

On Valuations, Places and Graded Rings Associated to $*$ -Orderings

Igor Klep

Abstract. We study natural $*$ -valuations, $*$ -places and graded $*$ -rings associated with $*$ -ordered rings. We prove that the natural $*$ -valuation is always quasi-Ore and is even quasi-commutative (*i.e.*, the corresponding graded $*$ -ring is commutative), provided the ring contains an imaginary unit. Furthermore, it is proved that the graded $*$ -ring is isomorphic to a twisted semigroup algebra. Our results are applied to answer a question of Cimpric regarding $*$ -orderability of quantum groups.

1 Introduction

The notion of a $*$ -ordering on a division $*$ -ring was introduced by Holland [Ho2] as an analogue to the notion of a total ordering. This theory was developed further by several authors, *e.g.*, by Craven, Chacron [Ch] and Marshall. Marshall [Ma1, Ma2] and Craven–Smith [CS] also extended the theory to $*$ -rings and in particular to $*$ -domains. Major tools in this theory are valuations and graded rings. To each $*$ -ordering of a domain we can associate a natural $*$ -valuation and a graded $*$ -ring [Ma1, Ma2]. In order to study these objects, we introduce $*$ -places motivated by the notion of real places associated with total orderings as introduced and studied by Marshall–Zhang [MZ1]. We show that the natural $*$ -valuation ν associated with a $*$ -ordered domain A is quasi-Ore (for the definition see §2). Furthermore, if A contains a central skew element i satisfying $i^2 = -1$ (we call such an element an *imaginary unit*), then ν is quasi-commutative, *i.e.*, the corresponding graded $*$ -ring $\text{gr}(A, \nu)$ is commutative. If A is a \mathbb{C} -algebra, this result can be further improved. In this case it is shown that $\text{gr}(A, \nu)$ is isomorphic to a twisted semigroup ring $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c]$ for an ordered cancellative abelian semigroup Γ and a positive symmetric factor set $c: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

These results are used in the last section to answer a question posed by Cimpric [Ci, §6]. We show that noncommutative quantum affine spaces and quantum Weyl fields do not admit $*$ -orderings (independent of the involution chosen).

2 Basic Definitions and Preliminary Results

Throughout this paper A will denote a domain with involution and $\text{Sym } A$ will be the set of its symmetric elements. A subset $P \subseteq A$ is called a *$*$ -ordering* provided the

Received by the editors December 14, 2004; revised February 1, 2005.

AMS subject classification: 14P10, 16S30, 16W10.

Keywords: $*$ -orderings, valuations, rings with involution.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 2007.

following hold:

- (O₁) $1 \in P, P + P \subseteq P,$
- (O₂) $rPr^* \subseteq P$ for all $r \in A,$
- (O₃) $P \cup -P = \text{Sym } A,$
- (O₄) $P \cap -P = \{0\},$
- (O₅) $a, b \in P \Rightarrow \{a, b\} := ab + ba \in P.$

If Γ is an ordered cancellative abelian semigroup, then an onto mapping $v: A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is a **-valuation* if:

- (V₁) $v(x) = \infty$ iff $x = 0,$
- (V₂) $v(xy) = v(x) + v(y)$ for all $x, y \in A^\times,$
- (V₃) $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in A,$
- (V₄) $v(x^*) = v(x)$ for all $x \in A.$

Here $A^\times := A \setminus \{0\}$. The *corresponding graded *-ring* $\text{gr}(A, v)$ is constructed as follows. We form $A_\alpha := \{x \in A \mid v(x) \geq \alpha\}, A_\alpha^+ := \{x \in A \mid v(x) > \alpha\}$ and $\bar{A}_\alpha := A_\alpha/A_\alpha^+.$ Then $\text{gr}(A, v) := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \bar{A}_\alpha$ is given the componentwise addition, the multiplication induced by $(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) \mapsto \overline{ab}$ for $a \in A_\alpha$ and $b \in A_\beta$ and the involution defined by $\bar{a}^* := \overline{a^*}.$ Then v induces a *-valuation $\text{gr}(v): \text{gr}(A, v) \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ given by $\text{gr}(v)(\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \bar{a}_\alpha) = \gamma,$ where γ is the least $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\bar{a}_\gamma \neq 0$ if $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \bar{a}_\alpha \neq 0.$

We define a relation \sim_v on A^\times by $x \sim_v y \Leftrightarrow v(x) < v(x - y).$ This is a semigroup congruence and is *-invariant (i.e., $x \sim_v y$ implies $x^* \sim_v y^*$). For details we refer the reader to [Ho1].

