

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Virasoro constraints for stable pairs on toric threefolds

Miguel Moreira¹, Alexei Oblomkov², Andrei Okounkov³ and Rahul Pandharipande⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, Zurich, CH-8092, Switzerland;

E-mail: miguel.moreira@math.ethz.ch.

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Univ. of Massachusetts, N Pleasant St 710, Amherst, MA 01003, USA;

E-mail: oblomkov@math.umass.edu.

³Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, 2990 Broadway, New York, NY 10027, USA,

Laboratory of Representation Theory and Mathematical Physics, HSE, Usacheva St, 6, Moscow, 119048, Russia;

E-mail: okounkov@math.columbia.edu.

⁴Department of Mathematics, ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, Zurich, CH-8092, Switzerland, E-mail: rahul@math.ethz.ch.

Received: 8 September 2020; **Revised:** 9 January 2022; **Accepted:** 10 February 2022

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: *Primary* – 14N35; *Secondary* – 14D21

Abstract

Using new explicit formulas for the stationary Gromov–Witten/Pandharipande–Thomas (GW/PT) descendent correspondence for nonsingular projective toric threefolds, we show that the correspondence intertwines the Virasoro constraints in Gromov–Witten theory for stable maps with the Virasoro constraints for stable pairs proposed in [18]. Since the Virasoro constraints in Gromov–Witten theory are known to hold in the toric case, we establish the stationary Virasoro constraints for the theory of stable pairs on toric threefolds. As a consequence, new Virasoro constraints for tautological integrals over Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces are also obtained.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Stable pairs	2
1.2	Virasoro constraints for stable pairs	4
1.3	The Virasoro bracket	6
1.4	Virasoro constraints for surfaces	7
1.5	Path of the proof	7
1.6	Gromov–Witten theory	8
1.7	The GW/PT correspondence for essential descendents	10
1.8	Plan of the paper	11
2	Virasoro constraints for Gromov–Witten theory	12
2.1	Overview	12
2.2	Gromov–Witten constraints: original form	13
2.3	Gromov–Witten constraints: correspondence form	14
2.4	Gromov–Witten constraints: stationary form	16
3	Theorem 1.1: Virasoro constraints for stable pairs	18
3.1	Intertwining property	18
3.2	Conventions for $(-1)!\text{ch}_1(c_1)$	19
3.3	Proof of Proposition 7	20

3.4	Proof of Theorem 1.1	23
4	Intertwining I: basic case	24
4.1	Overview	24
4.2	Leading term	25
4.3	Intertwining for $D = 1$	26
5	Intertwining II: noninteracting insertions	28
5.1	Overview	28
5.2	Intertwining shift operators	28
5.3	Proof of Theorem 3.1 for $\mathbb{D}_{PT}^1 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$	39
6	Intertwining III: interacting insertions	39
6.1	Overview	39
6.2	Interactions among two insertions	40
6.3	Interactions among three insertions	42
6.4	Proof of Theorem 3.1	43
7	Virasoro constraints for Hilbert schemes of points of surfaces	44
8	GW/PT descendent correspondence: review	47
8.1	Vertex operators	47
8.2	Stable pairs	48
8.3	Equivariant correspondence	49
8.4	Nonequivariant limit	50
8.5	Examples for $X = \mathbb{P}^3$	51
8.6	Residues	52
9	Residue computation	52
9.1	Preliminary computations	52
9.2	Proof of Proposition 15	53
9.2.1	Case $\widetilde{H}_{k_1+1}(\gamma_1)$	53
9.2.2	Case $\widetilde{H}_{k_1+1, k_2+1}(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2)$	54
9.2.3	Case $\widetilde{H}_{k_1+1, k_2+1, k_3+1}(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_3)$	55
10	Degree 1 series for \mathbb{P}^3	56
10.1	Stationary descendent series	56
10.2	Descendents of 1	58
10.3	Examples of the Virasoro relations	59
10.3.1	\mathcal{L}_2^{PT}	59
10.3.2	Stationary example	60
10.3.3	Nonstationary example	60

1. Introduction

1.1. Stable pairs

Let X be a nonsingular projective threefold. A *stable pair* (F, s) on X is a coherent sheaf F on X and a section $s \in H^0(X, F)$ satisfying the following stability conditions:

- F is *pure* of dimension 1,
- the section $s : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F$ has cokernel of dimension 0.

To a stable pair, we associate the Euler characteristic and the class of the support C of the sheaf F ,

$$\chi(F) = n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad [C] = \beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

For fixed n and β , there is a projective moduli space of stable pairs $P_n(X, \beta)$. Unless β is an effective curve class, the moduli space $P_n(X, \beta)$ is empty. An analysis of the deformation theory and the construction

of the virtual cycle $[P_n(X, \beta)]^{vir}$ is given in [28]. We refer the reader to [21, 29] for an introduction to the theory of stable pairs.

Tautological descendent classes are defined via universal structures over the moduli space of stable pairs. Let

$$\pi : X \times P_n(X, \beta) \rightarrow P_n(X, \beta)$$

be the projection to the second factor, and let

$$\mathcal{O}_{X \times P_n(X, \beta)} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n$$

be the universal stable pair on $X \times P_n(X, \beta)$. Let¹

$$\text{ch}_k(\mathbb{F}_n - \mathcal{O}_{X \times P_n(X, \beta)}) \in H^*(X \times P_n(X, \beta)).$$

The following *descendent classes* are our main objects of study:

$$\text{ch}_k(\gamma) = \pi_* (\text{ch}_k(\mathbb{F}_n - \mathcal{O}_{X \times P_n(X, \beta)}) \cdot \gamma) \in H^*(P_n(X, \beta))$$

for $k \geq 0$ and $\gamma \in H^*(X)$. The summand $-\mathcal{O}_{X \times P_n(X, \beta)}$ only affects ch_0 ,

$$\text{ch}_0(\gamma) = - \int_X \gamma \in H^0(P_n(X, \beta)). \tag{1.1}$$

Since stable pairs are supported on curves, the vanishing

$$\text{ch}_1(\gamma) = 0$$

always holds.

We will study the following descendent series:

$$\left\langle \text{ch}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \text{ch}_{k_m}(\gamma_m) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{PT}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^n \int_{[P_n(X, \beta)]^{vir}} \prod_{i=1}^m \text{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i). \tag{1.2}$$

For fixed curve class $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$, the moduli space $P_n(X, \beta)$ is empty for all sufficiently negative n . Therefore, the descendent series (1.2) has only finitely many polar terms.

Conjecture 1 ([28]). *The stable pairs descendent series*

$$\left\langle \text{ch}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \text{ch}_{k_m}(\gamma_m) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{PT}}$$

is the Laurent expansion of a rational function of q for all $\gamma_i \in H^*(X)$ and all $k_i \geq 0$.

For Calabi–Yau threefolds, Conjecture 1 reduces immediately to the rationality of the basic series $\langle 1 \rangle_{\beta}^{\text{PT}}$ proven via wall-crossing in [2, 31]. In the presence of descendent insertions, Conjecture 1 has been proven for a rich class of varieties [23, 24, 25, 26, 27], including all nonsingular projective toric threefolds.

For our study of the Gromov–Witten/Pandharipande–Thomas (GW/PT) descendent correspondence and the Virasoro constraints, modified stable pair descendent insertions will be more suitable for us. Let²

$$\tilde{\text{ch}}_k(\alpha) = \text{ch}_k(\alpha) + \frac{1}{24} \text{ch}_{k-2}(\alpha \cdot c_2),$$

¹We will always take singular cohomology with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

²We set $\text{ch}_{\ell}(\gamma) = 0$ for $\ell < 0$.

where $c_2 = c_2(T_X)$ is the second Chern class of the tangent bundle, and let

$$\left\langle \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m}(\gamma_m) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{PT}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^n \int_{[P_n(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)$$

be the corresponding descendent series.

1.2. Virasoro constraints for stable pairs

Let X be a nonsingular projective threefold with only (p, p) -cohomology.³ Let

$$c_i = c_i(T_X) \in H^*(X).$$

The simplest example is \mathbb{P}^3 with

$$c_1 = 4H, \quad c_1 c_2 = 24p,$$

where H and p are the classes of the hyperplane and the point, respectively.

Let \mathbb{D}_{PT}^X be the commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with generators

$$\{ \text{ch}_i(\gamma) \mid i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^*(X) \}$$

subject to the natural relations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_i(\lambda \cdot \gamma) &= \lambda \text{ch}_i(\gamma), \\ \text{ch}_i(\gamma + \widehat{\gamma}) &= \text{ch}_i(\gamma) + \text{ch}_i(\widehat{\gamma}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\gamma, \widehat{\gamma} \in H^*(X)$.

In order to define the Virasoro constraints for stable pairs, we require three constructions in the algebra \mathbb{D}_{PT}^X :

- Define the derivation R_k on \mathbb{D}_{PT}^X by fixing the action on the generators:

$$R_k(\text{ch}_i(\gamma)) = \left(\prod_{n=0}^k (i + d - 3 + n) \right) \text{ch}_{i+k}(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Q})$$

for $k \geq -1$. In case $k = -1$, the product is empty and

$$R_{-1}(\text{ch}_i(\gamma)) = \text{ch}_{i-1}(\gamma).$$

- Define the element

$$\text{ch}_a \text{ch}_b(\gamma) = \sum_i \text{ch}_a(\gamma_i^L) \text{ch}_b(\gamma_i^R) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X$$

where $\sum_i \gamma_i^L \otimes \gamma_i^R$ is the Künneth decomposition of the product,

$$\gamma \cdot \Delta \in H^*(X \times X),$$

³Our results will be about nonsingular projective toric varieties, but the formulas here are all well-defined when there is no odd cohomology and the Hodge classes in the even cohomology are all (p, p) . To write the Virasoro constraints for varieties with non- (p, p) cohomology requires the Hodge grading and signs. A treatment is presented in [17] where the Virasoro constraints are checked in several non- (p, p) geometries. The theory leads to surprising predictions for vanishings [17].

with the diagonal Δ . The notation

$$(-1)^{d^L d^R} (a + d^L - 3)!(b + d^R - 3)! \text{ch}_a \text{ch}_b(\gamma)$$

will be used as shorthand for the sum

$$\sum_i (-1)^{d(\gamma_i^L)d(\gamma_i^R)} (a + d(\gamma_i^L) - 3)!(b + d(\gamma_i^R) - 3)! \text{ch}_a(\gamma_i^L) \text{ch}_b(\gamma_i^R),$$

where $d(\gamma_i^L)$ and $d(\gamma_i^R)$ are the (complex) degrees of the classes. All factorials with negative arguments vanish.

- Define the operator $T_k : \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X$ by

$$T_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (-1)^{d^L d^R} (a + d^L - 3)!(b + d^R - 3)! \text{ch}_a \text{ch}_b(c_1) + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{a+b=k} a!b! \text{ch}_a \text{ch}_b(c_1 c_2)$$

for $k \geq -1$. The sum here is over all ordered pairs (a, b) satisfying $a + b = k + 2$ with $a, b \geq 0$ (and all factorials with negative arguments vanish). Written in terms of renormalized descendents, the formula simplifies to

$$T_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (-1)^{d^L d^R} (a + d^L - 3)!(b + d^R - 3)! \widetilde{\text{ch}}_a \widetilde{\text{ch}}_b(c_1). \tag{1.3}$$

Definition 2. Let $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}} : \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X$ for $k \geq -1$ be the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}} = T_k + R_k + (k + 1)! R_{-1} \text{ch}_{k+1}(p).$$

Since X is a nonsingular projective threefold with only (p, p) -cohomology, Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch implies

$$\frac{c_1 c_2}{24} = p \in H^6(X),$$

where $p \in H^6(X)$ in the point class. Hence, for our paper, we can write

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}} = T_k + R_k + (k + 1)! R_{-1} \text{ch}_{k+1}\left(\frac{c_1 c_2}{24}\right). \tag{1.4}$$

The operators for more general varieties X defined in [17] specialize to equation (1.4) when all the cohomology is (p, p) .

The operators $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}$ impose constraints on descendent integrals in the theory of stable pairs which are analogous to the Virasoro constraints of Gromov–Witten theory. We formulate the stable pairs Virasoro constraints as follows.

Conjecture 3 ([18]). *Let X be a nonsingular projective threefold with only (p, p) -cohomology, and let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For all $k \geq -1$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X$, we have*

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{PT}} = 0.$$

Our main result is a statement about *stationary descendents* for nonsingular projective *toric* threefolds. The subalgebra $\mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X+} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X$ of stationary descendents is generated⁴ by

$$\{ \text{ch}_i(\gamma) \mid i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^{>0}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \}.$$

⁴Equivalently, $\mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X+}$ is generated by $\{ \widetilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma) \mid i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^{>0}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \}$.

The operators $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}$ are easily seen to preserve $\mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X,+}$. Therefore, the stationary Virasoro constraints are well-defined. We prove that the stationary Virasoro constraints hold in the toric case.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a nonsingular projective toric threefold, and let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For all $k \geq -1$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X,+}$, we have*

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{PT}} = 0.$$

In the basic case of \mathbb{P}^3 , Theorem 1.1 specializes to the Virasoro constraints for stable pairs announced earlier in [21] via equation (1.4). A table of data of stable pairs descendent series for \mathbb{P}^3 is presented in Section 10. The Virasoro constraints are seen to provide nontrivial relations.

1.3. The Virasoro bracket

For $k \geq -1$, we introduce the operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}} = & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (-1)^{d^L d^R} (a + d^L - 3)!(b + d^R - 3)! \text{ch}_a \text{ch}_b(c_1) \\ & + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{a+b=k} a!b! \text{ch}_a \text{ch}_b(c_1 c_2) \\ & + \mathbf{R}_k, \end{aligned}$$

where the sum, as before, is over ordered pairs (a, b) with $a, b \geq 0$.

Our conventions with regard to the factorials in the above definition of $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}$ differ slightly from those of the definition of $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}$. For $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}$, all terms with negative factorial vanish except for the term $(-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)$. For example, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{-1}^{\text{PT}} = \mathbf{R}_{-1} + (-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1) \text{ch}_0(\mathbf{p}).$$

The new conventions will play a role in the exceptional cases in our analysis. We extend the action of \mathbf{R}_k by

$$\mathbf{R}_k((-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)) = -(k - 1)! \text{ch}_{k+1}(c_1).$$

We view $(-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)$ and

$$\mathbf{R}_{-1}((-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)) = -(-2)! \text{ch}_0(c_1)$$

as formal symbols.

We define an equivalence relation $\stackrel{\langle \cdot \rangle}{\cong}$ for operators $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} : \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X$ by

$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\langle \cdot \rangle}{\cong} \mathcal{B} \iff \langle \mathcal{A}(D) \rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{PT}} = \langle \mathcal{B}(D) \rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{PT}} \text{ for all } D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X \text{ and } \beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Inside the bracket, $\text{ch}_0(\mathbf{p})$ acts as -1 , and $\text{ch}_1(\gamma)$ acts as 0 for all $\gamma \in H^*(X)$. Moreover, the formal symbols $(-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)$ and $(-2)! \text{ch}_0(c_1)$ are defined to act as 0 inside the bracket.

Using the equivalence relation $\stackrel{\langle \cdot \rangle}{\cong}$, we obtain the Virasoro bracket and the following bracket with $\text{ch}_k(\mathbf{p})$,

$$[\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{PT}}, \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}] \stackrel{\langle \cdot \rangle}{\cong} (k - n) \mathcal{L}_{n+k}^{\text{PT}}, \quad [\mathcal{L}_n^{\text{PT}}, (k - 1)! \text{ch}_k(\mathbf{p})] \stackrel{\langle \cdot \rangle}{\cong} (n + k)! \text{ch}_{n+k}(\mathbf{p}).$$

The operators $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}$ are expressed in terms of L_k^{PT} by

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}} \stackrel{(\ast)}{=} L_k^{\text{PT}} + (k + 1)! L_{-1}^{\text{PT}} \text{ch}_{k+1}(\rho).$$

The occurrences of the negative factorial terms $(-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)$ cancel on the right side. The expressions L_k^{PT} will play a role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The Virasoro algebra is the unique central extension of the Witt algebra. The Witt algebra is the algebra of polynomial vector fields on the circle and basis

$$L_n = -z^{n+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The relations in the Virasoro algebra Vir are generated by

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n},$$

⁵where c is the central element. The elements $L_n, n \geq -1$ generate a subalgebra $\text{Vir}_{\geq -1}$ of Vir . Only the subalgebra $\text{Vir}_{\geq -1}$ appears to be relevant in our geometric constructions. For further discussion of the full Virasoro algebra in the context of Gromov–Witten theory, the reader may consult [8].

1.4. Virasoro constraints for surfaces

Let S be a nonsingular projective toric surface. As a consequence of the stationary Virasoro constraints for

$$X = S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = n[\mathbb{P}^1], \tag{1.5}$$

we obtain *new* Virasoro constraints for the integrals of the tautological classes over Hilbert schemes of points $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$ of surfaces S in Section 7. The case of *all* simply connected nonsingular projective surfaces is proven in [17].

As we explain in Section 7, the descendent algebra $\mathbb{D}(S)$ for the surface S is generated by the tautological classes $\text{ch}_k(\gamma), \gamma \in H^*(S)$. The classes $\text{ch}_k(\gamma)$ are defined⁶ in terms of the universal ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} on $S \times \text{Hilb}^n(S)$. If X and β satisfy equation (1.5), the tautological integrals over $[P_n(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$ can be expressed in terms of integrals of the tautological classes over $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$. The Virasoro operators L_k^{PT} yield operators L_k^S (see Section 7) on $\mathbb{D}(S)$, and we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let S be a nonsingular projective toric surface. For all $k \geq -1$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}(S)$, we have*

$$\int_{\text{Hilb}^n(S)} \left(L_k^S + (k + 1)! R_{-1} \text{ch}_{k+1}(\rho) \right) (D) = 0$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Taking Theorem 1.2 and [17] as a starting point, D. van Bree [32] formulated parallel Virasoro constraints for the descendent theory of moduli spaces of stable sheaves on surfaces in higher rank (and has provided many numerical checks).

1.5. Path of the proof

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 relies upon two central results. The first is the Virasoro conjecture in Gromov–Witten theory which has been proven for nonsingular projective toric varieties [8, 10]. We refer the reader

⁵Here δ denotes the δ -function: $\delta_k = 0$ unless $k = 0, \delta_0 = 1$.
⁶See formula (7.2).

to the extensive literature on the subject [3, 7, 8, 10, 19, 20, 30]. The second is the stationary GW/PT correspondence of [23, 24, 25] which was cast in terms of vertex operators in [18] and has been proven for nonsingular projective toric threefolds. We show the stationary GW/PT correspondence intertwines the Virasoro constraints of the two theories. Along the way, we derive a more explicit form for the stationary GW/PT correspondence. Our proof of Theorem 1.1 yields the following stronger statement.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a nonsingular projective threefold with only (p, p) -cohomology for which the following two properties are satisfied:*

- (i) *The stationary Virasoro constraints for the Gromov–Witten theory of X hold.*
- (ii) *The stationary GW/PT correspondence holds.*

Then, the stationary Virasoro constraints for the stable pairs theory of X hold.

A challenge for the subject is to prove the Virasoro constraints for stable pairs directly using the geometry of the moduli of sheaves. New ideas will almost certainly be required.

1.6. Gromov–Witten theory

Let X be a nonsingular projective threefold. Gromov–Witten theory is defined via integration over the moduli space of stable maps.

Let C be a possibly disconnected curve with at worst nodal singularities. The genus of C is defined by $1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C)$. Let $\overline{M}'_{g,m}(X, \beta)$ denote the moduli space of stable maps with possibly disconnected domain curves C of genus g with *no* collapsed connected components of genus greater or equal to 2. The latter condition⁷ requires each nonrational and nonelliptic connected component of C to represent a nonzero class in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_i &: \overline{M}'_{g,m}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X, \\ \mathbb{L}_i &\rightarrow \overline{M}'_{g,m}(X, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

denote the evaluation maps and the cotangent line bundles associated to the marked points. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in H^*(X)$, and let

$$\psi_i = c_1(\mathbb{L}_i) \in H^2(\overline{M}'_{g,m}(X, \beta)).$$

The *descendent insertions*, denoted by $\tau_k(\gamma)$ for $k \geq 0$, correspond to classes $\psi_i^k \text{ev}_i^*(\gamma)$ on the moduli space of stable maps. Let

$$\left\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_m}(\gamma_m) \right\rangle_{g,\beta}^{X,\text{GW}} = \int_{[\overline{M}'_{g,m}(X,\beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \psi_i^{k_i} \text{ev}_i^*(\gamma_i)$$

denote the descendent Gromov–Witten invariants. The associated generating series is defined by

$$\left\langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_m}(\gamma_m) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X,\text{GW}} = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{g,\beta}^{X,\text{GW}} u^{2g-2}. \quad (1.6)$$

Since the domain components must map nontrivially, an elementary argument shows the genus g in the sum (1.6) is bounded from below. Foundational aspects of the theory are treated, for example, in [1, 5, 13].

⁷The exclusion here of collapsed connected components of genus greater or equal to 2 matches the conventions of [18]. The definition of $\overline{M}'_{g,m}(X, \beta)$ differs slightly from the definitions of [26, 27], where no collapsed connected components are permitted. The difference is minor; see Section 3 of [18] for a discussion.

Using the above definitions, the string equation⁸ is easily checked:

$$\left\langle \tau_0(1) \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{GW}} = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{k_i - \delta_{i-j}}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{GW}} + \text{collapsed contributions.} \tag{1.7}$$

The Gromov–Witten descendent insertions $\tau_k(\gamma)$ in equation (1.6) are defined for $k \geq 0$. We include the nonstandard descendent insertions $\tau_{-2}(\gamma)$ and $\tau_{-1}(\gamma)$ by the rule:

$$\left\langle \tau_k(\gamma) \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{GW}} = \frac{\delta_{k+2}}{u^2} \int_X \gamma \cdot \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{GW}}, \text{ for } k < 0. \tag{1.8}$$

We impose Heisenberg relations (8.1) on the operators $\tau_k(\gamma)$:

$$[\tau_k(\alpha), \tau_l(\beta)] = (-1)^k \frac{\delta_{k+l+1}}{u^2} \int_X \alpha \cdot \beta. \tag{1.9}$$

In particular, the evaluation (1.8) applies only after commuting the negative descendents to the left.

Assume now that X has only (p, p) -cohomology. Let \mathbb{D}_{GW}^X be the commutative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with generators

$$\{ \tau_i(\gamma) \mid i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^*(X) \}$$

subject to the natural relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_i(\lambda \cdot \gamma) &= \lambda \tau_i(\gamma), \\ \tau_i(\gamma + \widehat{\gamma}) &= \tau_i(\gamma) + \tau_i(\widehat{\gamma}) \end{aligned}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\gamma, \widehat{\gamma} \in H^*(X)$. The subalgebra $\mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^{X+} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^X$ of stationary descendents is generated by

$$\{ \tau_i(\gamma) \mid i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^{>0}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \}.$$

We will use Getzler’s renormalization \mathbf{a}_k of the Gromov–Witten descendents:⁹

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^n \tau_n &= Z^0 + \sum_{n>0} \frac{(uz)^{n-1}}{(1+z c_1)_n} \mathbf{a}_n + \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{n<0} \frac{(uz)^{n-1}}{(1+z c_1)_n} \mathbf{a}_n, \\ Z^0 &= \frac{z^{-2} u^{-2}}{\mathcal{S}\left(\frac{zu}{\theta}\right)} - z^{-2} u^{-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

where we use standard notation for the Pochhammer symbol

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

For example,¹⁰

$$\tau_0(\gamma) = \mathbf{a}_1(\gamma) + \frac{1}{24} \int_X \gamma c_2, \tag{1.11}$$

$$\tau_1(\gamma) = \frac{iu}{2} \mathbf{a}_2(\gamma) - \mathbf{a}_1(\gamma \cdot c_1). \tag{1.12}$$

⁸The standard correction term for the string equation occurs here since we allow collapsed connected components of genus 0 in our definition of the Gromov–Witten descendent series.

