

## ALMOST- $P$ -SPACES

RONNIE LEVY

A  $P$ -space is a topological space in which every  $G_\delta$ -set is open.  $P$ -spaces are fairly rare. For example, the only compact (or even pseudocompact)  $P$ -spaces are finite. A larger class of spaces, the *almost- $P$ -spaces*, consists of those spaces in which  $G_\delta$ -sets have dense interiors. The almost- $P$ -spaces are far less restricted than the  $P$ -spaces—for example, there are infinite, compact, connected almost- $P$ -spaces. In this paper, we study almost- $P$ -spaces and raise a number of questions relating to them.

**1. Preliminaries.** All given spaces are assumed to be completely regular. If  $X$  is a space,  $\beta X$  denotes the Stone-Cech compactification of  $X$ .  $\mathbf{R}$  denotes the space of reals and  $N$  denotes the countable discrete space. If  $A$  is a set,  $|A|$  denotes the cardinal of  $A$ . By “set theory” we mean Zermelo-Frankel set theory with the axiom of choice, that is,  $ZFC$ . *Lusin’s hypothesis*, denoted  $LH$ , is the set theoretic assumption that  $2^{\aleph_1} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . The continuum hypothesis, denoted  $CH$ , is the statement that  $\aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . If  $ZF$  is consistent, so are  $ZFC + LH$  and  $ZFC + CH$  (see [1])

The proof of the following proposition is easy.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *For a topological space the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *Every non-empty zero set has non-empty interior.*
- (ii) *Every non-empty  $G_\delta$ -set has non-empty interior.*
- (iii) *Every zero-set is a regular-closed set.*
- (iv) *If  $G$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set,  $\text{Int}_x G$  is dense in  $G$ .*

A space which satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1.1 is called an *almost- $P$ -space*.

*Examples.* 1) Any  $P$ -space is an almost- $P$ -space.

2) The one-point compactification of an uncountable discrete space is an almost- $P$ -space since any non-empty  $G_\delta$  of such a space contains an isolated point of the space.

3) W. Rudin proved in [9] that  $\beta N - N$  is an almost- $P$ -space.

4) A generalization of Example 3 due to Fine and Gillman [2] is that if  $X$  is locally compact and realcompact,  $\beta X - X$  is an almost- $P$ -space. Thus, for example,  $\beta \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{R}$  is an almost- $P$ -space.

5) In [6] it is proved that  $\bar{R}$  which is the Dedekind completion of the  $\eta_1$ -set  $\bar{Q}$  is an almost- $P$ -space (See [3, Chapter 13] for definitions).

---

Received December 19, 1975 and in revised form, July 30, 1976 and November 19, 1976.

## 2. Properties of almost- $P$ -spaces.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *A dense subset or an open subset of a (Baire) almost- $P$ -space is a (Baire) almost- $P$ -space.*

*Proof.* The statements regarding open subsets are trivial. Suppose  $X$  is an almost- $P$ -space and  $D$  is a dense subset of  $X$ . Suppose  $A$  is a non-empty  $G_\delta$ -set of  $D$ ; then  $A = B \cap D$  where  $B$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set of  $X$ .  $\text{Int}_X B \neq \emptyset$ , so  $(\text{Int}_X B) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\text{Int}_D A \neq \emptyset$ . Thus,  $D$  is an almost- $P$ -space. Now suppose that  $X$  is a Baire space. If  $U_i$  is a dense open subset of  $D$  for each  $i$  in  $N$ , there are sets  $V_i$  such that each  $V_i$  is open in  $X$  and  $U_i = V_i \cap D$ .  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = (\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i) \cap D$ . Since  $X$  is Baire,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$  is dense in  $X$  and so, by (iv) of Proposition 1.1,  $\text{Int}_X \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i$  is dense in  $X$ . Therefore, since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \supseteq (\text{Int}_X \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i) \cap D$ ,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$  is dense in  $D$ . This shows that  $D$  is a Baire space.

*Remark.* Not every subspace of an almost- $P$ -space is necessarily an almost- $P$ -space. In [5] an example is given of an almost- $P$ -space which contains a closed copy of the space of rationals.

It is not in general the case that if  $X$  is an almost- $P$ -space,  $\beta X$  is an almost- $P$ -space. For example,  $\beta N$  is not an almost- $P$ -space since the non-empty  $G_\delta$ -set  $\beta N - N$  has empty interior. However, we have the following:

**PROPOSITION 2.2.**  *$\beta X$  is an almost- $P$ -space if and only if  $X$  is a pseudocompact almost- $P$ -space.*

*Proof.* (Necessity) If  $\beta X$  is an almost- $P$ -space, so is  $X$  by Proposition 2.1. Furthermore, if  $X$  were not pseudocompact, some non-empty zero-set of  $\beta X$  would be contained in  $\beta X - X$  and hence would have empty interior.