Definition Let $v: A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ be a *-valuation.

- (i) v is *compatible* with a *-ordering P of A iff $x \sim_v y \in P$ implies $x \in P$ for all $x, y \in \text{Sym } A^\times.$
- (ii) v is called *quasi-commutative* iff for all $a, b \in A^\times$ we have $ab \sim_v ba.$ Obviously, v is quasi-commutative iff $v(ab - ba) > v(ab)$ for all $a, b \in A^\times$ and this is the case iff $\text{gr}(A, v)$ is commutative.
- (iii) v is *quasi-Ore* iff for all $a, b \in A^\times$ there exist $r, s \in A^\times$ such that $ra \sim_v sb.$ Note that this condition is left-right symmetric by the properties of $\sim_v.$
- (iv) If Γ is a subsemigroup of $\mathbb{Z},$ then v is called *discrete*.

Remark Clearly, if $\text{gr}(A, v)$ is an Ore domain, then v is quasi-Ore. The converse is false in general, but it holds in special cases, e.g., if v is discrete [Co, Theorem 4.2].

If $v: A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is a *-valuation, we write $\mathcal{O}_v := A_0$ and $\mathfrak{m}_v := A_0^+.$ If A is a division *-ring, then \mathcal{O}_v is an *invariant valuation *-ring* and \mathfrak{m}_v is its maximal *-ideal. In general, \mathfrak{m}_v is only a completely prime *-ideal of $\mathcal{O}_v.$ Hence the *residue *-ring* $k_v := \mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{m}_v$ is only a domain and not necessarily a division ring.

To each *-ordering $P \subseteq A$ a *natural (order-compatible) *-valuation* v_P can be associated as follows. The *-ordering P gives an order relation \leq on $\text{Sym } A,$ which induces the archimedean equivalence \approx on $\text{Sym } A.$ We extend the latter to the whole A by declaring, for all $a, b \in A^\times,$ that $a < b$ if $aa^* \leq nbb^*$ for some positive integer $n,$ and $a \approx b$ if $a < b$ and $b < a.$ Denote by $v_P(a)$ the equivalence class of $a \in A^\times$ and $v_P(0) := \infty.$ Then the relation $<$ induces a total ordering of the set $\Gamma_P := v_P(A^\times).$

By [Ma1, Theorem 3.3], the binary operation $v_P(a) + v_P(b) := v_P(ab)$ is well defined on Γ_P , so Γ_P becomes an ordered cancellative abelian semigroup. Marshall [Ma1] observed that v_P is a $*$ -valuation and $s_1s_2 \sim_v s_2s_1$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in \text{Sym } A^\times$. We say that v is *quasi-commutative for symmetric elements*.

3 $*$ -Places and Graded $*$ -Rings

Proposition 1 *Assume $v: A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is a $*$ -valuation quasi-commutative for symmetric elements with $v(2) = 0$. Then v is quasi-Ore and symmetric elements of $\text{gr}(A, v)$ commute. If, furthermore, v is discrete, then $\text{gr}(A, v)$ is an Ore domain.*

