

## ON THE DIFFERENTIALS OF CERTAIN MATRIX FUNCTIONS

DAVID L. POWERS

**1. Introduction.** In [5], Rinehart showed that if  $X$  is an  $n \times n$  complex matrix with distinct eigenvalues, then a suitably defined diagonalizing matrix  $P$  and the diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$  of eigenvalues in  $P^{-1}XP = \Lambda$  are both Hausdorff differentiable functions in an open set containing  $X$ . Furthermore, if the scalar function  $f(z)$  is analytic at the eigenvalues of  $X$ , then the primary matrix function  $f(X)$  is Hausdorff differentiable, and its differential may be represented in terms of the differentials of  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  [4]. Rinehart noted that the actual computation of differentials was difficult and *ad hoc*. This difficulty clearly arises because of the definition given for the diagonalizing matrix. Therefore, our aim in this note is to give a different definition of the diagonalizing matrix, one which simplifies the computations.

**2. Preliminaries.** It will be useful to employ the notation  $\mathfrak{D}(M)$  for the matrix made from  $M$  by replacing all off-diagonal elements with zeros. For instance, if  $M = [m_{ij}]$ , then  $\mathfrak{D}(M) = \text{diag}\{m_{11}, \dots, m_{nn}\}$ .

Define  $E_j$  to be the  $n \times n$  matrix which has a 1 in the  $(j, j)$  position and zeros elsewhere.  $\mathfrak{D}(M) = \sum_{j=1}^n E_j M E_j$  is another representation for the operator  $\mathfrak{D}$ .

We shall also need the commuting reciprocal inverse [2] (a generalized inverse) denoted by a superscript  $c$ . An  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  has a commuting reciprocal inverse if and only if zero is a root of multiplicity 1 or 0 in the minimum equation. In the case of multiplicity 0,  $M^c = M^{-1}$ . In either case,  $M^c$  may be realized as the primary function  $g(M)$ , where  $g(0) = 0$ ,  $g(z) = z^{-1}$ , otherwise. The properties of  $M^c$  are:  $MM^c = M^cM$ ,  $MM^cM = M$ ,  $M^cMM^c = M^c$ , and  $(P^{-1}MP)^c = P^{-1}M^cP$ , for any non-singular  $P$ .

**3. Definitions and differentials of  $P$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and  $f(X)$ .** The specification of the diagonalizing matrix  $P$  is of primary importance; thus we begin with a lemma concerning such matrices. Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the set of  $n \times n$  matrices  $M = [m_{ij}]$  which have disjoint Geršgorin disks. That is,  $\Delta_i(M) \cap \Delta_j(M) = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ), where

$$\Delta_i(M) = \left\{ z: |z - m_{ii}| \leq \sum_{j \neq i} |m_{ij}| \right\}.$$

---

Received June 5, 1970 and in revised form, September 23, 1970.

It is easily verified that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is an open set containing all diagonal matrices with distinct eigenvalues.

LEMMA. *There exists a unique matrix-valued function  $Y(M)$  defined for all  $M$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  having the following properties:*

- (a)  $Y(M)$  is non-singular,
- (b)  $Y(M)$  diagonalizes  $M$ ,
- (c)  $Y(M)$  is a continuous function of  $M$ ,
- (d)  $Y(M) = I$  if  $M$  is diagonal,
- (e)  $\mathfrak{D}(Y(M)) = I$  for all  $M$ .

*Proof.* Let  $M$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  be given and let  $\mu_j$  be the eigenvalue of  $M$  contained in  $\Delta_j(M)$ . Consider the equations

$$(1a) \quad (M - \mu_j I)y_j = 0,$$

$$(1b) \quad e_j^T y_j = 1,$$

in which  $y_j$  is an  $n \times 1$  matrix to be found and  $e_j$  is the  $j$ th column of the identity.

