Supplementation of a maternal low-protein diet in rat pregnancy with folic acid ameliorates programming effects upon feeding behaviour in the absence of disturbances to the methionine–homocysteine cycle
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Maternal protein restriction in rat pregnancy is associated with altered feeding behaviour in later life. When allowed to self-select their diet, rats subject to prenatal undernutrition show an increased preference for fatty foods. The main aim of the present study was to evaluate the contribution of folic acid in the maternal diet to programming of appetite, since disturbances of the folate and methionine–homocysteine cycles have been suggested to impact upon epigenetic regulation of gene expression and hence programme long-term physiology and metabolism. Pregnant rats were fed diets containing either 9 or 18 % casein by weight, with folate provided at either 1 or 5 mg/kg diet. Adult male animals exposed to low protein (LP) in fetal life exhibited increased preference for high-fat food. Providing the higher level of folate in the maternal diet prevented this effect of LP, but offspring of rats fed 18 % casein diet with additional folate behaved in a similar manner to LP-exposed animals. Among day 20 gestation fetuses, it was apparent that both protein restriction and maternal folate supplementation could have adverse effects upon placental growth. Examination of methionine–homocysteine and folate cycle intermediates, tissue glutathione concentrations and expression of mRNA for methionine synthase, DNA methyltransferase 1 and methyltetrahydrofolate reductase revealed no gross disturbances of folate and one-carbon metabolism in either maternal or fetal tissue. The present findings indicated that any role for DNA methylation in programming of physiology is not related to major perturbations of folate metabolism, and is likely to be gene-specific rather than genome-wide.
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Early life exposure to an adverse nutritional environment can programme aspects of adult anatomy, physiology and metabolism, and thereby determine risk of cardiovascular and metabolic disorders(1,2). Retrospective cohort studies have demonstrated that markers of suboptimal maternal nutritional status during fetal development predict increased risk of obesity and the metabolic syndrome obesity in adult life(3,4). Animal studies strongly support these epidemiological findings(5). Experiments that have manipulated either overall food supply or dietary composition such that one or more nutrients are limiting indicate that the fetus mounts adaptive responses in order to preserve growth. The immediate outcomes of these adaptive responses are favourable, ensuring the immediate survival of the animal in a less than optimal fetal environment. In the longer term however, modifications to organ structure, hormone responsiveness or gene expression appear to predispose to metabolic disorders in later life(1).

Animal models of nutritional programming suggest that obesity may be programmed by exposure to both under- and overnutrition during fetal life(6–8). Some of this risk is associated with programming of feeding behaviour. For example, the offspring of rats fed a cafeteria diet during pregnancy show increased preferences for energy-dense ‘junk’ foods later in life(9). Animals whose mothers underwent severe restriction of food intake in pregnancy exhibit hyperphagia coupled with reduced physical activity(10). We have previously shown that exposure to a LP diet in fetal life modifies feeding behaviour in rats(11,12). Low-protein feeding throughout gestation promoted a preference for high-fat foods in the young adult offspring. The magnitude of these effects was found to vary between the sexes, with more pronounced differences in feeding behaviour among females(11). Timing of exposure to maternal undernutrition also had specific effects, with exposure to LP diet throughout fetal life having different effects to exposure in discrete periods of gestation(12). Early life programming of feeding behaviour is likely to involve modification of central mechanisms that regulate intake, for example the serotonergic system(13). Orozco-Sólis et al. (14) reported that expression of orexigenic peptides was increased, and anorexigenic peptides decreased in the brains of animals exposed to LP diets in utero. There is evidence that protein restriction in early life may modify the volume and neuronal density in hypothalamic centres that regulate food intake(15).

The fundamental mechanisms that mediate such changes in physiological and metabolic function are poorly understood. There is considerable interest in the contribution of epigenetic factors(16). Protein restriction in rat pregnancy has been shown to promote hypomethylation of DNA at specific gene
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promoters in liver, and may also modify histone acetylation and methylation\(^{17–19}\). Similarly, undernutrition of sheep in early pregnancy also led to widespread hypomethylation of DNA\(^{20}\). Ensuing changes in gene expression can be readily incorporated into explanations of how tissue anatomy and function become permanently altered. It has been argued that casein-based LP diets, supplemented with methionine to avoid S deficiency, could impact upon epigenetic regulation of gene expression by modifying the availability of methyl donors\(^{21}\). This view is supported by reports that protein-restricted pregnant rats have elevated plasma homocysteine concentrations in early gestation and that supplementation of restricted pregnant rats have elevated plasma homocysteine concentrations in late gestation\(^{22}\).

The primary aim of the present study was to establish whether previously observed effects of maternal protein restriction upon feeding behaviour could be prevented by supplementation of the maternal diet with folate. To further assess whether disturbances of maternal or fetal methionine–homocysteine and folate cycle metabolism might contribute to programming effects of LP diets, we also examined concentrations of a range of intermediates and the mRNA expression of important regulatory enzymes of these pathways.