⁹We use ι for the square root of -1 . The genus variable u will usually occur together with ι .

¹⁰The constant term $\frac{1}{24} \int_X \gamma c_2$ in the formula does not contribute unless $\gamma \in H^2(X)$.

For $k \geq 2$ and $\gamma \in H^{>0}(X)$, we have the general formula

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_k(\gamma) &= \frac{(iu)^k}{(k+1)!} \mathbf{a}_{k+1}(\gamma) - \frac{(iu)^{k-1}}{k!} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i} \right) \mathbf{a}_k(\gamma \cdot c_1) \\ &\quad + \frac{(iu)^{k-2}}{(k-1)!} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{i^2} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1} \frac{1}{ij} \right) \mathbf{a}_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2). \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

1.7. The GW/PT correspondence for essential descendents

The subalgebra

$$\mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star} \subset \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X+}$$

of essential descendents is generated by

$$\{ \tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma) \mid (i \geq 3, \gamma \in H^{>0}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \text{ or } (i = 2, \gamma \in H^{>2}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \}.$$

While closed formulas for the full GW/PT descendent transformation of [26] are not known in full generality, the stationary theory is much better understood [18].¹¹ The transformation takes the simplest form when restricted to essential descendents.

The GW/PT transformation restricted to the essential descendents is a linear map

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet : \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{GW}^X$$

satisfying

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(1) = 1$$

and is defined on monomials by

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \dots \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_m}(\gamma_m)) = \sum_{P \text{ set partition of } \{1, \dots, m\}} \prod_{S \in P} \mathfrak{C}^\circ\left(\prod_{i \in S} \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)\right).$$

The operations \mathfrak{C}° on $\mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma)) &= \frac{1}{(k_1+1)!} \mathbf{a}_{k_1+1}(\gamma) + \frac{(iu)^{-1}}{k_1!} \sum_{|\mu|=k_1-1} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}(\gamma \cdot c_1)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{k_1!} \sum_{|\mu|=k_1-2} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} + \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{(k_1-1)!} \sum_{|\mu|=k_1-3} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_3}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma) \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma')) &= -\frac{(iu)^{-1}}{k_1! k_2!} \mathbf{a}_{k_1+k_2}(\gamma \gamma') - \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{k_1! k_2!} \mathbf{a}_{k_1+k_2-1}(\gamma \gamma' \cdot c_1) \\ &\quad - \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{k_1! k_2!} \sum_{|\mu|=k_1+k_2-2} \max(\max(k_1, k_2), \max(\mu_1+1, \mu_2+1)) \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}(\gamma \gamma' \cdot c_1), \end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

$$\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma) \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma') \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_3+2}(\gamma'')) = \frac{(iu)^{-2} |k|}{k_1! k_2! k_3!} \mathbf{a}_{|k|-1}(\gamma \gamma' \gamma''), \quad |k| = k_1 + k_2 + k_3. \tag{1.16}$$

¹¹See [14, 15] for an earlier view of descendents and descendent transformations.

The above sums are over *partitions* μ of length 2 or 3. The parts of μ are *positive* integers, and we always write

$$\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$$

with weakly decreasing parts. In equations 1.14–1.16, we have $k_i \geq 0$, and all occurrences of \mathfrak{a}_0 and \mathfrak{a}_{-1} are set to 0. The automorphism factor $\text{Aut}(\mu)$ is defined to equal the product $\prod_{i \geq 1} m_i(\mu)!$, where $m_i(\mu)$ is the multiplicity of occurrence of i in μ .

The above formulas for the GW/PT descendent correspondence are proven here from the vertex operator formulas of [18] by a direct evaluation of the leading terms. In the toric case, we have the following explicit correspondence statement.¹²

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a nonsingular projective toric threefold. Let*

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X\star}.$$

Let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with $d_\beta = \int_\beta c_1(X)$. Then, the GW/PT correspondence defined by formulas (1.14)–(1.16) holds:

$$(-q)^{-d_\beta/2} \left\langle \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right\rangle_\beta^{X,\text{PT}} = (-iu)^{d_\beta} \left\langle \mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right) \right\rangle_\beta^{X,\text{GW}},$$

after the change of variables $-q = e^{iu}$.

As direct consequence of the formulas (1.14)–(1.16), the correspondence taken essential descendents on the stable pairs side to stationary descendents on the stable pairs side.

Proposition 4. *Let $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X\star}$. Under the GW/PT transformation, we have*

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^{X+}.$$

Let S be a nonsingular projective toric surface. As a consequence of the stationary Virasoro constraints for

$$X = S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = n[\mathbb{P}^1],$$

we obtain *new* Virasoro constraints for the integrals of the tautological classes over Hilbert schemes of points $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$ of surfaces S in Section 7. The case of *all* simply connected nonsingular projective surfaces is proven in [17].

1.8. Plan of the paper

The key to our proof of Theorem 1.1 is an intertwining property of \mathfrak{C}^\bullet with respect to Virasoro operators for stable pairs and the Virasoro operators for stable maps. Via the intertwining property, Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the stationary GW/PT correspondence of Theorem 1.4 and the Virasoro constraints for the Gromov–Witten theory of toric threefolds.

The algebra \mathbb{D}_{PT}^X carries a *bumping filtration*¹³

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^0 \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^1 \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^2 \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^3 \subset \dots \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X, \tag{1.17}$$

¹²A straightforward exercise using our new conventions is to show the abstract correspondence of Theorem 1.4 is a consequence of [26, Theorem 4]. The novelty of Theorem 1.4 is the closed formula for the transformation.

¹³The bumping filtration is a filtration of vector spaces.

where \mathbb{D}_{PT}^k is spanned by the monomials¹⁴

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)$$

for which $\gamma_{s_1} \cdots \gamma_{s_l} = 0$ for all subsets

$$S = \{s_1, \dots, s_l\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad l > k.$$

In general the filtration (1.17) has infinite length. But if we restrict the filtration to $\mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$, the filtration truncates since

$$\mathbb{D}_{PT}^3 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star} = \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}.$$

The correspondence

$$\mathbb{C}^\bullet : \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}_{GW}^{X+}$$

respects the analogous bumping filtration $\mathbb{D}_{GW}^k \cap \mathbb{D}_{GW}^{X+}$ on \mathbb{D}_{GW}^{X+} with respect to the monomials

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \tau_{k_i}(\gamma_i)$$

for which $\gamma_{s_1} \cdots \gamma_{s_l} = 0$ for all subsets

$$S = \{s_1, \dots, s_l\} \subset \{1, \dots, m\}, \quad l > k.$$

Our proof of the intertwining is separated into a calculation for each of the four steps of the restriction of the bumping filtration on $\mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$.

We discuss the Virasoro constraints for Gromov–Witten theory in Section 2 and for stable pairs in Section 3. The stationary Virasoro constraints of Theorem 1.1 are proven in Section 3.4 modulo the intertwining of Theorem 3.1. The proof of the intertwining property is given in four steps:

- (0) We start in Section 4 with the special case where $D \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^0 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$ is the trivial monomial 1. The result is Proposition 9 of Section 4.3.
- (1) For $D \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^1 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$, the required results are proven in Section 5.3.
- (2) Proposition 12 and Proposition 13 of Section 6 imply the intertwining property for $D \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^2 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$.
- (3) We treat $D \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^3 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star} = \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$ in Proposition 14 of Section 6 to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1.

After a review of the GW/PT descendent correspondence from the perspective of [18] in Section 8, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4 in Section 9. A list of descendent series in degree 1 for \mathbb{P}^3 is given in Section 10.

2. Virasoro constraints for Gromov–Witten theory

2.1. Overview

We will discuss here the Virasoro constraints for stable maps. The constraints are equivalent to a procedure for removing the descendents of the canonical class. The procedures may be interpreted as series of the reactions (similar to the reactions discussed in the context of the GW/PT descendent

¹⁴Via the empty monomial ($m = 0$), \mathbb{D}_{PT}^0 is spanned by the unit 1.

correspondence in [18, Section 3]). Our goal is to write the Virasoro constraints for Gromov–Witten theory in a form which is as close as possible to the Virasoro constraints of Conjecture 3 for stable pairs.

2.2. Gromov–Witten constraints: original form

The Virasoro constraints in Gromov–Witten theory were first proposed¹⁵ in [3]. We recall here the original form following [20]. In Section 2.3, a reformulation which is more suitable for the GW/PT correspondence will be presented.

In the discussion below, we fix a basis of $H^*(X)$,

$$\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_r, \quad \gamma_i \in H^{P_i, q_i}(X), \tag{2.1}$$

for which $\gamma_0 = 1$, $\gamma_1 = c_1$ and $\gamma_r = [p]$. We assume¹⁶ $c_1 \neq 0$. We also fix a dual basis

$$\gamma_0^\vee, \dots, \gamma_r^\vee, \quad \int_X \gamma_i \gamma_j^\vee = \delta_{ij}.$$

¹⁷The standard method of describing of the Virasoro constraints uses the generating function for the Gromov–Witten invariants (see [20, section 4]):

$$F^X = \sum_{g \geq 0} u^{2g-2} \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} q^\beta \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \\ k_1, \dots, k_n}} t_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots t_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots t_{k_n}^{a_n} \langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \dots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g, \beta}^{X, \text{Con}},$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{g, \beta}^{X, \text{Con}}$ is the standard integral over stable maps with connected domains (and stable contracted components of all genera are permitted).

The degree $\beta = 0$ summand F_0^X of F^X does not require knowledge of curves in X . We further split the degree 0 summand into summands of genus $g \leq 1$ and genus $g \geq 2$:

$$F_0^X = F_{0, g \leq 1}^X + F_{0, g \geq 2}^X.$$

The $g \leq 1$ summand takes the form

$$F_{0, g \leq 1}^X = u^{-2} \sum_{i, j, k} \left(\frac{t_0^i t_0^j t_0^k}{3!} + \frac{t_0^i t_0^j t_1^k t_0^0}{2!} \right) \int_X \gamma_i \gamma_j \gamma_k - \sum_i \left(\frac{t_0^i}{24} + \frac{t_1^i t_0^0}{24} \right) \int_X \gamma_i c_2 + \dots,$$

where the dots stand for terms divisible by $(t_0^0)^2$. The $g \geq 2$ summand $F_{0, g \geq 2}^X$ is determined by the string and dilaton equations from the constant maps contributions of [4, Theorem 4].

Let \tilde{F}^X be the summand of F^X with $\beta \neq 0$. We define

$$Z_{0, * }^X = \exp(F_{0, * }^X), \quad \tilde{Z}^X = \exp(\tilde{F}^X).$$

The Gromov–Witten bracket $\langle \cdot \rangle_{g, \beta}^{X, \text{GW}}$ introduced in Section 1.6 corresponds to the partition function

$$Z_{0, g \leq 1}^X \cdot \tilde{Z}^X = \sum_{g \geq \mathbb{Z}} u^{2g-2} \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} q^\beta \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \\ k_1, \dots, k_n}} t_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots t_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots t_{k_n}^{a_n} \langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \dots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g, \beta}^{X, \text{GW}}.$$

¹⁵The full conjecture also involves ideas of S. Katz.

¹⁶For Calabi–Yau threefolds, the Virasoro invariants are a consequence of the string and dilaton equations (and there are no nontrivial stationary invariants).

¹⁷Here $\delta_{ij} = \delta_{i-j}$.

The full partition function

$$Z^X = \exp(F^X) = Z_{0,g \leq 1}^X \cdot Z_{0,g \geq 2}^X \cdot \bar{Z}^X$$

corresponds to the standard disconnected Gromov–Witten bracket $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{g,\beta}^{X,\bullet}$,

$$Z^X = \sum_{g \geq 0} u^{2g-2} \sum_{\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} q^\beta \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{a_1, \dots, a_n \\ k_1, \dots, k_n}} t_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots t_{k_1}^{a_1} \dots t_{k_n}^{a_n} \langle \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \dots \tau_{k_n}(\gamma_n) \rangle_{g,\beta}^{X,\bullet}$$

The Virasoro operators $L_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -1}$ are differential operators which satisfy the Witt algebra relations,

$$[L_k, L_\ell] = (k - \ell)L_{k+\ell}.$$

The Virasoro conjecture [3] states that the operators annihilate the partition function

$$L_k Z^X = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

For threefolds X , the operators are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} L_k = & \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \left([p_a + m - 1]_i^k (C^i)_a^b \tilde{t}_m^a \partial_{b, m+k-i} \right. \\ & + \frac{u^2}{2} (-1)^{m+1} [-p_a + 1 - m]_i^k (C^i)^{ab} \partial_{a,m} \partial_{b, k-m-i-1} \Big) \\ & + \frac{u^{-2}}{2} (C^{k+1})_{ab} t_0^a t_0^b \\ & - \frac{\delta_k}{24} \int_X c_1 c_2, \end{aligned}$$

where the Einstein conventions for summing over repeated indices are followed,

$$\tilde{t}_m^a = t_m^a - \delta_{a0} \delta_{m1}, \quad \partial_{a,m} = \partial / \partial t_m^a,$$

and $[x]_j^k = e_{k+1-j}(x, x+1, \dots, x+k)$.¹⁸ The tensors in the equation are defined in terms of the dual basis

$$(C^i)_b^a = \int_X \gamma_a^\vee c_1^i \gamma_b, \quad (C^i)_{ab} = \int_X \gamma_a c_1^i \gamma_b, \quad (C^i)^{ab} = \int_X \gamma_a^\vee c_1^i \gamma_b^\vee.$$

2.3. Gromov–Witten constraints: correspondence form

We rewrite here the Virasoro constraints of Section 2 in the form most natural for the GW/PT descendent correspondence. Since all of our results are for toric varieties, we specialize our discussion here to the case where X is a nonsingular projective threefold with only (p, p) -cohomology.

We start by defining derivations R_k^j and quadratic differentials B^k on \mathbb{D}_X^X by fixing the action on the generators:

- The action of the derivation R_k^j on $\tau_i(\gamma)$ for $k \geq -1, 0 \leq j \leq 3$ and $\gamma \in H^{2d}(X)$ is

$$R_k^j(\tau_i(\gamma)) = [i + d - 1]_j^k \tau_{k+i-j}(\gamma \cdot c_1^j),$$

¹⁸Here $e_m(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ is the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree m .

where $[x]_j^k = e_{k+1-j}(x, x + 1, \dots, x + k)$ and all terms $\tau_{\ell < -2}(\theta)$ are set to 0. As a special case,

$$R_{-1}^j(\tau_i(\gamma)) = \delta_j \tau_{i-1}(\gamma).$$

We will use the notation $R_k = \sum_{j=0}^3 R_k^j$.

- The action of the quadratic differential B^k on $\tau_0(\gamma)\tau_0(\gamma')$ is

$$B^k(\tau_0(\gamma)\tau_0(\gamma')) = \int_X \gamma\gamma' c_1^k.$$

On all other quadratics terms, B^k acts by 0.

The differential operators L_k^{GW} , for $k \geq -1$, are then defined by the formula

$$L_k^{GW} = R_k + \frac{u^{-2}}{2} B^{k+1} + \frac{(uu)^2}{2} T_k - \frac{\delta_k}{24} \int_X c_1 c_2,$$

where $T_k = \sum_{j=0}^3 T_k^j$ and

$$T_k^j = \sum_{m=-1}^{k-j+2} (-1)^{m+1} [2 - m - d_L]_j^k : \tau_{m-1} \tau_{-m+k-j}(c_1^j) : , \tag{2.3}$$

where d_L is the degree of the left term in the co-product¹⁹ (as in Section 1.2). In formula (2.3), the symbol $::$ stands for the normal ordering convention: *All negative descendents $\tau_{<0}(\gamma)$ are on the left of the positive descendents.*

A calculation then yields the Virasoro bracket and the following bracket with $\tau_k(p)$:

$$[L_n^{GW}, L_k^{GW}] = (n - k) L_{n+k}^{GW}, \quad [L_n^{GW}, (k + 1)! \tau_k(p)] = (k + n + 2)! \tau_{n+k}(p). \tag{2.4}$$

Theorem 2.1 ([8, 10]). *Let X be a nonsingular projective toric threefold, and let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For all $k \geq -1$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}_{GW}^X$, we have*

$$\left\langle L_k^{GW}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet} = 0.$$

Theorem 2.1, which is exactly equivalent to constraints (2.2) for toric threefolds, was proven by Givental in two steps:

- Using the virtual localization formula of [9], the Gromov–Witten theory of X is expressed in terms of graphs sums with descendent integrals over the moduli spaces of curves $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ at the vertices.
- The Virasoro constraints, conjectured by Witten [33] for $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ and proven in [12], are then used to establish the Virasoro constraints for X .

A second proof of Theorem 2.1, via the Givental–Teleman classification²⁰ of semisimple CohFTs, was given in [30]. For varieties with nonsemisimple Gromov–Witten theory, the Virasoro constraints are known in very few cases.²¹

¹⁹Define the element

$$\tau_a \tau_b(\gamma) = \sum_i \tau_a(\gamma_i^L) \tau_b(\gamma_i^R) \in \mathbb{D}_{GW}^X,$$

where $\sum_i \gamma_i^L \otimes \gamma_i^R$ is the Künneth decomposition of the product,

$$\gamma \cdot \Delta \in H^*(X \times X),$$

with the diagonal Δ .

²⁰We refer the reader to [22] for an introduction.

²¹The main known examples are based on the Virasoro constraints for curves proven in [19].

2.4. Gromov–Witten constraints: stationary form

We rewrite the Virasoro constraints in Gromov–Witten theory of Section 2.3 in a form which preserves the algebra of stationary descendants,

$$\mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^{X+} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^X.$$

We fix a basis (2.1) of the cohomology of X which satisfies the following further conventions. Let

$$\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in H^2(X)$$

be a basis with $\gamma_1 = c_1$. Let

$$\gamma_{2s}, \dots, \gamma_{s+1} \in H^4(X)$$

be a dual basis with respect to the Poincaré pairing. Let

$$\gamma_0 = 1 \in H^0(X), \quad \gamma_{2s+1} = \mathfrak{p} \in H^6(X)$$

span the rest of the cohomology.²² The Künneth decomposition of the diagonal is

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{2s+1} \gamma_i \otimes \gamma_{2s+1-i}.$$

Consider the term T_k . The only place for descendants of 1 to appear in the operator L_k^{GW} is in T_k^0 . As most of the terms of T_k^0 vanish by definition, we find

$$\frac{1}{2} T_k^0 = (k + 1)! : \tau_0(1) \tau_{k-1}(\mathfrak{p}) : . \tag{2.5}$$

We denote the rest of the term by T'_k ,

$$T_k = T'_k + T_k^0.$$

Inside the bracket $\langle, \rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet}$, the insertion $\tau_0(1)$ can be removed by the string equation (1.7). We are therefore led to define the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}} = \frac{(iu)^2}{2} T'_k + R_k + \frac{u^{-2}}{2} B^{k+1} + (iu)^2 (k + 1)! R_{-1} \tau_{k-1}(\mathfrak{p}), \quad T'_k = \sum_{j>0} T_k^j,$$

where $R_k = \sum_{j=0}^3 R_k^j$ and R_{-1} is the differentiation defined on the generators by

$$R_{-1} \tau_k(\gamma) = \tau_{k-1}(\gamma).$$

Inside the bracket $\langle, \rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet}$, we have²³

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}} \stackrel{\langle, \rangle}{=} \widetilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}} + (iu)^2 (1 - \delta_k) (k + 1)! \widetilde{L}_{-1}^{\text{GW}} \tau_{k-1}(\mathfrak{p}), \tag{2.6}$$

where we have modified the Virasoro operators to exclude the descendants of 1:

$$\widetilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}} = L_k^{\text{GW}} - \frac{(iu)^2}{2} T_k^0 = \frac{(iu)^2}{2} T'_k + R_k + \frac{u^{-2}}{2} B^{k+1} - \frac{\delta_k}{24} \int_X c_1 c_2. \tag{2.7}$$

²²To match with equation (2.1), $r = 2s + 1$.

²³Note $\mathcal{L}_0^{\text{GW}} = \widetilde{L}_0^{\text{GW}}$.

Though the operators $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}$ no longer satisfy the Virasoro bracket, the operators $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}$ preserve the subalgebra $\mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^{X+} \subset \mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^X$.

Proposition 5. *Let X be a nonsingular projective toric threefold, and let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For all $k \geq -1$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}^\circ}^{X+}$, we have*

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet} = 0.$$

Proof. The case $k = 0$ follows because

$$\mathcal{L}_0^{\text{GW}} - \mathbb{L}_0^{\text{GW}} = \mathbb{T}_0^0 = 2 : \tau_0(1)\tau_{-1}(\rho) :$$

and $\left\langle \mathbb{T}_0^0 \dots \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet} = 0$. For the other case the argument is below.

Using equations (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet} &= \left\langle \mathbb{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) + (iu)^2(k+1)! \mathbb{L}_{-1}^{\text{GW}}(\tau_{k-1}(\rho)D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet} \\ &\quad - \frac{(iu)^2}{2} \left\langle \mathbb{T}_k^0(D) + (iu)^2(k+1)! \mathbb{T}_{-1}^0(\tau_{k-1}(\rho)D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The first bracket on the right side of equation (2.8) vanishes by Theorem 2.1. We can write the second bracket on the right as

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(iu)^2}{2} \left\langle \mathbb{T}_k^0(D) + (iu)^2(k+1)! \mathbb{T}_{-1}^0(\tau_{k-1}(\rho)D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet} \\ &= (iu)^2 \left\langle (k+1)! \tau_0(1)\tau_{k-1}(\rho)D + (iu)^2(k+1)! \tau_0(1)\tau_{-2}(\rho)\tau_{k-1}(\rho)D \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet} \end{aligned}$$

using equation (2.5). The right side of the above equation, after applying the commutator (1.8), is

$$(iu)^2 \left\langle (k+1)! \tau_0(1)\tau_{k-1}(\rho)D + (iu)^2(k+1)! \tau_{-2}(\rho)\tau_0(1)\tau_{k-1}(\rho)D \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet},$$

which vanishes after applying equation (1.9). □

In our study of the GW/PT descendent correspondence, we are interested in the Gromov–Witten bracket $\langle \cdot \rangle_{g, \beta}^{X, \text{GW}}$ of Section 1.6 instead of the standard disconnected bracket $\langle \cdot \rangle_{g, \beta}^{X, \bullet}$. Therefore, the following result is important for our study.