Equation (1a) has a solution which is unique up to a scalar multiplier. If the elements of  $y_j$  are  $y_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $y_{jj} \neq 0$ ; for otherwise  $\mu_j$  would lie in some Geršgorin disk  $\Delta_i(M)$  with  $i \neq j$ , which is impossible. Thus equation (1b) provides a normalizing condition for the eigenvector  $y_j$  of  $M$ .

If the  $j$ th equation of (1a) is replaced by (1b), the resulting  $n \times n$  system has a coefficient matrix which is diagonally dominant. Moreover, each entry in this coefficient matrix depends continuously on  $M$ , and consequently the same is true for  $y_j$ .

We define  $Y(M)$  to be the matrix whose  $j$ th column is  $y_j$ . Properties (c), (d), and (e) follow from the properties of the columns; (a) and (b) are satisfied since the columns of  $Y(M)$  are eigenvectors corresponding to the distinct eigenvalues of  $M$ . Also, it is clear that  $Y(M)$  is uniquely defined.

Now let  $X_0$  be any  $n \times n$  complex matrix with distinct eigenvalues, and let  $P_0$  be any non-singular matrix which diagonalizes  $X_0$ , namely  $P_0^{-1}X_0P_0 = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1^0, \lambda_2^0, \dots, \lambda_n^0\}$ . Then for all matrices  $X$  in some neighbourhood of  $X_0$ ,  $P_0^{-1}XP_0$  lies in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . For such  $X$  we define  $Q(X) = Y(P_0^{-1}XP_0) - I$  and  $P(X) = P_0(I + Q(X))$ . As a consequence of the lemma,  $P(X)$  is the unique matrix which:

- (a) diagonalizes  $X$ ;
- (b) satisfies  $P_0^{-1}P(X) = I + Q(X)$  with  $\mathfrak{D}(Q(X)) = 0$ ;
- (c) is a continuous function of  $X$  and equals  $P_0$  at  $X = X_0$ .

Also, because of the properties of the diagonalizing matrix, the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues,  $\Lambda(X) = P^{-1}(X)XP(X)$ , is a uniquely defined and continuous function of  $X$  in some neighbourhood of  $X_0$ .

The Hausdorff differentials of  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  may now be calculated from their defining equation. We use the notation  $dX$  for an "increment" in  $X$ ;  $dP$  and  $d\Lambda$

represent the Hausdorff (or Fréchet) differentials of  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  at the point  $X$ , evaluated for increment  $dX$ . Also, the argument  $X$  of the functions  $P(X)$ ,  $Q(X)$ , and  $\Lambda(X)$  will be suppressed during calculations.

The defining equations for  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  are

$$P^{-1}P = I, \quad P^{-1}XP = \Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}.$$

On differentiating these, we find first that  $d(P^{-1}) = -P^{-1}(dP)P^{-1}$ , and second that

$$-P^{-1}(dP)P^{-1}XP + P^{-1}(dX)P + P^{-1}X(dP) = d\Lambda.$$

Now set  $P^{-1}(dP) = G$ , so that the equation above becomes

$$(2) \quad -G\Lambda + P^{-1}(dX)P + \Lambda G = d\Lambda.$$

Evidently, the matrix  $d\Lambda$  must be diagonal, and since  $\Lambda G - G\Lambda$  has zeros on its diagonal, we conclude that

$$(3) \quad d\Lambda = \mathfrak{D}(P^{-1}(dX)P).$$

The matrix  $G = [g_{ij}]$  may now be determined. Equations (2) and (3) together yield

$$G\Lambda - \Lambda G = P^{-1}(dX)P - d\Lambda = K = [k_{ij}].$$

The off-diagonal elements of  $G$  are thus

$$(4) \quad g_{ij} = k_{ij} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)^{-1} \quad (i \neq j).$$

The diagonal elements of  $G$  are determined from the normalizing condition:

$$(I + Q)G = P_0^{-1}PP^{-1}dP = P_0^{-1}dP = dQ.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{D}(dQ) = 0$ , we must have  $\mathfrak{D}(G) = -\mathfrak{D}(QG)$ , which in terms of the elements of  $G$  means that

$$(5) \quad g_{ii} = - \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij} g_{ji}.$$

(It should be mentioned that the formulas for  $G$  were inspired by the so-called method of Collar and Jahn, a technique for improving approximate eigenvectors [1].)