Materials and methods

Animals

Two separate animal experiments were carried out under license from the UK Home Office, in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. In both trials, virgin female Wistar rats (Harlan, Belton, UK) were mated at between 180 and 225 g weight to stud males. Upon confirmation of pregnancy by the presence of a semen plug, the female rats were randomly allocated to be fed one of four synthetic diets (control diet (CON): 18 % casein, 1 mg/kg folate; control with folate diet (CPF): 18 % casein, 5 mg/kg folate; low-protein diet (LP): 9 % casein, 1 mg/kg folate; LP with folate diet (LPF): 9 % casein, 5 mg/kg folate). These were of the same composition to those used in earlier studies in our laboratory, comprising casein-based diets, with carbohydrate provided as a 2:1 mix (w/w) of starch and sucrose. The full composition of the CON and LP diets is published elsewhere\(^{28}\). While CON and LP diets were prepared with a vitamin mix to deliver 1 mg folic acid/kg diet, CPF and LPF diets were formulated to provide 5 mg folic acid/kg diet. Weight and food intake were recorded daily for all animals during pregnancy. The folate concentrations in the supplemented diets were selected to duplicate earlier work by Torrens et al.\(^{29}\). The folate content of the CON was at the level recommended for laboratory rodents by the US National Research Council\(^{30}\).

In the first experiment, twenty-four pregnant rats were fed the semi-synthetic diets until they delivered pups at 22 d gestation. Upon delivery of pups, the mothers were transferred to a standard laboratory chow diet (B&K Universal Ltd, Hull, UK, rat and mouse diet) and the litters were culled to a maximum of eight pups (four males and four females where possible). This minimised variation in nutrition during the suckling period. The offspring from the four groups therefore differed only in terms of their prenatal dietary experience. At 3 weeks after birth, the pups were weaned and half of the animals were then culled using CO\(_2\) asphyxia and cervical dislocation, and tissue was collected, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at \(-80^\circ\)C. The remaining animals were maintained on standard laboratory chow and weighed weekly. At 12 weeks of age, one male and one female from each litter were placed on a self-selection macronutrient diet, which provided them with a choice of a high-protein food, a high-carbohydrate food and a high-protein food. The composition of these food items has been previously published\(^{11}\). Our previous experience with this protocol is that although intake of the food sources is variable over the first 1–3 d after their introduction, recording intake over 5 d provides a reliable estimate of daily intake. In the present study, intake was measured daily between 09.00 and 11.00 hours, over a period of 7 d. All rats were singly housed during this period. Body weights and intakes of each of the food types were measured daily. The animals were then culled at 13 weeks of age using CO\(_2\) asphyxia and cervical dislocation. Tissue was collected, snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at \(-80^\circ\)C.

In the second experiment, pregnancies (twelve per group) were terminated at day 20 gestation for collection of tissues. Body weight gain and food intake were recorded daily. The pregnant rats were killed using CO\(_2\) asphyxia and cervical dislocation. Maternal blood was collected by cardiac puncture and transferred to heparin tubes. The maternal liver was rapidly dissected and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The uterus was dissected, and fetuses were removed, separated from their placentas and, following weighing, were killed by destruction of the brain and decapitation for collection of blood samples. Each fetus had its sex determined by genito-anal distance, and the liver, heart and kidneys were dissected from each fetus, were weighed to the nearest 0.1 mg and then snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Blood samples were centrifuged at 13 000 rpm at 4°C for 10 min and the plasma was retained. Organs and plasma were stored at \(-80^\circ\)C until used for further analyses.

**Dual X-ray absorptiometry**

Whole frozen carcasses were measured for bone mineral density, bone mineral content, fat and H\(_2\)O contents using dual X-ray absorptiometry on a Lunar dual X-ray absorptiometry scanner. Estimates of carcass composition were made using software for small animal scanning.

**Determination of circulating metabolites**

Plasma glucose was measured using an absorptiometric assay based upon the method of Trinder\(^{31}\). Ten microlitres of glucose standard (0–2 mg/ml) or sample were loaded in duplicate onto a microplate, followed by 200 \(\mu\)l glucose reagent (50 mg glucose oxidase, 8 mg horseradish peroxidase, 1 g/l...
2,2′-azo-di-[3-ethylbenzthiazoline sulphonate] in 0·1 M sodium phosphate, pH 7·4). The plate was incubated at 37°C for 15 min and then read on a plate reader (Tecan Sunrise, Männedorf, Switzerland) at 620 nm. Homocysteine concentrations were measured in day 20 fetal and maternal plasma samples using a commercial kit (Diazyme, Poway, CA, USA). Total circulating plasma cholesterol and TAG were assayed using commercially available kits (ThermoTrace, Noble Park, Vic., Australia). Leptin was measured in plasma using a quantitative mouse leptin ELISA kit (Crystal Chem, Inc., Downers Grove, IL, USA). Insulin was determined in plasma using a quantitative rat insulin ELISA kit (Crystal Chem, Inc.). All kit-based assays were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Determination of folate

For assays of tissue folate, 100 mg liver was homogenised in 1 ml sodium phosphate buffer. A 20 μl aliquot was diluted 1:50 with sodium borate buffer for use in the folate assay. Folate was then measured in the liver preparation, or in plasma, using a RIA kit (folate/vitamin B12 SimulTRAC® DCC; MP Biomedicals, Illkirch, France), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Maternal plasma was used undiluted, but fetal plasma was diluted 1:100.