Proposition 6. *Let X be a nonsingular projective toric threefold, and let $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. For all $k \geq -1$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}^\circ}^{X+}$, we have*

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{GW}} = 0.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}$ preserves $\mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^{X+}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^{X+}.$$

Since the Gromov–Witten invariants corresponding to collapsed connected components of genus at least 2 always vanish in the presence of stationary descendants,

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet} = Z_{0, g \geq 2}^X \Big|_{\{t_k^i = 0\}} \cdot \left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{GW}}.$$

Since $\left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \bullet}$ vanishes by Proposition 5 and

$$Z_{0, g \geq 2}^X \Big|_{\{t_k^i = 0\}} = \exp \left(\sum_{g=2}^{\infty} (-1)^g u^{2g-2} \frac{\chi(X)}{2} \int_{\overline{M}_g} \lambda_{g-1}^3 \right)$$

is invertible,²⁴ $\left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(D) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{GW}}$ also vanishes. □

3. Theorem 1.1: Virasoro constraints for stable pairs

3.1. Intertwining property

We have already defined the operators L_k^{PT} and $\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}$ on \mathbb{D}_{PT}^X in Sections 1.2 and 1.3:

$$L_k^{\text{PT}} = T_k + R_k, \quad \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}} = L_k^{\text{PT}} + (k + 1)! L_{-1}^{\text{PT}} \text{ch}_{k+1}(\rho),$$

for $k \geq -1$. We also have

$$[L_n^{\text{PT}}, L_k^{\text{PT}}] \stackrel{\langle \cdot \rangle}{=} (k - n) L_{n+k}^{\text{PT}}, \quad [L_n^{\text{PT}}, (k - 1)! \text{ch}_k(\rho)] \stackrel{\langle \cdot \rangle}{=} (n + k)! \text{ch}_{n+k}(\rho). \tag{3.1}$$

Equations (3.1) are parallel to equations (2.4) in Gromov–Witten theory.

The main computation of the paper is the *intertwining property* which relates the Virasoro operators for the stable pairs and Gromov–Witten theories via the descendent correspondence. We separate the argument into two cases: $k \leq 0$ and $k \geq 1$. Proposition 7 covers the $k \leq 0$ case. The $k \geq 1$ case treated in Theorem 3.1 is harder.

Proposition 7 is proven in Section 3.3 except for steps at the end of the proof which will be completed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in Sections 4–6. The argument is an intricate calculation based on a strategy of filtration.

Proposition 7. For $k = -1, 0$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X, \star}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\bullet} \circ L_k^{\text{PT}}(D) = (tu)^{-k} \widetilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}} \circ \mathfrak{C}^{\bullet}(D)$$

after the restrictions $\tau_{-2}(\rho) = 1$ and $\tau_{-1}(\rho) = 0$.

Theorem 3.1. For all $k \geq 1$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X, \star}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{C}^{\bullet} \circ L_k^{\text{PT}}(D) = (tu)^{-k} \widetilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}} \circ \mathfrak{C}^{\bullet}(D)$$

after the restrictions $\tau_{-2}(\rho) = 1$ and $\tau_{-1}(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma \in H^{>2}(X)$.

The evaluations of the left sides of the equalities in Proposition 7 and Theorem 3.1 require a slight generalization of the formulas (1.14)–(1.16) which govern the descendent correspondence on $\mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X, \star}$. Additional rules are required for

$$\widetilde{\text{ch}}_0(\gamma), \widetilde{\text{ch}}_1(\gamma) \text{ for } \gamma \in H^{>0}(X) \text{ and } \widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(\delta) \text{ for } \delta \in H^2(X). \tag{3.2}$$

²⁴See [4, Theorem 4] for the evaluation.

The required rules take a very simple form since $L_k^{PT}(D)$ is at most linear²⁵ in the classes (3.2) over $\mathbb{D}_{GW}^{X\star}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_0(\gamma)) &= - \int_X \gamma, & \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_0(\gamma)M) &= 0, \\ \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_1(\gamma)) &= 0, & \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_1(\gamma)M) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $M \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$. For $\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(\delta)M)$ with $M \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$, formulas (1.14)–(1.16) apply unchanged. The above rules are compatible with the GW/PT descendent correspondence and will be established in Section 9.

The restrictions $\tau_{-2}(p) = 1$ and $\tau_{-1}(p) = 0$ in Proposition 7 are well-defined since both $\mathfrak{C}^\circ \circ L_k^{PT}(D)$ and $\widetilde{L}_k^{GW} \circ \mathfrak{C}^\circ(D)$, $k = 0, -1$ will be seen to lie in the commutative algebra generated by $\tau_{-2}(p)$, $\tau_{-1}(\gamma)$ and \mathbb{D}_{GW}^{X+} . The commutation with $\tau_{-2}(p)$ and $\tau_{-1}(p)$ follows from equation (1.9).

Similarly, the restrictions $\tau_{-2}(p) = 1$ and $\tau_{-1}(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma \in H^{>2}(X)$ in Theorem 3.1 are well-defined since both $\mathfrak{C}^\circ \circ L_k^{PT}(D)$ and $\widetilde{L}_k^{GW} \circ \mathfrak{C}^\circ(D)$, $k > 0$ will be seen to lie in the commutative algebra generated by $\tau_{-2}(p)$, $\tau_{-1}(\gamma)$ and $\mathbb{D}_{GW}^{X\star}$. The algebra $\mathbb{D}_{GW}^{X\star}$ is generated by the *essential descendents*

$$\{ \tau_i(\gamma) \mid (i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^{>0}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \text{ or } (i = 0, \gamma \in H^{>2}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \}.$$

Again, commutation follows from equation (1.9).

3.2. Conventions for $(-1)!\text{ch}_1(c_1)$

In order to complete the definitions of the left sides of Proposition 7 and Theorem 3.1, we must also include the term $(-1)!\text{ch}_1(c_1)$ in the descendent correspondence \mathfrak{C}° since such terms occur in L_k^{PT} .

- The first case is

$$\mathfrak{C}^\circ((-1)!\text{ch}_1(c_1)) = 0.$$

- The nonvanishing bumping term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ\left((-1)!\text{ch}_1(c_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma)\right) &= -\frac{(uu)^{-1}}{k_1!} \left(\mathbf{a}_{k_1-1}(c_1\gamma) + (uu)^{-1}\mathbf{a}_{k_1-2}(c_1\gamma \cdot c_1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (uu)^{-1}k_1 \sum_{|\mu|=k_1-3} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1}\mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}(c_1\gamma \cdot c_1) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where $k_1 \geq 2$.

- The higher bumping term is

$$\mathfrak{C}^\circ((-1)!\text{ch}_1(c_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma')) = \frac{(uu)^{-2}(k_1+k_2-1)}{k_1!k_2!}\mathbf{a}_{k_1+k_2-2}(c_1\gamma\gamma'),$$

$k_1, k_2 \geq 0, k_1 + k_2 > 1$. There is also an exceptional higher bumping term

$$\mathfrak{C}^\circ((-1)!\text{ch}_1(c_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(\gamma)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_3(\gamma')) = \tau_{-2}(c_1\gamma\gamma').$$

²⁵ $L_1^{PT}(D)$ has a single quadratic term in the classes (3.2) given by $\widetilde{\text{ch}}_1(p)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(c_1)$ which causes no difficulty since $\widetilde{\text{ch}}_1(p)$ does not interact.

3.3. Proof of Proposition 7

The cases $k = -1, 0$ are special in two ways:

- (i) We must use the exceptional cases of the operator \mathfrak{C}° , in the analysis for $k = -1, 0$.
- (ii) While the operator $\widetilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}}$ for $k = -1, 0$ has quadratic part $\frac{u^{-2}}{2}B^{k+1}$, $\widetilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}}$ is a first order operator acting on the stationary sector of descendent algebra for $k > 0$.

For these reasons, we treat the $k = -1, 0$ cases separately here.

The restrictions in the statement of Proposition 7 allow us freely use

$$\text{ch}_0(\mathfrak{p}) = -1, \tag{3.5}$$

which is compatible with \mathfrak{C}^\bullet . Similarly, we can use

$$\text{ch}_1(\mathfrak{p}) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Let us write down the corresponding operators explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1}^{\text{PT}} &= R_{-1} - (-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1), & \widetilde{L}_{-1}^{\text{GW}} &= R_{-1} + \frac{u^{-2}}{2}B^0. \\ L_0^{\text{PT}} &= R_0 - \widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(c_1) - \frac{1}{2}\text{ch}_1\text{ch}_1(c_1), & \widetilde{L}_0^{\text{GW}} &= R_0 + \frac{u^{-2}}{2}B^1 - \tau_0(c_1) - \frac{1}{24} \int_X c_1 c_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have used equation (3.5) for L_{-1}^{PT} . For L_0^{PT} , only the $d_L = d_R = 2$ summand is nonzero by equation (3.6).

Step 1. We check the statement for $D = 1$.

The left side of the equality of Proposition 7 for $k = -1$ is

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(L_{-1}^{\text{PT}}(D)) = -\mathfrak{C}^\bullet((-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)) = 0.$$

The right side of the equality,

$$uu \widetilde{L}_{-1}^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(1)) = uu \widetilde{L}_{-1}^{\text{GW}}(1) = 0,$$

matches. For $k = 0$, the left side for $D = 1$ is

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(L_0^{\text{PT}}(1)) = -\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(c_1)) = -\alpha_1(c_1) = -\tau_0(c_1) - \frac{1}{24} \int_X c_1 c_2.$$

The right side,

$$\widetilde{L}_0^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(1)) = \widetilde{L}_0^{\text{GW}}(1) = -\tau_0(c_1) - \frac{1}{24} \int_X c_1 c_2,$$

matches.

Step 2. We check the statement for $D = \widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k+2}(\gamma)$ with $k \geq 0$.

We must expand both sides of the equality of Proposition 7 in terms of τ . The following formula will be used:

$$\begin{aligned} (uu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k+2}(\gamma)) &= \tau_k(\gamma) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{1}{ij} \right) \tau_{k-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \\ &+ \sum_{|\mu|=k-1} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)k!} \left(\tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1-1} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_2-1} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \tau_{\mu_2-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \\
 &+ \sum_{|\mu|=k-2} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu) k!} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \\
 &+ \sum_{|\mu|=k-3} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2! \mu_3!}{\text{Aut}(\mu) (k-1)!} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \tau_{\mu_3-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We split the analysis of the difference for

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet \circ L_{-1}^{\text{PT}}(D) - uu \widetilde{L}_{-1}^{\text{GW}} \circ \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D) \tag{3.8}$$

in stages according to the τ degree of terms. The second term of the difference is simpler since

$$uu \widetilde{L}_{-1}^{\text{GW}} \circ \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D) = uu R_{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_k(\gamma))),$$

and the latter is a easy modification of equation (3.7). The first term is more involved since there are two parts: the action of R_{-1} and the interaction with $(-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)$.

- We first study the τ linear terms of $(uu)^{k-1} \mathfrak{C}^\bullet \circ L_{-1}^{\text{PT}}(D)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\tau_{k-1}(\gamma) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{k-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1} \frac{1}{ij} \right) \tau_{k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) \\
 &+ \left(\frac{(uu)^{k-2}}{k!} \mathfrak{a}_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \frac{(uu)^{k-3}}{k!} \mathfrak{a}_{k-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) \\
 &= \left(\tau_{k-1}(\gamma) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{k-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1} \frac{1}{ij} \right) \tau_{k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) \\
 &+ \frac{1}{k} \left(\tau_{k-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-2} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) + \frac{1}{k(k-1)} \tau_{k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have used here bumping with $(-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)$ from equation (3.4) to obtain the expression in the second line and an inversion²⁶ of equation (1.13) to justify the second equality. After collecting together the coefficients in front of the τ 's in the last expression, we obtain $R_{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_k(\gamma)))$, exactly as expected.

- We study next the τ -quadratic term of equation (3.8). Consider first the terms that have a co-product $(\gamma \cdot c_1)_i^L \otimes (\gamma \cdot c_1)_i^R$ as argument. Bumping with $(-1)! \text{ch}_1(c_1)$ does not produce such terms—only the terms of the second line of equation (3.7) contribute to the terms of equation (3.8). These terms cancel exactly.

- The τ -quadratic terms of difference (3.8) with argument $(\gamma \cdot c_1^2)_i^L \otimes (\gamma \cdot c_1^2)_i^R$ are slightly more involved. The second term of the difference has terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{|\mu|=k-2} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu) (k-1)!} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_1-1} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\mathfrak{p}) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mu_2-1} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \tau_{\mu_2-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{|\mu|=k-3} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu) (k-1)!} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

²⁶See equation (5.4) for the full formula for the inversion.

where the term on the second line is a result of bumping with $(-1)!ch_1(c_1)$. After simplifying the last expression, we obtain the corresponding τ -quadratic term of $R_{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(ch_{k+2}(\gamma)))$ as expected.

• The last step is to analyze the τ -cubic terms of the difference (3.8). Since bumping with $ch_1(c_1)$ is trivial, the terms match exactly.

Similarly, we must analyze the difference

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet \circ L_0^{PT}(D) - \widetilde{L}_0^{GW} \circ \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D). \tag{3.9}$$

Since both R_0 on the stable pairs side and R_0^0 on the Gromov–Witten side scale the descendents by the complex cohomological degree, the difference (3.9) is equal²⁷ to

$$-\mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left((\widetilde{ch}_2 + ch_1^2/2)(c_1) \cdot D \right) - \left(R_0^1 + \frac{u^{-2}}{2} B^1 - \tau_0(c_1) - \frac{1}{24} \int_X c_1 c_2 \right) \circ \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D). \tag{3.10}$$

If $D = \widetilde{ch}_{k+2}(\gamma)$, then $B^1 \circ \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D) = 0$. We have already proved that the difference vanishes for $D = 1$. Since

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(ch_1 ch_1(c_1) \widetilde{ch}_{k+2}(\gamma)) = 0,$$

the difference (3.10) is equal to

$$-\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{ch}_2(c_1) \widetilde{ch}_{k+2}(\gamma)) - R_0^1(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{ch}_{k+2}(\gamma))). \tag{3.11}$$

Comparing formulas (1.14) and (1.15), we conclude that the latter difference vanishes.

Indeed, let us expand both terms of equation (3.11). First,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{ch}_2(c_1) \widetilde{ch}_{k+2}(\gamma)) &= -\frac{(iu)^{-1}}{k!} \mathbf{a}_k(\gamma \cdot c_1) - \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{k!} \mathbf{a}_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) - \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{|\mu|=k-2} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} (\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \\ &= -(iu)^{-k} \left(\tau_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{k-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) - \frac{(iu)^{-k}}{k} \tau_{k-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{(iu)^{-k+2}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{|\mu|=k-2} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{ch}_{k+2}(\gamma)) &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \mathbf{a}_{k+1}(\gamma) + \frac{(iu)^{-1}}{k!} \sum_{|\mu|=k-1} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} (\gamma \cdot c_1) + \dots \\ &= (iu)^{-k} \left(\tau_k(\gamma) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{(iu)^{-k+2}}{k!} \sum_{|\mu|=k-1} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used dots to stand for the terms that are of complex cohomological degree 3. Since

$$R_0^1(\tau_k(\gamma)) = \tau_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1),$$

all the omitted terms are annihilated by R_0^1 . The remaining terms of the difference (3.11) cancel.

²⁷Note both R_0^2 and R_0^3 are 0.

Step 3. We check the statement for $D = \widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2)$ with $k_i \geq 0$. We start with the difference (3.8):

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbb{R}_{-1}(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2))) - \mathfrak{C}^\circ((-1)!\text{ch}_1(c_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2)) \\ & - (iu)\mathbb{R}_{-1}(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2))) - (iu)\frac{u^{-2}}{2}\mathbb{B}^0(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)), \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2))). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Vanishing of the last expression follows from Proposition 12 and Proposition 13.

The difference (3.9) as above is equivalent to equation (3.10). Since we have already shown the vanishing for $D = 1$ and $D = \widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k+2}(\gamma)$, we need only to check the vanishing of

$$\begin{aligned} & - \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(c_1)D) - \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_1\text{ch}_1(c_1)D) - R_0^1(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(D)) \\ & - \frac{u^{-2}}{2}\mathbb{B}^1(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)), \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2))). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The vanishing follows from Propositions 12 and 13.

Step 4. We check the statement for $D = \widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_3+2}(\gamma_3)$ with $k_i \geq 0$.

The result follows immediately from the triple bumping relation (6.8) which holds in complete generality. No special cases require extra attention.

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The vanishings

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_{-1}^{\text{PT}}(D) \rangle_\beta^{X,\text{PT}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathcal{L}_0^{\text{PT}}(D) \rangle_\beta^{X,\text{PT}} = 0 \quad (3.14)$$

are simple to prove for all $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^X$. For

$$\mathcal{L}_{-1}^{\text{PT}} = \mathbb{R}_{-1} + \mathbb{R}_{-1}\text{ch}_0(p),$$

the vanishing (3.14) is immediate from the definition of \mathbb{R}_{-1} and equation (1.1). For

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathbb{R}_0 - \widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(c_1) - \frac{1}{2}\text{ch}_1\text{ch}_1(c_1) + \mathbb{R}_{-1}\text{ch}_1(p)$$

the vanishing (3.14) follows from the definition of \mathbb{R}_0 , the virtual dimension constraints and the divisor equation:

$$\left\langle \text{ch}_2(c_1)\text{ch}_{k_1}(\gamma_1)\cdots\text{ch}_{k_m}(\gamma_m) \right\rangle_\beta^{X,\text{PT}} = \int_\beta c_1 \cdot \left\langle \text{ch}_{k_1}(\gamma_1)\cdots\text{ch}_{k_m}(\gamma_m) \right\rangle_\beta^{X,\text{PT}}.$$

We now assume $k \geq 1$. Using the intertwining property of Theorem 3.1, the stationary GW/PT correspondence of Theorem 1.4 and the Virasoro constraints in Gromov–Witten theory, we can prove the stationary Virasoro constraints for stable pairs in the toric case.

Let $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X,+}$, so D is a monomial in the operators

$$\{ \widetilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma) \mid i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^{>0}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \}.$$

The first step is to check by hand that the Virasoro constraints

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D) \right\rangle_\beta^{X,\text{PT}} = 0 \quad (3.15)$$

of Theorem 1.1 are compatible all with insertions of the form

$$\widetilde{\text{ch}}_0(\gamma), \widetilde{\text{ch}}_1(\gamma) \text{ for } \gamma \in H^{>0}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\text{ch}}_2(\delta) \text{ for } \delta \in H^2(X). \tag{3.16}$$

If any of the operators (3.16) appear in D , the Virasoro constraints (3.15) are true if the Virasoro constraints are true for the monomial obtained by dividing D by the occurring operators (3.16). We can therefore reduce to the case where D is a monomial in the operators

$$\{ \widetilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma) \mid (i \geq 3, \gamma \in H^{>0}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \text{ or } (i = 2, \gamma \in H^{>2}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \}.$$

In other words, $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X, \star}$.

The next step is to apply Theorem 1.4:

$$(-q)^{d_\beta/2} \langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D) \rangle_\beta^{X, \text{PT}} = (-iu)^{d_\beta} \langle \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D)) \rangle_\beta^{X, \text{GW}} \tag{3.17}$$

for all $k \geq 1$. By the construction of the correspondence [26], the descendants of the point class do not interact with other descendants:

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k+2}(\rho)D) = (iu)^{-k} \tau_k(\rho) \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D), \tag{3.18}$$

for every $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X, \star}$.

By combining equations (3.17) and (3.18) and the intertwining statement of Theorem 3.1, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D)) \rangle_\beta^{\text{GW}} &= \langle \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D)) \rangle_\beta^{\text{GW}} + (k+1)! \langle \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}_{-1}^{\text{PT}}(\text{ch}_{k+1}(\rho)D)) \rangle_\beta^{\text{GW}} \\ &= (iu)^{-k} \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_k^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D)) \rangle_\beta^{\text{GW}} + (iu)^{2-k} (k+1)! \langle \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{-1}^{\text{GW}}(\tau_{k-1}(\rho) \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D)) \rangle_\beta^{\text{GW}} \\ &= (iu)^{-k} \langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D)) \rangle_\beta^{\text{GW}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by Proposition 6 which may be applied since

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{GW}}^{X+}$$

by Proposition 4. We conclude

$$\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D) \rangle_\beta^{X, \text{PT}} = 0$$

as required. □

We could have also used the intertwining property of Proposition 7 to prove the stable pairs vanishings (3.14) for $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X+}$, but some additional care must be taken since the insertions $\text{ch}_0(\rho)$ and $\text{ch}_1(\rho)$ which occur in the terms

$$(k+1)! \langle \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathcal{L}_{-1}^{\text{PT}}(\text{ch}_{k+1}(\rho)D)) \rangle_\beta^{\text{GW}}$$

for $k = -1$ and 0 are not covered by Proposition 7. We leave the details to the reader.

4. Intertwining I: basic case

4.1. Overview

After an explicit study of various terms of the stationary Gromov–Witten Virasoro constraints in Section 4.2, we prove Theorem 3.1 in the basic case $D = 1$ in Section 4.3.

4.2. Leading term

We analyze here the stationary Virasoro constraints on the Gromov–Witten side defined in Section 2.4.

The leading term T_k^1 of T'_k is of the form

$$\frac{1}{2}T_k^1 = \frac{k!}{u^2} \tau_k(c_1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=k-2} (-1)^{d^L-1} (a+d^L-1)!(b+d^R-1)! \tau_a \tau_b(c_1),$$

where $a, b \geq 0$ in the sum. By the following result, the term T'_k simplifies if we use the modified descendants \mathbf{a}_i .

Proposition 8. For all $k \geq -1$,

$$T'_k = -(iu)^{k-2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (-1)^{d^L d^R} (a+d^L-3)!(b+d^R-3)! \frac{\mathbf{a}_{a-1} \mathbf{a}_{b-1}(c_1)}{(a-1)!(b-1)!},$$

where the sum over all $a, b \geq 0$ and we use convention $\mathbf{a}_0 = 0$, $\mathbf{a}_{-1}/(-1)! = \tau_{-2}$.

Proof. Using formula (1.13), we expand T'_k in terms of \mathbf{a}_i to show that the quadratic and cubic in c_1 terms cancel. In the computation, we compare the expressions

$$[-a]_2^k = (-1)^a a!(k-a)! \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-a} \frac{1}{i} - \sum_{i=1}^a \frac{1}{i} \right), \quad a \geq 0, k \geq a,$$

$$[-a]_3^k = (-1)^a a!(k-a)! \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-a} \frac{1}{ij} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq a} \frac{1}{ij} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-a} \frac{1}{i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^a \frac{1}{i} \right) \right), \quad a \geq 0, k \geq a$$

with the coefficients in equation (1.13).

