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The authors wish to make readers aware that two of the symptoms on the HAM-D – loss of weight and loss of insight – were reverse coded in the early assessments. The analyses have been corrected and there are minor changes to the paper. The mean (SD) for loss of weight was 0.59 (0.84), while the mean (SD) for loss of insight was 0.24 (0.51). A three-cluster model still best fit the data and the profiles were similar to those presented earlier, but the distribution of patients changed. A total of 39% had the lowest mean scores, and 15% had the highest mean scores across symptoms. Clusters were differentiated by sex, education, stress, and ADL and IADL limitations. The variable reduction techniques resulted in some slight changes to the symptom groupings but the representative symptoms and resulting latent class analysis were unchanged. Specific details of the changes are available from the corresponding author upon request.
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Owing to a miscommunication at proof stage, the words “with dementia” were omitted from this article in paragraph 4 line 7 on p S62 where they should have been placed between the words “people” and “in”. The correct wording of the clause is “... the number with dementia in the second most populous state (Victoria) will exceed the total number of people with dementia in Australia today”.
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