Determination of glutathione

Hepatic glutathione concentrations were determined using an enzymatic method as described previously (32). Two hundred milligrams of fresh liver were homogenised in 3 ml 0·2 M perchloric acid. Homogenates were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatant was used for assay.

Determination of choline and phosphocholine

Choline and phosphocholine were extracted from liver using a chloroform–methanol extraction. Two hundred milligrams of sample were homogenised in 1 ml ice-cold 0·145 M NaCl. Ten millilitres of 2:1 chloroform–methanol were added, and samples were mixed for 30 min on a rotary mixer at room temperature. Three millilitres of distilled H2O were added.

Table 1. Primer and probe sequences

| DNA methyltransferase 1 | Forward primer | GGAAGTAGAGCATGACGAAA |
| DNA methyltransferase 1 | Reverse primer | GTCTATCGGGAAGATACCC |
| DNA methyltransferase 1 | Probe | AGCAAGTGCACCCACCCACAG |

Table 2. Body weight, composition and circulating metabolites in 4-week-old offspring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal diet</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean ± SEM</th>
<th>Mean ± SEM</th>
<th>Mean ± SEM</th>
<th>Mean ± SEM</th>
<th>Mean ± SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Wt (g)</td>
<td>Gonadal fat (% body wt)</td>
<td>Perirenal fat (% body wt)</td>
<td>Glucose (mg/dl)</td>
<td>Insulin (ng/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>99 ± 2</td>
<td>0·24 ± 0·01</td>
<td>0·13 ± 0·01</td>
<td>2·44 ± 0·15</td>
<td>0·99 ± 0·11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPF</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>107 ± 5</td>
<td>0·30 ± 0·02</td>
<td>0·16 ± 0·02</td>
<td>2·84 ± 0·33</td>
<td>0·87 ± 0·11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>97 ± 4</td>
<td>0·22 ± 0·02</td>
<td>0·12 ± 0·02</td>
<td>2·61 ± 0·26</td>
<td>1·07 ± 0·21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>97 ± 5</td>
<td>0·30 ± 0·02</td>
<td>0·19 ± 0·02</td>
<td>2·46 ± 0·24</td>
<td>1·31 ± 0·18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>88 ± 3</td>
<td>0·19 ± 0·02</td>
<td>0·12 ± 0·01</td>
<td>2·83 ± 0·23</td>
<td>1·39 ± 0·18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPF</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>84 ± 3</td>
<td>0·24 ± 0·02</td>
<td>0·14 ± 0·02</td>
<td>2·59 ± 0·13</td>
<td>1·04 ± 0·12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>78 ± 2</td>
<td>0·20 ± 0·02</td>
<td>0·13 ± 0·02</td>
<td>2·67 ± 0·20</td>
<td>1·07 ± 0·16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values

Effect of protein: < 0·001 NS NS NS NS NS NS
Effect of folate: NS NS NS NS 0·019 0·003 NS NS
Effect of sex: 0·002 < 0·001 NS NS NS NS NS NS
Protein × folate: 0·001 0·026 NS NS NS NS NS NS
Folate × sex: NS NS 0·043 NS NS NS NS NS

CON, control diet; M, male; F, female; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet.

* Data were analysed using a mixed model ANOVA.
† Mean values were significantly different between M and F of the same group (P < 0·05).
‡ Mean values were significantly different to CON (same sex, P < 0·05).
§ Mean values were significantly different to CPF (same sex, P < 0·05).
|| Mean values were significantly different to LP (same sex, P < 0·05).
¶ P values are shown for univariate effects and any significant interactions between factors.
and samples were mixed by inverting three times. Following centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 20°C, the aqueous phase was removed and placed to one side. Three millilitres of chloroform–methanol–0·145 M NaCl (3:48:47) were added, and the remaining organic phase, homogenate and tubes were subjected to a further 15 min spin on the rotary mixer. Samples were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 20°C. The aqueous phase was removed and added to the previous collection. The combined aqueous phase was then frozen at −280°C and then freeze-dried. The resulting powder was dissolved in 1 ml 50 m M 2-amino-2-(hydroxymethyl)propane-1,3-diol–HCl buffer. Between 25 and 30 mg, charcoal was added, and the solution was vortexed and centrifuged for 2 min at 10 000 rpm. Choline and phosphocholine were then measured using the absorptiometric assay of Blaton et al. (33), adapted for use on a microplate reader (Tecan Sunrise).

Quantitative real-time PCR mRNA expression was determined by quantitative real-time PCR using a Roche LightCycler 480, as reported previously (34). Total RNA was isolated from snap-frozen livers using the TRIzol method (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK).