Proof Since v is quasi-commutative for symmetric elements, we have $v(s_1s_2 - s_2s_1) > v(s_1s_2)$ for all $s_1, s_2 \in \text{Sym } A^\times$. Now let $a, b \in A^\times$ be arbitrary. Define $r_1 := a^*bb^*$ and $r_2 := b^*aa^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v(ar_1 - br_2) &= v(aa^*bb^* - bb^*aa^*) = v((aa^*)(bb^*) - (bb^*)(aa^*)) \\ &> v(aa^*bb^*) = v(ar_1) = v(br_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence v is quasi-Ore. Note that an element $a = \sum \bar{a}_\alpha \in \text{gr}(A, v)$ is symmetric iff $\bar{a}_\alpha \in \bar{A}_\alpha$ is symmetric for every α . By a simple induction argument, to prove that symmetric elements of $\text{gr}(A, v)$ commute, it suffices to show that two symmetric elements of the form $\bar{a}_\alpha, \bar{b}_\beta$ commute. Moreover, as $2\bar{a} = 0$ implies $\bar{a} = 0$ for all $\bar{a} \in \text{gr}(A, v)$ by the assumption $v(2) = 0$, it is enough to prove that $2\bar{a}_\alpha, 2\bar{b}_\beta$ commute. Since \bar{a}_α is symmetric, $a_\alpha \sim_v a_\alpha^*$ and thus $v(a_\alpha + a_\alpha^*) = v(a_\alpha)$. Hence $2\bar{a}_\alpha = \overline{a_\alpha + a_\alpha^*}$ and $a_\alpha + a_\alpha^*$ is symmetric. Similarly, $2\bar{b}_\beta = \overline{b_\beta + b_\beta^*}$. Since v is quasi-commutative for symmetric elements, $(a_\alpha + a_\alpha^*)(b_\beta + b_\beta^*) \sim_v (b_\beta + b_\beta^*)(a_\alpha + a_\alpha^*)$ and so $(2\bar{a}_\alpha)(2\bar{b}_\beta) = (2\bar{b}_\beta)(2\bar{a}_\alpha)$, as desired. Finally, the last statement of the proposition follows from [Co, Theorem 4.2]. ■

Theorem 2 *If A is a $*$ -ordered domain and v the natural $*$ -valuation, then v is quasi-Ore. If also, A contains an imaginary unit, then v is quasi-commutative.*

Proof By [Ma1, 3.3 Theorem] and Proposition 1, v is quasi-Ore. So let us assume that $i \in A$ is an imaginary unit. Observe that every $*$ -ordering of A extends uniquely to a $*$ -ordering of the central localization $A_\mathbb{N} (\cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})$. Hence we may assume that $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$. For every $x \in A$ we have $x = \frac{x+x^*}{2} + i \frac{x-x^*}{2i}$. In other words, $x = x_1 + ix_2$ for (uniquely determined) $x_1, x_2 \in \text{Sym } A$. Let $a, b \in A^\times$ be arbitrary. Write $a = a_1 + ia_2$ and $b = b_1 + ib_2$ for symmetric a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ab - ba &= (a_1 + ia_2)(b_1 + ib_2) - (b_1 + ib_2)(a_1 + ia_2) \\ &= (a_1b_1 - b_1a_1) + (b_2a_2 - a_2b_2) + i(a_1b_2 - b_2a_1) + i(a_2b_1 - b_1a_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the triangle inequality,

$$v(ab - ba) \geq \min \{ v(a_1b_1 - b_1a_1), v(b_2a_2 - a_2b_2), v(a_1b_2 - b_2a_1), v(a_2b_1 - b_1a_2) \}.$$

Now use [Ma1, 3.3(5) Theorem] to get

$$\begin{aligned} v(ab - ba) &> \min \{ v(a_1b_1), v(a_2b_2), v(a_1b_2), v(a_2b_1) \} \\ &= \min\{v(a_1), v(a_2)\} + \min\{v(b_1), v(b_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By [Ma2, 2.4 Proposition], the right-hand side of the last equation equals $v(a) + v(b) = v(ab)$. Hence $v(ab - ba) > v(ab)$, as required. ■

An application of this result will be given in the next section, where we answer a question posed by Cimprič [Ci]. For another application we refer the reader to [KM].

If D is a $*$ -ordered division ring and v is the natural $*$ -valuation, then $k_v = \mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{m}_v$ is a $*$ -ordered division subring of \mathbb{H} , cf. [Ho2]. Hence we have a $*$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_v \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$. We extend this to a map $D \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \cup \{\infty\}$ by mapping $D \setminus \mathcal{O}_v \rightarrow \{\infty\}$. This mapping is called a $*$ -place. For more on $*$ -places on division rings we refer the reader to [Cr].