The transformation (1.13) simplifies if we use the following operators and shorthand notations for the sums

$$\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_k = \frac{(iu)^{k-1}}{k!} \mathbf{a}_k, \quad \chi_l^k = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{j^l}, \quad \chi_{1,1}^k = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{1}{ij}.$$

In the formulas below, all operators $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_0$ are set to be 0. We apply transformation to T_k^1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=-1}^{k+1} (-1)^{d_L-1} (m+d_L-2)!(k-m-d_L+2)! \\ & \times \left(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_m \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{-m+k}(c_1) - \left(\chi_1^m - \chi_1^{k-m-1} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_m \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{-m+k-1}(c_1^2) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\chi_1^m \chi_1^{k-m-2} + \chi_2^m + \chi_{1,1}^m + \chi_2^{-m+k-2} + \chi_{1,1}^{-m+k-2} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_m \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{-m+k-2}(c_1^3) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

To write the transformation of T_k^2 , we split the sum for T_k^2 into two subsums, the first with $d_L = 2$ and the second with $d_L = 3$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=-1}^k (-1)(m)!(k-m)! (\chi_1^{k-m} - \chi_1^m) \left(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_m(c_1^2) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{-m+k-1}(\mathbf{p}) - \chi_1^{m-1} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{m-1} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{-m-k-1}(c_1^3) \right) \\ & + (m+1)!(k-m-1)! (\chi_1^{k-m-1} - \chi_1^{m-1}) \left(\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_m(\mathbf{p}) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{-m+k-1}(c_1^2) - \chi_1^{k-m-2} \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_m \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{-m-k-2}(c_1^3) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the transformation of T_k^3 to \mathbf{a} variables is

$$\sum_{m=-1}^k (m+1)!(k-m-1)! \left(\chi_{1,1}^{m-1} + \chi_{1,1}^{k-m-1} - \chi_{1,1}^{m-1} \chi_{1,1}^{k-m-1} \right) \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_m \tilde{\mathbf{a}}_{-m+k-2}(c_1^3).$$

After summing the terms T_k^j for $j = 1, 2, 3$, we find that only the first term in equation (4.1) does not cancel. □

4.3. Intertwining for $D = 1$

For the most of computations in Section 4, we will require the simplest case of the stationary GW/PT transformation \mathfrak{C}^\bullet of Section 1.7,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_{k+2}(\gamma)) &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \mathbf{a}_{k+1}(\gamma) + \frac{(iu)^{-1}}{k!} \sum_{|\mu|=k-1} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}(\gamma \cdot c_1)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \\ &+ \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{k!} \sum_{|\mu|=k-2} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} + \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{(k-1)!} \sum_{|\mu|=k-3} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_3}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Our first result is the simplest case of Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 9. *For all $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(L_k^{\text{PT}}(1)) = (iu)^{-k} \tilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(1).$$

Proof. Since the operators R_k annihilate 1, we must prove

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k) = (iu)^{-k} \left(\frac{(iu)^2}{2} T'_k \right). \tag{4.3}$$

From Section 1.2, we have the following formula on the stable pairs side:

$$T_k = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (-1)^{d^L d^R} (a+d^L-3)!(b+d^R-3)! \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_a \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_b(c_1).$$

On the Gromov–Witten side, we have

$$T'_k = -(iu)^{k-2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (-1)^{d^L d^R} (a+d^L-3)!(b+d^R-3)! \frac{\mathbf{a}_{a-1} \mathbf{a}_{b-1}(c_1)}{(a-1)!(b-1)!}$$

by Proposition 8. Using equation (4.2), the quadratic term in the \mathbf{a} -insertions of $\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k)$ exactly matches the full right side of equation (4.3). We will prove the other terms of $\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k)$ all vanish.

The stable pairs term T_k is the sum of three subsums:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} \left((a-2)!b! \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_a(c_1) \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_b(p) + a!(b-2)! \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_a(p) \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_b(c_1) \right. \\ \left. - (a-1)!(b-1)! \sum_{s+1 \leq \bullet, \star \leq 2s} \alpha_{\bullet\star} \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_a(\gamma_\bullet) \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\mathfrak{h}_b(\gamma_\star) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

where last term uses²⁸

$$c_1 \cdot \gamma_{2s+1-\bullet} = \sum_{\star} \alpha_{\bullet\star} \gamma_{\star}$$

After applying \mathfrak{C}^\bullet to equation (4.4) we obtain quadratic, cubic and quartic monomials in \mathbf{a} . We will show the cubic and quartic terms vanish.

We start with the analysis of the quartic term of $\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k)$. The first term (4.4) yields the quartic part:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_X c_1^3 \cdot \sum_{a+b=k+2} \left((a-2)!b! \cdot \frac{\mathbf{a}_{b-1}(\mathbf{p})}{(b-1)!} \cdot \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{(a-3)!} \sum_{|\mu|=a-5} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\mu_3}(\mathbf{p})}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \right. \\ & \left. + (b-2)!a! \cdot \frac{\mathbf{a}_{a-1}(\mathbf{p})}{(a-1)!} \cdot \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{(b-3)!} \sum_{|\mu|=b-5} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\mu_3}(\mathbf{p})}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The last term of equation (4.4) yields the following quartic part (with the sum over the same range of a and b as above):

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_X c_1^3 \cdot (a-1)!(b-1)! \cdot \frac{(iu)^{-1}}{(a-2)!} \sum_{|\mu'|=a-3} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu'_1}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\mu'_2}(\mathbf{p})}{\text{Aut}(\mu')} \cdot \frac{(iu)^{-1}}{(b-2)!} \sum_{|\mu''|=b-3} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu''_1}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\mu''_2}(\mathbf{p})}{\text{Aut}(\mu'')},$$

where, in both formulas, we have used convention $|\mu| = \sum_i \mu_i$.

These two quartic parts cancel each other. Indeed, let us analyze the factor in front of

$$\frac{1}{2(iu)^2} \int_X c_1^3 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\lambda_1}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\lambda_2}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\lambda_3}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\lambda_4}(\mathbf{p})$$

in both expressions. For simplicity, let us assume $|\text{Aut}(\lambda)| = 1$. Then, the factor in the first quartic part is a sum with four terms:

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 (\lambda_i + 1) \left(\sum_{j \neq i} (\lambda_j + 1) \right). \tag{4.5}$$

The factor in the second formula is a sum with three terms:

$$-\sum (\lambda_{i_1} + \lambda_{i_2} + 2)(\lambda_{j_1} + \lambda_{j_2} + 2), \tag{4.6}$$

where the sum is over all splittings

$$\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{i_1, i_2\} \cup \{j_1, j_2\}.$$

The factors (4.5) and (4.6) are sums of 12 monomials of $\lambda_i + 1$ and are opposites of each other. The case when $|\text{Aut}(\lambda)| > 1$ is analogous.

Finally, we analyze the cubic terms. Let us first analyze the cubic terms of the form $\mathbf{a}_i(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_j(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_l(\mathbf{p})$. Since

$$\text{ch}_{k+2}(c_1)\text{ch}_0(\mathbf{p}) = (-1)\text{ch}_{k+2}(c_1),$$

the cubic part of the first term of equation (4.4) with $b = 0$ is:

$$-k \int_X \frac{c_1^3}{2(iu)^2} \sum_{|\mu|=k-1} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}(\mathbf{p})\mathbf{a}_{\mu_3}(\mathbf{p})}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}. \tag{4.7}$$

²⁸We use the subscripts \bullet and \star in order to avoid i, j, a, b which are already taken.

A similar cubic part is produced by the second term of equation (4.4) with $a = 0$.

The other cubic parts of the first term of equation (4.4) are

$$\int_X c_1^3 \sum_{a+b=k+2} \frac{b}{2(lu)^2} a_{b-1}(\rho) \sum_{|\mu|=a-4} \frac{a_{\mu_1}(\rho) a_{\mu_2}(\rho)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} + \frac{b}{2lu} a_{b-1}(\rho) \sum_{|\mu|=a-3} \frac{a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} (c_1^2)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}. \tag{4.8}$$

A similar term is yielded by the second term of equation (4.4).

If $\text{Aut}(\mu) = 1$, then the factor in front of monomial

$$\frac{1}{2(lu)^2} a_{\lambda_1}(\rho) a_{\lambda_2}(\rho) a_{\lambda_3}(\rho)$$

of equation (4.8) is the sum of three terms

$$(\lambda_1 + 1) + (\lambda_2 + 1) + (\lambda_3 + 1)$$

and, hence, cancels with corresponding monomial from equation (4.7).

The cubic part of the last term of equation (4.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{(a-1)}{2lu} \sum_{\bullet, \star} \alpha_{\bullet\star} a_{b-1}(\gamma_\star) \sum_{|\mu|=a-3} \frac{a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} (c_1 \cdot \gamma_\bullet)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \\ & -\frac{(b-1)}{2lu} \sum_{\bullet, \star} \alpha_{\bullet\star} a_{a-1}(\gamma_\star) \sum_{|\mu|=b-3} \frac{a_{\mu_1} a_{\mu_2} (c_1 \cdot \gamma_\bullet)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

over all $a, b \geq 0$ satisfying $a + b = k + 2$. The sum cancels with the last term of equation (4.8). □

5. Intertwining II: noninteracting insertions

5.1. Overview

The main result of Section 5 is a proof of Theorem 3.1 for

$$D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^1 \cap \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}^\circ}^{X\star}, \tag{5.1}$$

where D is a product of $\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)$ satisfying

$$\gamma_i \cdot \gamma_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

We treat the singleton $D = \widetilde{\text{ch}}_k(\rho)$ in Proposition 10. An intricate computation is required for Proposition 11 which settles the cases $D = \widetilde{\text{ch}}_k(\gamma)$, where

$$\gamma \in H^i(X) \text{ for } i = 2 \text{ and } 4.$$

Finally, in Section 5.3, the general case (5.1) is formally deduced from the singletons.

5.2. Intertwining shift operators

We first relate the operators R_k appearing in the Virasoro constraints on the stable pairs and Gromov–Witten sides. Recall,

$$\widetilde{\text{ch}}_k(\alpha) = \text{ch}_k(\alpha) + \frac{1}{24} \text{ch}_{k-2}(\alpha \cdot c_2), \tag{5.2}$$

so $\widetilde{\text{ch}}_k(\rho) = \text{ch}_k(\rho)$.

Proposition 10. For all $k \geq 1$ and all $i \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbf{R}_k(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}))) = (iu)^{-k} \mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}))).$$

Proof. The left side of the equation is

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbf{R}_k(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}))) = \mathfrak{C}^\bullet\left(\frac{(i+k)!}{(i-1)!} \text{ch}_{i+k}(\mathfrak{p})\right) = \frac{(i+k)!}{(i-1)!} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{i+k-1}(\mathfrak{p})}{(i+k-1)!} = \frac{(i+k)}{(i-1)!} \mathbf{a}_{i+k-1}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

where we have used the definition of \mathbf{R}_k for stable pairs and equation (1.14) for the correspondence.

The right side of the equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}))) &= \mathbf{R}_k\left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_{i-1}(\mathfrak{p})}{(i-1)!}\right) \\ &= \mathbf{R}_k\left(\frac{\tau_{i-2}(\mathfrak{p})}{(iu)^{i-2}}\right) \\ &= \frac{(i+k)!}{(i-1)!} \frac{\tau_{i+k-2}(\mathfrak{p})}{(iu)^{i-2}} \\ &= \frac{(i+k)}{(i-1)!} (iu)^k \mathbf{a}_{i+k-1}(\mathfrak{p}), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used equation (1.14) for the correspondence, equation (1.13) and the definition of \mathbf{R}_k for Gromov–Witten theory. The two sides match. \square

Proposition 11. For all $k \geq 1$, $\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{\star X}$, $\gamma \in H^{\geq 2}(X)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbf{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) = (iu)^{-k} \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_k^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))).$$

Proof. We start with the easiest case and proceed to the hardest case.

Case $\gamma \in H^6(X)$. The case $\gamma = \mathfrak{p}$ follows immediately from the previous results:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbf{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}))) &= \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbf{T}_k \text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathbf{R}_k(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}))) \\ &= \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbf{T}_k) \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p})) + (iu)^{-k} \mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}))) \\ &= (iu)^{-k} \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_k^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_i(\mathfrak{p}))). \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from Proposition 10 and equation (3.18). The third equality uses equation (4.3).

Case $\gamma \in H^4(X)$. We compute the difference

$$(iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbf{R}_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) - \mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))). \tag{5.3}$$

Since $\gamma \cdot c_2 = 0$, we have $\tilde{\text{ch}}_k(\gamma) = \text{ch}_k(\gamma)$ by (5.2).

We start by expanding the first term of the difference:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbf{R}_k(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))) &= \mathfrak{C}^\circ\left(\frac{(i+k-1)!}{(i-2)!} \text{ch}_{k+i}(\gamma)\right) \\ &= \frac{(i+k-1)!}{(i-2)!} \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_{i+k-1}(\gamma)}{(i+k-1)!} + \frac{(iu)^{-1}}{(i+k-2)!} \sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2=i+k-3} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}}{2} (\gamma \cdot c_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

To proceed, we invert the correspondence (1.13):

$$\frac{(uu)^k \mathbf{a}_{k+1}}{(k+1)!}(\gamma) = \tau_k(\gamma) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{i}\right) \tau_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \frac{1}{ij}\right) \tau_{k-2}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2). \tag{5.4}$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (uu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbf{R}_k(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))) &= \frac{(i+k-1)!}{(i-2)!} \left(\frac{\tau_{i+k-2}(\gamma)}{(uu)^{i-2}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i+k-2} \frac{1}{j}\right) \frac{\tau_{i+k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1)}{(uu)^{i-2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(uu)^{-i+4}}{(i+k-2)!} \sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2=i+k-3} \mu_1! \mu_2! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}}{2}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

We write the second term of the difference as

$$\mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))) = \mathbf{R}_k \left(\frac{\mathbf{a}_{i-1}(\gamma)}{(i-1)!} + \frac{(uu)^{-1}}{(i-2)!} \sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2=i-3} \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mu_1} \mathbf{a}_{\mu_2}}{2}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \right). \tag{5.6}$$

After applying the inversion (5.4), we have

$$\mathbf{R}_k \left(\frac{\tau_{i-2}(\gamma)}{(uu)^{i-2}} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \frac{1}{j}\right) \frac{\tau_{i-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1)}{(uu)^{i-2}} + \frac{(uu)^{4-i}}{(i-2)!} \sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2=i-3} \mu_1! \mu_2! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}}{2}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \right).$$

We expand the above expression fully to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(i+k-1)! \tau_{i+k-2}(\gamma)}{(uu)^{i-2} (i-2)!} \\ &+ \frac{(i+k-1)!}{(uu)^{i-2} (i-2)!} \left(\sum_{j=i-1}^{k+i-1} \frac{1}{j}\right) \tau_{i+k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \frac{(i+k-1)!}{(uu)^{i-2} (i-2)!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \frac{1}{j}\right) \tau_{i-k+3}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \\ &+ \frac{(uu)^{-i+4}}{(i-2)!} \sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2=i-3} \left((\mu_1+k+1)! \mu_2! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1+k-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}}{2}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \mu_1! (\mu_2+k+1)! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2+k-1}}{2}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where we have used formula

$$[i]_1^k = \frac{(i+k)!}{(i-1)!} \sum_{j=i}^{i+k} \frac{1}{j}$$

in the expansion of $\mathbf{R}_k(\tau_{i-2}(\gamma))$.

To complete our computation of the difference (5.3), we observe several cancellations. The first term of equation (5.5) cancels with first term of equation (5.7). The second term of equation (5.5) almost cancels with the sum of the second and third terms of equation (5.7); the only term that does not cancel is

$$-\frac{(i+k-2)!}{(uu)^{i-2} (i-2)!} \tau_{i+k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1). \tag{5.8}$$

Finally, we rewrite the last term of equation (5.5) as

$$\frac{(iu)^{-i+4}}{(i-2)!} \sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2=i+k-3} (\mu_1+1)!\mu_2! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}}{2} (\gamma \cdot c_1) + \mu_1!(\mu_2+1)! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}}{2} (\gamma \cdot c_1).$$

Then, we see that the last term of equation (5.6) cancels with the last term of equation (5.5) if $\mu_1 \geq k+1$ and $\mu_2 \geq k+1$. Thus, the difference (5.3) equals

$$\frac{(iu)^{-i+4}}{(i-2)!} \left(\sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2=i+k-3, \mu_1 \leq k} (\mu_1+1)!\mu_2! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}}{2} (\gamma \cdot c_1) + \sum_{\mu_1+\mu_2=i+k-3, \mu_2 \leq k} \mu_1!(\mu_2+1)! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}}{2} (\gamma \cdot c_1) \right). \tag{5.9}$$

We now include the T_k and T'_k terms in the difference. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(L_k^{\text{PT}}(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))) - \widetilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))) \\ &= (iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(R_k(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))) - R_k(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))) + (iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))) - \frac{(iu)^2}{2} T'_k(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\text{ch}_i(\gamma))). \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Using equation (4.3), the T_k and T'_k terms in equation (5.10) simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(iu)^k}{2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (a-2)!b! \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\widetilde{\text{ch}}_a(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{b-2}} \right) \tau_{b-2}(\mathfrak{p}) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \frac{(iu)^k}{2} \sum_{a+b=k+2} a!(b-2)! \tau_{a-2}(\mathfrak{p}) \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\widetilde{\text{ch}}_b(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{a-2}} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

To complete our proof, we require the bumping formula (1.15):

$$\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(c_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma)) = -\frac{1}{k_1!k_2!} (iu)^{-1} \mathbf{a}_{k_1+k_2}(c_1\gamma). \tag{5.12}$$

Since $\gamma \in H^4(X)$, all the other terms of equation (1.15) vanish. We apply the bumping formula (5.11). In particular, the first term of equation (5.11),

$$(a-2)!b! \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\widetilde{\text{ch}}_a(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{b-2}} \right) \tau_{b-2}(\mathfrak{p}) = -(iu)^{-a-b-i+6} \frac{(a+i-2)!b!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a+i-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \tau_{b-2}(\mathfrak{p})$$

cancels with the first term of equation (5.9). Similarly, the second term of equation (5.11) cancels with the second term of equation (5.9).

Let us observe that the term of last expression with $a = 1$ by the exceptional bumping (3.4) turns into the terms of equation (5.9) with $\mu_1 = k$ or $\mu_2 = k$. Similarly, the term with $b = 0$ cancels out with the term (5.8).

Also, the assumption $\widetilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X,\star}$ implies that $i \geq 2$; thus, no negative factorials appear in the above computations.

Case $\gamma \in H^2(X)$. If $\gamma \in H^2(X)$, the T_k and T'_k terms of the formula (5.10) acquires extra summands:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(L_k^{\text{PT}}(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) - \tilde{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) \\
 &= (iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(R_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) - R_k(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) \\
 &+ \frac{(iu)^k}{2} \left[\sum_{a+b=k+2} (a-2)!b! \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{b-2}} \right) \tau_{b-2}(\mathfrak{p}) + a!(b-2)! \tau_{a-2}(\mathfrak{p}) \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\tilde{\text{ch}}_b(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{a-2}} \right) \right. \\
 &- \sum_{a+b=k+2} (a-1)!(b-1)! \sum_{0 < \bullet, \star < 2s+1} \alpha_{\bullet\star} \left(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(\gamma_\bullet) \cdot \text{ch}_i(\gamma)) \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_b(\gamma_\star)) \right. \\
 &\left. \left. + \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(\gamma_\bullet)) \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_b(\gamma_\star) \cdot \text{ch}_i(\gamma)) \right) \right], \tag{5.13}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used²⁹ $c_1 \cdot \gamma_{2s+1-\bullet} = \sum_\star \alpha_{\bullet\star} \gamma_\star$. Nevertheless, the strategy used in the previous case can be pursued also for $\gamma \in H^2(X)$. The computation, which is carried out below, is of course more complicated.

We will study the difference

$$(iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(R_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) - R_k(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) \tag{5.14}$$

with $\gamma \in H^2(X)$. The expansion of the first term is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\circ(R_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) &= (iu)^k \frac{(k+i-2)!}{(i-3)!} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{i+k}(\gamma)) \\
 &= (iu)^k \frac{(k+i-2)!}{(i-3)!} \left(\frac{\mathfrak{a}_{i+k-1}(\gamma)}{(i+k-1)!} + \frac{(iu)^{-1}}{(i+k-2)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i+k-3} \frac{\mathfrak{a}_{\mu_1} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_2}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} (\gamma \cdot c_1) \right. \\
 &+ \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{(i+k-2)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i+k-4} \frac{\mathfrak{a}_{\mu_1} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_2}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} (\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \\
 &\left. + \frac{(iu)^{-2}}{(i+k-3)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i+k-5} \frac{\mathfrak{a}_{\mu_1} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_2} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_3}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} (\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right). \tag{5.15}
 \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the difference (5.14) is more involved since we must transform the descendents \mathfrak{a} to the standard descendents τ before applying the shift operator R_k :

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_k(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma))) &= (iu)^{-(i-2)} R_k \left(\tau_{i-2}(\gamma) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{i-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < l \leq i-2} \frac{1}{jl} \right) \tau_{i-4}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) \\
 &+ (iu)^{-(i-5)} R_k \left(\frac{(iu)^{-1}}{(i-2)!} \left(\sum_{|\mu|=i-3} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \left(\tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-2} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \right. \right. \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. \left. + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_2-1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-2} \right] (\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) \right) \\
 &\left. + \frac{1}{(i-3)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i-5} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2! \mu_3!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \tau_{\mu_3-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right). \tag{5.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

²⁹In equation (5.13), the elements $\gamma_\bullet, \gamma_\star$ are of complex cohomological degree 2.

Notice the upper limits in the first harmonic sum is μ_1 ; the terms with $j = \mu_1$ correspond to the third term of equation (1.14).

We will study the right-hand side of equation (5.13) using equations (5.15) and (5.16) in three steps corresponding to the τ -degree.

- Consider first the τ -linear terms. The τ -linear terms of equation (5.15) are

$$(uu)^k \frac{(i+k-2)!}{(i-3)!} \left(\frac{1}{(uu)^{i+k-2}} \left(\tau_{i+k-2}(\gamma) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i+k-2} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{i+k-3}(c_1 \cdot \gamma) + \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < l \leq i+k-2} \frac{1}{jl} \right) \tau_{i+k-4}(c_1^2 \cdot \gamma) \right) \right). \tag{5.17}$$

The τ -linear terms of equation (5.16) are more complicated:

$$(uu)^{-i+2} \frac{(i+k-2)!}{(i-3)!} \left(\tau_{i+k-2}(\gamma) + \left(\sum_{j=i-2}^{i+k-2} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{i+k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{i-2 \leq j < l \leq i+k-2} \frac{1}{jl} \right) \tau_{i+k-4}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \frac{1}{j} \right) \left[\tau_{i+k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{j=i-2}^{i+k-2} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{i+k-4}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right] + \left(\sum_{1 \leq j < l \leq i-2} \frac{1}{jl} \right) \tau_{i+k-4}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right). \tag{5.18}$$

The $\tau_{i+k-2}(\gamma)$ terms of equations (5.17) and (5.18) match so cancel in the difference (5.14). The $\tau_{i+k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1)$ terms in equations (5.17) and (5.18) almost cancel: The difference is

$$(uu)^{-i+2} \frac{(i+k-2)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{i+k-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1). \tag{5.19}$$

For the $\tau_{i+k-4}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2)$ terms, we split the prefactor in equation (5.18) as

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-2} \frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{i-2} + \sum_{j=1}^{i-3} \frac{1}{j}$$

and the last coefficient of equation (5.18) as

$$\sum_{1 \leq j < l \leq i-2} \frac{1}{jl} = \sum_{1 \leq j < l \leq i-3} \frac{1}{jl} + \frac{1}{i-2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i-3} \frac{1}{j}.$$

Then, we see the difference of the $\tau_{i+k-4}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2)$ terms in equations (5.17) and (5.18) is

$$(uu)^{-i+2} \frac{(i+k-2)!}{(i-2)!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i+k-2} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{i+k-4}(c_1^2 \cdot \gamma). \tag{5.20}$$

On the right-hand side of equation (5.13), the τ -linear terms (5.19) and (5.20) of the difference (5.14) are canceled with

$$\frac{(uu)^k}{2} \left[k!0!\mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k+2}(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(uu)^{-2}} \right) \tau_{-2}(\mathfrak{p}) + 0!k!\tau_{-2}(\mathfrak{p})\mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k+2}(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(uu)^{-2}} \right) \right]$$

using $\tau_{-2}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. In fact, after applying equation (1.15), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & (iu)^k \frac{k!}{(iu)^{-2}} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k+2}(c_1)\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma)) \\ &= -\frac{(iu)^{k+1}}{(i-2)!} \left(\mathfrak{a}_{k+i-2}(c_1\gamma) + (iu)^{-1} \mathfrak{a}(c_1^2\gamma) \right) + \dots \\ &= -\frac{(iu)^{2-i}}{(i-2)!} \left((k+i-2)! \left(\tau_{k+i-3}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+i-3} \frac{1}{i} \right) \tau_{k+i-4}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (k+i-3)! \tau_{k+i-4}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

where the dots stand for the τ -quadratic terms. The second equality follows from the formula (5.4).