Table 3. Body weight and composition in 13-week-old offspring
(Mean values with their standard errors for n observations per group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal diet</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Wt (g)</th>
<th>Gonadal fat (% body wt)</th>
<th>Perirenal fat (% body wt)</th>
<th>Total fat (% body wt)</th>
<th>BMC (g)</th>
<th>BMD (g/m2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0·88</td>
<td>0·07</td>
<td>9·89</td>
<td>1·21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>231†</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0·94</td>
<td>0·12</td>
<td>8·74†</td>
<td>0·66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPF</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0·94</td>
<td>0·18</td>
<td>11·74</td>
<td>1·68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>243†</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0·93</td>
<td>0·11</td>
<td>5·47‡</td>
<td>0·60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1·00</td>
<td>0·70</td>
<td>10·98</td>
<td>1·36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>245†</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0·98</td>
<td>0·12</td>
<td>8·24‡</td>
<td>1·60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPF</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0·94</td>
<td>0·06</td>
<td>14·25‡</td>
<td>1·56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>238‡</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0·87</td>
<td>0·09</td>
<td>6·84‡</td>
<td>0·93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of protein</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>&lt;0·001</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effect of folate</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0·001</td>
<td>&lt;0·001</td>
<td>&lt;0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein x folate</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMC, bone mineral content; BMD, bone mineral density; CON, control diet; M, male; F, female; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet.

Table 4. Circulating metabolites in 13-week-old offspring
(Mean values with their standard errors for n observations per group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maternal diet</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Glucose (mg/l)</th>
<th>Insulin (ng/ml)</th>
<th>Leptin (units ng/ml)</th>
<th>Cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>TAG (mmol/l)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2·81</td>
<td>0·32</td>
<td>2·53</td>
<td>0·55</td>
<td>0·30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3·14</td>
<td>0·32</td>
<td>1·16†</td>
<td>0·15</td>
<td>0·24†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPF</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3·07</td>
<td>0·11</td>
<td>2·16</td>
<td>0·57</td>
<td>0·43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2·69</td>
<td>0·17</td>
<td>1·95</td>
<td>0·27</td>
<td>0·20†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2·91</td>
<td>0·30</td>
<td>3·18</td>
<td>0·58</td>
<td>0·46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2·50</td>
<td>0·27</td>
<td>2·64</td>
<td>0·51</td>
<td>0·31†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPF</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2·76</td>
<td>0·29</td>
<td>2·57</td>
<td>0·45</td>
<td>0·41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2·50</td>
<td>0·30</td>
<td>1·47†</td>
<td>0·19</td>
<td>0·20†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P-values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect of protein</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>NS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Effect of folate</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein x folate</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>&lt;0·001</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON, control diet; M, male; F, female; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet.

* Data were analysed using a mixed model ANOVA.
† Mean values were significantly different between males and females of the same group (P < 0·05).
‡ P values are shown for univariate effects and any significant interactions between factors.
The RNA was treated with DNase (Promega, Southampton, UK) and subjected to phenol–chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Using Moloney murine leukemia virus RT (Promega), 0·5 μg total RNA was reverse transcribed.

Real-time RT-PCR was performed using the Roche LightCycler 480. A template-specific primer pair and an oligonucleotide probe (Sigma-Genosys, Haverhill, UK) specific to each of methyltetrahydrofolate reductase, methionine synthase and DNA methyltransferase 1 and the housekeeping gene cyclophilin A were designed using Primer Express version 1.5 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The full sequences of the primers and probes are shown in Table 1. All primer sets were tested under the LightCycler PCR conditions using rat genomic DNA as a template. In all cases, a single product of the appropriate size was detected by gel electrophoresis (data not shown). A negative template control and relative standard curve were included on every PCR run. The standard curve was prepared from a pool of sample cDNA at relative dilutions of 0·05, 0·1, 0·2, 0·4, 1·0, 2·5 and 5·0. Relative target quantity was calculated from the standard curve, and all samples were normalised to cyclophilin A expression.

Cytosine extension assay

A cytosine extension assay was used to assess DNA methylation at CCGG sites throughout the genome. One microgram of genomic DNA, isolated using Qiagen DNeasy kits, was digested with the restriction enzymes MspI and HpaII. DNA was also mock digested with H2O as an internal control. Each digestion was performed in triplicate in a mixture of 0·4 μl bovine serum albumin (Promega), 6 μl of the appropriate restriction enzyme buffer (Promega), 3 μl of appropriate restriction enzyme (Promega) or H2O and 0·6 μl double-distilled H2O (Sigma, Borehamwood, Hertfordshire, UK) to make a final volume of 30 μl per reaction. The reaction was incubated overnight at 37°C. Fifteen microlitres of each digested DNA sample were then transferred to a fresh Eppendorf tube, where 40 μl cytosine extension master mix containing 10 μl DNA polymerase buffer (Promega), 4 μl of 25 mM magnesium chloride (Promega), 0·25 μl DNA polymerase (Promega), 25·6744 μl double-distilled H2O and 0·0756 μl of 9·249 MBq (250 μCi) [3H]dCTP, specific activity 2 × 106 MBq (59·0 Ci)/mmol (Amersham, Buckinghamshire, UK) per reaction. The mixture was then incubated at 56°C for 1 h before being cooled on ice for 5 min. Ten microlitres of each reaction were then transferred to DE-81 ion exchange filters (Whatman, Buckinghamshire, UK) and washed three times with 300 μl of 0·5 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7·0. The filters were then washed with 150 μl of 75 % ethanol (Fisher, Leicestershire, UK) and allowed to air dry for 30 min. The filters were then transferred to scintillation vials where 4 ml scintillant (Perkin-Elmer, Cambridge, UK) was added. The incorporation of [3H]dCTP was then counted using a TRI-CARB 2100TR liquid scintillation analyzer (Perkin-Elmer). The counts for the mock digestion were considered as the background count for that DNA sample and were subtracted from both the MspI and HpaII counts. In order to normalise the data for inconsistent amounts of starting material, for each sample, the ratio between the HpaII and MspI counts was determined as an index of DNA methylation.