Proposition 3 *If A is a $*$ -ordered domain and v the natural $*$ -valuation, then k_v is a $*$ -ordered subring of \mathbb{H} . If A also contains an imaginary unit, then k_v is a $*$ -ordered subring of \mathbb{C} .*

Proof Write P for the $*$ -ordering of A . Let $\bar{a}, \bar{b} \in k_v^\times$. As $v(aa^*bb^* - bb^*aa^*) > v(aa^*bb^*) = 0$, we have $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{a}^*\bar{b}\bar{b}^* = \bar{b} \cdot \bar{b}^*\bar{a}\bar{a}^*$. In other words, k_v is an Ore domain. Moreover, P induces an archimedean $*$ -ordering \bar{P} of k_v . By [CS, Corollary 2.5], \bar{P} extends to a $*$ -ordering Q of $\text{Quot}(k_v)$. Let w denote the natural $*$ -valuation of $\text{Quot}(k_v)$. By a result of Holland [Ho2, 4.1], $w(as - sa) > w(as)$ for all $a, s \in \text{Quot}(k_v)$ with $s = s^*$. Obviously, $w|_{k_v}$ is the natural $*$ -valuation associated with the $*$ -ordering \bar{P} of k_v . Since \bar{P} is archimedean, $w|_{k_v}$ is trivial. This implies that symmetric elements of k_v are central. Furthermore, \bar{P} induces an archimedean total ordering of $\text{Sym } k_v$, hence $\text{Sym } k_v$ is an ordered subring of \mathbb{R} . Form the central localization $B := k_v(\text{Sym } k_v^\times)^{-1}$. Clearly, $B \subseteq \text{Quot}(k_v)$, hence Q induces a $*$ -ordering of B . From the definition of B it is easy to see that this $*$ -ordering is archimedean. Moreover, by results of Herstein [He], B is finite dimensional over its center. As it is also a domain, B must be a division ring. Hence by a theorem due to Holland [Ho1], B is a $*$ -ordered division subring of \mathbb{H} . In particular, k_v is a $*$ -ordered subring of \mathbb{H} .

If A contains an imaginary unit, then k_v is commutative by Theorem 2 and thus a $*$ -ordered subring of \mathbb{C} . ■

Again, by this proposition we have a mapping $A \rightarrow \mathbb{H} \cup \{\infty\}$. We call it the *weak $*$ -place* associated with P . A $*$ -place associated with P will be a mapping $(\text{Sym } A \times \text{Sym } A) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ with certain properties. In order to define it, we need the following classical result.

Lemma 4 ([Fu, Ch. IV]) *Let $(A, +, \leq)$ be a totally ordered abelian group and v the natural order-compatible valuation. For $a, b > 0$ and $v(a) = v(b)$ there exists a unique real number $\mu(a, b) \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\mu(a, b) \in [\frac{m}{n}, \frac{m+1}{n}]$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $mb \leq na \leq (m + 1)b$.*

Assume $P \subseteq A$ is a $*$ -ordering. Then $(\text{Sym } A, +)$ is an abelian group and P is a total ordering of $\text{Sym } A$. Hence, using Lemma 4 we can define a map $\wp : (\text{Sym } A \times \text{Sym } A) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ as follows

$$\wp(a, b) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } v(a) < v(b), \\ \mu(|a|, |b|) & \text{if } v(a) = v(b), \{a, b\} \in P, \\ -\mu(|a|, |b|) & \text{if } v(a) = v(b), -\{a, b\} \in P, \\ 0 & \text{if } v(a) > v(b). \end{cases}$$

This mapping is the $*$ -place associated with P . Let us note some properties of \wp . For all $a, b \in \text{Sym } A$, not both zero, we have

- (P₁) $\wp(a, b) = \infty$ iff $\wp(b, a) = 0$,
- (P₂) if $\wp(a, b), \wp(b, c) \neq \infty$, then $\wp(a, b)\wp(b, c) = \wp(a, c)$,
- (P₃) if $\wp(a, c), \wp(b, c) \neq \infty$, then $\wp(a, c) + \wp(b, c) = \wp(a + b, c)$,
- (P₄) $\wp(a, b) = \wp(\{a, c\}, \{b, c\})$ for all $c \in \text{Sym } A^\times$,
- (P₅) $\wp(a, b) = \wp(r^*ar, r^*br)$ for all $r \in A^\times$.