• Consider next the τ -quadratic terms. We start with the quadratic terms of complex cohomological degree 2. The corresponding terms from equation (5.15) are

$$(iu)^k \frac{(k+i-2)!}{(i-3)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i+k-3} \frac{(iu)^{-\mu_1-\mu_2+2} \mu_1! \mu_2!}{(iu)(i+k-2)! \text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1). \tag{5.21}$$

The computation of the corresponding terms in equation (5.16) are more involved since the action of the shift operator R_k depends on the complex cohomological degree of the descendent:

$$\begin{aligned} & (iu)^{-i+4} \frac{1}{(i-2)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i-3} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \left(\frac{(\mu_1+k)!}{(\mu_1-1)!} \tau_{\mu_1+k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \tau_{\mu_2-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(\mu_2+k+1)!}{(\mu_2)!} \tau_{\mu_1-1}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \tau_{\mu_2-1+k}(\mathfrak{p}) \right). \tag{5.22} \end{aligned}$$

The linear combination of the first term of equation (5.22) with $\mu_1+k-1 = a$ and second term with $\mu_1-1 = a$ is equal to the corresponding term of equation (5.21) with $\mu_1-1 = a$. Hence, these cancel in the difference. Similar cancellations happen with rest of the terms. The resulting difference of equations (5.21) and (5.22) is

$$\frac{(iu)^{-i+4}}{(i-3)!} \left(\sum_{|\mu|=i+k-3, \mu_1 \leq k} \mu_1! \mu_2! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}(\gamma \cdot c_1) + \sum_{|\mu|=i+k-3, \mu_2 \leq k} \mu_1! \mu_2! \frac{\tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \right). \tag{5.23}$$

We will cancel equation (5.23) with the τ -quadratic terms of complex cohomological degree 2 in the sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(iu)^k}{2} \left[\sum_{a+b=k+2} (a-2)! b! \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{b-2}} \right) \tau_{b-2}(\mathfrak{p}) + a!(b-2)! \tau_{a-2}(\mathfrak{p}) \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\tilde{\text{ch}}_b(c_1)\text{ch}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{a-2}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad - \sum_{a+b=k+2} (a-1)!(b-1)! \sum_{\bullet, \star} \alpha_{\bullet, \star} \left(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(\gamma_\bullet) \cdot \text{ch}_i(\gamma)) \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_b(\gamma_\star)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(\gamma_\bullet)) \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_b(\gamma_\star) \cdot \text{ch}_i(\gamma)) \right) \right]. \tag{5.24} \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, the first and second terms of the last expression yield

$$\frac{(iu)^{-i+4}}{2} \left[b \frac{(a+i-4)!(b-1)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a+i-5}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \tau_{b-2}(\mathfrak{p}) + a \frac{(a-1)!(b+i-4)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a-2}(\mathfrak{p}) \tau_{b+i-5}(\gamma \cdot c_1) \right],$$

and the last two terms yield³⁰

$$- \frac{(iu)^{-i-4}}{2} \left[(a-1) \frac{(a+i-4)!(b-1)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a+i-5}(\gamma \bullet \cdot \gamma) \tau_{b-2}(\gamma \star) + (b-1) \frac{(a-1)!(b+i-4)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a-2}(\gamma \bullet \cdot \gamma) \tau_{b+i-5}(\gamma \star) \right].$$

The cancellation then follows from

$$\sum_{\bullet, \star} \alpha_{\bullet, \star} (\gamma \bullet \cdot \gamma) \otimes \gamma \star = \mathfrak{p} \otimes (\gamma \cdot c_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\bullet, \star} \alpha_{\bullet, \star} \gamma \bullet \otimes (\gamma \star \cdot \gamma) = (\gamma \cdot c_1) \otimes \mathfrak{p}.$$

We have cancelled all τ -quadratic terms of complex cohomological degree 2 in equation (5.13).

Let us also observe that the terms of equation (5.24) with $a = 1$ and with $b = 1$ cancel out by exceptional bumping with equation (3.4) with the term of equation (5.23) with $\mu_1 = k$ or $\mu_2 = k$.

A longer computation is needed to deal with τ -quadratic terms of complex cohomological degree 3. Since all such terms have $\gamma \cdot c_1^2$ as an argument, we drop the cohomology insertion from the notation. The corresponding terms from equation (5.15) are:

$$(iu)^k \frac{(k+i-2)!}{(i-3)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i+k-4} \frac{(iu)^{-\mu_1-\mu_2}}{\text{Aut}(\mu)(i+k-2)!} \left(\mu_1! \mu_2! + (\mu_1+1)! \mu_2! \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_1} \frac{1}{j} \right) + \mu_1! (\mu_2+1)! \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_2} \frac{1}{j} \right) \right) \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}. \tag{5.25}$$

The corresponding terms from equation (5.16) are:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(iu)^{-i+4}}{(i-2)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i-3} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \left[\frac{(\mu_1+k)!}{(\mu_1-1)!} \left(\sum_{j=\mu_1}^{\mu_1+k} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{\mu_1+k-2} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \right. \\ & + \frac{(\mu_2+k)!}{(\mu_2-1)!} \left(\sum_{j=\mu_2}^{\mu_2+k} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2+k-2} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \left(\frac{(\mu_1+k)!}{(\mu_1-1)!} \tau_{\mu_1+k-2} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \right. \\ & + \left. \frac{(\mu_2+k+1)!}{\mu_2!} \tau_{\mu_1-2} \tau_{\mu_2+k-1} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_2-1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \left(\frac{(\mu_2+k)!}{(\mu_2-1)!} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2+k-2} \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{(\mu_1+k+1)!}{\mu_1!} \tau_{\mu_1+k-1} \tau_{\mu_2-2} \right) \right]. \tag{5.26} \end{aligned}$$

The expression (5.26) is simplified by the following strategy. We number the six τ -quadratic terms by their order of occurrence in equation (5.26). The first term of equation (5.26) combines with the third term. The second term combines with the fifth term. We also split off the summands with $j = \mu_1 + k$

³⁰The sum over \bullet, \star with coefficient $\alpha_{\bullet, \star}$ is implicit.

and $j = \mu_2 + k$ from the first and second terms, respectively, as well as the summand with $j = \mu_1$ from the third term. Then, equation (5.26) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(iu)^{-i+4}}{(i-2)!} \left(\sum \mu_1 \frac{(\mu_1+k-1)!\mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1+k-2} \tau_{\mu_2-1} + \mu_2 \frac{\mu_1!(\mu_2+k-1)!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2+k-2} \right. \\ & + \mu_1 \frac{(\mu_1+k)!\mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_1+k-1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{\mu_1+k-2} \tau_{\mu_2-1} + \mu_2 \frac{\mu_1!(\mu_2+k)!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_2+k-1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2+k-2} \\ & + (\mu_2+k+1) \frac{\mu_1!(\mu_2+k)!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_1-1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{\mu_1-2} \tau_{\mu_2+k-1} \\ & + (\mu_1+k+1) \frac{(\mu_1+k)!\mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_2-1} \frac{1}{j} \right) \tau_{\mu_1+k-1} \tau_{\mu_2-2} \\ & \left. + \frac{(\mu_1+k)!\mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1+k-2} \tau_{\mu_2-1} + \frac{(\mu_1-1)!(\mu_2+k+1)!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-2} \tau_{\mu_2+k-1} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

where the sum is over $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2, |\mu| = i - 3$.

Let us fix an integer a satisfying $a > k - 2$. We observe that the sum of the first term from the first line of equation (5.27) with $\mu_1 = a + 2 - k$ and the second term in the last line with $\mu_2 = a + 1 - k$ will cancel with the first term of equation (5.25) with $\mu_1 = a + 1$. Also, the sum of the second term from the first line with $\mu_2 = a + 2 - k$ and the first term of the last line with $\mu_1 = a + 1 - k$ will cancel with the first term of equation (5.25) with $\mu_2 = a + 1$.

Similarly, the sum of the first term for the second line of equation (5.27) with $\mu_1 = a + 2 - k$ and the first term from the third line of equation (5.27) with $\mu_1 = a + 2$ cancels with the second term of equation (5.25) with $\mu_1 = a + 1$. Finally, the sum of the second term from the second line of equation (5.27) with $\mu_1 = a + 1$ and the last term from the last line of equation (5.27) with $\mu_1 = a + 1 - k$ cancels with the last term of equation (5.25) with $\mu_1 = a + 1$.

After all of these cancellations, we are left with

$$\sum \frac{(iu)^{-i+4} \mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)(i-3)!} \left(1 + (\mu_1+1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_1} \frac{1}{j} \right) + (\mu_2+1) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\mu_2} \frac{1}{j} \right) \right) \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}, \tag{5.28}$$

where \sum is the sum of two subsums: The first is over $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = i + k - 4, \mu_1 \leq k$, and the second is over $\mu_1 + \mu_2 = i + k - 4, \mu_2 \leq k$.

In the difference (5.13), the expression (5.28) is canceled by the corresponding τ -quadratic terms of complex cohomological degree 3 of equation (5.24). More precisely, the first and second terms of equation (5.24) yield

$$\frac{(iu)^{-i+4}}{2} \left[b \frac{(a+i-4)!(b-1)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a+i-6}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \tau_{b-2}(\mathfrak{p}) + a \frac{(a-1)!(b+i-4)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a-2}(\mathfrak{p}) \tau_{b+i-6}(\gamma \cdot c_1^2) \right],$$

after we apply equation (1.15) to these terms and drop τ -cubic terms and the terms of cohomological degree other than 3. In particular, the factors in first and second terms are produced by the \mathfrak{a} -linear term of equation (1.15) proportional to c_1 .

The last two terms of equation (5.24) yield³¹

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{(iu)^{-i+4}}{2} \left[(a-1) \frac{(a+i-4)!(b-1)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a+i-6}(\gamma_\bullet \cdot \gamma) \tau_{b-2}(\gamma_\star \cdot c_1) \right. \\
 & \left. + (b-1) \frac{(a-1)!(b+i-4)!}{(i-2)!} \tau_{a-2}(\gamma_\bullet \cdot \gamma) \tau_{b+i-6}(\gamma_\star \cdot c_1) \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

after we apply only the parts of equations (1.14) and (1.15) that are not c_1 -proportional, then we use the \mathfrak{a} to τ the transition formula (5.4) and drop the τ cubic terms and the terms of homological degree other than 3.

Together these two sums combine and cancel the first term of equation (5.28). To cancel the last two terms of equation (5.28), we follow the same pattern. We first apply c_1^0 -part of equation (1.15) to the first and second terms of equation (5.24) and then apply c_1 -part of the \mathfrak{a} to τ transition formula (5.4). Next, we apply the c_1^0 -parts of equations (1.14) and (1.15) and the c_1^1 -part of equation (5.4) to the last two terms of equation (5.24). After dropping the τ -cubic terms and the terms of complex cohomological degree other than 3, we exactly cancel the remaining terms of equation (5.28).

- Consider finally the τ -cubic terms. The cohomological arguments of these terms are $c_1^2 \cdot \gamma$, so as in the previous computation, we drop the cohomology insertion from the notation.

After expanding the corresponding terms of equation (5.15), we obtain

$$\frac{(iu)^{-i}(i+k-2)}{(i-3)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i+k-5} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2! \mu_3!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \tau_{\mu_3-1}. \tag{5.29}$$

On the other hand, the corresponding terms from equation (5.16) are more complicated:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{(iu)^{-i}}{(i-3)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i-5} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2! \mu_3!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \left(\frac{(\mu_1+k+1)!}{\mu_1!} \tau_{\mu_1+k-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \tau_{\mu_3-1} \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{(\mu_2+k+1)!}{\mu_2!} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2+k-1} \tau_{\mu_3-1} + \frac{(\mu_3+k+1)!}{\mu_3!} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \tau_{\mu_3+k-1} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

In equation (5.29), we have $i+k-2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 (\mu_j + 1)$. Therefore, the difference between the last two expressions is the sum of the monomials

$$\left(\sum_{j, \mu_j \leq k-2} (\mu_j + 2) \right) \frac{(iu)^{-i} \mu_1! \mu_2! \mu_3!}{(i-3)! \text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \tau_{\mu_3-1}. \tag{5.30}$$

Let us restrict our attention to the case when i is bigger than k ; the other cases are analogous. After applying the reaction from the last line of equation (1.15), we obtain a formula for the expressions in the second line of equation (5.13):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (a-2)! b! \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\frac{\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(c_1) \tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{b-2}} \right) \tau_{b-2}(\mathfrak{p}) = \tau\text{-quadratic terms} \\
 & + \frac{(iu)^{-k-i} b!}{(i-2)!} \left(\sum_{|\mu|=a+i-6} \max(\max(\mu_1+1, \mu_2+1), i-2) \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1} \right) \tau_{b-2}, \tag{5.31}
 \end{aligned}$$

³¹The sum over \bullet, \star with coefficient $\alpha_{\bullet, \star}$ is implicit.

$$\begin{aligned}
 a!(b-2)!\tau_{a-2}(\rho)\mathfrak{C}^\circ\left(\frac{\widetilde{\text{ch}}_b(c_1)\widetilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma)}{(iu)^{a-2}}\right) &= \tau\text{-quadratic terms} \\
 + \frac{(iu)^{-k-i}a!}{(i-2)!}\left(\sum_{|\mu|=b+i-6} \max(\max(\mu_1+1, \mu_2+1), i-2)\frac{\mu_1!\mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)}\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}\right) &\tau_{a-2}. \tag{5.32}
 \end{aligned}$$

The terms of equations (5.31) and (5.32) with $\max(\mu_1+1, \mu_2+1) \leq i-2$ contribute the monomials

$$(iu)^{-i}b \cdot \frac{\mu_1!\mu_2!(b-1)!}{(i-3)!}\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}\tau_{b-2}, \quad (iu)^{-i}a \cdot \frac{\mu_1!\mu_2!(a-1)!}{(i-3)!}\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}\tau_{a-2}. \tag{5.33}$$

Note $a+b = k+2$ in equation (5.13). Since $\max(\mu_1+1, \mu_2+1) \leq i-2$ and $|\mu| = a+i-2$ or $|\mu| = b+i-2$, we imply that $\mu_1+1, \mu_2+1 \geq k-1$. Thus, the corresponding terms of equations (5.31) and (5.32) cancel with the monomials (5.30) such that there is only one j with $\mu_j \leq k-2$.

The terms in equations (5.31) and (5.32) with $\max(\mu_1+1, \mu_2+1) > i-2$ yield terms

$$(iu)^{-i}b(\mu'+1) \cdot \frac{\mu_1!\mu_2!(b-1)!}{(i-2)!}\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}\tau_{b-2}, \quad (iu)^{-i}a(\mu'+1) \cdot \frac{\mu_1!\mu_2!(a-1)!}{(i-2)!}\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}\tau_{a-2},$$

where $\mu' = \max(\mu_1, \mu_2)$. Both of these terms are of the form

$$(iu)^{-i}(\mu_1+1)(\mu_2+1) \cdot \frac{\mu_1!\mu_2!\mu_3!}{(i-2)!}\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}\tau_{\mu_3-1}, \tag{5.34}$$

with $\mu_1+1 > i-2$ and $|\mu| = i+k-4$. Since we assumed that $i > k$, we have $\mu_1+\mu_2 < k-4$ in equation (5.34). The discussed terms therefore combine to yield the sum of monomials

$$(iu)^{-i}(\mu_1+\mu_2+2)(\mu_3+1) \cdot \frac{\mu_1!\mu_2!\mu_3!}{(i-2)!}\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}\tau_{\mu_3-1}, \tag{5.35}$$

where $\mu_3+1 > i-2$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2 \leq k-2$.

The terms (5.35) combine with the terms from the expansion of the last two lines of equation (5.13). Indeed, since $\gamma_\bullet, \gamma_\star$ in the last two lines of equation (5.13) are of complex cohomological degree 2, the τ -terms result from use of the c_1^1 -part of equation (1.14) and of the c_1^0 -part of equation (1.15). The expansion of these terms is a sum of monomials

$$-(iu)^{-i}(b-1)(a-1)\frac{(a+i-4)!\mu_1!\mu_2!}{(i-2)!}\tau_{a+i-5}\tau_{\mu_1-1}\tau_{\mu_2-1}, \tag{5.36}$$

where $|\mu| = b-3$.

The combination of equation (5.36) with $a = \mu_3 - i + 4, b = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + 3$ and equation (5.35) matches equation (5.30), since, in equation (5.36), we have

$$(b-1)(a-1) = (\mu_1+\mu_2+2)(\mu_3-i+3) = (\mu_1+\mu_2+2)(\mu_3+1) - (\mu_1+\mu_2+2)(i-2).$$

We have cancelled all τ -cubic terms.

The assumption $\widetilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{\text{X}\star}$ implies $i \geq 3$. Therefore, in the above computations, we do not see negative factorials in denominators. □

5.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1 for $\mathbb{D}_{PT}^1 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$

Theorem 3.1, for all $D \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^1 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star}$, is an immediate consequence of Proposition 11 for singletons by the following simple argument. Let

$$D = \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^1 \cap \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X\star},$$

where $\gamma_i \gamma_j = 0 \in H^*(X)$ for all $i \neq j$.

By definition, for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left(L_k^{PT}(D) \right) &= \mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left(L_k^{PT} \left(\prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right) \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left(T_k \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m R_k(\tilde{ch}_{k_j}(\gamma_j)) \prod_{i \neq j} \tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_i \gamma_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$,

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left(T_k \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i) \right) = (-m + 1) \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k) \prod_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)) + \sum_{j=1}^m \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k \tilde{ch}_{k_j}(\gamma_j)) \prod_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)).$$

By Proposition 11,

$$\begin{aligned} (iu)^{-k} \tilde{L}_k^{GW}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_i(\gamma_i))) &= \mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left(L_k^{PT}(\tilde{ch}_i(\gamma_i)) \right) \\ &= \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k) \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_i(\gamma_i)) + \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k \tilde{ch}_i(\gamma_i)) + \mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left(R_k(\tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\bullet \left(L_k^{PT}(D) \right) &= \sum_{j=1}^m (iu)^{-k} \tilde{L}_k^{GW}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_j(\gamma_j))) \prod_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)) - (m - 1) \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(T_k) \prod_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (iu)^{-k} \tilde{L}_k^{GW}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D)) &= \sum_{j=1}^m (iu)^{-k} \tilde{L}_k^{GW}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_j(\gamma_j))) \prod_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)) - (m - 1)(iu)^{-k} \left(\frac{(iu)^2}{2} \right) T_k \prod_{i=1}^m \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\tilde{ch}_{k_i}(\gamma_i)). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by applying equation (4.3). □

6. Intertwining III: interacting insertions

6.1. Overview

We complete here the proof of Theorem 3.1. Since noninteracting insertions have already been treated in Section 5, we must address the interacting cases. In the desired equation,

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet \circ L_k^{PT}(D) = (iu)^{-k} \tilde{L}_k^{GW} \circ \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D), \tag{6.1}$$

the stable pairs descendent insertions of $D \in \mathbb{D}_{PT}^{X,\star}$ can interact with each other via the GW/PT descendent correspondence on both sides of equation (6.1). In addition, the stable pairs descendants can also interact with constant term of the Virasoro constraints on the left side. We must control all of these interactions.

6.2. Interactions among two insertions

We start with results which control the interactions of two descendent insertions.

Proposition 12. *Let $\gamma' \in H^2(X)$, $\gamma'' \in H^4(X)$, and let $i \geq 3$, $j \geq 2$. Then, for $k \geq -1$, we have*

$$(iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbf{R}_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma''))) = \mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma''))).$$

Proof. We first compute the left side of the equation. After applying the shifts, we obtain

$$\mathbf{R}_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma'')) = \frac{(i+k-2)!}{(i-3)!} \tilde{\text{ch}}_{i+k}(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma'') + \frac{(j+k-1)!}{(j-2)!} \tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_{j+k}(\gamma'').$$

We apply the correspondence to the both terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbf{R}_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma''))) &= (iu)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{(i-3)!(j-2)!} + \frac{(j+k-1)}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \right) \mathbf{a}_{i+j+k-4}(\gamma'\gamma'') \\ &= (iu)^{-i-j-k+4} \frac{(i+j+k-3)!}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \tau_{i+j+k-5}(\gamma'\gamma''). \end{aligned}$$

The right side of the equation is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma''))) &= \mathbf{R}_k\left(\frac{(iu)^{-1}}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \mathbf{a}_{i+j-4}(\gamma'\gamma'')\right) \\ &= (iu)^{-i-j+4} \frac{(i+j-4)!}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \mathbf{R}_k(\tau_{i+j-5}(\gamma'\gamma'')) \\ &= (iu)^{-i-j+4} \frac{(i+j+k-3)!}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \tau_{i+j+k-5}(\gamma'\gamma''), \end{aligned}$$

which matches the left side. □

Proposition 13. *Let $\gamma', \gamma'' \in H^2(X)$, and let $i, j \geq 3$. Then, for $k \geq -1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbf{R}_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma''))) - \mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma''))) &= \sum_{a+b=k+2} (a-2)!b! \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma') \cdot \tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma'') \cdot \tilde{\text{ch}}_a(c_1)) \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\text{ch}_b(\mathfrak{p})) \\ &\quad + a!(b-2)! \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma') \cdot \tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma'') \cdot \tilde{\text{ch}}_b(c_1)) \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\text{ch}_a(\mathfrak{p})) \\ &\quad - \sum_{a+b=k+2} (a-1)!(b-1)! \sum_{\bullet, \star} \alpha_{\bullet, \star} \left(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(\gamma_\bullet) \cdot \tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')) \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_b(\gamma_\star) \tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma'')) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_a(\gamma_\bullet) \tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma'')) \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_b(\gamma_\star) \cdot \tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

Proof. We follow the same strategy as in the proof of Proposition 11. We first compute

$$\mathbf{R}_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma'')) = \frac{(k+i-2)!}{(i-3)!} \tilde{\text{ch}}_{i+k}(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma'') + \frac{(k+j-2)!}{(j-3)!} \tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_{j+k}(\gamma'').$$

After applying the correspondence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbb{R}_k(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma''))) &= -\frac{1}{(i-3)!(j-2)!} \left[\frac{\mathfrak{a}_{i+j+k-4}(\gamma'\gamma'')}{iu} + \frac{\mathfrak{a}_{i+j+k-5}(\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1)}{(iu)^2} + \right. \\ (iu)^{-2} \sum_{|\mu|=i+j+k-6} &\left. \frac{f(i+k, j; \mu_1, \mu_2)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_1} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_2}(\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1) \right] - \frac{1}{(i-2)!(j-3)!} \left[\frac{\mathfrak{a}_{i+j+k-4}(\gamma'\gamma'')}{iu} + \right. \\ &\left. \frac{\mathfrak{a}_{i+j+k-5}(\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1)}{(iu)^2} + (iu)^{-2} \sum_{|\mu|=i+j+k-6} \frac{f(i, j+k; \mu_1, \mu_2)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_1} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_2}(\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1) \right], \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where $f(i, j; \mu_1, \mu_2) = \max(\max(i-2, j-2), \max(\mu_1+1, \mu_2+1))$.

