# ON $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -NOETHERIAN MODULES

### BY

## ARON SIMIS

In this note we define two concepts which can be thought of as a generalization of noetherian concepts.

The main result is as follows (Corollary 4): If R is a ring whose countably generated (left) ideals are (left) principal, then R is a (left) principal ideal ring.

This result if obtained, more generally, for any (left) *R*-module and any regular cardinal  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  (Corollary 1); a cardinal  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is regular whenever  $W(\aleph_{\alpha}) = \{ \text{ordinals } \gamma \mid \text{card } \gamma < \aleph_{\alpha} \}$  has no cofinal subset of cardinality less than  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ .

In the sequel, discrete valuation rings of finite rank (greater than 1) are shown to be genuinely  $\aleph_0$ -noetherian rings (this is one of the concepts herein introduced). Examples of genuinely  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -noetherian rings (for any ordinal  $\alpha$ ) are also given.

 $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -Noetherian rings have some interest because of the results obtained by Jensen [2] who deals with a stronger concept, thus becoming able to draw important consequences about global and weak dimension of 'big' rings.

Let R be an arbitrary ring (not assumed to be commutative or to have a unity element) and let  $\alpha$  be any ordinal.

DEFINITIONS. (i) A (left) *R*-module *M* is  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -generated if it can be generated by a set of cardinality  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ ; if, moreover, *M* cannot be generated by some set of cardinality less than  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ , it is said to be *strictly*  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -generated.

(ii) A (left) *R*-module is  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -noetherian if every submodule of *M* is  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -generated; if, moreover, *M* has some strictly  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -generated submodule, then it is called *genu*inely  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -noetherian.

(iii) An  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -family is a well-ordered strictly increasing family of submodules of a (left) *R*-module whose cardinality is  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let *M* be a (left) *R*-module and let *N* be a strictly  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -generated submodule of *M*; then, for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , there exists an  $\aleph_{\beta}$ -family  $(N_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in W(\aleph_{\beta})}$  of sub-modules  $N_{\gamma}$  of *M* each contained in *N* and generated by less than  $\aleph_{\beta}$  elements.

**Proof.** We use transfinite induction to construct the desired  $\aleph_{\beta}$ -family. Given  $\gamma \in W(\aleph_{\beta})$ , suppose a submodule  $N_{\gamma'}$  of M has been obtained for every  $\gamma' < \gamma$  such that  $N_{\gamma'}$  can be generated by less than  $\aleph_{\beta}$  elements and  $(N_{\gamma})_{\gamma' < \gamma}$  is a well-ordered strictly increasing family with  $N_{\gamma'} \subset N$  for all  $\gamma' < \gamma$ . Clearly  $\bigcup_{\gamma' < \gamma} N_{\gamma'}$  is properly contained in N (because otherwise N would be generated by  $\bigcup_{\gamma' < \gamma} S_{\gamma'} = S$ , where  $S_{\gamma'}$  generates  $N_{\gamma'}$ , card  $S_{\gamma'} < \aleph_{\beta}$ ; this is impossible since card  $S < \aleph_{\beta} \aleph_{\beta} = \aleph_{\beta}$ ). Pick  $x \in N$ ,  $x \notin \bigcup_{\gamma' < \gamma} N_{\gamma'}$  and let  $N_x$  be the submodule of M generated by x; clearly,

Received by the editors November 1, 1969.

#### ARON SIMIS

 $N_{\gamma} = \bigcup_{\gamma' < \gamma} N_{\gamma'} + N_x$  can still be generated by less than  $\aleph_{\beta}$  elements. The family  $(N_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in W(\aleph_{\beta})}$  thus constructed is an  $\aleph_{\beta}$ -family since card  $W(\aleph_{\beta}) = \aleph_{\beta}$ .

COROLLARY 1. Let M be a (left) R-module and  $\aleph_{\beta}$  a regular cardinal; if M has no strictly  $\aleph_{\beta}$ -generated submodules then every submodule of M can be generated by less than  $\aleph_{\beta}$  elements.

**Proof.** Let N be a submodule of M; if N is generated by  $\aleph_{\beta}$  elements, we are done. Thus, assume N is strictly  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -generated for some  $\alpha > \beta$ . We apply the preceding proposition to get an  $\aleph_{\beta}$ -family  $(N_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in W(\aleph_{\beta})}$  of submodules of M each contained in N. Clearly,  $P = \bigcup_{\gamma} N_{\gamma}$  is a submodule of M contained in N; moreover, if  $S_{\gamma}$  is a set of generators for  $N_{\gamma}$  with card  $S_{\gamma} < \aleph_{\beta}$ , then P is generated by S  $= \bigcup_{\gamma \in W(\aleph_{\beta})} S_{\gamma}$  whose cardinality is at most  $\aleph_{\beta}$ . By hypothesis, P can be generated by less than  $\aleph_{\beta}$  elements, say,  $(x_i)_{i\in G}$  is a set of generators with card  $G < \aleph_{\beta}$ ; for every  $i \in G$ , let  $\gamma_i$  be the smallest ordinal in  $W(\aleph_{\beta})$  such that  $x_i \in N_{\gamma_i}$ ; then P  $= \bigcup_{i\in G} N_{\gamma_i}$ . On the other hand, the family  $(\gamma_i)_{i\in G}$  has cardinality less than  $\aleph_{\beta}$ , hence it cannot be cofinal in  $W(\aleph_{\beta})$  since  $\aleph_{\beta}$  is regular by assumption. This implies the existence of  $\gamma' \in W(\aleph_{\beta})$  such that  $\gamma_i < \gamma' \forall i \in G$ , so  $N_{\gamma_i} \subseteq N_{\gamma'} \forall i \in G$ . Thus P  $= \bigcup_{i\in G} N_{\gamma_i} \subseteq N_{\gamma'}$ , against the fact that  $(N_{\gamma})_{\gamma \in W(\aleph_{\beta})}$  is strictly increasing.