Statistical analysis

All data were analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA; version 14.0). Differences between groups were assessed using a mixed model ANOVA (fixed factors, maternal protein intake, maternal folate intake and offspring sex), unless indicated otherwise in the text. Values are expressed as means with their standard errors for n as shown in Table 2. ANOVA indicated a significant effect of sex upon intakes of the fat-rich food (P<0·001). Intakes of the CHO-rich food (P=0·001) were influenced by the three-way interaction of maternal protein intake and offspring sex (P<0·001). †Mean values were significantly different for protein intake compared to males of the same maternal dietary group (P<0·001). ‡Mean values were significantly different for fat intake compared to females of the same maternal dietary group (P<0·001).

Fig. 1. Food and macronutrient intakes in rats provided with a self-selection diet. (a) Rats were given free access to separate protein-, carbohydrate (CHO)- and fat-rich foods. Consumption of macronutrients was calculated from intakes of each foodstuff. Data are shown as means with their standard errors for n as shown in Table 2. ANOVA indicated significant (P<0·001) effects of sex upon intakes of protein and CHO. * Mean values were significantly different for protein intake compared to males of the same maternal dietary group (P<0·001). † Mean values were significantly different for protein intake compared to males of the same maternal dietary group (P<0·001). §Mean values were significantly different for fat intake compared to females of the same maternal dietary group (P<0·001). CON, control diet; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet; CHO; H, protein; F, fat.
Errors. $P < 0.05$ was considered as significant. As multiple pups from the same dam were used throughout the present study, litter of origin was included as a fixed nested factor in all analyses.

**Results**

In the first experiment, there was no evidence that the different dietary protocols impacted significantly on maternal weight gain across the full pregnancy (CON: 137 (SEM 3) g, CPF: 112 (SEM 4) g, LP: 136 (SEM 4) g, LPF: 128 (SEM 5) g), maternal food intake (CON: 23·1 (SEM 4·1) g/d, CPF: 22·2 (SEM 3·2) g/d, LP: 26·1 (SEM 3·6) g/d, LPF: 25·8 (SEM 2·4) g/d) or litter size (CON: 11 (SEM 4) pups, CPF: 10 (SEM 3) pups, LP: 12 (SEM 3) pups, LPF: 13 (SEM 5) pups). There were no postnatal deaths in any of the groups. At 4 weeks of age, body weight and abdominal fat deposition were largely unaffected by protein restriction or folate supplementation during the fetal period (Table 2). However, it was apparent that in female weanlings body weight was lower in the LPF group than all other groups, which was indicative of a protein × folate interaction ($P = 0.001$). Among animals exposed to the control level of protein in the maternal diet, folate supplementation was associated with greater deposition of gonadal fat. In contrast, offspring of mothers fed LP diets had smaller gonadal fat pads if exposed to folate supplementation. While plasma TAG concentrations were similar in all groups of animals (Table 2), glucose, insulin and cholesterol concentrations were influenced by maternal folate supplementation. Comparing CPF to CON and LPF to LP indicated that male offspring in the folate-supplemented groups tended to have higher, while females had lower glucose concentrations. Offspring from folate-supplemented groups had lower insulin and higher total cholesterol concentrations than the unsupplemented groups.

At 13 weeks of age, influences of maternal folate and protein intakes were more readily apparent (Table 3). Offspring of the CPF mothers tended to be heavier (NS) than those in the CON group, but no differences in fat pad weights were noted. Analysis of total carcass fat using dual X-ray absorptiometry suggested that overall adiposity was significantly increased by maternal protein restriction and unaffected by folate supplementation. Bone mineralisation was also subject to programming influences. The rats exposed to maternal protein restriction had greater bone mineral density, but similar bone mineral content when compared to controls. Folate supplementation also tended to increase bone mineral density ($P = 0.043$) and produced significant increases in bone mineral content ($P < 0.001$), particularly in the LPF group. In contrast to the younger animals, circulating glucose, insulin, cholesterol and TAG concentrations (Table 4) were largely unaffected by protein restriction and folate supplementation. Folate supplementation of the maternal diet resulted in lower insulin concentrations in all but the female CPF group, where insulin was higher than in the corresponding CON group.

**Table 5. Maternal weight gain and food intake* (Mean values with their standard errors for n 6 observations)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary group</th>
<th>Days of gestation</th>
<th>Mean (g)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Mean (g)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Mean (g)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>Mean (g)</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0–7</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8–14</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15–20</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8–14</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15–22</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON, control diet; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet.

*No significant effects of maternal protein or folate intake were observed.