The second term of the difference is easier:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_i(\gamma')\tilde{\text{ch}}_j(\gamma''))) &= -\frac{(iu)^{-i-j+4}}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \mathbb{R}_k \left((i+j-4)! \left(\tau_{i+j-5}(\gamma'\gamma'') \right. \right. \\ &\left. \left. + \left(\sum_{s=1}^{i+j-4} \frac{1}{s} \right) \tau_{i+j-6}(\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1) \right) + (iu)^{-2} \sum_{|\mu|=i+j-6} \frac{f(i, j; \mu_1, \mu_2)}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_1} \mathfrak{a}_{\mu_2}(\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

We now analyze the difference. The τ -linear terms of complex cohomological degree 2 in $(iu)^k$ times equations (6.3) and (6.4) are matching sums of the monomials:

$$(iu)^{-i-j+4} \frac{(i+j+k-4)!}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} (i+j-4) \tau_{i+j+k-5}(\gamma'\gamma'').$$

The τ -linear terms of cohomological degree 3 almost match. To be precise, the corresponding terms in equation (6.3) are sums the monomials:

$$(iu)^{-i-j+4} \frac{(i+j+k-4)!}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} (i+j-4) \left(\sum_{s=1}^{i+j+k-4} \frac{1}{s} \right) \tau_{i+j+k-6}(\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1).$$

Respectively, the corresponding terms in equation (6.4) are sums of the same monomials plus an extra term

$$(iu)^{-i-j+4} \frac{(i+j+k-4)!}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \tau_{i+j+k-6}(\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1).$$

This extra term gets canceled by the term from the second line of equation (6.2) with $b = 0$ because of equation (3.5).

Therefore, the difference of $(iu)^k$ times equations (6.3) and (6.4) consists only of the τ -quadratic terms of complex cohomological degree 3. We omit cohomological classes since all the cohomological arguments are $\gamma'\gamma'' \cdot c_1$. The corresponding part of equation (6.3) is

$$\frac{(iu)^{-i-j+2}}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \sum_{|\mu|=i+j+k-6} \frac{\mu_1! \mu_2!}{\text{Aut}(\mu)} [(i-2)f(i+k, j; \mu) + (j-2)f(i, j+k; \mu)] \tau_{\mu_1-1} \tau_{\mu_2-1}, \tag{6.5}$$

where we assume that f vanishes whenever one of the argument is negative.

We must compare equation (6.5) with the expansion of the last four lines of equation (6.2). The first two of the last four lines of (6.2) expand to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \frac{(iu)^{-i-j+2}}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (i+j+a-6)b \frac{(i+j+a-7)!(a-1)!}{2} \tau_{i+j+a-8} \tau_{b-2} \\
 & + (i+j+b-6)a \frac{(i+j+b-7)!(a-1)!}{2} \tau_{i+j+b-8} \tau_{a-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last two lines of the last four lines of equation (6.2) expand to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{(iu)^{-i-j+2}}{(i-2)!(j-2)!} \sum_{a+b=k+2} (a-1)(b-1) \left((a+i-4)!(b+j-4)! \tau_{a+i-5} \tau_{b+j-5} \right. \\
 & \left. + (a+j-4)!(b+i-4)! \tau_{a+j-5} \tau_{b+i-5} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

These last two expressions are the τ -cubic contribution to equation (6.2) which results from the bumping of $\widetilde{ch}_i(\gamma')\widetilde{ch}_j(\gamma'')$ with the constant term T_k . The corresponding coefficient in front of τ -cubic monomial is given by the formula (6.7) below.

To complete the proof, we must match the coefficients in front of the terms in sums above. That is, we need to compare two expressions below for all μ satisfying $|\mu| = i + j + k - 6$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i-2)f(i+k, j; \mu) + (j-2)f(i, j+k; \mu) - (\mu_1+1)f(i, j; \mu_1-k, \mu_2) \\
 - (\mu_2+1)f(i, j; \mu_1, \mu_2-k), \tag{6.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\mu_1+1]_{\leq k}(\mu_2+1) + (\mu_1+1)[\mu_2+1]_{\leq k} - [\mu_1-i+3]_{\geq 0}[\mu_2-j+3]_{\geq 0} \\
 - [\mu_1-j+3]_{\geq 0}[\mu_2-i+3]_{\geq 0}, \tag{6.7}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $[a]_{\leq b}$ and $[a]_{\geq b}$ are cut off functions which equal a if a satisfies inequalities $a \geq b$ and $a \leq b$, respectively (and are zero otherwise). The matching now is a long and routine check. We give some details.

We can always assume $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2$ and $i \geq j$. Let us further assume k is small and $\mu_1 \geq i+k$. If $\mu_2 \geq k$, then the function (6.6) equals

$$(i+j-4)(\mu_1+1) - (\mu_1+1)(\mu_1-k+1) - (\mu_2-1)(\mu_1+1) = 0.$$

The assumed inequalities force all terms in equation (6.7) to vanish.

Next, we assume all but last inequality are true, that is $\mu_2 < k$. Then the expression (6.6) becomes

$$(i+j-4)(\mu_1+1) - (\mu_1+1)(\mu_1-k+1) = (\mu_1+1)(\mu_2+1).$$

On the other hand, in equation (6.7), only the second expression does not vanish – the second expression matches equation (6.6). Rest of the case can be treated analogously. □

6.3. Interactions among three insertions

The last interaction to consider is among three descendent insertions. Because of the stationary assumption, there is only one case to control.

Proposition 14. *Let $\gamma', \gamma'', \gamma''' \in H^2(X)$, and let $i_1, i_2, i_3 \geq 3$. Then, for $k \geq -1$, we have*

$$(iu)^k \mathfrak{C}^\circ \left(\mathbf{R}_k(\widetilde{ch}_{i_1}(\gamma')\widetilde{ch}_{i_2}(\gamma'')\widetilde{ch}_{i_3}(\gamma''')) \right) - \mathbf{R}_k \left(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{ch}_{i_1}(\gamma')\widetilde{ch}_{i_2}(\gamma'')\widetilde{ch}_{i_3}(\gamma''')) \right) = 0.$$

For the proof, we will use the explicit correspondence formula (1.16) for the triple interaction

$$\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_1}\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_2}\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_3})(\gamma) = \frac{(|i| - 6)(iu)^{-2}}{(i_1 - 2)!(i_2 - 2)!(i_3 - 2)!} \mathbf{a}_{|i|-7}(\gamma), \tag{6.8}$$

where $|i| = i_1 + i_2 + i_3$.

Proof of Proposition 14. We first compute the left side of the equation. To start,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_k(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_1}(\gamma')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_2}(\gamma'')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_3}(\gamma''')) &= \frac{(i_1 + k - 2)!}{(i_1 - 3)!} \widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_1+k}(\gamma')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_2}(\gamma'')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_3}(\gamma''') \\ &+ \frac{(i_2 + k - 2)!}{(i_2 - 3)!} \widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_1}(\gamma')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_2+k}(\gamma'')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_3}(\gamma''') \\ &+ \frac{(i_3 + k - 2)!}{(i_3 - 3)!} \widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_1}(\gamma')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_2}(\gamma'')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_3+k}(\gamma'''). \end{aligned}$$

After applying the triple bumping and the transition from \mathbf{a} descendants to τ descendants, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbf{R}_k(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_1}(\gamma')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_2}(\gamma'')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_3}(\gamma'''))) &= (|i| + k - 6)(iu)^{-2} \left(\frac{1}{(i_1 - 3)!(i_2 - 2)!(i_3 - 2)!} \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{(i_1 - 2)!(i_2 - 3)!(i_3 - 2)!} + \frac{1}{(i_1 - 2)!(i_2 - 2)!(i_3 - 3)!} \right) \mathbf{a}_{|i|+k-7}(\gamma'\gamma''\gamma''') \\ &= (iu)^{-|i|-k+6} (|i| - 6) \frac{(|i| + k - 6)!}{(i_1 - 2)!(i_2 - 2)!(i_3 - 2)!} \tau_{|i|+k-8}(\gamma'\gamma''\gamma'''). \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

On the other hand, the right side of the equation equals

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_1}(\gamma')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_2}(\gamma'')\widetilde{\text{ch}}_{i_3}(\gamma'''))) &= \frac{(iu)^{-2}(|i| - 6)}{(i_1 - 2)!(i_2 - 2)!(i_3 - 2)!} \mathbf{R}_k(\mathbf{a}_{|i|-7}(\gamma'\gamma''\gamma''')) \\ &= (iu)^{-|i|+6} (|i| - 6) \frac{(|i| + k - 6)!}{(i_1 - 2)!(i_2 - 2)!(i_3 - 2)!} \tau_{|i|+k-8}(\gamma'\gamma''\gamma'''), \end{aligned}$$

which matches $(iu)^k$ times equation (6.9). □

6.4. Proof of Theorem 3.1

Let $k \geq 1$, and let $D \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X, \star}$. To prove the equality

$$\mathfrak{C}^\bullet \circ \mathbf{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D) = (iu)^{-k} \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_k^{\text{GW}} \circ \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D),$$

after the restrictions $\tau_{-2}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$ and $\tau_{-1}(\gamma) = 0$ for $\gamma \in H^{>2}(X)$, we will expand both sides. The noninteracting case was already proven in Section 5.3. Equality in the general case will use Propositions 9, 10, 11, 12, 13 and 14.

In the formulas below, we will use shorthand notation for the constant term of \mathbf{L}_k^{PT} :

$$\mathbf{T}_k = \sum_j \mathbf{T}_{k,j}^L \mathbf{T}_{k,j}^R,$$

where L and R denote the left and right sides in equation (1.3).

For $D = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} D_i \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X\star}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbb{L}_k^{\text{PT}}(D)) &= \mathfrak{C}^\bullet(\mathbb{T}_k D + \mathbb{R}_k(D)) \\ &= \sum_{P'} \sum_j \prod_{S \in P'} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbb{T}_{k,j}^S D^S) + \sum_{P''} \sum_{t=1}^{\ell(P'')} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\mathbb{R}_k(D^{S_t})) \prod_{S \in P'', S \neq S_t} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(D^S). \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

The first sum is over partitions P' of $\{1, \dots, \ell, L, R\}$ and

$$D^S = \prod_{i \in S \cap \{1, \dots, \ell\}} D_i, \quad \mathbb{T}_{k,j}^S = \prod_{\gamma \in S \cap \{L, R\}} \mathbb{T}_{k,j}^\gamma.$$

The second sum is over partitions P'' of $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$ and $P'' = \{S_1, \dots, S_{\ell(P'')}\}$.

We must compare equation (6.10) with $(uu)^{-k}$ times

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{L}_k^{\text{GW}}(\mathfrak{C}^\bullet(D)) &= \mathbb{L}_k^{\text{GW}}\left(\sum_P \prod_{S \in P} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(D^S)\right) \\ &= \sum_{P'} \mathbb{T}_k \prod_{S \in P'} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(D^S) + \sum_{P''} \sum_{t=1}^{\ell(P'')} \mathbb{R}_k(\mathfrak{C}^\circ(D^{S_t})) \prod_{S \in P'', S \neq S_t} \mathfrak{C}^\circ(D^S). \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where both sums run over partitions P', P'' of $\{1, \dots, \ell\}$.

Since we only work with the stationary descendents, we can assume that the parts of partitions in the formulas have at most three elements. We will match the terms of equation (6.10) and $(uu)^{-k}$ times equation (6.11) depending on the size of S_t .

- If $|S_t| = 3$, then the terms in equations (6.10) and (6.11) with $P'' = \tilde{P} \sqcup S_t$ are matched by Proposition 14.
- If $|S_t| = 2$ with $S_t = \{p, q\}$, then we use Propositions 12 and 13 to match the terms of equation (6.10) with $P'' = \tilde{P} \sqcup S_t$ and with P' equal to

$$\tilde{P} \sqcup \{S_t, L\} \sqcup \{R\}, \quad \tilde{P} \sqcup \{S_t, R\} \sqcup \{L\}, \quad \tilde{P} \sqcup \{p, R\} \sqcup \{q, L\}, \quad \tilde{P} \sqcup \{p, L\} \sqcup \{q, R\},$$

with the terms of equation (6.11) with $P'' = \tilde{P} \sqcup S_t$.

- If $|S_t| = 1$ with $S_t = \{p\}$, then we use Proposition 10 and Proposition 11 to identify the terms of equation (6.10) with $P'' = \tilde{P} \sqcup S_t$ and with P' equal to

$$\tilde{P} \sqcup \{p, L\} \sqcup \{R\}, \quad \tilde{P} \sqcup \{p, R\} \sqcup \{L\}$$

with the terms of equation (6.11) with $P'' = \tilde{P} \sqcup S_t$.

- The terms of equation (6.10) with $P' = \{L\} \sqcup \{R\} \sqcup \tilde{P}$ are equal to the terms of equation (6.11) with $P' = \tilde{P}$ by Proposition 9.

The above four cases match all the terms in equations (6.10) and (6.11).

7. Virasoro constraints for Hilbert schemes of points of surfaces

Let S be a nonsingular projective toric surface, and let

$$X = S \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 applied to the toric variety X , we obtain the following Virasoro constraints:

$$\forall k \geq -1, \quad \left\langle \mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}} \prod_{i=1}^r \text{ch}_{m_i}(\gamma_i \times \rho) \right\rangle_{n[\mathbb{P}^1]}^{X, \text{PT}} = 0, \tag{7.1}$$

where $\gamma_i \in H^*(X)$, $\rho \in H^2(\mathbb{P}^1)$ is the point class, and $[\mathbb{P}^1] \in H_2(X)$ is the fiber class.

We can specialize the constraints (7.1) further to the case of the minimal possible Euler characteristic,

$$P_n(S \times \mathbb{P}^1, n[\mathbb{P}^1]) \cong \text{Hilb}^n(S).$$

The above isomorphism of schemes is defined as follows. A point $\xi \in \text{Hilb}^n(S)$ corresponds to a 0-dimensional subscheme of S of length n . Then,

$$\xi \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subseteq S \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

is a curve embedded in $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with Euler characteristic n and curve class $n[\mathbb{P}^1]$. The isomorphism sends ξ to the corresponding stable pair

$$\mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\xi \times \mathbb{P}^1}.$$

Since the moduli space of stable pairs is nonsingular of expected dimension

$$\int_{n[\mathbb{P}^1]} c_1(S \times \mathbb{P}^1) = 2n,$$

the virtual class is the standard fundamental class here. The result is a new set of Virasoro constraints for tautological classes on $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$.

To write the Virasoro constraints for $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$ explicitly, we first define the corresponding descendent insertions. Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Hilb}^n(S) \times S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Z \rightarrow 0$$

be the universal sequence associated to the universal subscheme

$$Z \subset S \times \text{Hilb}^n(S).$$

For $\gamma \in H^*(S)$, let

$$\text{ch}_k(\gamma) = -\pi_*(\text{ch}_k(\mathcal{I}) \cdot \gamma), \tag{7.2}$$

where π is the projection to $\text{Hilb}^n(S)$. We follow as closely as possible the descendent notation for threefolds in Section 1.1.

Let $\mathbb{D}(S)$ be the commutative algebra with generators

$$\{ \text{ch}_i(\gamma) \mid i \geq 0, \gamma \in H^*(S) \}$$

following Section 1.2. We define derivations R_k by their actions on the generators:

$$R_k(\text{ch}_i(\gamma)) = \left(\prod_{n=0}^k (i + d - 2 + n) \right) \text{ch}_{i+k}(\gamma), \quad \gamma \in H^{2d}(S).$$

For $k \geq -1$, we define differential operators

$$L_k^S = - \sum_{a+b=k+2} (-1)^{(d^{L+1})(d^{R+1})} (a + d^L - 2)!(b + d^R - 2)! \text{ch}_a \text{ch}_b(1) + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{a+b=k} a!b! \text{ch}_a \text{ch}_b(c_1^2 + c_2) + R_k,$$

where the sum is over ordered pairs (a, b) with $a, b \geq 0$.

Theorem 5. For all $k \geq -1$ and $D \in \mathbb{D}(S)$, we have

$$\int_{\text{Hilb}^n(S)} \left(L_k^S + (k + 1)!R_{-1} \text{ch}_{k+1}(p) \right) (D) = 0$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. For clarity, we will use superscripts $\text{ch}_i^{\text{Hilb}}$ and ch_i^{PT} here to indicate whether we are referring to descendents on the Hilbert scheme of S as defined above or to stable pairs descendents on $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as defined in Section 1.1.

The universal stable pair of $P_n(S \times \mathbb{P}^1, n[\mathbb{P}^1])$ is $\mathbb{F} = \mathcal{O}_{Z \times \mathbb{P}^1}$. Hence,

$$\text{ch}_i(\mathbb{F} - \mathcal{O}_{S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \text{Hilb}^n(S)}) = (\rho \times \text{id})^* \text{ch}_i(-\mathcal{I}),$$

where ρ is the projection $\rho : S \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow S$. By the push-pull formula, for $\delta \in H^*(S \times \mathbb{P}^1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}_i^{\text{PT}}(\delta) &= \pi_* ((\rho \times \text{id})^* (\text{ch}_i(-\mathcal{I}) \cdot \delta)) \\ &= \pi_* (\text{ch}_i(-\mathcal{I}) \cdot \rho_* \delta) \\ &= \text{ch}_i^{\text{Hilb}}(\rho_* \delta). \end{aligned}$$

So, $\text{ch}_i^{\text{PT}}(\gamma \times 1) = 0$, and $\text{ch}_i^{\text{PT}}(\gamma \times p) = \text{ch}_i^{\text{Hilb}}(\gamma)$.

Since we have the Virasoro constraints (7.1), we must only check that the composition

$$\mathbb{D}(S) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X+} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_k^{\text{PT}}} \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X+} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(S) \tag{7.3}$$

is precisely

$$L_k^S + (k + 1)!R_{-1} \text{ch}_{k+1}(p).$$

The first inclusion in equation (7.3) is determined by sending generators $\text{ch}_i^{\text{Hilb}}(\gamma)$ to $\text{ch}_i^{\text{PT}}(\gamma \times p)$, and the last map of equation (7.3) sends $\text{ch}_i^{\text{PT}}(\delta)$ to $\text{ch}_i^{\text{Hilb}}(\rho_* \delta)$.

The analysis of the composition is straightforward. For the diagonal terms, we note that

$$c_1(X) = 2(1 \times p) + c_1(S) \times 1$$

and

$$\frac{c_1 c_2}{24}(X) = \text{td}_3(X) = \text{td}_2(S) \times \text{td}_2(\mathbb{P}^1) = \frac{1}{12}(c_1(S)^2 + c_2(S)) \times p.$$

We write the Künneth decomposition of the diagonal as

$$\Delta \cdot 1 = \sum_i \theta_i^L \otimes \theta_i^R \in H^*(S \times S).$$

Then, the Künneth decomposition of $\Delta \cdot c_1 \in H^*(X \times X)$ is

$$2 \sum_i (\theta_i^L \times p) \otimes (\theta_i^R \times p) + \dots,$$

where the remaining terms in the dots are killed by ρ_* . The matching of operators then follows from the definition of \mathcal{L}_k^{PT} . □

8. GW/PT descendent correspondence: review

8.1. Vertex operators

Our goal here is to review the results of [18] and to explain how Theorem 1.4 can be derived from [18]. The full derivation is postponed to Section 9.

To state the main result of [18], we require negative descendents $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ which are defined to satisfy the Heisenberg relations with positive descendents

$$[\mathbf{a}_k(\alpha), \mathbf{a}_m(\gamma)] = k\delta_{k+m} \int_X \alpha \cup \gamma. \tag{8.1}$$

The descendents $\{\mathbf{a}_k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ generate the $H^*(X)$ -algebra Heis_X .

For curve class $\beta \in H_2(X)$, there is a geometrically defined Gromov–Witten evaluation $\langle \cdot \rangle_\beta$ map on the algebra generated by the nonnegative descendents. We can extend the evaluation map to the whole algebra Heis_X by defining

$$\langle \mathbf{a}_k(\gamma)\Phi \rangle_\beta^{X, \text{GW}} = \left[\int_X (-c_1\delta_{k+1} + \delta_{k+2}iu) \cdot \gamma \right] \langle \Phi \rangle_\beta^{X, \text{GW}}, \quad k < 0.$$

We assemble the operators \mathbf{a}_k in the following generating function:

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{n>0} \frac{\mathbf{a}_n}{n} \left(\frac{1zc_1}{u} \right)^{-n} + \frac{1}{c_1} \sum_{n<0} \frac{\mathbf{a}_n}{n} \left(\frac{1zc_1}{u} \right)^{-n}. \tag{8.2}$$

The main objects of study in [18] are the vertex operators

$$\mathbf{H}^{\text{GW}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathbf{H}_k^{\text{GW}} x^{k+1} = \text{Res}_{w=\infty} \left(\frac{\sqrt{dydw}}{y-w} : e^{\theta\phi(y)-\theta\phi(w)} : \right), \tag{8.3}$$

where y, w and x satisfy the constraints

$$ye^y = we^w e^{-x/\theta}, \quad \theta^{-2} = -c_2(T_X). \tag{8.4}$$

Here, $\text{Res}_{w=\infty}$ denotes $\frac{1}{2\pi i}$ times the integral along a small loop around $w = \infty$.

Normally ordered monomials

$$\mathbf{a}_{i_1} \mathbf{a}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{a}_{i_k}, \quad i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k$$

form a linear basis of Heis . Respectively, we use $:\cdot:$ for the normal ordering operation

$$:\prod_j \mathbf{a}_{i_j} : = \mathbf{a}_{i_1} \mathbf{a}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{a}_{i_k}, \quad i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_k.$$

Extended $H^*(X)$ -linearly to the whole algebra Heis_X .

Let us notice that the equation (8.4) as well as the vertex operator (8.3) have symmetry

$$y \mapsto w, \quad w \mapsto y, \quad \theta \mapsto -\theta, \quad x \mapsto x.$$

This symmetry implies that the only even powers of θ appear in the expansion of equation (8.3) (see Lemma 15 from [18] for more discussions and further properties of the vertex operator).

The operators H_k^{GW} are mutually commutative. To obtain explicit formulas for H_k^{GW} , we use the Lambert function to solve equation (8.4) and express y in terms of x, w . The integral in the definition of H_k^{GW} can be interpreted as an extraction of the coefficient of w^{-1} . The descendent classes

$$H_k^{GW}(\gamma) \in \text{Heis}_X$$

are then obtained using the Sweedler coproduct. We also use the Sweedler coproduct conventions in

$$H_{\vec{k}}^{GW}(\gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^m H_{k_i}^{GW}(\gamma), \quad \vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_m). \tag{8.5}$$

In the Sweedler conventions [11], we abbreviate notation for the intersection with the small diagonal $\Delta_n \subset X^n$ with the pull-back of a class $\gamma \in H^*(X)$:

$$H^*(X^n) \ni [\Delta_n] \cdot \gamma = \sum_k \gamma_1^k \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma_n^k = \gamma_{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \gamma_{(n)}.$$

Thus, the formula (8.5) expands as

$$\prod_{i=1}^m H_{k_i}^{GW}(\gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^m H_{k_i}^{GW}(\gamma_{(i)}).$$

8.2. Stable pairs

The stable pairs analogues of the operators $H_k^{GW}(\gamma)$ are products of $H_k^{PT}(\gamma)$ defined as follows.