**REMARK.** Corollary 1 applies whenever  $\beta = 0$  or  $\beta$  is not a limit ordinal.

COROLLARY 2. If M is a (left) R-module whose countably generated submodules are finitely generated, then M is (left) noetherian.

**Proof.** Apply Corollary 1 with  $\beta = 0$ .

COROLLARY 3. If M is a (left) R-module whose countably generated submodules are cyclic, then every submodule of M is cyclic; in particular, M is cyclic.

**Proof.** By Corollary 2, *M* is noetherian; hence all its submodules are cyclic.

COROLLARY 4. A ring whose countably generated (left) ideals are (left) principal is a (left) principal ideal ring.

Examples of (commutative) genuinely  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -noetherian rings abound as one may see from the following instances:

(1) Let  $R = K[X_i]_{i \in A}$ , where K is a finite field and card  $A = \aleph_{\alpha}$ .

Clearly, card  $R = \aleph_{\alpha}$ , so R is  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -noetherian. Moreover,  $(X_i)_{i \in A}$  is an ideal which cannot be generated by less than  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  elements.

(2) Let  $R = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} K_i$ , where  $K_i = K(\forall i)$  is a countable field. Assuming the continum hypothesis, card  $R = \aleph^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ . On the other hand, as it is well known (cf. [3]), there is a bijection between the set of proper ideals of R and the set of filters of  $\mathscr{P}(I)$ , where I is the set of indices i. Precisely, if J is a proper ideal of R, then  $F(J) = \{Z(f) \mid f \in J\}$  is a filter of  $\mathscr{P}(I)$ , where  $Z(f) = \{i \in I \mid f(i) = 0\}$ ; conversely, if F is a filter of  $\mathscr{P}(I)$ , then  $J(F) = \{f \in R \mid Z(f) \in F\}$  is a proper ideal of R.

246

Now, let J be any nonprincipal maximal ideal of R; we show that J cannot be countably generated. For if  $J = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Rf_n$ , then  $Z(f) \supseteq \bigcap_{n=0}^{m} Z(f_n)$  for every  $f \in J$ and some  $m \ge 0$ . Thus, the collection  $(Z(f_n))_{n\ge 0}$  would be a countable basis of the nonprincipal ultrafilter F(J); however, this is impossible. Indeed, let U be any nonprincipal ultrafilter of  $\mathscr{P}(I)$  and assume U has a countable basis  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ . Clearly,  $A_1, A_1, \cap A_2, A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3, \ldots$  is still a basis of U, so by dropping eventual repetitions in the chain  $A_1 \supseteq A_1 \cap A_2 \supseteq \cdots$ , we may assume that U has a decreasing basis  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq \cdots$ . Moreover, we may clearly assume that  $\#(A_n \setminus A_{n+1}) \ge 2$ ,  $n=1, 2, \ldots$ . Let  $a_n, b_n \in A_n \setminus A_{n+1}$ ,  $a_n \ne b_n (n=1, 2, \ldots)$  and let  $B = \{a_n, a_{n+1}, \ldots\}$ . Then  $B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq \ldots$ . Let V be the filter generated by  $B_1, B_2, \ldots$ ; clearly,  $V \ne I$  since  $\phi \notin V$ . Also,  $U \subseteq V$ ; indeed, if  $X \in U$ , then  $A_n \subseteq X$  for some n, so  $B_n \subseteq A_n \subseteq X$ . On the other hand,  $U \ne V$ ; indeed,  $B_1 \in V$ , but  $B_1 \ddagger A_n (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$  because  $A_n \subseteq B_1 \Rightarrow b_n = a_m$  for some  $m \ge 1 \Rightarrow n = m$  (since  $b_n \in A_n \setminus A_{n+1}$ )  $\Rightarrow b_n = a_n$ , against the assumption.

This is a contradiction since U is an ultrafilter (<sup>1</sup>).

Another important class of genuinely  $\aleph_0$ -noetherian rings is obtained as follows: PROPOSITION 2. Let R be a discrete valuation ring of finite rank; then all ideals of R are countably generated.

**Proof.** We can assume that the value group of the valuation is  $\Gamma = Z \times \cdots \times Z$  (lexicographically ordered). As it is well known (cf. [1]), there is a one-to-one correspondence (preserving inclusion) between the (integral) ideals of R and the upper classes of  $\Gamma$  contained in  $\Gamma^+$ ; moreover, every upper class is the union of an increasing well ordered family of principal upper classes. Since  $\Gamma$  is countable, such a family must be countable; hence the result.

As a consequence, if R is a discrete valuation ring of finite rank greater than 1, then R is genuinely  $\aleph_0$ -noetherian.

It is conceivable that arbitrary valuation rings may be genuinely  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ -noetherian for some  $\alpha$  depending only on the cardinality of the value group.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. I wish to thank Professor Paulo Ribenboim and Professor Wolmer V. Vasconcelos for valuable conversations on the above questions. I am also indebted to the referee for calling my attention upon a different proof of Example (2) using the concept of self-injectivity.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. Ribenboim, Théorie des valuations, Université de Montréal, 1964.

2. Chr. U. Jensen, Homological dimensions of  $\aleph_0$ -coherent rings, Math. Scand. 20 (1967), 55-60.

3. P. Ribenboim, La conjecture d'Artin sur les equations diophantiennes, Queen's University, 1968.

QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY, KINGSTON, ONTARIO

This proof was communicated to me by Professor G. Bruns.
6-C.M.B.