Thus in all four examples which Mr. Neal selected, he has wrongly presented materials in the book in an effort to show how the author "like many of Eastern European origin" has failed to come up to the "requirements of American scholarship."

D. A. Tomasic Indiana University

To the Editor:

In his review of my book, Titoism in Action, Mr. Ivan Avakumovic states "His claim about 'the more liberal and humanized psychology of the Yugoslav leaders which sets them apart from their erstwhile comrades across the Danube' would have been more convincing but for the death sentences passed in post-Cominform Yugoslavia on workers and employees accused of theft and embezzlement."

It is true that there have been death sentences passed for theft and embezzlement, but I know of no instances in recent years in which these sentences have been actually carried out. I am now engaged in completing another book about Yugoslavia. If Mr. Avakumovic has any contrary information, either from his own observations in Yugoslavia since 1951 or from a source of acceptable reliability, I shall be more than happy to include it.

Mr. Avakumovic also states that rather than the tax burden on private peasants being eased after abandonment of collectivization, just the opposite was the case. I should also be happy to include in the new book any facts that he may have which would bear out this contention.

Sincerely yours,

FRED WARNER NEAL Claremont Graduate School

To the Editor:

May I be allowed to make one or two comments on some of Professor Ferrell's criticisms of our book Russian Syntax contained in his review in your February, 1960, issue?

Point 4, p. 127: Your reviewer attributes to us a much more categorical statement than we in fact make. I would have thought that in the examples given the imperfect imperative definitely conveys a request or invitation.

Point 7, p. 141: We do not in fact translate "pokachalsya" as "gave a shudder or two" but as "shuddered once or twice." I would agree that "gave a shudder or two" would be odd if it in fact appeared in the text. Perhaps "lurched once or twice" would be better. Ushakov defines "pokachatsya" as "povesti nekotorye vermya, kachayas." Our method of translating this seems to me to be good, natural English.

Point 9, p. 144: I do not think exaggerated our statement that the use of the present participle passive is restricted by the fact that it can be formed from a comparatively small number of verbs. This seems to me no more than a statement of fact.

Point 10, p. 147: "Kolykhnut" is not a very good example. Ushakov states that its use as a synonum of "kolykhnutsya" is rare. I readily concede "povernut"—could Professor Ferrell give further good examples?

Point 11, p. 152: The use of "xotet" with the infinitive provided both verbs have the same subject is an elementary fact of Russian grammar and we were not concerned with such facts.

Point 13, p. 182: I wonder if Professor Ferrell has heard Russians now resident in the Soviet Union use past imperfective gerunds. Galkina-Fedoruk is quite definite on this point. "V proizvedeniyakh pisatelely XIX veka vstrechaayutsya deeprichastiya nesover-