The classes $H_k^{PT}(\gamma)$ are linear combinations of descendents on the moduli spaces of stable pairs. Let

$$H_k^{PT}(\gamma) = \pi_* \left(H_k^{PT} \cdot \gamma \right) \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^*(P_n(X, \beta)),$$

where the classes $H_k^{PT} \in \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} H^*(X \times P_n(X, \beta))$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_k^{PT}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{k+1} H_k^{PT} \\ &= S^{-1} \left(\frac{x}{\theta} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \text{ch}_k(\mathbb{F} - \mathcal{O}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta^{-2} = -c_2(T_X), \quad S(x) = \frac{e^{x/2} - e^{-x/2}}{x}.$$

In particular, we have

$$H_k^{PT} = \text{ch}_{k+1}(\mathbb{F}) + \frac{c_2}{24} \text{ch}_{k-1}(\mathbb{F}) + \frac{7c_2^2}{5760} \text{ch}_{k-3}(\mathbb{F}) + \dots$$

8.3. Equivariant correspondence

All the definitions and construction introduced in Section 8.1 have canonical lifts to the equivariant setting with respect to a group action on the variety X . We review here the equivariant GW/PT descendent correspondence [26].

The most natural setting is the capped vertex formalism of [16, 26] which we review briefly here. Let the three-dimensional torus

$$T = \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$$

act on $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ diagonally. The tangent weights of the T -action at the point

$$p = 0 \times 0 \times 0 \in \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$$

are s_1, s_2, s_3 . The T -equivariant cohomology ring of a point is

$$H_T(\bullet) = \mathbb{C}[s_1, s_2, s_3].$$

We have the following factorization of the restriction of class $c_1c_2 - c_3$ of X to p :

$$c_1c_2 - c_3 = (s_1 + s_2)(s_1 + s_3)(s_2 + s_3),$$

where $c_i = c_i(T_X)$.

Let $U \subset \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ be the T -equivariant threefold obtained by removing the three T -equivariant lines L_1, L_2, L_3 passing through the point $\infty \times \infty \times \infty$. Let $D_i \subset U$ be the divisor with i^{th} coordinate ∞ . For a triple of partitions μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 , let

$$\left\langle \prod_i \tau_{k_i}(p) \mid \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \right\rangle_{U,D}^{\text{GW},T}, \quad \left\langle \prod_i \text{ch}_{k_i}(p) \mid \mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \right\rangle_{U,D}^{\text{PT},T} \tag{8.6}$$

denote the generating series of the T -equivariant relative Gromov–Witten and stable pairs invariants of the pair

$$D = \cup_i D_i \subset U$$

with relative conditions μ_i along the divisor D_i .

The stable maps spaces are always taken with no contracted connected components of genus greater than or equal to 2. The series (8.6) are the *capped descendent vertices* following the conventions of [18].

Theorem 8.1 ([18]). *After the change of variables $-q = e^{iu}$ the following correspondence between the two-leg capped descendent vertices holds:*

$$\left\langle \prod_i H_{k_i}^{\text{GW}}(p) \mid \mu_1, \mu_2, \emptyset \right\rangle_{U,D}^{\text{GW},T} = q^{-|\mu_1| - |\mu_2|} \left\langle \prod_i H_{k_i}^{\text{PT}}(p) \mid \mu_1, \mu_2, \emptyset \right\rangle_{U,D}^{\text{PT},T}$$

mod $(s_1 + s_3)(s_2 + s_3)$.

The result of Theorem 8.1 has two defects. Since the third partition is empty, the result only covers the *two-leg* case. Moreover, the equality of the correspondence is not proven exactly, but only mod $(s_1 + s_3)(s_2 + s_3)$. For the one-leg vertex with partitions $(\mu_1, \emptyset, \emptyset)$, Theorem 8.1 can be restricted in two ways to obtain the equality of the correspondence

$$\text{mod } (s_1 + s_3)(s_1 + s_2)(s_2 + s_3).$$

8.4. Nonequivariant limit

By following the arguments of [26], a nonequivariant GW/PT descendent correspondence for stationary insertions is derived in [18]. For our statements, we will follow as closely as possible the notation of [18, 26].

Let Heis^c be the Heisenberg algebra with generators $\mathbf{a}_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$, coefficients $\mathbb{C}[c_1, c_2]$ and relations

$$[\mathbf{a}_k, \mathbf{a}_m] = k\delta_{k+m}c_1c_2.$$

Let $\text{Heis}_+^c \subset \text{Heis}^c$ be the subalgebra generated by the elements $\mathbf{a}_{k>0}$, and define the $\mathbb{C}[c_1, c_2]$ -linear map

$$\text{Heis}^c \rightarrow \text{Heis}_+^c, \quad \Phi \mapsto \widehat{\Phi} \tag{8.7}$$

by $\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_k = \mathbf{a}_k$ for $k > 0$ and

$$\widehat{\mathbf{a}}_k \widehat{\Phi} = (-c_1\delta_{k+1} + \delta_{k+2}iu)\widehat{\Phi}, \quad \text{for } k < 0. \tag{8.8}$$

When restricted to the subalgebra Heis_+^c , the $\mathbb{C}[c_1, c_2]$ -linear map (8.7) is an isomorphism.

For a nonsingular projective threefold X and classes $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l \in H^*(X)$, the hat operation make no difference inside the Gromov–Witten bracket,

$$\langle H_{\vec{k}}^{\text{GW}}(\gamma) \rangle_{\beta}^{X, \text{GW}} = \langle \widehat{H}_{\vec{k}}^{\text{GW}}(\gamma) \rangle_{\beta}^{\text{GW}} \tag{8.9}$$

because the treatment of the negative descendents on the left side is compatible with the treatment of the negative descendents by the hat operation.

Let $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_l)$ be a vector of nonnegative integers. Following [26], we define the following element of Heis_+^c :

$$\widetilde{H}_{\vec{k}} = \frac{1}{(c_1c_2)^{l-1}} \sum_{\text{set partitions } P \text{ of } \{1, \dots, l\}} (-1)^{|P|-1} (|P|-1)! \prod_{S \in P} \widehat{H}_{\vec{k}_S}^{\text{GW}},$$

where $H_{\vec{k}_S}^{\text{GW}} = \prod_{i \in S} H_{k_i}^{\text{GW}}$ and the element $H_{\vec{k}}^{\text{GW}} \in \text{Heis}^c$ is a linear combination of monomials of \mathbf{a}_i ; the expression is given by equation (8.3).

For classes $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l \in H^*(X)$ and a vector $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_l)$ of nonnegative integers, we define

$$\overline{H_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \dots H_{k_l}(\gamma_l)} = \sum_{\text{set partitions } P \text{ of } \{1, \dots, l\}} \prod_{S \in P} \widetilde{H}_{\vec{k}_S}(\gamma_S),$$

where $\gamma_S = \prod_{i \in S} \gamma_i$.

Theorem 8.2 ([18]). *Let X be a nonsingular projective toric threefold, and let $\gamma_i \in H^{\geq 2}(X, \mathbb{C})$. After the change of variables $-q = e^{iu}$, we have*

$$\left\langle \overline{H_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \dots H_{k_l}(\gamma_l)} \right\rangle_{\beta}^{\text{GW}} = q^{-d/2} \left\langle H_{k_1}^{\text{PT}}(\gamma_1) \dots H_{k_l}^{\text{PT}}(\gamma_l) \right\rangle_{\beta}^{\text{PT}},$$

where $d = \int_{\beta} c_1$.

8.5. Examples for $X = \mathbb{P}^3$

The prefactor $\mathcal{S}^{-1}(\frac{x}{\theta})$ in front of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \text{ch}_k(\mathbb{F} - \mathcal{O})$ in the formula for $H^{\text{PT}}(x)$ has an expansion which the following initial terms:

$$1 + \frac{c_2}{24}x^2 + \frac{7c_2^2}{5760}x^4 + \dots$$

Therefore, the nonequivariant limit of $H_k^{\text{PT}}(\gamma)$ is

$$\left(\text{ch}_{k+1}(\gamma) + \frac{1}{24} \text{ch}_{k-1}(\gamma \cdot c_2) \right).$$

On the Gromov–Witten side of the correspondence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_1^{\text{GW}}(\gamma)\Phi \rangle &= \langle \mathbf{a}_1(\gamma)\Phi \rangle, & \langle H_2^{\text{GW}}(\gamma)\Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{a}_2(\gamma)\Phi \rangle, \\ \langle H_3^{\text{GW}}(\gamma)\Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{6} \langle \mathbf{a}_3(\gamma)\Phi \rangle + \frac{1}{24u^2} \langle c_1^2 c_2 \cdot \Phi \rangle, \\ \langle H_4^{\text{GW}}(\gamma)\Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{24} \langle \mathbf{a}_4(\gamma)\Phi \rangle - \frac{i}{12u} \langle \mathbf{a}_1^2(c_1 \cdot \gamma)\Phi \rangle - \frac{5i}{144u^3} \langle c_1^3 c_2 \cdot \Phi \rangle, \\ \langle H_5^{\text{GW}}\Phi \rangle &= \frac{1}{120} \langle \mathbf{a}_5(\gamma)\Phi \rangle - \frac{i}{24u} \langle \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2(c_1 \cdot \gamma)\Phi \rangle - \frac{1}{48u^2} \langle \mathbf{a}_1^2(c_1^2 \cdot \gamma)\Phi \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24u^2} \langle \mathbf{a}_1(c_1^2 c_2 \cdot \gamma)\Phi \rangle - \frac{1}{64u^4} \langle c_1^4 c_2 \cdot \Phi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The operators \mathbf{a}_k are expressed in terms of standard descendants³²

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_1 &= \tau_0 - \frac{c_2}{24}, & (8.10) \\ iu\mathbf{a}_2/2 &= \tau_1 + c_1 \cdot \tau_0, \\ -u^2\mathbf{a}_3/3 &= 2\tau_2 + 3c_1 \cdot \tau_1 + c_1^2 \cdot \tau_0, \\ -iu^3\mathbf{a}_4/4 &= 6\tau_3 + 11c_1 \cdot \tau_2 + 6c_1^2\tau_1 + c_1^3 \cdot \tau_0, \\ u^4\mathbf{a}_5/5 &= 24\tau_4 + 50c_1 \cdot \tau_3 + 35c_1^2 \cdot \tau_2 + 10c_1^3 \cdot \tau_1 + c_1^4 \cdot \tau_0. \end{aligned}$$

The descendent correspondence of Theorem 8.2 implies relations for stable pairs and Gromov–Witten invariants of \mathbf{P}^3 . For example, for β of degree 1,

$$\begin{aligned} -iq^{-2} \langle \text{ch}_5(\text{L}) \rangle &= \left(\frac{1}{u^3} \langle \tau_3(\text{L}) \rangle + \frac{22}{3u^3} \langle \tau_2(\text{p}) \rangle - \frac{1}{3u} \langle \tau_0 \tau_0(\text{p}) \rangle \right), & (8.11) \\ q^{-2} \left(\langle \text{ch}_6(\text{H}) \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \text{ch}_4(\text{p}) \rangle \right) &= \left(\frac{1}{u^4} \langle \tau_4(\text{H}) \rangle + \frac{25}{3u^4} \langle \tau_3(\text{L}) \rangle + \frac{70}{3u^4} \langle \tau_2(\text{p}) \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{3u^2} \langle \tau_0 \tau_1(\text{L}) \rangle + \frac{5}{3u^2} \langle \tau_0 \tau_0(\text{p}) \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, p is the class of point, L is the class of line and H is the class of hyperplane.³³

³²For $\mathbf{a}_1(\gamma)$, the term $-\frac{c_2}{24}$ on the right is the constant $-\frac{1}{24} \int_X c_2 \gamma$.

³³We can also check the relations (8.11) numerically up to u^8 with the help of Gathmann’s code on the Gromov–Witten side and previously known computations for stable pairs [21].

8.6. Residues

To complete our proof of Theorem 1.4, we will compute the residues (8.3). More precisely, we will prove the following result.

Proposition 15. For $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\gamma_i \in H^{\geq 2}(X)$ such that $\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_i+2}(\gamma_i) \in \mathbb{D}_{\text{PT}}^{X\star}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\text{H}}_{k_1+1}(\gamma_1) &= \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)), \\ \tilde{\text{H}}_{k_1+1, k_2+1}(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2) &= \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2)), \\ \tilde{\text{H}}_{k_1+1, k_2+1, k_3+1}(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_3) &= \mathfrak{C}^\circ(\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_1+2}(\gamma_1)\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_2+2}(\gamma_2)\tilde{\text{ch}}_{k_3+2}(\gamma_3)), \end{aligned}$$

where the right side is defined by equations 1.14–1.16.

9. Residue computation

9.1. Preliminary computations

Before starting the proof of the Proposition 15, we compute the expansion of the terms of the residue formula (8.3).

Consider first the constraint equation (8.4). Solutions of the equation are formal power series in the variable

$$r = 1/\theta, \quad \theta^{-2} = -c_2(T_X).$$

We can solve the constraint equation iteratively in powers of r . Indeed, modulo r^1 , the constraint equation implies $w = y$, and we start the expansion by

$$w(x, y) = y + O(r).$$

To find the next term of r in the expansion of $w(x, y)$, we substitute

$$w(x, y) = y + f_1(x, y)r$$

into equation (8.4) and expand the result of the substitution in powers of r . The coefficient of r^1 in the expansion gives a linear equation which determines f_1 . After iterating the above procedure three times, we obtain

$$w(x, y) = y - xr \frac{y}{y+1} + (xr)^2 \frac{y}{2(y+1)^3} + (xr)^3 \frac{2y-1}{6(y+1)^5} + O(r^4). \tag{9.1}$$

To see the expansion of the residue (8.3) has positive powers of $t = c_1$, we use a change of variables:

$$y = v/t. \tag{9.2}$$

The residue with respect to w on the right side of equation (8.3) is converted to a residue with respect to y via equation (9.1). Using equation (9.2), we will compute the residue with respect to v .

In the new variables, we have

$$\sqrt{dw dy} = \left(1 - \frac{xrt}{2(v+t)} - \frac{(xr)^2 t^3 (4v-t)}{8(v+t)^4} \right) \frac{dv}{t} + O(r^3).$$

After we normal order the elements of the Heisenberg algebra in the expression for the vertex operator $\text{H}^{\text{GW}}(x)$, the negative Heisenberg operators end up next to the vacuum $\langle |$ inside the bracket

$\langle \cdot \rangle^{\text{GW}}$. Relation (8.8), which governs interaction with $\langle | \cdot \rangle$, yields the following factor in the expression under the residue:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &= \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2u}\left(\frac{w(y)^2 - y^2}{r}\right) - \frac{t}{u}\left(\frac{w(y) - y}{r}\right)\right) \\
 &= \exp\left(\frac{xv}{u}\right)\left(1 - \frac{trx^2v}{2u(v+t)} + \frac{t^2r^2(3xv^2 + 3txv + 4t^2u)}{24u(v+t)^3}\right) + O(r^3).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9.3}$$

The inverse of $y - w$ in equation (8.3) becomes the factor

$$D = -\frac{r}{w(y) - y} = \frac{v+t}{v}\left(1 + \frac{t^2rx}{2(v+t)^2} + \frac{t^3r^2x^2(4v+t)}{12(v+t)^4}\right) + O(r^3).
 \tag{9.4}$$

The elements of the Heisenberg algebra that participate in the residue formula are packed into the vertex operator

$$\begin{aligned}
 V &= V_+ \cdot V_-, \quad V_+(x, y) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{r}\sum_{n>0}\frac{a_n}{n(iut)^n}(y^{-n} - w(y)^{-n})\right), \\
 V_-(x, y) &= \exp\left(\frac{1}{rt}\sum_{n<0}\frac{a_n}{n(iut)^n}(y^{-n} - w(y)^{-n})\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we need to compute the difference of powers in the expression for the vertex operators. Using formula for $w(y)$ (9.1), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{(yt)^{-n} - (w(y)t)^{-n}}{t^nr} &= \frac{nxt}{v^n(v+t)} + nx^2rt^2\frac{((n+1)v+nt)}{v^n(v+t)^3} \\
 &\quad + nx^3r^2t^3\frac{n((n+1)(n+2)v^2 + (2n^2 + 3n - 1)tz + n^2t^2)}{6v^n(v+t)^5} + O(r^3).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9.5}$$

The above calculations yield the leading terms of all algebraic expressions occurring in formula (8.3) for the vertex operator $H^{\text{GW}}(x)$. As we will see in Section 9.2, the knowledge of these leading terms almost immediately leads to the simplest case of the descendent correspondence (1.14). For the other two cases, equations (1.15) and (1.16), we must analyze the interaction of two and three vertex operators $H^{\text{GW}}(x)$. We apply standard vertex operator techniques to complete the proof of Proposition 15 in Section 9.2.

9.2. Proof of Proposition 15

9.2.1. Case $\tilde{H}_{k_1+1}(\gamma_1)$

We start with the proof of the formula for the self-reaction. We must analyze the r expansion of the residue

$$\tilde{H}(x) = \widehat{H}^{\text{GW}}(x) = \text{Res}_{v=\infty} \frac{1}{t} E \cdot D \cdot V_+.
 \tag{9.6}$$

More precisely, we must compute the coefficients of

$$r^i t^j, \quad i + j \leq 2.$$

By the argument of [18, Section 3.2], the coefficient of rt^j vanishes. From the computations of the v expansions (9.1), (9.3), (9.4) and (9.5), the terms in front of $r^i, i > 0$ are proportional to t . The expression under the residue sign becomes

$$\exp\left(\frac{xv}{u}\right)\left(\frac{v+t}{t} + x\Sigma + \frac{x^2t}{v+t}\Sigma^2 + \frac{x^3t^2}{(v+t)^2}\Sigma^3\right) + O(t^3) + tO(r^2), \quad \Sigma = \sum_{n>0} \frac{a_n}{(uv)^n}.$$

After applying the residue operation to the last expression, we obtain the terms of formula (1.14) in the coefficients of the x -expansion.

9.2.2. Case $\tilde{H}_{k_1+1, k_2+1}(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2)$

We show next that the double interaction term yields formula (1.15). The new computation that is needed for understanding the interaction term is \tilde{H}_{k_1, k_2} . It is convenient to assemble the expressions into a generating series $\hat{H}(x_1, x_2)$.

To compute $\hat{H}(x_1, x_2)$, we must move all negative Heisenberg operators in the product of the vertex operators $H^{GW}(x_1)H^{GW}(x_2)$ to the left, next to the vacuum $\langle |$. We use the standard vertex operator commutation relation to perform this reshuffling:

$$V_+(x_1, y_1)V_-(x_2, y_2) = B(x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2)V_-(x_2, y_2)V_+(x_1, y_1), \tag{9.7}$$

$$B = \frac{(w_2 - y_1)(y_2 - w_1)}{(y_2 - y_1)(w_2 - w_1)},$$

where $w_i = w(x_i, y_i)$. Using the computations of Section 9.1, we derive the following expansion:

$$B = 1 - \frac{r^2 y_1 y_2 x_1 x_2}{(y_1 - y_2)^2 (y_1 + 1)(y_2 + 1)} + O(r^3).$$

The negative Heisenberg operators interact with the vacuum $\langle |$. We obtain

$$\hat{H}(x_1, x_2) = \text{Res}_{y_1=\infty}(\text{Res}_{y_2=\infty}(V_+^{(1)}V_+^{(2)}D^{(1)}D^{(2)}E^{(1)}E^{(2)}B^{(12)})),$$

where $V_+^{(i)} = V_+(x_i, y_i), D^{(i)} = D(x_i, y_i), E^{(i)} = E(x_i, y_i)$.

From equation (9.6), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}(x_1)\hat{H}(x_2) &= \left(\text{Res}_{y_1=\infty} E^{(1)} \cdot D^{(1)} \cdot V_+^{(1)}\right)\left(\text{Res}_{y_2=\infty} E^{(2)} \cdot D^{(2)} \cdot V_+^{(2)}\right) \\ &= \text{Res}_{y_1=\infty}(\text{Res}_{y_2=\infty}(V_+^{(1)}V_+^{(2)}D^{(1)}D^{(2)}E^{(1)}E^{(2)})), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality holds because $V_+^{(i)}$ commute. We conclude, after the change of variables, the generating function $\tilde{H}(x_1, x_2)$ for \tilde{H}_{k_1, k_2} is given by

$$\tilde{H}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{r^2 t} \left(\hat{H}(x_1, x_2) - \hat{H}(x_1)\hat{H}(x_2)\right) = \text{Res}(V_+^{(1)}V_+^{(2)}D^{(1)}D^{(2)}E^{(1)}E^{(2)}\tilde{B}^{(12)})/(r^2 t^3),$$

where $\text{Res} = \text{Res}_{v_1=\infty} \text{Res}_{v_2=\infty}$ and $\tilde{B}^{(12)} = B^{(12)} - 1$. By expanding the scalar factor

$$D^{(1)}D^{(2)}E^{(1)}E^{(2)}\tilde{B}^{(12)}/(r^2 t^3)$$

in the operator inside the residue operation, we obtain

$$\frac{tv_1v_2x_1x_2}{(v_1 - v_2)^2(v_1 + t)(v_2 + t)} \exp\left(\frac{x_1v_1 + x_2v_2}{u}\right) \left(\frac{v_1 + t}{t} + x_1\Sigma^{(1)} + \frac{x_1^2t}{v_2 + t}\Sigma^{(1)}\Sigma^{(1)}\right) \left(\frac{v_2 + t}{t} + x_2\Sigma^{(2)} + \frac{x_2^2t}{v_2 + t}\Sigma^{(2)}\Sigma^{(2)}\right) + O(t^2) + O(r^2). \tag{9.8}$$

The residue of the coefficient in front of t^{-1} in equation (9.8) vanishes. The coefficient in front of t^0 is

$$\exp\left(\frac{x_1v_1 + x_2v_2}{u}\right) \frac{x_1x_2}{(v_1 - v_2)^2} \left(v_2(1 + x_1\Sigma^{(1)}) + v_1(1 + x_2\Sigma^{(2)})\right).$$

After applying the Res operation, we obtain

$$\text{Res}_{v_1=\infty} \text{Res}_{v_2=\infty} \exp\left(\frac{x_1v_1 + x_2v_2}{u}\right) \frac{x_1^2x_2v_2}{(v_1 - v_2)^2} \Sigma^{(1)}.$$

The coefficient in front of $x_1^{k_1+2}x_2^{k_2+2}$ in the last expression matches with the α -linear terms of the right side of equation (1.15) that are proportional to c_1^0 .

Finally, we compute the coefficient in front of t^1 in equation (9.8):

$$\frac{x_1x_2}{(v_1 - v_2)^2} \exp\left(\frac{x_1v_1 + x_2v_2}{u}\right) \left[x_1x_2\Sigma^{(1)}\Sigma^{(2)} + x_1^2v_2\Sigma^{(1)}\Sigma^{(1)} + x_2^2v_1\Sigma^{(2)}\Sigma^{(2)} + \left(\frac{1}{v_1} + \frac{1}{v_2}\right) \left(v_2(1 + x_1\Sigma^{(1)}) + v_1(1 + x_2\Sigma^{(2)})\right) \right].$$

The residue of the terms from the first line of the last expression form the generating function of the α -quadratic terms of the right-hand side of equation (1.15). The residue of the terms from the second line of the last expression form the generating function of the c_1 -proportional α -linear terms of the right side of equation (1.15).

