be rare (e.g. Yoruba, Met frequency 0.0004 in a group of 226 sampled; African Americans 0.05 in a group of 90) so that a genetic association analysis with depression would require very large samples.

Although we would anticipate the functional impact of being a Met carrier to be similar across population groups, we agree with Yeebo that this would warrant further investigation.
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Relevance of 123I-FP-CIT SPECT brain scans in routine clinical settings

The findings from Walker et al’s study1 do not come as a surprise. The high sensitivity and specificity of 123I-ioflupane injection (123I-FP-CIT) single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) in diagnosing dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) relate to a highly selected group of individuals, where underlying vascular pathology, severe mental and physical illness (including delirium) as well as medication interference were excluded. The working group also based their findings on a large group of patients with DLB, in comparison to rather modest size groups previously reported.

How relevant is this study to those of us working in a routine clinical setting? What is the sensitivity and specificity of the 123I-FP-CIT SPECT brain scan in differentiating DLB from other dementia syndromes – and pseudodementia in our patients with more advanced age – with polypharmacy, polycomorbidity or recovering from a prolonged spell of acute confusion? Our own clinical experience working with older people with mental and medical health problems suggests that patients can be easily misdiagnosed as having DLB based on their 123I-FP-CIT SPECT scans, and this includes individuals with major depression, severe brain trauma accompanied by widespread vascular white matter changes and small vessel disease, HIV encephalopathy, and even an older adult with mild intellectual disability with frontal lobe syndrome and extensive hyperperfusion as demonstrated on the SPECT brain scan. This is another confirmation of the clinician’s gullibility when faced with 123I-FP-CIT SPECT altered scans, as confirmed by Walker et al.1

With the availability of 123I-FP-CIT SPECT scans, it is unclear what we have learned from the use of this imaging technique: do we use them for DLB diagnosis – based on their abnormal findings alone – or do we put them in the wider context of our patients’ clinical symptomatology and medical history? There is a well-documented inverse relationship between vascular lesions and Lewy body pathology;3 30% of patients with fronto-temporal lobe dementia have abnormal scans and a significant reduction in uptake in the putamen and the caudate6 (also highlighted by Walker et al1). About 5% of people diagnosed with DLB in fact have vascular dementia4 and altered suspected 123I-FP-CIT SPECT are also found in Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease.5 It is of note that the influence of antipsychotic6 and antidepressant medication7 in older adults has largely been neglected in research studies in the public domain. The evidence from a limited number of animal8 and human9 studies clearly indicates that medication (e.g. haloperidol, citalopram, sertraline) reduces with 123I-FP-CIT dopaminergic binding to the dopaminergic transporter. However, there is an overwhelming lack of evidence for the most frequently used drugs in the older population, including a number of dopaminergic antagonists, the influence of polypharmacy, the effect of chronic administration of these drugs and modifying effects of advanced age. Until such data are available, it is not surprising that clinicians would be inclined to diagnose and/or accept the diagnosis of DLB based on the evidence of a dopaminergic abnormality. Even in their strictly controlled study, Walker et al1 report 5.4% mismatch between 123I-FP-CIT SPECT scan findings and clinical DLB diagnosis. It is now the responsibility of the DLB research community to provide us with further clarification of clinical situations and exclusion criteria when using 123I-FP-CIT SPECT scans to diagnose DLB in busy clinical settings.


Authors’ reply: The data presented were the culmination of a well-designed European multicentre study which adds a valuable data-set on the clinical usefulness of 123I-FP-CIT SPECT (DaTSCAN). Although it is correct that the participants in the study were a selected group, as is the case in all clinical trials and similar studies, the sample overall was probably not significantly different in terms of general comorbidities and
medication to real-world memory clinic patients. It is correct, for example, that vascular pathology and other key comorbidities were excluded but their presence in many instances may point to a different diagnostic pathway. As such, many of these excluded patients would not require a $^{123}$I-FP-CIT scan to confirm a diagnosis.

We feel that the result of the study is particularly relevant to clinicians in everyday practice. It was not intended as a measure of sensitivity and specificity but rather as a tool of clinical utility in the absence of a recognised gold standard for the diagnosis of DLB. Hence, it is more about the impact on diagnosis than on diagnostic accuracy.

We agree with Mukaetova-Ladinska & Scully that comorbidities which are prevalent in older people presenting with cognitive impairment add to the diagnostic difficulties. But it is also correct that in these patients specifically, additional information obtained through the $^{123}$I-FP-CIT scan could prove to be very helpful. For example, the presence of depression and a positive $^{123}$I-FP-CIT scan may raise the possibility of an emerging DLB picture when other symptoms start to point in this direction. But certainly the $^{123}$I-FP-CIT scan is not intended as a stand-alone test. First, vascular pathology and structural abnormalities will need to be excluded by magnetic resonance imaging or computed tomography. The $^{123}$I-FP-CIT scan thereafter can be considered as an adjunct and in no way replaces a full history, cognitive assessment and physical examination. Hence, it is a supporting imaging technique which is considered in the consensus criteria as a suggestive feature. We also agree with Mukaetova-Ladinska & Scully that frontal lobe symptoms present a further set of diagnostic challenges. However, such symptoms when present could raise the possibility of a frontotemporal dementia and then more appropriate metabolic or perfusion scans may be needed.

It is true that some drugs do alter the overall dopamine transporter uptake, but in practice, few of these will have an impact on visual analysis of $^{123}$I-FP-CIT scan images and in reality only a few drugs need to be withdrawn. Of the drugs mentioned for example, haloperidol does block postsynaptic D$_2$ receptors but not the dopamine transporter and therefore should not affect uptake. We do believe, however, that more studies are still needed to clarify this issue. Likewise, more data are still needed to support further the clinical utility of the $^{123}$I-FP-CIT scan investigation and outcomes.
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Correction

Reciprocal associations between smoking cessation and depression in older smokers: findings from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. BJPsych, 207, 243–249. The following funding acknowledgement was omitted:

The study was in part funded by Greenwich University, Cancer Research UK and the Economic and Social Research Council. The funders had no role in the study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in writing of the report; or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

Consequently, usage of the published paper is governed by the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence.

The online version of this paper has been corrected post-publication, in deviation from print and in accordance with this correction.
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