# SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF MICROPARTICLES WITHIN

## **ANTARCTIC SNOW-FALL\***

by

Lonnie G. Thompson and Ellen Mosley-Thompson (Institute of Polar Studies, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, U.S.A.)

ABSTRACT

The concentration and size distribution of waterinsoluble microparticles were measured in 2 332 snow and firn samples from (a) two sites on the Antarctic Peninsula, (b) the Byrd station strain network, West Antarctica, (c) the Q-13 and base camp sites on the Ross Ice Shelf, and (d) the South Pole and Dome C sites in East Antarctica. These near-surface microparticle studies indicate that, while the number of particles per unit volume of sample remains fairly uniform from site to site, the annual particle accumulation is greatest at locations nearest the coast and decreases rapidly with distance inland. The relationship between particle accumulation and distance from the coast is analogous to a relationship between snow accumulation and distance from the coast. Ten times more particles are deposited annually at stations within 50 km of the coast than at the South Pole and Dome C sites. The size distribution data reveal that, with the possible exception of the Q-13 site, the particulates deposited in Antarctica are well-sorted, indicating little contribution from local sources.

#### INTRODUCTION

Antarctica is considered to be an ideal site for investigating the natural particulate content of the global background aerosol. The reasons for this are (a) the low level of human activity, (b) the lack of exposed rock and soil, as 98% of Antarctica is covered by snow and ice, (c) lack of vegetation, and (d) the ice cover of much of the surrounding oceans restricts the contribution of marine-derived particulates to the margins of the continent. This lack of local source areas implies that particles are transported great distances and should represent the "end point" of the atmospheric particulate mass (Hogan 1975).

Shaw (1979) suggests that particles derived from outside Antarctica are not readily transported there due to the great distances involved, the inefficiency of meridional diffusion, and the removal by the frequent and severe storms associated with the Antarctic polar front. The particulates deposited on the Antarctic ice sheet and preserved within the ice layers provide an opportunity to investigate the effect of accumulation rates and distance of transport on their concentration. Near-surface samples collected at seven Antarctic sites (Fig.1) have been analyzed for microparticle concentration and size distribution. The relationship between particle concentration and accumulation under present climatic conditions is investigated. The size distributions are compared among all seven sites. Conclusions are drawn from these data about the degree to which changes in accumulation may account for significantly enhanced particle concentrations found in snow deposited near the end of the last major glacial stage, the Wisconsin (Thompson and Mosley-Thompson 1981).

SAMPLING AND LABORATORY PROCEDURES

All analyses were of snow and firn samples and, therefore, several points of caution are necessary. Contamination is potentially a greater problem with more porous firn samples. The microparticle data presented here cover a minimum of 10 years at each site, but the precise time intervals represented by each profile are not equivalent due to different dates of sample collection. Consequently, all discussions employ average concentrations and size distribution. The effect of post-depositional diagenetic processes upon the microparticle data is not considered.

With the exception of the Dome C pit samples, all samples were cut from snow and firn cores which were transferred frozen to The Ohio State University microparticle laboratory. The snow and firn cores were cut into 40 to 80 mm samples (sample size being largely a function of the annual accumulation at a given site) and transported to the clean room, where a 45 mm diameter plug was drilled from the center of each sample with a polyethylene hand corer. This procedure provides a sample which has not been in contact with the core driller or the shipping container. The Dome C pit samples were collected along a pit wall using a plastic 40 mm diameter syringe and shipped in plastic bottles (Palais personal communication). All snow and firn surface samples were analyzed within one year after collection.

All concentrations and size distributions were determined by multi-channel TAII Coulter counters under class-100 clean room conditions. Insoluble particulates are counted in 14 size ranges from 0.63 to 12.8  $\mu m$  diameter. The total concentration of microparticles is defined as the total number >0.6  $\mu m$  diameter per 500  $\mu \ell$  of liquid sample.

\*Contribution No 413 of the Institute of Polar Studies, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA.