In case $i \in A$ is an imaginary unit, we can extend \wp to a mapping $(A \times A) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as follows. As before, we assume $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq A$. We first extend \wp to $(A \times \text{Sym } A) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\wp(a_1 + ia_2, b) := \wp(a_1, b) + i\wp(a_2, b)$ for $a_1, a_2, b \in \text{Sym } A$. This is well defined since every $a \in A$ can be written uniquely as $a = a_1 + ia_2$ for symmetric a_1, a_2 . For the second step, we define $\wp(a, b) := \frac{1}{2}\wp(ab^* + b^*a, bb^*)$ for $a, b \in A$, not both zero. We claim that this is well defined. Let $a \in A$ and $s \in \text{Sym } A$. We have to show that $\wp(a, s) = \frac{1}{2}\wp(as + sa, s^2)$. Let $a = a_1 + ia_2$ for $a_j \in \text{Sym } A$. Then $\wp(a, s) = \wp(a_1, s) + i\wp(a_2, s)$ and $\wp(as + sa, s^2) = \wp(a_1s + sa_1, s^2) + i\wp(a_2s + sa_2, s^2)$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that a is symmetric as well. But then our claim follows from (P₄).

Proposition 5 ([MZ2, §1.3 Notes]) *Assume A is a $*$ -ordered domain and a \mathbb{C} -algebra and let v denote the natural $*$ -valuation. If for $a, b \in A$, $v(a) \geq v(b)$, then $\wp(a, b) = \mu$ iff $v(a - \mu b) > v(b)$.*

Proof It is easy to see that $\wp(a, b) = \mu$ iff $\wp(a - \mu b, b) = 0$. So it suffices to prove the statement for $\mu = 0$. By definition, $\wp(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}\wp(ab^* + b^*a, bb^*)$. Write $ab^* + b^*a = c_1 + ic_2$ for symmetric c_1, c_2 . Then $\wp(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}\wp(c_1, bb^*) + \frac{i}{2}\wp(c_2, bb^*)$. By [Ma2, 2.4 Proposition], $v(ab^* + b^*a) = \min\{v(c_1), v(c_2)\}$. On the other hand, $v(ab^* + b^*a) = v(ab^*)$ by Theorem 2. If $v(a) > v(b)$, then $v(c_j) > v(bb^*)$ for $j = 1, 2$. Thus $\wp(a, b) = 0$ by the definition of \wp . Conversely, if $\wp(a, b) = 0$, then $\wp(c_j, bb^*) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2$. Hence $v(c_j) > v(bb^*)$ and so $v(ab^*) = v(ab^* + b^*a) > v(bb^*)$. This implies $v(a) > v(b)$, as desired. ■

In the rest of this section we sharpen Theorem 2 for $*$ -ordered \mathbb{C} -algebras by showing that the corresponding graded ring is isomorphic to a twisted semigroup ring. As our semigroups are abelian and written additively, we use the exponential notation for twisted semigroup rings.

Proposition 6 ([MZ2, 2.3 Example(1)]) *Let Γ be an ordered cancellative abelian semigroup. Consider the twisted semigroup ring $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c]$ with the twisting given by $t^\alpha t^\beta = c(\alpha, \beta)t^{\alpha+\beta}$, where $c: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a positive symmetric factor set, i.e.,*

- (FS₁) $c(\alpha, \beta) > 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$,
- (FS₂) $c(\alpha, 0) = c(0, \alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$,
- (FS₃) $c(\alpha, \beta)c(\alpha + \beta, \gamma) = c(\alpha, \beta + \gamma)c(\beta, \gamma)$ for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$,
- (FS₄) $c(\alpha, \beta) = c(\beta, \alpha)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

The involution on $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c]$ fixes Γ pointwise and $z^ = \bar{z}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c]$ is a graded commutative $*$ -domain. A $*$ -valuation $v: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c] \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is defined as follows: if $a = \sum a_\alpha t^\alpha \neq 0$, then $v(a)$ is the smallest $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that $a_\alpha \neq 0$. $*$ -orderings of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c]$ compatible with v are in a natural one-to-one correspondence $\sigma \mapsto P_\sigma$ with semigroup homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$. A nonzero $a = \sum a_\alpha t^\alpha$ is symmetric iff $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma$ such that $a_\alpha \neq 0$. Such an element a is positive with respect to P_σ iff $\sigma(\alpha)a_\alpha > 0$, where $\alpha = v(a)$. Also, v is the natural $*$ -valuation associated with P_σ .*

Proof This is straightforward. For example, to prove that $\sigma \mapsto P_\sigma$ is a bijection, we proceed as follows. $*$ -orderings of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c]$ compatible with v are total orderings of $\text{Sym } \mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c] = \mathbb{R}[\Gamma, c]$ compatible with v that are closed under $*$ -conjugation. But total orderings of $\mathbb{R}[\Gamma, c]$ compatible with v are all of the form P_σ and these are closed under $*$ -conjugation. ■