9.2.3. Case $\tilde{H}_{k_1+1,k_2+1,k_3+1}(\gamma_1 \cdot \gamma_2 \cdot \gamma_3)$

Finally, we must analyze the triple interaction. The computation here is parallel to computations in Sections 9.2.1 and 9.2.2. The new ingredient for the triple bumping reaction is the residue formula

$$\widehat{H}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \text{Res} \left(\mathbf{V}_+^{(1)} \mathbf{V}_+^{(2)} \mathbf{V}_+^{(3)} \mathbf{D}^{(1)} \mathbf{D}^{(2)} \mathbf{D}^{(3)} \mathbf{E}^{(1)} \mathbf{E}^{(2)} \mathbf{E}^{(3)} \mathbf{B}^{(12)} \mathbf{B}^{(23)} \mathbf{B}^{(13)} / (r^4 t^5) \right)$$

for the generating function of the operators $\widehat{H}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}$. Here and below, Res stands for the triple residue

$$\text{Res}_{v_1=\infty} \text{Res}_{v_2=\infty} \text{Res}_{v_3=\infty} .$$

The generating function $\tilde{H}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ for the operators $\tilde{H}_{k_1, k_2, k_3}$ is given by

$$\widehat{H}(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \widehat{H}(x_1, x_2)\widehat{H}(x_3) - \widehat{H}(x_1, x_3)\widehat{H}(x_2) - \widehat{H}(x_2, x_3)\widehat{H}(x_1) + 2\widehat{H}(x_1)\widehat{H}(x_2)\widehat{H}(x_3) .$$

We expand the above as

$$\frac{1}{r^4 t^5} \text{Res} \left(V_+^{(1)} V_+^{(2)} V_+^{(3)} D^{(1)} D^{(2)} D^{(3)} E^{(1)} E^{(2)} E^{(3)} \left(\widetilde{B}^{(12)} \widetilde{B}^{(23)} \widetilde{B}^{(13)} + \widetilde{B}^{(12)} \widetilde{B}^{(23)} + \widetilde{B}^{(12)} \widetilde{B}^{(13)} + \widetilde{B}^{(23)} \widetilde{B}^{(13)} \right) \right).$$

Since $\widetilde{B}^{(12)} \widetilde{B}^{(23)} \widetilde{B}^{(13)}$ is proportional to r^6 , we can write the last expression as

$$\frac{1}{r^4 t^5} \text{Res} \left(V_+^{(1)} V_+^{(2)} V_+^{(3)} D^{(1)} D^{(2)} D^{(3)} E^{(1)} E^{(2)} E^{(3)} \left(\widetilde{B}^{(12)} \widetilde{B}^{(23)} + \widetilde{B}^{(12)} \widetilde{B}^{(13)} + \widetilde{B}^{(23)} \widetilde{B}^{(13)} \right) \right)$$

up to $O(r^2)$.

After expanding the expression inside Res, including the prefactor $\frac{1}{r^4 t^5}$, we obtain

$$t^2 \left(\frac{v_1 + t}{t} + x_1 \Sigma^{(1)} \right) \left(\frac{v_2 + t}{t} + x_2 \Sigma^{(2)} \right) \left(\frac{v_3 + t}{t} + x_3 \Sigma^{(3)} \right) \times \exp \left(\frac{x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 + x_3 v_3}{u} \right) \cdot \left(f(12; 23) + f(23; 31) + f(31; 12) \right) + O(t),$$

where

$$f(ij; jk) = \frac{v_i v_j^2 v_k x_i x_j^2 x_k}{(v_i - v_j)^2 (v_j - v_k)^2 (v_i + t)(v_j + t)^2 (v_k + t)}.$$

The application of Res to the coefficient in front of t^{-1} in the last expression yields 0. On the other hand, the coefficient in front of t^0 equals

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 \left(v_2 v_3 (1 + x_1 \Sigma^{(1)}) + v_1 v_3 (1 + x_2 \Sigma^{(2)}) + v_1 v_2 (1 + x_3 \Sigma^{(3)}) \right) \times \exp \left(\frac{x_1 v_1 + x_2 v_2 + x_3 v_3}{u} \right) \times \left(\frac{x_2}{(v_1 - v_2)^2 (v_2 - v_3)^2} + \frac{x_3}{(v_1 - v_3)^2 (v_3 - v_2)^2} + \frac{x_1}{(v_3 - v_1)^2 (v_1 - v_2)^2} \right).$$

The result of application of Res is therefore equal to the generating function of the right side of equation (1.16). □

10. Degree 1 series for \mathbb{P}^3

10.1. Stationary descendent series

We provide a complete table of the stationary stable pair descendent series for projective \mathbb{P}^3 in degree 1. Our notation is given by three vectors V_p, V_L, V_H of nonnegative integers which specify the stationary descendents with cohomology insertions

$$p, L, H \in H^*(\mathbb{P}^3)$$

corresponding to the point, line and hyperplane classes respectively. For example, the data $[1, 2], [4, 9], [6]$ correspond to the descendent

$$ch_3(p)ch_4(p)ch_6(L)ch_{11}(L)ch_8(H).$$

In the table below, the full descendent series is given as rational function in q .

$[], [0, 1], [1]$	$q(3q^2 - 5q + 3)$
$[1], [0], []$	$q(q^2 - 1)/2$
$[0], [0, 0], []$	$q(q + 1)^2$
$[0], [1], []$	$3q(q^2 - 1)/2$
$[], [0, 0, 1], []$	$2q(q^2 - 1)$
$[], [1, 1], []$	$5q(q - 1)^2/2$
$[], [0, 2], []$	$q(5q^2 - 14q + 5)/6$
$[1], [], [1]$	$3q(q - 1)^2/4$
$[], [0, 0, 0], [1]$	$3q(q^2 - 1)$
$[], [2], [1]$	$\frac{5q(q-1)^3}{4(1+q)}$
$[0], [], [1, 1]$	$3q(3q^2 - 2q + 3)/4$
$[], [0, 0], [1, 1]$	$q(9q^2 - 10q + 9)/2$
$[], [1], [1, 1]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(9q^2-2q+9)}{2(1+q)}$
$[], [0], [1, 1, 1]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(27q^2+14q+27)}{4(1+q)}$
$[0], [], [2]$	$q(5q^2 - 2q + 5)/4$
$[], [0, 0], [2]$	$2q(q^2 - q + 1)$
$[], [1], [2]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(9q^2-2q+9)}{4(1+q)}$
$[], [], [1, 1, 2]$	$q(9q^2 - 14q + 9)/2$
$[], [], [2, 2]$	$q(17q^2 - 30q + 17)/8$
$[], [0], [3]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(9q^2-2q+9)}{12(1+q)}$
$[], [], [1, 3]$	$q(9q^2 - 22q + 9)/8$
$[0], [0], [1]$	$3q(q^2 - 1)/2$
$[], [], [4]$	$q(q^2 - 5q + 1)/6$
$[], [3], []$	$\frac{q(q-1)(q^2-8q+1)}{6(1+q)}$
$[2], [], []$	$q(q^2 - 10q + 1)/12$
$[], [0], [1, 2]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(3q^2+q+3)}{(1+q)}$
$[0, 0], [], []$	$q(q + 1)^2$
$[], [0, 0, 0, 0], []$	$2q(q + 1)^2$
$[], [], [1, 1, 1, 1]$	$q(81q^2 - 102q + 81)/2$

The symmetry in the above series is a consequence of the functional equation; see [21, Section 1.7]. In the stationary case, the stable pairs series are equal to the corresponding descendent series for the Donaldson–Thomas theory of ideal sheaves; see [18, Theorem 22].

10.2. Descendents of 1

We tabulate here descendent series of \mathbb{P}^3 in degree 1 with descendents of the identity $1 \in H^*(\mathbb{P}^3)$ together with stationary descendents specified as before by a triple of vectors.

- With $ch_4(1)$ and the rest stationary:

$[], [1], [1]$	$\frac{q(21q^4+37q^3-88q^2+37q+21)}{6(1+q)^2}$
$[], [0, 1], []$	$7q(q-1)(1+q)/3$
$[], [], [1, 2]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(21q^4+79q^3+86q^2+79q+21)}{6(1+q)^3}$
$[0], [], [1]$	$7q(q-1)(1+q)/4$
$[], [0, 0], [1]$	$7q(q-1)(1+q)/2$
$[], [0], [1, 1]$	$\frac{q(63q^4+116q^3-134q^2+116q+63)}{12(1+q)^2}$
$[0], [0], []$	$q(7q^2+2q+7)/6$
$[1], [], []$	$7q(q-1)(1+q)/12$
$[], [0, 0, 0], []$	$q(7q^2+2q+7)/3$
$[], [2], []$	$\frac{q(35q^4+56q^3-318q^2+56q+35)}{36(1+q)^2}$
$[], [], [3]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(63q^4+232q^3+218q^2+232q+63)}{72(1+q)^3}$
$[], [0], [2]$	$\frac{q(7q^4+13q^3-18q^2+13q+7)}{3(1+q)^2}$

- With $ch_5(1)$ and the rest stationary:

$[0], [], []$	$3q(q-1)(1+q)/4$
$[], [0, 0], []$	$4q(q-1)(1+q)/3$
$[], [1], []$	$\frac{q(17q^4+24q^3-106q^2+24q+17)}{12(1+q)^2}$
$[], [], [1, 1]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(9q^4+31q^3+14q^2+31q+9)}{3(1+q)^3}$
$[], [], [2]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(33q^4+112q^3+38q^2+112q+33)}{24(1+q)^3}$
$[], [0], [1]$	$\frac{q(3q+1)(q+3)(4q^2-7q+4)}{6(1+q)^2}$

- With $ch_4(1)ch_4(1)$ and the rest stationary:

$[], [0], [1]$	$\frac{q(q-1)(49q^4+196q^3+534q^2+196q+49)}{12(1+q)^3}$
$[0], [], []$	$q(49+2q+49q^2)/36$
$[], [0, 0], []$	$q(49+2q+49q^2)/18$
$[], [1], []$	$\frac{q(q-1)(49q^4+196q^3+654q^2+196q+49)}{18(1+q)^3}$
$[], [], [1, 1]$	$\frac{q(441+1754q+4007q^2-3252q^3+4007q^4+1754q^5+441q^6)}{72(1+q)^4}$
$[], [], [2]$	$\frac{q(49+195q+459q^2-454q^3+459q^4+195q^5+49q^6)}{18(1+q)^4}$

- With $ch_6(1)$ and the rest of stationary:

$$\begin{array}{l} \square, [0], \square \\ \square, \square, [1] \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{q(17q^4+20q^3-114q^2+20q+17)}{36(1+q)^2} \\ \frac{q(q-1)(17q^4+48q^3-58q^2+48q+17)}{24(1+q)^3} \end{array} \right.$$

- With $ch_4(1)ch_4(1)ch_4(1)$ and the rest stationary:

$$\begin{array}{l} \square, [0], \square \\ \square, \square, [1] \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{q(343q^6+1374q^5+249q^4+11396q^3+249q^2+1374q+343)}{108(1+q)^4} \\ \frac{q(q-1)(343q^6+2058q^5+3705q^4+29900q^3+3705q^2+2058q+343)}{72(1+q)^5} \end{array} \right.$$

- With $ch_5(1)ch_4(1)$ and the rest stationary:

$$\begin{array}{l} \square, \square, [1] \\ \square, [0], \square \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{q(84+331q+928q^2-1878q^3+928q^4+331q^5+84q^6)}{36(1+q)^4} \\ \frac{2q(q-1)(7+28q+87q^2+28q^3+7q^4)}{9(1+q)^3} \end{array} \right.$$

- Without stationary descendants:

$$\begin{array}{l} ch_7(1) \\ ch_5(1)ch_5(1) \\ ch_4(1)ch_6(1) \\ ch_4(1)ch_4(1)ch_5(1) \\ ch_4(1)ch_4(1)ch_4(1)ch_4(1) \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} \frac{q(q-1)(2+3q-28q^2+3q^3+2q^4)}{18(1+q)^3} \\ \frac{5q(13+50q+179q^2-580q^3+179q^4+50q^5+13q^6)}{72(1+q)^4} \\ \frac{q(119+462q+1737q^2-5852q^3+1737q^4+462q^5+119q^6)}{216(1+q)^4} \\ \frac{q(-49-245q-81q^2-6365q^3+6365q^4+81q^5+245q^6+49q^7)}{27(1+q)^5} \\ \frac{q(2401+14405q+55690q^2-594229q^3+1834570q^5-594229q^5+55690q^6+14405q^7+2401q^8)}{648(1+q)^6} \end{array} \right.$$

10.3. Examples of the Virasoro relations

10.3.1. \mathcal{L}_2^{PT}

Examples of the Virasoro relations for \mathcal{L}_1^{PT} were given in [21, Section 3]. We consider here the operator \mathcal{L}_2^{PT} for $X = \mathbb{P}^3$.

The Chern classes of the tangent bundle of \mathbb{P}^3 are

$$c_1 = 4H, \quad c_1c_2 = 24p.$$

The constant term for $k = 2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a+b=4} (-1)^{d^L d^R} (a+d^L-3)!(b+d^R-3)! ch_a ch_b(c_1) + \frac{1}{24} \sum_{a+b=2} a!b! ch_a ch_b(c_1c_2) \\ &= -8ch_4(H) + 8ch_2(H)ch_2(p) - 2ch_2(L)^2 - 4ch_2(p), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the evaluation $ch_0(\gamma) = -\int_X \gamma$ and dropped all the terms with ch_1 . The Virasoro operator for $k = 2$ is then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2^{PT} &= T_2 + R_2 + 3!R_{-1}ch_3(p) \\ &= -8ch_4(H) + 8ch_2(H)ch_2(p) - 2ch_2(L)^2 - 4ch_2(p) + R_2 + 3!R_{-1}ch_3(p). \end{aligned}$$

Since our examples will be for curves of degree 1 in \mathbb{P}^3 and since

$$\text{ch}_2(H) = H \cdot \beta,$$

we can simplify the operator even further:

$$\mathcal{L}_{2,\beta=L}^{\text{PT}} = -8\text{ch}_4(H) + 10\text{ch}_2(p) - 2\text{ch}_2(L)^2 + R_2 + 6\text{ch}_3(p)R_{-1}.$$

10.3.2. Stationary example

Let us check the Virasoro constraints of Theorem 1.1 for $k = 2$ and

$$D = \text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_2(L).$$

The constant term part of the relation has three summands:

$$\begin{aligned} -8\langle \text{ch}_4(H)\text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_2(L) \rangle_L &= -\frac{8q(q-1)(3q^2+q+3)}{1+q}, \\ 10\langle \text{ch}_2(p)\text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_2(L) \rangle_L &= 15q(q^2-1), \\ -2\langle \text{ch}_2(L)^2\text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_2(L) \rangle_L &= -6q(q^2-1). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the relation can be divided into two parts. The first part is $R_2(D)$ which has two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} 6\langle \text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_4(L) \rangle_L &= \frac{15q(q-1)^3}{2(1+q)}, \\ 6\langle \text{ch}_5(H)\text{ch}_2(L) \rangle_L &= \frac{q(q-1)(9q^2-2q+9)}{2(1+q)}. \end{aligned}$$

The second part is

$$\begin{aligned} 6\langle \text{ch}_3(p)R_{-1}(D) \rangle_L &= 6\langle \text{ch}_3(p)\text{ch}_2(H)\text{ch}_2(L) \rangle_L + 6\langle \text{ch}_3(p)\text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_1(L) \rangle_L \\ &= 6\langle \text{ch}_3(p)\text{ch}_2(L) \rangle_L \\ &= 3q(q^2-1). \end{aligned}$$

Using the cancellation of poles

$$-8\langle \text{ch}_4(H)\text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_2(L) \rangle_L + 6\langle \text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_4(L) \rangle_L + 6\langle \text{ch}_5(H)\text{ch}_2(L) \rangle_L = -12q(q^2-1),$$

we easily verify the Virasoro relation

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_2^{\text{PT}}(\text{ch}_3(H)\text{ch}_2(L)) \right\rangle_L^{\text{X,PT}} = 0.$$

10.3.3. Nonstationary example

Let us check the Virasoro relation $\mathcal{L}_{2,\beta=L}^{\text{PT}}$ for

$$D = \text{ch}_5(1),$$

a nonstationary case (not covered by Theorem 1.1, but implied by Conjecture 3).

The constant term part of the relation has three summands:

$$-8\langle \text{ch}_4(H)\text{ch}_5(1) \rangle_L = -\frac{q(q-1)(33q^4+112q^3+38q^2+112q+33)}{3(1+q)^3},$$

$$10\langle \text{ch}_2(\text{p})\text{ch}_5(1) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}} = \frac{15}{2} q (q-1) (1+q),$$

$$-2\langle \text{ch}_2^2(\mathbb{L})\text{ch}_5(1) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}} = -\frac{8}{3} q (q-1) (1+q).$$

The rest of the relation can be divided into two parts:

$$24\langle \text{ch}_7(1) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}} = \frac{4q(q-1)(2+3q-28q^2+3q^3+2q^4)}{3(1+q)^3},$$

$$6\langle \text{ch}_3(\text{p})\text{ch}_4(1) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}} = \frac{7}{2} q (q-1) (1+q).$$

After a remarkable cancellation of poles,

$$-8\langle \text{ch}_4(H)\text{ch}_5(1) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}} + 24\langle \text{ch}_7(1) \rangle_{\mathbb{L}} = -\frac{25}{3} q (q-1) (1+q),$$

we verify the Virasoro relation

$$\left\langle \mathcal{L}_2^{\text{PT}}(\text{ch}_5(1)) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{L}}^{\text{X,PT}} = 0.$$

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, G. Oberdieck, D. Oprea, A. Pixton, J. Shen, R. Thomas and Q. Yin for many conversations about descendents and descendent correspondences.

Conflicts of Interest. None.

Funding statement. A. Ob. was partially supported by NSF CAREER grant DMS-1352398 and Simons Foundation. A. Ob. also would like to thank the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik and the Institute for Theoretical Studies at ETH Zürich for hospitality during the visits in November 2018, June 2019 and January 2020. The paper is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1440140 while the second and third authors were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley during the Spring semester of 2018. A. Ok. was partially supported by the Simons Foundation as a Simons Investigator. A. Ok. gratefully acknowledges funding by the Russian Academic Excellence Project ‘5-100’ and RSF grant 19-11-00275. R. P. was partially supported by SNF-200020-182181, SwissMAP and the Einstein Stiftung. The project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No. 786580).

Data availability statement. None.

Ethical standards. The research meets all ethical guidelines, including adherence to the legal requirements of the study country.

References

- [1] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, ‘The intrinsic normal cone’, *Invent. Math.* **128** (1997), 45–88.
- [2] T. Bridgeland, ‘Hall algebras and curve-counting invariants’, *JAMS* **24** (2011), 969–998.
- [3] T. Eguchi, K. Hori and C. Xiong, ‘Quantum cohomology and Virasoro algebra’, *Phys. Lett. B* **402**(1–2) (1997), 71–80.
- [4] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande, ‘Hodge integrals and Gromov–Witten theory’, *Invent. Math.* **139** (2000), 173–199.
- [5] W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande, ‘Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology’, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **62** (1995), 45–96.
- [6] E. Getzler, ‘The equivariant Toda lattice’, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **40**(2) (2004), 507–536.
- [7] E. Getzler and R. Pandharipande, ‘Virasoro constraints and the Chern classes of the Hodge bundle’, *Nuclear Phys. B* **530** (1998), 701–714.
- [8] A. Givental, ‘Gromov–Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians’, *Mosc. Math. J.* **1**(4) (2001), 551–568.
- [9] T. Graber and R. Pandharipande, ‘Localization of virtual classes’, *Invent. Math.* **135**(2) (1999), 487–518.
- [10] H. Iritani, ‘Convergence of quantum cohomology by quantum Lefschetz’, *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* **610** (2007), 29–69.
- [11] Ch. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. **155** (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995).
- [12] M. Kontsevich, ‘Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function’, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147**(1) (1992), 1–23.

- [13] J. Li and G. Tian, ‘Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov–Witten invariants of algebraic varieties’, *JAMS* **11** (1998), 119–174.
- [14] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, ‘Gromov–Witten theory and Donaldson–Thomas theory. I’, *Compos. Math.* **142**(5) (2006), 1263–1285.
- [15] D. Maulik, N. Nekrasov, A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, ‘Gromov–Witten theory and Donaldson–Thomas theory. II’, *Compos. Math.* **142**(5) (2006), 1286–1304.
- [16] D. Maulik, A. Oblomkov, A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, ‘GW/DT correspondence for toric varieties’, *Invent. Math.* **186**(2) (2011), 435–479.
- [17] M. Moreira, ‘Virasoro constraints for the stable pairs descendent theory of simply connected 3-folds (with applications to the Hilbert scheme of points of a surface)’, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2021), to appear.
- [18] A. Oblomkov, A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande. ‘GW/PT descendent correspondence via vertex operators’, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **374** (2020), 1321–1359.
- [19] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, ‘Virasoro constraints for target curves’, *Invent. Math.* **163**(1) (2006), 47–108.
- [20] R. Pandharipande. ‘Three questions in Gromov–Witten theory’, in *Proceedings of the ICM*, vol. **II** (Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2002), 503–512.
- [21] R. Pandharipande, ‘Descendents for stable pairs on 3-folds, modern geometry: A celebration of the work of Simon Donaldson’, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.* **99** (2018), 251–288. [arXiv:1703.01747](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.01747).
- [22] R. Pandharipande, ‘Cohomological field theory calculations’, in *Proceedings of the ICM (Rio de Janeiro 2018), Plenary Lectures*, vol. **I** (World Sci. Publications, Hackensack, NJ, 2018), 869–898.
- [23] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, ‘Descendents on local curves: Rationality’, *Comp. Math.* **149** (2013), 81–124.
- [24] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, *Descendents on Local Curves: Stationary Theory in Geometry and Arithmetic*, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., (Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2012), 283–307.
- [25] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, ‘Descendent theory for stable pairs on toric 3-folds’, *Jour. Math. Soc. Japan* **65** (2013), 1337–1372.
- [26] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, ‘Gromov–Witten/Pairs descendent correspondence for toric 3-folds’, *Geom. Topol.* **18** (2014), 2747–2821.
- [27] R. Pandharipande and A. Pixton, ‘Gromov–Witten/Pairs correspondence for the quintic’, *JAMS* **30** (2017), 389–449.
- [28] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas, ‘Curve counting via stable pairs in the derived category’, *Invent. Math.* **178** (2009), 407–447.
- [29] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas, ‘13/2 ways of counting curves’, in *Moduli Spaces*, LMS Lecture Note Ser. **411** (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014), 282–333.
- [30] C. Teleman, ‘The structure of 2D semi-simple field theories’, *Invent. Math.* **188**(3) (2012), 525–588.
- [31] Y. Toda, ‘Curve counting theories via stable objects I: DT/PT correspondence’, *JAMS* **23** (2010), 1119–1157.
- [32] D. van Bree, ‘Virasoro constraints for moduli spaces of sheaves on surfaces’, Preprint, 2021, [arXiv:2109.04889](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.04889).
- [33] E. Witten, ‘Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space’, *Surveys Differential Geom.*, **1** (1990), 243–310.