Fig.1. Location of Antarctic surface sampling sites: (1) Monteverdi Peninsula, (2) snow-field above Horse Bluff, (3) base camp on RIS, (4) Q-13 on RIS, (5) Byrd station strain network, (6) South Pole station, (7) Dome C. The very heavy lines locate the mean winter position of the major frontal axes (Carleton 1981) which occur in association with the long wave troughs. The lighter arrows trace IGY observations of cyclonic paths during August 1958 (Alt and others 1959).

DISCUSSION OF THE DATA

Figure 1 illustrates the location of each sampling site and Table I presents a summary of all pertinent information. The particle concentrations per 500  $\mu$  sample are averaged over the entire length of each core or core section. For each site, the estimated annual accumulation rate is used to calculate the number of particles (diameter >0.63  $\mu$ m) deposited annually.

Figure 2 illustrates microparticle concentrations in two shallow cores from a high accumulation (~910 kg m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>) area (72°30'S, 72°50'W) in the Antarctic Peninsula (site 1 in Figure 1). Summer melt here is quite low as the lack of ice lenses indicates. The two cores are separated by a horizontal distance of 5 m, yet particle concentration peaks can be traced easily between them. Since during drilling, firn cores tend to break along stratigraphic features, it is impossible on the basis of these data to distinguish whether these distinct microparticle peaks represent original depositional horizons or contamination on the ends of the individual core sections which might have occurred during drilling or transport of the cores.

Microparticle concentrations in two cores from a snow-field above Horse Bluff  $(71^{\circ}18^{\circ}S, 67^{\circ}29^{\circ}W)$  on the Antarctic Peninsula (site 2 in Figure 1) are

shown in Figure 3. Annual accumulation here is much less ( $\approx$ 220 kg m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>) than at site 1 and summer melting is substantial as indicated by the numerous ice lenses. The microparticle concentration tends to be lower in those sections composed of ice than in adjacent firn segments, suggesting that the particles are left behind in the firn layers during the melting and refreezing process. Even though the cores are separated horizontally by only 5 m, it is difficult to match individual particle concentration peaks in them as the depositional stratigraphy has been confused by a combination of processes including the percolation of melt water, deflation, and the redistribution of snow.

Surface cores were analyzed from two sites on the Ross Ice Shelf (RIS), Q-13 ( $78^{\circ}57^{\circ}S$ ,  $179^{\circ}55^{\circ}E$ ) and base camp ( $\approx 82^{\circ}30^{\circ}S$ ,  $166^{\circ}W$ ). Two upper sections from the 100 m Q-13 core drilled in 1977-78 are included in this study (Table I). The average annual rate of microparticle deposition was  $820\times10^{7}$ particles m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup> at Q-13, where accumulation is roughly 180 kg m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>. Microparticle concentrations in two 5 m cores drilled in 1974 from base camp were analyzed (Mosley-Thompson and Thompson 1982). At base camp, accumulation is approximately 90 kg m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>, one-half that at Q-13, and the number of microparticles deposited annually is

#### Thompson and Mosley-Thompson: Spatial distribution of microparticles within snow-fall



Fig.2. Microparticle concentration profiles for two 5 m cores 5 m apart on Monteverdi Peninsula (site 1 in Figure 1). Particle peaks can be traced from one core to the other. However, they are associated with the ends of individual core sections as indicated by tick marks. The stratigraphy on the right illustrates ice layers (solid black) and melting in transport to the laboratory (half black).

 $\approx 150 \times 10^7$  particles m<sup>-2</sup>, 5.5 times fewer than at site Q-13. Base camp is  $\approx 500$  km from the seaward margin of RIS while site Q-13 is  $\approx 180$  km from the open water (sites 4 and 3 in Figure 1). Annually, this distance varies greatly with the seasonal growth and decay of sea ice, which effectively doubles the area of Antarctica. These large changes in sea-ice extent may affect storm track paths and create seasonal variations in the predominant source of the particles deposited at these sites.

The primary mechanism of particulate deposition on RIS is probably associated with dissipating cyclones. Meteorological data collected during the International Geophysical Year 1957-58 (IGY) identified the Ross Sea area as a major center of cyclolysis. Recently, satellite observations of cloud vortices (Carleton 1979) confirm that the Ross Sea sector ( $160^{\circ}\text{E} - 160^{\circ}\text{W}$ ) is a major graveyard for cyclones generated along the primary frontal axis extending from the Indian Ocean through the Pacific south of Australia and onto RIS (A in Figure 1).