Theorem 7 ([MZ2, 2.3 Example(2)]) *Suppose P is a $*$ -ordering of a \mathbb{C} -algebra A and $v: A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ the natural $*$ -valuation.*

- (i) *For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$ there exists $s_\alpha \in P$ with $v(s_\alpha) = \alpha$.*
- (ii) *The mapping $\bar{A}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\bar{a} \mapsto \wp(a, s_\alpha)$, where $\wp: (A \times A) \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the $*$ -place associated with P , is an isomorphism.*
- (iii) *The mapping $c: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $c(\alpha, \beta) := \wp(\{s_\alpha, s_\beta\}, s_{\alpha+\beta})$ is a positive symmetric factor set. Furthermore, $\sum \bar{a}_\alpha \mapsto \sum \wp(a_\alpha, s_\alpha)t^\alpha$ defines a $*$ -isomorphism between $\text{gr}(A, v)$ and $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma, c]$.*

Proof For $\alpha \in \Gamma$ choose $x \in A$ satisfying $v(x) = \alpha$. If $x = x_1 + ix_2$ for symmetric x_1, x_2 , then $v(x) = \min\{v(x_1), v(x_2)\}$ by [Ma2, 2.4 Proposition]. Say $v(x_1) = \alpha$. If $x_1 \in P$, then $s_\alpha := x_1$. Otherwise $-x_1 \in P$, and we take $s_\alpha := -x_1$. This proves (i).

To prove (ii), let $a = a_1 + ia_2$. If $v(a_1) \neq v(a_2)$, then $\bar{a} = \bar{a}_j$ with j such that $v(a_j) = \min\{v(a_1), v(a_2)\}$. In this case $\wp(a, s_\alpha) = \wp(a_j, s_\alpha)$ is a real number and furthermore, every real number can be obtained in this way since A is a \mathbb{C} -algebra. If $v(a_1) = v(a_2)$, then $\wp(a, s_\alpha) = \wp(a_1, s_\alpha) + i\wp(a_2, s_\alpha)$. By the same reasoning as above, $\wp(-, s_\alpha)$ maps A_α onto \mathbb{C} . Now if $\wp(a, s_\alpha) = \wp(b, s_\alpha)$ for some $a, b \in A_\alpha$, then $\wp(a - b, s_\alpha) = 0$. Hence $v(a - b) > \alpha$ and thus $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$ in \bar{A}_α . This shows that the mapping $\bar{A}_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, given by $\bar{a} \mapsto \wp(a, s_\alpha)$, is injective. Since it is obviously a homomorphism, it is an isomorphism, as desired.

(FS₁) and (FS₂) for c follow immediately from the definition of \wp and so does (FS₄) since Γ is abelian. The long and tedious calculation needed to prove (FS₃) is left to the interested reader as an exercise. The rest of (iii) then follows from (ii). ■

Note that Proposition 6 and Theorem 7 combined with [Ma2, 2.5 Proposition] yield a Krull–Baer type result. Namely, if $P \subseteq A$ is a $*$ -ordering, where A is a \mathbb{C} -algebra and $\nu: A \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ is the natural $*$ -valuation, then the set of all $*$ -orderings of A compatible with ν is in a natural one-to-one correspondence with the set of all semigroup homomorphisms $\Gamma \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$.

4 $*$ -Orderability of Quantum Groups

In [Ci] Cimpric studied orderability and real spectra of certain classes of quantum groups. At the end of that paper he asked for a similar characterization of $*$ -orderings of these quantum groups, see [Ci, §6]. We give an answer to his question by showing that quantum Weyl fields “rarely” admit $*$ -orderings.

Proposition 8 *Assume A is a k -algebra containing elements x, y satisfying $yx = \sigma xy$ for $\sigma \in k \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then A does not admit a quasi-commutative valuation that is trivial on k .*

Proof Assume otherwise and let ν be a quasi-commutative valuation of A that is trivial on k . Then $\nu(xy) < \nu(xy - yx) = \nu(xy - \sigma xy) = \nu(1 - \sigma) + \nu(xy)$. Hence $\nu(1 - \sigma) > 0$, a contradiction. ■

Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, n}^{j=i+1, \dots, n}$ be a sequence of nonzero complex numbers. The quantum affine space $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the \mathbb{C} -algebra on n generators x_1, \dots, x_n subject to relations $x_i x_j = q_{ij} x_j x_i$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. It is well known that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is an Ore domain. Its division ring of fractions is denoted by $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{q}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and called the quantum Weyl field.

