIE standards ^a and experts' opinion, annex III) Case not adequately defined
7. Was the condition measured reliably?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
8. Was there appropriate statistical analysis?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
9. Are all important confounding factors/subgroups/differences identified and accounted for?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable
10. Were subpopulations identified using objective criteria?	Yes No Unclear Not applicable

^aManual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals, World Organization for Animal Health, 7th Edition, 2012.

senior reviewer. For the purposes of the systematic map, no articles will be excluded based on quality.

Evidence mapping and presentation

The final output of the systematic map will be an interactive dashboard tool. Variables such as study sample size and quality score will be encoded into disease-specific bubble plots using the visualization platform, Tableau (Washington, USA); each bubble's size and color hue will reflect study sample size and quality score, respectively. Bubble plots have previously been used for the visualization of systematic maps, as they are flexible and offer a visual summary of the available evidence in a way that

highlights knowledge gaps and gluts (Petersen *et al.*, 2008). By hovering over each bubble, users can access descriptive data for each piece of evidence, such as reference title, year of publication, disease covered, and study aspect (prevalence/incidence or mortality). The presented results will be filtered according to the disease (co-infections will be presented as a separate category), the species and production system, the study location, and the diagnostic test used. For each piece of evidence, the quality score and prevalence/incidence or mortality will be explorable by an interactive dashboard. The interactive dashboard tool will be reviewed by a group of selected stakeholders in terms of its features and usability, and will be revised according to the received feedback.

Ultimately, the interactive dashboards created will be hosted on an open source web platform and will be updated regularly in order to accommodate both newly published studies and user feedback. Knowledge clusters as well as knowledge gaps will be identified, which can in turn inform future systematic reviews or research initiatives. In the present context, no further data analysis will be pursued. A peer-reviewed systematic map report will also be produced, with a descriptive narrative on the overall methodology, the evidence base and its quality, and the current knowledge gaps. By accessing the interactive dashboard tool, critical stakeholders, such as researchers, policy makers and industrial partners, will be able to understand the recent trends in the available evidence and to inform their decisions in an evidence-based manner.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1466252319000203>

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to acknowledge Ms Vanessa Meadu, Communications and Knowledge Exchange specialist, Supporting Evidence-Based Interventions program, University of Edinburgh, for her assistance with the manuscript.

Author contributions. TKT established the methodology and drafted the protocol. LMD established the methodology and reviewed the draft protocol. ARP and TKT provided their expertise in tropical ruminant diseases and diagnostic tests. All authors reviewed and provided feedback on the manuscript.

Financial support. This research is supported by the Supporting Evidence-Based Intervention program, University of Edinburgh, which is funded by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (grant no: R83537). The funding organization was not involved in any other aspects of the current research and will not contribute to the interpretation or the publication of the results.

References

- Adem M (2019) Production of hide and skin in Ethiopia; marketing opportunities and constraints: a review paper. *Cogent Food and Agriculture* 5, 1565078.
- Alonso S, Lindahl J, Roesel K, Traore SG, Yobouet BA, Ndour APN, Carron M and Grace D (2016) Where literature is scarce: observations and lessons learnt from our systematic reviews of zoonoses in African countries. *Animal Health Research Reviews* 17, 28–38.
- Bates S, Clapton J and Coren E (2007) Systematic maps to support the evidence base in social care. *Evidence Policy* 3, 539–551.
- Berhanu A (2002) Welcome address: animal health and poverty reduction strategies. In: *Proceedings of the 16th Annual Conference of the Ethiopian Veterinary Association (EVA)*, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 5–6 June, pp. 117–137.
- Campbell Collaboration (2015) *Campbell Collaboration Systematic Reviews: Policies and Guidelines* (version 11). Campbell Collaboration. Oslo, Norway.
- Central Statistical Agency (CSA) of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia (2017a) Agricultural Sample Survey: Holder level data of livestock

- and livestock characteristics (Private Peasant Holdings, Meher Season), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Central Statistical Agency (CSA)** (2017b) Agricultural Sample Survey. Volume II: Report on Livestock and livestock characteristics (Private peasant holdings), statistical Bulletin 570. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Collaboration for environmental evidence** (2018) Guidelines and Standards for Evidence Synthesis in Environmental Management. Version 5.0 (AS Pullin, GK Frampton, B Livoreil and G Petrokofsky, Eds).
- Dicks LV, Walsh JC and Sutherland WJ** (2014) Organising evidence for environmental management decisions: a '4S' hierarchy. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **29**, 607–613.
- Gough D, Thomas J and Oliver S** (2019) Clarifying differences between reviews within evidence ecosystems. *Systematic Reviews* **8**, 170.
- Grant MJ and Booth A** (2009) A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information and Libraries Journal* **26**, 91–108.
- Grindlay DJ, Brennan ML and Dean RS** (2012) Searching the veterinary literature: a comparison of the coverage of veterinary journals by nine bibliographic databases. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Education* **39**, 404–412.
- Haddaway NR and Pullin AS** (2014) The policy role of systematic reviews: past, present and future. *Springer Science Reviews* **2**, 179–183.
- Haddaway NR, Collins AM, Coughlin D and Kirk S** (2015) The role of Google Scholar in evidence reviews and its applicability to grey literature searching. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0138237.
- Higgins JPT and Green S** (2009) Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Ver. 5.0.2 [updated September 2009].
- James KL, Randall NP and Haddaway NR** (2016) A methodology for systematic mapping in environmental sciences. *Environmental Evidence* **5**, 7.
- Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, Shekelle P, Stewart LA and PRISMA-P Group** (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* **4**, 1.
- Munn Z, Moola S, Riitano D and Lisy K** (2014) The development of a critical appraisal tool for use in systematic reviews addressing questions of prevalence. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management* **3**, 123–128.
- Petersen K, Feldt R, Mujtaba S and Mattsson M** (2008) Systematic mapping studies in software engineering. In: *12th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, vol.17, Bari, Italy, 26–27 June, pp. 68–77.
- Pham MT, Rajic A, Greig JD, Sargeant JM, Papadopoulos A and McEwen SA** (2014) A scoping review of scoping reviews: advancing the approach and enhancing the consistency. *Research Synthesis Methods* **5**, 371–385.
- Rose WJ, Sargeant JM, Hanna WJB, Kelton D, Wolfe DM and Wisener LV** (2017) A scoping review of the evidence for efficacy of acupuncture in companion animals. *Animal Health Research Reviews* **18**, 177–185.
- Rosenberg D** (2005) Towards the digital library: findings of an investigation to establish the current status of university libraries in Africa. International Network for the Availability of Scientific Publications (INASP), Oxford, UK.
- Seifert HSH** (1996) *Tropical Animal Health*. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Seyoum B and Teshome E** (2017) Major transboundary disease of ruminants and their economic effect in Ethiopia. *Global Journal of Medical Research: G, Veterinary Science and Veterinary Medicine* **17**, 27–36.
- Shapiro BI, Gebru G, Desta S, Negassa A, Nigussie K, Aboset G and Mechale H** (2017) Ethiopia Livestock Sector Analysis (report). Ethiopia Ministry of Livestock and Fisheries and International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) Livestock Masterplan team. ILRI, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Wadell L, Rajic A, Stärk K and McEwen SA** (2016) *Mycobacterium avium* ssp. *paratuberculosis* detection in animals, food, water and other sources or vehicles of human exposure: a scoping review of the existing evidence. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* **132**, 32–48.
- World Organization for Animal Health** (2012) *Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals*, 7th Edn., Paris, France: World Organization for Animal Health.