**Table 6. Maternal circulating and tissue metabolites at day 20 gestation (Mean values with their standard errors for n 6–12 observations)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary group</th>
<th>Haematocrit (%)</th>
<th>TAG (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Glucose (g/l)</th>
<th>Liver GSH (μmol/g tissue)</th>
<th>Liver choline (mol/g tissue)</th>
<th>Liver phosphocholine (μmol/g tissue)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
<td>Mean (SEM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CON</td>
<td>41.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>38.8 (4.6)</td>
<td>3.45 (0.48)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.12)</td>
<td>4.89 (0.30)</td>
<td>885.8 (75.0)</td>
<td>6.84 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPF</td>
<td>3.54 (0.59)</td>
<td>3.45 (0.48)</td>
<td>2.74 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.12)</td>
<td>4.89 (0.30)</td>
<td>885.8 (75.0)</td>
<td>6.84 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP</td>
<td>2.55 (0.09)</td>
<td>2.74 (0.09)</td>
<td>2.95 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.12)</td>
<td>4.89 (0.30)</td>
<td>885.8 (75.0)</td>
<td>6.84 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPF</td>
<td>1.46 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.12)</td>
<td>1.65 (0.09)</td>
<td>1.51 (0.09)</td>
<td>4.89 (0.30)</td>
<td>885.8 (75.0)</td>
<td>6.84 (0.68)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON, control diet; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet; GSH, total glutathione.
Thirteen-week-old offspring were provided with a free choice of high-fat, high-protein and high-carbohydrate foods. On this diet regimen, it was apparent that females tended to consume more of all food types and hence had significantly greater intakes of protein and carbohydrate than males (per kg body weight). Considering macronutrient intake (Fig. 1(a)), there were no maternal diet-related differences in intakes of fat, carbohydrate or protein. As we have noted previously, intakes of specific foods were, however, influenced by interactions of sex with maternal protein and folate intakes (Fig. 1(b)). Of the three foods offered to the animals, the protein-rich item was the least consumed and no significant influences of maternal diet were noted. The high-fat item was most consumed by all animals and intakes were greater in females than in males. Among the males, fetal intakes of this food item were greater in females than in males. In both sexes, CON and LP groups had similar food intakes. Addition of folate to the LP diet had no major impact upon the subsequent food intakes of the offspring, but the same supplementation of the CON diet resulted in offspring tending to have lower food intake. This effect was stronger in females than in males (CPF males 4% lower than CON and CPF females 10% lower than CON).

Table 5 shows the maternal weight gain and food intakes of the mothers used in the fetal trial. No significant effects of protein or folate concentration of the diet were noted. In the fetal trial, no significant diet-related differences were noted in maternal circulating and tissue metabolites, other than folate, at day 20 gestation (Table 6). Maternal plasma folate concentrations (Fig. 2(a)) and hepatic folate concentrations (Fig. 2(b)) were similar in the CON and LP groups. Addition of folate to either diet resulted in significant increases in folate status. Maternal plasma homocysteine concentrations (Fig. 3) were similar in all groups of animals. As shown in Table 7, the feeding of the LP diet resulted in significant differences in placental weights at day 20. Fetuses of the LP group were of similar weight to controls, but had smaller associated placentas, resulting in a larger fetal-placental ratio. Placentas associated with male, but not female, fetuses of the CPF group were smaller than those of control fetuses. In the LPF group, placentas were also smaller than in the CON group, but there was evidence that the addition of folate partially ameliorated the impact of LP feeding (Table 7).

Measurements of hepatic glutathione, choline and phosphocholine in day 20 fetuses indicated a minimal disturbance of metabolism associated with manipulation of maternal protein and folate intakes (Table 8). Haptic phosphocholine concentrations were greater in LP and LPF male fetuses than in controls, and greater in LP than in CON females. Plasma and hepatic folate concentrations (Fig. 2) were greater in preference for high-fat food (34% lower than CON). In contrast, the CPF males consumed the greatest amount of high-fat food among all groups. Among females, there were similar preferences for high-fat food noted among CON, CPF and LP groups. LPF females had significantly greater intakes of this food item than all other groups. Total food intake (all three food items together) was greater in females than in males. In both sexes, CON and LP groups had similar food intakes. Addition of folate to the LP diet had no major impact upon the subsequent food intakes of the offspring, but the same supplementation of the CON diet resulted in offspring tending to have lower food intake. This effect was stronger in females than in males (CPF males 4% lower than CON and CPF females 10% lower than CON).
Table 7. Litter size, fetal and placental weights at day 20 gestation*  
(Mean values with their standard errors for n 12 (litter size) and n 95–126 (fetal and placental weights) observations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary group</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>CPF</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>LPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Litter size (no. of pups)</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male fetuses</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal wt (g)</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.82†</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental wt (g)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.42 †</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal:placental ratio</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female fetuses</td>
<td>2.46§</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.54§</td>
<td>0.10§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal wt (g)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placental wt (g)</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>6.20</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON, control diet; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet.  
* Fetal and placental weights were influenced by sex (P<0.001). Placental weight and the fetal:placental ratio were influenced by maternal protein intake (P<0.001). Placental weight was influenced by the interaction of maternal protein and folate intakes (P<0.016).  
† Mean values were significantly different to CON (same sex, P<0.05).  
‡ Mean values were significantly different to CPF (same sex, P<0.05).  
§ Mean values were significantly different between males and females of the same group (P<0.05).

