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We appreciate the comments from Andreone (2016) regarding our proposed alternative strategy for addressing the amphibian crisis. Andreone recognizes the utility of an Incident Command System approach but doubts the feasibility of implementation at an international level. We stated in our original article, however, that ‘the feasibility of our suggestion is debatable, but our point is that radically different approaches are necessary to effectively manage the largest extinction event in modern history’ (Muths & Fisher, 2015). There are examples of where such top-down strategies are being applied; e.g. for the brown tree snake Boiga irregularis (Stanford & Rodda, 2007), and biosecurity planning for Micronesia and Hawaii (NAVFAC Pacific, 2016). Another example is presented by Andreone. In Madagascar a community-wide conservation plan has been developed complete with prioritization of specific actions (Andreone, 2016). As with any top-down strategy, challenges will surface, especially when making decisions that affect economics. We note this caveat in our article, and Andreone points out such issues in Madagascar, where there are mismatches in priorities between biodiversity conservation and civil needs. Our suggestion is that a new paradigm needs to be considered given the gravity of this threat. Andreone recognizes the utility of an Incident Command System approach but doubts the feasibility of implementation at an international level. This disease received attention from high-level officials from Canada, the USA and Mexico at the Trilateral Committee for Wildlife and Ecosystem Conservation and Management in 2015 and 2016 (Mumme, 2015; Stoett & Temby, 2015). In contrast to Bsal being a ‘USA-centric’ issue, it is an example of a continental-scale issue that is being addressed cooperatively by three countries coming together through the Trilateral.

The potential invasion of Bsal into North America is a good example because it is a specific, identified threat that is amenable to a top-down, Incident Command System type of response. This disease received attention from high-level officials from Canada, the USA and Mexico at the Trilateral Committee for Wildlife and Ecosystem Conservation and Management in 2015 and 2016 (Mumme, 2015; Stoett & Temby, 2015). The impact of Bsal extends beyond North America (Sabino-Pinto et al., 2015). The significance of this threat was recognized at upper governmental levels, and funding was allocated for surveillance monitoring across the USA, starting in 2016, and for a working group to identify key research needs and strategies (Grant et al., 2016). The impact of Bsal extends beyond North America (Sabino-Pinto et al., 2015). Although the pathogen was identified in Europe, and has already had significant impacts on European urodèles (Martel et al., 2015; Spitzen-van der Sluijs et al., 2013), 47% of the global urodele diversity is represented in the USA and Mexico (a developing nation). The Trilateral management vehicle is an international mechanism to implement priorities, in this case for a large proportion of urodele diversity.

Overall we find no real contradiction in what Andreone writes. We all seem to recognize the potential of an Incident Command System, or at least a more top-down, approach. Our example from the North American Trilateral and Andreone’s description of the efforts in Madagascar illustrate the first steps towards a paradigm shift. As with any shift, it is the implementation rather than the imagining that is the challenge. Andreone draws attention to the dichotomy between what is possible in developed versus developing nations regarding implementation. However, a focus on this dichotomy may be a red herring. In developed countries implementation can be onerous. An example is the level of bureaucracy in the USA or Australia, where actions can be thwarted as a result of many levels of review by multiple agencies, implementation slowed, and management effectiveness reduced (Martin et al., 2012). In contrast, in developing countries a few people with the right resources
can be effective in implementing actions at relevant time scales (e.g. Gratwicke et al., 2012). Thus, we stand by our suggestion that a shift to an Incident Command System-style effort for amphibian conservation is worthy of consideration.
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