Not only are annual particle concentrations substantially less at J-9, but the number of larger particles (diameters >1.0  $\mu$ m) expressed as a per cent of the total is less (24%) than at Q-13 (30%). Assuming an Antarctic source for the large particles, this suggests that Q-13 is closer to the source area than J-9. Warburton and Linkletter (1978) analyzed RIS snow samples for Mg, Na, and K. Samples closer to



Fig.3. Microparticle concentration profiles from two 5 m cores 5 m apart from a snow-field above Horse Bluff, Antarctic Peninsula (site 2 in Figure 1). Percolation of melt water has confused the particle records so correlative peaks can not be identified. The stratigraphy on the right illustrates ice layers (black) which generally correspond to low particle concentrations.

the ice front of RIS exhibit near-marine ionic ratios, but south of Roosevelt Island (Fig.1) enrichments of Mg and K suggest the influence of a fractionated marine aerosol and a second, continental, source.

Microparticle concentration data from three inland locations are presented in Table I. The concentrations in the 2.5 to 3.3 m section of the 905 m Dome C core are nearly identical to concentrations in samples from three 3 m pits at Dome C. Of the seven sampling sites, the lowest microparticle deposition rate is  $\approx 80 \times 10^7$  particles m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup> at Dome C, which is 3 240 m a.s.l. and over 1 000 km from the Antarctic coast.

Samples were analyzed from two firn cores collected along the Byrd station strain network. The average particle deposition rate on the West Antarctic plateau near Byrd station (1 531 m a.s.l.) is  $490 \times 10^7$  particles m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>, which is 60% the rate at Q-13 and three times that at the RIS base camp.

A 100 m core was drilled in 1974 at the South Pole and and analyzed in detail for microparticle concentration and size distribution (Mosley-Thompson 1980). Samples from the 4 to 6 m section, below the 1956 reference horizon, yield an annual particle deposition rate of  $190 \times 10^7$  particles m<sup>-2</sup> a<sup>-1</sup>, similar to that at base camp.

similar to that at base camp. For comparison with these Antarctic deposition rates, similar calculations (Table I) were made for samples collected from two tropical glaciers where locally derived material constitutes the major particulate source. These two glaciers are Quelccaya ice TABLE I. SUMMARY OF PERTINENT GLACIOLOGICAL DATA FOR NINE SAMPLING SITES

Location	Elevation (m)	Annual accumulation kg m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	Number of cores/ sections	Length of cores sections (m) (m)	Horizontal separation of cores (m)	Number of samples	Average total Average total concentration 2.63 µm per 500 µ£	10 m temperature	Particles m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup> (x10 <sup>7</sup> )	Name of data collector and year
Antarctic Peninsula: Monteverdi Peninsula (72*30'5,72*50'W)	488	910(1)	2	9.7 9.8	~2	299 290	18 500(10) 15 500(10)	-13.30°C	3 367 2 821	Peel (1976)
Snow-field (71*18'S,67*29*W)	290	220(1)	2	9.8 9.9	Ş?	292 277	16 500(10) 22 000(10)	-8.36°C	726 968	Peel (1976)
Ross Ice Shelf: base camp (82°30'S,166°W)	80	90(2)	2	5.0 5.0	Q	111	8 500(10) 8 500(10)	-29.5°C	153 153	Nielsen (1974)
Ross Ice Shelf: Q-13 (78°57'S,179°55'E)	50	190(3)	2	0.9-5.4 8.5-13.3		87 92	24 801(10) 18 068(10)	-27 .0°C	950 684	1977-78, RISP-RIGGS (PICO) 100 m Q13 core
Byrd station strain network (80°S,20°W)	1 531	150(4)	N	4.0-6.4 (KM 105) 0-3.0 (KM 108)	3 000	88 68	15 500(11) 17 000(11,12)	-28,0°C	465 510	Thompson (1974)
South Pole (90 <sup>°</sup> S)	2 912	70(5,6)	1	4.0-6.0		113	12 500(6)	-51°C	193	1974 PICO <sup>(15)</sup>
Dome C (74 <sup>°</sup> 30'S, 123°10'E)	3 240	32(7)	4	2.5-3.3 Three 3 m snow pits	<50	48 450	13 500(10,13) 13 000	-53 <b>.5°</b> C	86 83	French (1977-78 905 m core) Palais (1979)
Quelccaya ice cap (13*56'S,70*50'W)	5 650	1 170(8)	1	15.0		183	100 000(8)	-0,5°C	23 400	Thompson (1976)
Lewis Glacfer (0°9'S,37°19'E)	4 870	~500(9)	1	13.4		106	400 000(14)	0.0	40 000	Thompson (1978)
		<ol> <li>Peel a</li> <li>Peel a</li> <li>Crary</li> <li>Crary</li> <li>Crary</li> <li>Gow 19</li> <li>Gow 19</li> <li>Gow 19</li> <li>Petit</li> <li>Thomps.</li> <li>Hasten</li> </ol>	nd Clause n and oth and other 71 55 -Thompsor and other and other rath pers	en personal comm ers 1979 rs 1962 rs 1980 rs in press chers 1979 sonal communicat	unications ions			Thompson th Thompson 19 Whillans 19 Thompson 19 Thompson 19 Polar Ice C Lincoln, Nel	is paper 73 73 0 others 1 81 oring Offi braska	981 Ce,