Corollary 9 *If $q_{ij} \neq 1$ for some i, j , then the quantum affine space $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is not $*$ -orderable (independent of the involution chosen). The same holds true for the quantum Weyl field $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{q}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.*

Proof This follows easily from Proposition 8 and Theorem 2. ■

Remark

(i) A special case of Corollary 9 was given in [CKM]. The authors proved that the complex quantum plane $\mathbb{C}\langle X, Y \rangle / (XY - qYX)$ for $q \neq 0, 1$ does not admit $*$ -orderings for certain kinds of involutions.

(ii) If $q_{ij} = 1$ for all i, j , then $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{q}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the ordinary polynomial algebra over \mathbb{C} in n commuting variables and $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbf{q}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is its quotient field. Existence of $*$ -orderings of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, resp., $\mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ depends on the involution chosen. In the case $x_i^* = x_i$ and $*$ is conjugation on \mathbb{C} , $*$ -orderings of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, resp., $\mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are precisely total orderings of $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, resp., $\mathbb{R}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. These have been fully classified, see [KKMZ].

(iii) The quantum Gelfand–Kirillov conjecture states that the division ring of fractions of a quantum group is always a quantum Weyl field. Even though it is known to be false in general, it does hold in a variety of cases. By Corollary 9, these quantum groups are never $*$ -orderable.

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank the referee for his careful reading and numerous useful comments.

References

- [Ch] M. Chacron, *c-orderable division rings with involution*. J. Algebra **75**(1982), no. 2, 495–522.
- [Ci] J. Cimprič, *Real spectra of quantum groups*. J. Algebra **277**(2004), no. 1, 282–297.
- [CKM] J. Cimprič, M. Kochetov, and M. Marshall, *Orderings and $*$ -orderings on cocommutative Hopf algebras*. To appear in Algebr. Represent. Theory, preprint available at <http://vega.fmf.uni-lj.si/srag>.
- [Co] P. M. Cohn, *On the embedding of rings in skew fields*. Proc. London Math. Soc. **11**(1961), 511–530.
- [Cr] T. Craven, *Places on $*$ -fields and the real holomorphy ring*. Comm. Algebra **18**(1990), no. 9, 2791–2820.
- [CS] T. Craven and T. Smith, *Ordered $*$ -rings*. J. Algebra **238**(2001), no. 1, 314–327.
- [Fu] L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963.
- [He] I. N. Herstein, *Special simple rings with involution*. J. Algebra **6**(1967), no. 3, 369–375.
- [Ho1] S. S. Holland, *Orderings and square roots in $*$ -fields*. J. Algebra **46**(1977), no. 1, 207–219.
- [Ho2] ———, *Strong orderings on $*$ -fields*. J. Algebra **101**(1986), no. 1, 16–46.
- [KM] I. Klep and P. Moravec, *On $*$ -orderable groups*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **200**(2005), no. 1–2, 25–35.
- [KKMZ] F.-V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann, M. Marshall, and M. Zekavat, *Embedding ordered fields in formal power series fields*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **169**(2002), no. 1, 71–90.
- [Ma1] M. Marshall, *$*$ -orderings on a ring with involution*. Comm. Algebra **28**(2000), no. 3, 1157–1173.
- [Ma2] ———, *$*$ -orderings and $*$ -valuations on algebras of finite Gelfand–Kirillov dimension*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **179**(2003), no. 3, 255–271.
- [MZ1] M. Marshall, and Y. Zhang, *Orderings, real places and valuations on noncommutative integral domains*. J. Algebra **212**(1999), no. 1, 190–207.
- [MZ2] ———, *Orderings and valuations on twisted polynomial rings*. Comm. Algebra **28**(2000), no. 8, 3763–3776.

Institute for Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics
Department of Mathematics
University of Ljubljana
Jadranska 19
SI-1111 Ljubljana
Slovenia
e-mail: igor.klep@fmf.uni-lj.si