Discussion

Exposure to maternal protein restriction in fetal life is well established as a programming influence upon blood pressure regulation, renal development and the development of the metabolic syndrome(1). In the present study, we chose to follow up reported programming effects upon food intake. Feeding behaviour of rats is subject to change in response to a variety of manipulations of the maternal diet. Vickers et al. (10) reported that severe undernutrition (70 % restriction of maternal food intake) resulted in hyperphagic obesity in the resulting offspring. A similar outcome was noted in offspring of rats fed a LP diet in pregnancy and lactation(15). Feeding of highly palatable human foods in pregnancy and lactation has been shown to increase the appetite of the offspring for the same profile of foods(9). Variation in feeding behaviour following these insults in early life may be attributed to changes in the structure of hypothalamic centres such as the paraventricular or ventromedial nuclei, but may also stem from programming of the expression and release of regulatory neuropeptides and neurotransmitters. Pörto et al. (13) noted that 50 % restriction of maternal food intake in the first 2 weeks of pregnancy led to defects of serotonergic regulation of appetite in the resulting offspring. Similar effects were reported by Lopes de Souza et al. (38), following protein restriction in pregnancy and lactation. The same protocol was associated with decreased satiety, enhanced expression of agouti-related

Table 8. Fetal tissue metabolites at day 20 gestation  
(Mean values with their standard errors for n 11–17 observations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary group</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>CPF</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>LPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male fetuses</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver GSH (μmol/g tissue)</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver choline (nmol/g tissue)</td>
<td>1849.3</td>
<td>106.9</td>
<td>1827.9</td>
<td>107.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver phosphocholine* (μmol/g tissue)</td>
<td>15.52</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>16.04</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female fetuses</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver GSH (μmol/g tissue)</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver choline (nmol/g tissue)</td>
<td>1695.0</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>2055</td>
<td>147.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver phosphocholine* (μmol/g tissue)</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON, control diet; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet; GSH, total glutathione.  
*Phosphocholine concentrations were influenced by maternal protein intake (P<0.028).
protein and neuropeptide Y and reduced expression of pro-opiomelanocortin. We have previously shown that in young adult rats exposed to LP throughout fetal life, the self-selection protocol applied in the present study uncovered a preference for high-fat food, although overall macronutrient intake was not vastly different. This effect was absent in older rats and if the LP diet was targeted at single week periods of gestation, the preference was for carbohydrate-rich rather than fat-rich foods. Although in the present study the effect was limited to male offspring, we have largely replicated these earlier findings.

The novel aspect of the present work is that supplementation of the LP diet with folic acid prevented the programming of food preferences in male offspring. Folate supplementation was also noted to partly prevent the restriction of placental growth that was noted in LP pregnancies. It has been reported previously that the addition of either folate or glycine to a maternal LP diet could negate the programming impact of protein restriction upon blood pressure and cardiovascular function and upon renal development. Such findings have contributed to the argument that one-carbon donors may play a critical role in the development and that limiting their availability may have adverse programming effects. In the context of behaviour, including feeding behaviour, choline is also a nutrient of interest since prenatal choline supply governs hippocampal neurogenesis and later performance in memory tests and conditioned behavioural responses.

Rees proposed that excessive methionine in the LP diet was a primary driver of this through perturbation of homocysteine metabolism, and hence S-adenosyl homocysteine and S-adenosyl methionine concentrations. In support of this argument, there have been reports of elevated homocysteine concentrations in early pregnancy, in response to LP feeding. We assert that the present study, along with an earlier report, largely lays this story to rest. Although DNA methylation undoubtedly plays a role in programming, there was no evidence that, in late gestation at least, the methionine–homocysteine cycle (assessed through measurement of homocysteine and expression of methionine synthase), folate cycle (assessed through measurement of total folate and expression of methyltetrahydrofolate reductase) or closely related processes (assessed through measures of tissue glutathione, phosphocholine and choline and expression of DNA methyltransferase 1) are significantly affected by protein restriction. Although limited by the sensitivity of the cytokine extension method, the present finding that global DNA methylation was not significantly altered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dietary group</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>CPF</th>
<th>LP</th>
<th>LPF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male fetuses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA methyltransferase 1</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met synthase</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>12.81</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female fetuses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA methyltransferase 1</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met synthase</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>7.11</td>
<td>7.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CON, control diet; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet.

* All mRNA expression is shown as arbitrary units after normalisation of expression of cyclophilin A. Cyclophilin A was stably expressed across all groups in both sexes.