(Sufficiency) Suppose  $X$  is a pseudocompact almost- $P$ -space. If  $Z$  is a non-empty zero-set of  $\beta X$ ,  $Z \cap X \neq \emptyset$  (since  $X$  is pseudocompact). Therefore,  $\text{Int}_{\beta X} Z \supseteq \text{Int}_X (Z \cap X) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Remark.* It is not hard to prove that  $X$  is an almost- $P$ -space if and only if the Hewitt realcompactification of  $X$  is.

The space  $\bar{R}$  which was Example 5 of § 1 will be of particular interest to us. We therefore summarize in the following proposition the properties of  $\bar{R}$  which we will need.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.**  *$\bar{R}$  is a connected, totally ordered (and hence locally compact) almost- $P$ -space such that  $|\bar{R}| = 2^{\aleph^1}$ .  $\bar{R}$  has no first or last element and  $\beta \bar{R}$  is the two-point compactification of  $\bar{R}$ .  $\beta \bar{R}$  is a compact, connected, totally ordered almost- $P$ -space such that  $|\beta \bar{R}| = 2^{\aleph^1}$ .  $\bar{R}$  and  $\beta \bar{R}$  each have  $2^{\aleph^0}$  points which fail to be  $P$ -points.*

*Proof.* See [3] and [4].

**3. Compactness and cardinal questions.** According to Proposition 2.3, if  $2^{\aleph_1} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , that is  $LH$ , there is a compact dense-in-itself almost- $P$ -space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , namely,  $\beta\bar{R}$ . In fact,  $LH$  is the only condition under which such a space exists.

PROPOSITION 3.1. (See [8] or [12]). *If  $X$  is a compact, dense-in-itself almost- $P$ -space, then  $|X| \geq 2^{\aleph_1}$ .*

COROLLARY 3.2.  *$LH$  is equivalent to the existence of a compact dense-in-itself almost- $P$ -space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$ .*

*Remarks.* 1) The one-point compactification of the discrete space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$  is a compact almost- $P$ -space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$  even without set theoretic assumptions, so the “dense-in-itself” is essential to the corollary.

2) The set  $X_0$  of non- $P$ -points of  $\bar{R}$  can be easily seen to be a countably compact almost- $P$ -space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$  and hence compactness is also essential in the corollary.

Corollary 3.2 suggests the following question.

*Question 1.* Can a dense-in-itself almost- $P$ -space  $X$  have a compactification of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$ ? What if  $X$  is Baire?

Of course, by Corollary 3.2, Question 1 is interesting only if  $LH$  is not assumed.

One consequence of the fact that  $\bar{R}$  has cardinal  $2^{\aleph_1}$  involves the following:

THEOREM 3.3 (Mrowka [7]). *Every compact space of cardinal less than  $2^{\aleph_1}$  has a point of first countability. Thus if  $LH$  fails, every compact space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$  has a point of first countability.*

In his proof of the above theorem, Mrowka explicitly assumes the denial of  $LH$ . We ask if the denial of  $LH$  is needed to prove Mrowka’s theorem. The answer is that it is indeed required—under  $LH$ ,  $\beta\bar{R}$  is compact, has cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , and yet has no point of first countability since it is a dense-in-itself almost- $P$ -space. Thus, we have:

COROLLARY 3.4.  *$LH$  fails if and only if every compact space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$  has a point of first countability.*

*Question 2.* If  $LH$ , does every compact space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$  have a non-trivial convergent sequence?

*Remark.* A famous problem attributed to Efimov asks whether every compact space contains either a non-trivial convergent sequence or a copy of  $\beta N$ . A negative answer to Question 2 clearly implies a negative answer to Efimov’s problem under  $LH$ .

**4.  $P$ -points of almost- $P$ -spaces.** Formally the definition of an almost- $P$ -space is close to that of a  $P$ -space—in a  $P$ -space zero sets are open whereas

in an almost- $P$ -space zero sets have dense interiors. We have seen examples of almost- $P$ -spaces which are not  $P$ -spaces (the one-point compactification of an uncountable discrete space, for example). Furthermore, it is possible for an almost- $P$ -space to have no  $P$ -points. In fact,  $X_0 = \{x \in \bar{R} \mid x \text{ is not a } P\text{-point of } \bar{R}\}$  is a countably compact almost- $P$ -space with no  $P$ -points. The question of  $P$ -points in compact almost- $P$ -spaces seems to be difficult.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Any compact, totally ordered, zero-dimensional almost- $P$ -space  $[a, b]$  has a dense set of  $P$ -points.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that if  $(c, d) = \emptyset$ , then  $c$  is a  $P$ -point. If  $c = a$  we are done. Therefore, we may assume  $c > a$ . Any  $G_\delta$ -set which contains  $c$  contains a set of the form  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (y_i, c]$  where  $y_i < c$  for each  $i$ . This is an interval, and, since  $[a, b]$  is an almost- $P$ -space and  $c$  has immediate successor, it contains an open set containing  $c$ . Thus  $c$  is a  $P$ -point.