### Thompson and Mosley-Thompson: Spatial distribution of microparticles within snow-fall

303





cap in the southern Andes of Peru and Lewis Glacier on Mt Kenya, East Africa.

The size distribution of a population of particles provides information about the proximity to the source area. Figure 4 presents the average size distribution for seven Antarctic sites and two tropical glaciers. These were calculated by averaging the number of particles in each of the 14 size ranges measured in 20 mL of melt water (40 consecutive  $500 \ \mu \lambda$  samples) from each sampling site. The size distributions of samples from Antarctic sites are remarkably similar in contrast to the poorly sorted particle distributions measured on Quelccaya ice cap and Lewis Glacier.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

To understand fully microparticle deposition at a given site it is essential that the characteristics of dominant atmospheric circulation patterns and local boundary layer conditions be understood. This cursory investigation of current microparticle deposition at seven Antarctic sites serves to illustrate the difficulties encountered when attempting to infer past atmospheric conditions from the microparticles deposited within the large ice sheets.

past atmospheric conditions from the microparticles deposited within the large ice sheets. The first step is to distinguish locally derived material from background or "global" particulate mass. Locally derived material should be less well sorted and contain a greater fraction of larger fragments. Natural tropospheric aerosols range in radius between 0.1 and 20.0 μm (Junge 1977) and the settling effect of gravity is negligible for particles <1.0  $\mu$ m. The particle size distribution data presented in this paper suggest that the particulates deposited at both inland and coastal Antarctic sites are well sorted with very low numbers of larger particles (>1.0  $\mu$ m). Inspection of Figure 4 reveals that Q-13 may be an exception as it exhibits a size distribution which, for particles with diameters >2.5  $\mu$ m, more closely resembles that of tropical ice caps. This indicates the contribution of a local source, probably the exposed areas on Ross Island and along the coast of east Antarctica (Fig.1). Certainly at each site the contribution from local source areas will be dependent on local wind velocities and directions.

In general, sampling sites closer to the coast exhibit a greater rate of microparticle deposition ranging from 3 000x10<sup>7</sup> particles  $m^{-2} a^{-1} at$ Monteverdi Peninsula (Fig.1) to 800x10<sup>7</sup> particles  $m^{-2} a^{-1}$  at both Horse Bluff snow-field and Q-13. Results from base camp are anomalous (\*150x10<sup>7</sup> particles  $m^{-2} a^{-1}$ ), reflecting the rapid removal of material as dissipating cyclones move inland across RIS. These attempts to interpret the microparticle records illustrate the importance of detailed synoptic observations.