Fig. 4. Global DNA methylation measured through cytokine extension assay. Data are shown as means with their standard errors for n = 20–22 observations. No significant effects were noted. An increasing Hsp II/Msp I ratio indicates an increasing proportion of cytokine–guanine sites being methylated. CON, control diet; CPF, control with folate diet; LP, low-protein diet; LPF, LP with folate diet.
by either protein restriction or folate supplementation adds to
the argument that epigenetic factors may contribute to nutri-
tional programming at the level of specific gene promoters.
For example, while Sinclair et al. (20) noted a general
hypomethylation response to restricted feeding in early
sheep development, only 4% of 1400 loci exhibited this
effect and at several of these sites, the effect was specific to
male offspring. Burdge and colleagues (25) noted that while
the glucocorticoid receptor and PPARα exhibited evidence
of hypomethylation in liver following prenatal protein restric-
tion, there was no evidence of altered methylation of the
PPARγ promoter. The drivers of such differential methylation
of DNA, or acetylation of histone proteins, are not fully
understood.

There is a large body of evidence to suggest that long-term
effects of maternal nutrition upon physiology and metabolism
are related to modifications on the structures of major organs,
including brain, kidney and pancreas (42–44). Availability of
methyl donors may be a driver of such tissue remodelling.
Both choline and folate are known to impact upon the de-
veloping central nervous system (40), and as mentioned above,
availability of choline, in particular, during fetal development
can be shown to influence behavioural traits in later life (41,45).
Tissue growth and development is dependent upon cell
division and this may be limited by the availability of nucleo-
tides or replication of DNA. The synthesis of pyrimidine
nucleotides is dependent upon the availability of N5,N10-
methylenetetrahydrofolate. Similarly, the synthesis of purines
requires both folate and glycine, both of which have been
shown to prevent LP-related programming in the rat. Although
we noted that there were no gross differences in total circulat-
ing and tissue folate in fetuses exposed to LP diet, it remains
possible that the diet may subtly alter metabolic flux through
the folate cycle. Preliminary evidence from our laboratory
(Engeham et al., unpublished results) has indicated that
there was less storage of polyglutamated forms of N5,N10-
methylenetetrahydrofolate and 5-methyltetrahydrofolate in
maternal liver following supplementation of LP diet than in
response to supplementation of the CON. This could be inter-
preted as being indicative of differences in folate metabolism,
or placental transfer to the fetus in pregnancy associated with
protein restriction. However, we would argue that the lack of
gross changes in the metabolite concentrations and gene
expression noted in the present paper makes it unlikely that
minor shifts in maternal storage are likely to impact upon
fetal development, fetal folate status and programming-related
mechanisms.

Folic acid was added to the diet for the CPF and LPF groups
at a concentration that was five times higher than used in the
CON and LP diets. Undoubtedly, this represents a high-dose
supplementation of this nutrient. Maternal folate intake in
CON and LP groups was approximately 19–26 μg/d, while the
supplemented groups consumed 93–129 μg/d. The level of
supplementation was selected to match that used by Torrens
et al. (29) to demonstrate that the strategy could prevent
programming of vascular dysfunction by maternal protein
restriction, and by Lillycrop et al. (25) to demonstrate that
differential methylation of DNA following protein restriction
was absent following folate supplementation. Maternal folate
intake, in the unsupplemented groups, was appropriate for
pregnant rats (30). The lack of differences in food intake
between supplemented and unsupplemented groups, their
similar litter sizes and their comparable weight gains show
that the supplemented diets were palatable, that the folate
intakes were not excessive and were not having obvious
deleterious effects. In the present study, the supplementation
of folic acid was apparently against a background of adequate,
rather than deficient, folate status. The extra folate may therefore
be expected to only have deleterious effects. However, findings in
relation to vascular function and gene expression (25,29) suggest that with the 1 mg/kg concentration,
folate is somehow limiting in the diet when coupled with a
LP intake. While it was clear that the level of folate
supplementation used in the present experiments could prevent
the appearance of differences in feeding behaviour resulting
from prenatal protein restriction, it was also apparent that
folate exerted programming effects in its own right. Consump-
tion of higher levels of folic acid in rat pregnancy had differen-
tial effects depending on the protein content of the maternal
diet. While supplementation of the LP diet resulted in greater
bone mineral density at 13 weeks and a reduced preference for
high-fat food in males, the offspring of CPF-fed mothers
tended to have more abdominal fat and were hypercholester-
olaemic relative to control animals at 4 weeks of age. Female
offspring of the CPF group tended to have elevated plasma
insulin at 13 weeks, and their male littermates had an
increased preference for the high-fat food. These data suggest
that supplementation of folate in pregnancy when the maternal
diet is adequate may have some detrimental impact upon fetal
development. This may be an important finding in the context
of public-health measures to improve folate status through
fortification or supplementation strategies. It has hitherto
been assumed that only the elderly population may be put at
risk by these approaches.

In conclusion, the present study has demonstrated that
programmed differences in feeding behaviour, which follow
on from prenatal protein restriction, can be prevented by the
supplementation of the diet with folic acid. However, in some
respects, the offspring of folate-supplemented rats consuming a protein-replete diet exhibit similar attributes to
offspring of unsupplemented protein-restricted animals. The
mechanism through which folate exerts these effects remains
unclear, but our investigation suggests that there are no
folate-reversible, gross differences in the folate or methion-
ine–homocysteine cycles, in fetal or maternal tissue, during
late gestation. Further work in this area should evaluate
the possibility that folate supplementation has differential
effects upon both tissue remodelling processes and markers
of epigenetic regulation of gene expression at specific loci.
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