The next theorem, although not insuring the existence of  $P$ -points, does say that there are points which act like  $P$ -points with respect to certain families of functions.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a compact almost- $P$ -space and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a family of continuous functions on  $X$  such that the density of  $\mathfrak{F}$  in the uniform norm topology is at most  $\aleph_1$ . Then there is a dense subset  $D$  of  $X$  such that if  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $x \in D$ , there is a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $V$ .*

**LEMMA** (See [8; 12; or 13]). *If  $X$  is a compact almost- $P$ -space and  $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda < \omega_1}$  is a family of open dense subsets of  $X$ , then  $\bigcap_{\lambda < \omega_1} B_\lambda$  is dense in  $X$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 4.2.* Let  $\{g_\lambda\}_{\lambda < \omega_1}$  be a dense subset of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . For each  $\lambda < \omega_1$ , let

$$\hat{B}_\lambda = \bigcap_{\delta < \lambda} \left( \bigcup_{r \in \mathbf{R}} \text{Int}_X g_\delta^{-1}(r) \right).$$

Since  $X$  is an almost- $P$ -space, each  $\bigcup_{r \in \mathbf{R}} \text{Int}_X g_\delta^{-1}(r)$  is dense and open. Therefore, by the Baire category theorem each  $\hat{B}_\lambda$  is dense in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is an almost- $P$ -space and  $\hat{B}_\lambda$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set,  $B_\lambda = \text{Int}_X \hat{B}_\lambda$  is also dense in  $X$ . Therefore, by the lemma,  $D = \bigcap_{\lambda < \omega_1} B_\lambda$  is dense in  $X$ . Now suppose  $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ . There are indices  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$  such that  $\{g_{\lambda_k}\}$  converges to  $f$ . Now if  $x \in D$ , let  $V = \text{Int}_X \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{\lambda_k}^{-1}(g_{\lambda_k}(x))$ . Then since  $x \in D$ ,  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ . Furthermore, each  $g_{\lambda_k}$  is constant on  $V$ . Therefore, if  $y \in V$ ,  $f(y) = \lim_k g_{\lambda_k}(y) = \lim_k g_{\lambda_k}(x) = f(x)$ . Hence,  $f$  is constant on  $V$ .

The following corollary which generalizes a theorem of Plank [8] also follows from a theorem of Vekslar [11] which states that if the weight of a compact almost- $P$ -space is  $\aleph_1$ , then the space has a dense set of  $P$ -points, and a theorem of Smirnov [10] which says that for compact  $X$ , the weight of  $X$  is the same as the density of  $C(X)$ .

**COROLLARY 4.3.** *If  $X$  is a compact almost- $P$ -space such that the density of the space of continuous functions is  $\aleph_1$ , then  $X$  has a dense set of  $P$ -points. In particular, under  $CH$  if there are only  $2^{\aleph_0}$  continuous functions,  $X$  has a dense set of  $P$ -points.*

*Remark.* It is possible to show that if in Theorem 4.2,  $X$  is assumed to be dense-in-itself, then  $D$  may be taken so that  $|D| \geq 2^{\aleph_1}$ . Thus, in Corollary 4.3 if  $X$  is dense-in-itself, there are at least  $2^{\aleph_1}$   $P$ -points.

*Question 3.* Does every compact almost- $P$ -space have a  $P$ -point? If  $LH$ , does every compact almost- $P$ -space of cardinal  $2^{\aleph_0}$  have a  $P$ -point?

#### REFERENCES

1. P. J. Cohen, *Set theory and the continuum hypothesis* (W. A. Benjamin, 1966).
2. N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Extensions of continuous functions in  $\beta N$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1960), 376–381.
3. L. Gillman, and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions* (Van Nostrand, 1960).
4. R. Levy, *Non-Blumberg Baire spaces and related topics*, Thesis, Washington University, 1974.
5. ———, *Showering spaces*, Pac. J. Math. 57 (1975), 223–232.
6. ———, *Strongly non-Blumberg spaces*, Gen. Top. App. 4 (1974), 173–177.
7. S. Mrowka, *On the potency of compact spaces and the first axiom of countability*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Math. Ast. Phys. 6 (1958), 7–9.
8. D. Plank, *On a class of subalgebras of  $C(X)$  with applications to  $\beta X - X$* , Fund. Math. 64 (1969), 41–54.
9. W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Cech compactifications*, Duke Math. J. 23 (1956), 409–419.
10. Yu. M. Smirnov, *On the ring of bounded continuous functions over a normal space*, Mat. Sbornik N.S. 30 (72) (1952), 213–218 (Russian).
11. A. I. Veksler,  *$P'$ -points,  $P'$ -sets,  $P'$ -spaces: A new class of order-continuous measures and functionals*, Sov. Math. Dokl. 14 (1973), 1445–1450 (Eng. Trans.).
12. R. C. Walker, *The Stone-Cech compactification* (Springer-Verlag, 1974).
13. H. E. White, *Blumberg's theorem in topological spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 44 (1974), 454–462.

*George Mason University,  
Fairfax, Virginia*