It is now a simple matter to establish expressions for  $dP$  and  $d\Lambda$  which display their character as Hausdorff differentials. (The representations are not unique.) First, equation (3) may be rewritten immediately as

$$(6) \quad d\Lambda = \sum E_j P^{-1}(dX) P E_j.$$

Equations (4) and (5) may be rewritten as

$$G - \mathfrak{D}(G) = \sum (\lambda_j I - \Lambda)^c K E_j,$$

$$\mathfrak{D}(G) = -\sum E_j Q G E_j = -\sum E_j Q (G - \mathfrak{D}(G)) E_j = -\sum E_j Q (\lambda_j I - \Lambda)^c K E_j,$$

and these two combined yield

$$(7) \quad G = \sum (I - E_j Q)(\lambda_j I - \Lambda)^c K E_j.$$

Finally, an expression for  $dP$  is

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} dP &= \sum P(I - E_j Q)(\lambda_j I - \Lambda)^c P^{-1}(dX) P E_j \\ &= \sum P(I - E_j Q) P^{-1}(\lambda_j I - X)^c (dX) P E_j. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM.** *If  $X$  is sufficiently close to the matrix  $X_0$  and if  $P(X)$ ,  $\Lambda(X)$ , and  $Q(X)$  are defined as above, then the Hausdorff or Fréchet differentials of  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  are given by (6) and (8).*

With the above definitions for  $P$  and  $\Lambda$  and the relations for their differentials, it is now easier to compute the differential of a primary matrix function  $f(X)$ . We restate a theorem given by Rinehart [4].

**THEOREM (Rinehart [4]).** *If the scalar function  $f(z)$  is analytic at the eigenvalues of  $X_0$ , then for all  $X$  sufficiently close to  $X_0$  the primary matrix function  $f(X)$  is differentiable and its Hausdorff or Fréchet differential is given by*

$$(9) \quad df = P(X)[Gf(\Lambda) + f'(\Lambda)d\Lambda - f(\Lambda)G]P^{-1}(X),$$

where  $f'(\Lambda)$  is the primary function  $f'(z)$  evaluated at the diagonal matrix  $\Lambda = \Lambda(X)$ .

It should be noted that since  $f(\Lambda)$  is diagonal, the expression  $Gf(\Lambda) - f(\Lambda)G$  has zeros on the diagonal, and  $G$  may be replaced by  $G - \mathfrak{D}(G)$ .

**4. Fréchet derivatives.** The computations of formulas become simpler and the formulas themselves more interesting when calculations are carried out in terms of tensor products. For  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $X$ , let  $A \times B$  be the partitioned matrix  $[a_{ij}B]$ , and let  $X_v$  be the  $n^2 \times 1$  column

$$[x_{11}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{nn}]^T.$$

The following rules hold [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} (AXB)_v &= (B^T \times A)X_v, \\ (\mathfrak{D}(X))_v &= DX_v, \quad \text{where } D = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_n. \end{aligned}$$

In this notation, equations (2) and (3) become

$$(2') \quad (\Lambda \times I - I \times \Lambda)G_v = (P^{-1}(dX)P - d\Lambda)_v,$$

$$(3') \quad (d\Lambda)_v = D(P^{-1}(dX)P)_v.$$

Since  $D(\Lambda \times I - I \times \Lambda) = (\Lambda \times I - I \times \Lambda)D = 0$ , we have

$$(\Lambda \times I - I \times \Lambda)(I - D)G_v = (I - D)(P^{-1}(dX)P)_v.$$

Also, by the definition of the commuting reciprocal inverse,

$$(\Lambda \times I - I \times \Lambda)^c D = 0$$