The effect of local accumulation rate upon (a) deposition of microparticles and (b) concentration and/or dilution of deposited material is another aspect which warrants investigation. It is unknown what percentage of insoluble particulates are deposited within snow and ice crystals and what percentage arrive at the surface as dry fallout. Assuming for the sake of argument that the variability of dry fallout is negligible, we examine the data in Table I for South Pole and Dome C. The ratio of accumulation at South Pole to Dome C is 2.19 and the ratio of particle deposition is 2.26, suggesting that under current atmospheric conditions a doubling of accumulation within a year also doubles the particles deposited. Of course, this assumes identical meteorological conditions and an equal dry fallout contribution.

A similar comparison between the two sites on the Antarctic Peninsula gives a ratio of 4.14 for the accumulation and 3.65 for the ratio of particle deposition rates. Given these simplifying assumptions, these data indicate that greater accumulation tends to be associated with greater annual particulate deposition. Figure 5 illustrates that the relationship between annual particle deposition and distance from the coast is analogous to the relationship between annual water accumulation and distance from the coast.

The purpose of this study is two-fold. First, the data presented represent all the Antarctic sites where surface microparticle measurements have been conducted. Particle concentrations are shown to be



Fig.5. The left diagram represents the relationship between particle concentrations and distance from the coast for seven Antarctic sample sites. On the right is the plot of annual water accumulation vs distance from the coast for each location. inversely related to the distance from the source, and the use of size distribution for identifying the contribution of local sources is demonstrated. Second, these data illustrate the need for a better understanding of the mechanisms controlling microparticle deposition in Antarctica.

Data presented by Thompson and Mosley-Thompson (1981) and Thompson and others (1981) do not support a change in net accumulation as the mechanism producing the great increases in microparticles within the late glacial (Wisconsin) ice in the 905 m Dome C, Antarctica core. An increase in the particulate load-ing of the atmosphere and a greater contribution by dry fallout are suggested to account for the sub-stantial increase in microparticles. Earlier microparticle analysis of sections from Byrd station, Antarctica, and Camp Century, Greenland, deep ice cores (Thompson 1977) revealed great increases in particle concentrations associated with the ice deposited during the Wisconsin glacial stage. Koerner (1977) reports very high dust content within the Wisconsin ice of the 299 m Devon Island ice cap core. For both the Camp Century and Byrd cores, Cragin and others (1977) report substantial increases in the concentrations of alkali and alkaline earth elements in the late glacial ice. These studies have invoked one or all of the following mechanisms to account for these increases: (1) changes in the annual accu-mulation, (2) changes in the concentration of mater-ial suspended in the atmosphere, and (3) alteration in the type of precipitation (e.g. ice crystal vs storm).

In order to facilitate the interpretation of microparticle data from firn and ice cores it is critical that a sound theoretical basis and realistic empirical framework be developed on the basis of the current microparticle depositional pattern and concurrent measurements of atmospheric particulate concentrations. The microparticle records contained within the large ice sheets provide the only available high-resolution record of past atmospheric characteristics. Consequently, it behoves us to construct a microparticle data base for future expansion and continual re-interpretation as more microparticle data and longer and better synoptic records become available. Eventually these data will provide the proper climatic explanation for the substantial variations in microparticle concentrations and size distributions recorded within the deep ice cores.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to express appreciation to D Peel of the British Antarctic Survey, J Nielson of the University of Copenhagen, and J Palais of Ohio State University for their efforts in collect-ing firn cores and pit samples. We thank illustrator Bob Tope for the diagrams used in this manuscript and Jean Cothran for typing it. We express gratitude to the U.S. National Science Foundation and the Ohio State University for support of microparticle research over the past seven years.

REFERENCES

- Alt J, Astapenko P, Ropar N J Jr 1959 Some aspects of the Antarctic atmospheric circulation in 1958.
- IGY General Report Series 4 Carleton A M 1979 A synoptic climatology of satel-lite-observed extratropical cyclone activity for the southern hemisphere winter. Archiv für Meteorologie, Geophysik und Bioklimatologie Ser B 27(4): 265-279

Thompson and Mosley-Thompson: Spatial distribution of microparticles within snow-fall

- Carleton A M 1981 Monthly variability of satellitederived cyclone activity for the southern hemi-sphere winter. Journal of Climatology 1(1): 21-38
- Clausen H B, Dansgaard W, Nielsen J O, Clough J W 1979 Surface accumulation on Ross Ice Shelf. Antarctic Journal of the United States 14(5): 68-72
- Cragin J H, Herron M M, Langway C C Jr, Klouda G 1977 Interhemispheric comparison of changes in the composition of atmospheric precipitaion during the late Cenozoic era. In Dunbar Maxwell J (ed) Polar oceans. Proceedings of the polar
- oceans conference, Montreal 1974. Calgary, Arctic Institute of North America: 617-631 Crary A P, Robinson E S, Bennett H F, Boyd W W Jr 1962 Glaciological regime of the Ross Ice Shelf. Journal of Geophysical Research 67(7): 2791-2807
- Gow A J 1965 On the accumulation and seasonal stratification of snow at the South Pole. Journal
- of Glaciology 5(40): 467-477 Gow A J 1971 Depth-time-temperature relationships of ice crystal growth in polar glaciers. CRREL Research Report 300
- Hogan A W 1975 Antarctic aerosols. Journal of Applied Meteorology 14(4): 550-559
- Junge C E 1977 Processes responsible for the trace content in precipitation. International Association of Hydrological Sciences Publication 118 (General Assembly of Grenoble 1975 - Isotopes and Impurities in Snow and Ice): 63-77 Koerner R M 1977 Distribution of microparticles
- in a 299-m core through the Devon Island ice cap, Northwest Territories, Canada. International Asssociation of Hydrological Sciences Publication 118 (General Assembly of Grenoble 1975 - Isotopes and Impurities in Snow and Ice): 371-376 Mosley-Thompson E 1980 911 years of microparticle
- deposition at the South Pole: a climatic interpretation. Ohio State University. Institute of
- Polar Studies. Report 73 Mosley-Thompson E, Thompson L 1982 Microparticle analysis of the Ross Ice Shelf Q-13 core and preliminary results from the J-9 core. Annals of Glaciology 3: 211-215 Patit J. P. Jourgel J. Pourchet M. Morlivat L. In
- Petit J R, Jouzel J, Pourchet M, Merlivat L In press. Spatial and temporal changes of accumulation and stable isotope content in the Dome C area (Antarctica). Journal of Geophysical Research
- Shaw G E 1979 Considerations on the origin and properties of the Antarctic aerosol. Reviews of Geophysics and Space Physics 17(8): 1983-1998 Thompson L G 1973 Analysis of the concentration
- of microparticles in an ice core from Byrd station, Antarctica. Ohio State University.
- Institute of Polar Studies. Report 46 Thompson L G 1977 Variations in microparticle concentration, size distribution and elemental composition in Camp Century, Greenland, and Byrd station, Antarctica, deep ice cores. Inter-national Association of Hydrological Sciences Publication 118 (General Assembly of Grenoble 1975 – Isotopes and Impurities in Snow and Ice): 351-364
- Thompson L G 1981 Ice core studies from Mt Kenya, Africa, and their relationship to other tropical ice core studies. International Association of Hydrological Sciences Publication 131 (Symposium at Canberra 1979 - Sea level, ice and climatic change): 55-62
- Thompson L G, Mosley-Thompson E 1981 Microparticle concentration variations linked with climatic change: evidence from polar ice cores. Science 212(4496): 812-815
- Thompson L G, Hastenrath S, Morales Arnao B 1979 Climatic ice core records from the tropical Quelccaya ice cap. Science 203(4386): 1240-1243

Thompson and Mosley-Thompson: Spatial distribution of microparticles within snow-fall

- Thompson L G, Mosley-Thompson E, Petit J R 1981 Glaciological interpretation of microparticle concentrations from the French 905-m Dome C, Antarctica core. International Association of Hydrological Sciences Publication 131 (Symposium at Canberra 1979 - Sea level, ice and climatic change): 227-234
  Warburton J A, Linkletter G 0 1978 Atmospheric
- Warburton J A, Linkletter G 0 1978 Atmospheric processes and the chemistry of snow on the Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica. *Journal of Glaciology* 20(82): 149-162
- Whillans I M 1978 Surface mass-balance variability near "Byrd" station Antarctica, and its importance to ice core stratigraphy. *Journal of Glaciology* 20(83): 301-310